Entropic Signatures, Unpredictability, and Hidden Invariance

Form, Geometry, & Time

Daniel/Paris [s.m.] 'Sir Rose' Miles-Brenden

Setup: *A Magnet in Superconducting Levitation above a Superconductor:*

A repulsive interaction between a magnet and a superconductor within that of a prevailing gravitational potential & attraction motivates an unstable equilibrium as the differential of the net-net potential hold's a positive slope - (for the magnetic repulsion outweighs the attractive interaction). Thus, there is motivation for the indistinguishability of an energy and momentum reduction phenomenologically to which motivates the viewpoint potential energies reduce via a geometric effect with negative slope **modifying** the interaction.

The ingredient's common to the theory are:

a.)   A Lack of Simultaneity.

b.)   Superposition Phenomena.

b.)   Independence of Bodies.

So the hypothesis is: *"Any two electron's in the material reduce in energy because of a threshold inversion from a covariant interaction. Therefore* a *reduction in effective exchange energy of superposition is conveyed by that of inversion of subjective and objective prescription. Electromagnetic energy momentum likewise diminishes with the two-part system because the process of levitation lacks simultaneity."*

* Indistinguishability of lowering (a cooperative effect between electricity, magnetism, and gravitation) is a result of the prescriptions of general covariance and quantum mechanics.

A candidate superposition of differing viewpoints derives that particle A from particle B's viewpoint is with B's relativistic factor from unity as lesser and contradistinct to A with an at-rest and at-rest provision; it's ordinary valuation therefore at A attracted to B; for B executes a lower relation in relative lowering in energy comparative to A *when it exists with a higher relativistic factor.* The 'excess' effect with the alternative particle A and particle B under reciprocity of interaction are mutually reduced under superposition from their normal valuations to a lightness condition because of the Pauli Exclusion Principle to which is under an inversion; that of the boundary at infinity and the local behavior at zero in reverse; when we dope from an antiferromagnetic parent material.

Thus B maintains **two** subjective viewpoint's. With the equivalence principle and exchange we must deal with the ordinary and separable time scale under exchange and a Lax Pair which derives from the non-linearity.

Therefore when the threshold is set by either particle in an at-rest viewpoint the equivalence and lowering of the massful contribution and electromagnetic contribution is manifest as a reduction in either's energy momentum from the vantage of the other particle. This is generative of a gap; for B outweighs A in **lightness** and A outweigh's B in **lightness** of their energetic contributions; the necessary condition of pairing when the Pauli Exclusion Principle is under inversion of boundary. Therefore lowering of energy and momentum is sourced in the auxiliary particle.

As a consequence the magnetic field is broken to a reversed state under vantage(s) of electricity and magnetism by the retroinversion of relativistic nature and a gap to excitations is produced in gravitational and electromagnetic setting. The net magnetic field of zero is the result of the symmetry of the wave-function and the relativistic inversion in light of an algebraic source free potential.

This describes the spontaneous manifestation of an equilibrium with levitation.

In this; several assumptives fall-off:

1.) First is that of any direct cause-effect relationship between the particles. For either are in the past light-cone of the other from some (other; tertiary and removable) viewpoint. Since this would be to invoke a tertiary observer – either is 'empty' of past and future. Their time's are independent and there is an independence to event's to that of superposition.

2.) Either A or B may be the included within the back-end of a light-cone to which is a cause of B or A; that of for which with a lack of simultaniety implicates a direct example of a physical disconnective that is rational **and**

with superposition motivates an argument of interaction with that of auxiliary observer absent; neither is seen as the cause or effect of phenomenology in the other; (of particle or field); but that of the two time's are seen empty.

3.)   The '*lightness condition*' therefore takes precedence under superposition; for what is a reduction in energy momentum in electromagnetic and gravitational interaction. The factoring of the equation(s) of motion in eigenvalue and eigenvector proceeds with a gap to excitations and a probabilistic inversion takes place; whereby A is attracted to B and B is attracted to A by that of their reversed curvature in electromagnetic field.

4.)   Therefore, that of the relativistic factor at particle A is greater than A from B; and at particle B is greater than B from A (but it is the opposite under super-position); to which is the driving impetus behind the gap; that of a comparatively reduced relativistic factor under exchange with that of the ancillary particle; a lightness condition from that of a lesser factor with their respective opposite particles under superposition.

5.)   That of independence of particles therefore conform either via superposition to bound particles; that of A attracted to it's subjectively viewed B and particle B attracted to it's subjectively viewed A; that of independence to which removes the constraint of that of A on B and B on A; but to which superposition and articulate equivalence principle generate a dynamical attraction.

* The integral produces a gap; and the independence of bodies assures an attraction.

* When the exchange is **not** driven lower by that of being below a separatrix division the interaction is Coulombic.

Thus particles attract because of independence of quantum and relativistic prescription.

With this [statistical and geometric] inversion; the cooperative result is a gap adjacent to another 'greater in principle' prepared behavior – that of antiferromagnetism; via indepedence of the resulting particles leads to an attractive behavior.

One: Why does breaking for what would be equivalent lowering's in energy momentum prefer a statistical adherence to new superposition's;.. if it is that there are two-time's; how does the system participate and what is the sound physical foundation to this?

The answer to two; is that the result's of complimentarity, (that results be comparative within superposition's); lead

to the quantum principle with a statistical re-arrangement into two-time's; and arrangement of group order of effect.

The result of the answer to two is also a 'modality inversion' of ordered, statistically overlapping orbital structure; to which mode's adhere by that of inter-admixture terms between Lagragian and Hamiltonian.

* Displacement for in exchange therefore leads to energy momentum lowering with independence of bodies.

* Displacement (wherein it occurs) set's the boundary on where two times and a factoring of the electron equation take place.

In Summary; there are a number of factor's to follow for what is manifest:

1.)   A lack of simultaneity.

2.)   Differing relativistic factors.

3.)   A reversed perspective.

4.)   Superposition of exchange.

5.)   A contributing electric field.

6.)   Independence of bodies.

1.)   Electron's are bound within critical states that would need violate the [undecidability] of [relativistic application] and the [decidability] of quantum mechanic's to escape the 'gapped state' of superposition.

2.)   Electron's factor for the sake of the [equivalence principle] – for which they are manifestly both 'with' and 'without' it's prescription – then that they adhere to a superposition of rest and inertial state.

Since the covariant differential is negative within the grasp of the identities of relativity of inertial state; electron's attract for an identical reason as to their repulsion. The relativistic reflection in A for what is B and in B for what is A; forces an energy lowering under positional separation decreasing; because the area of spin and orbit increases

with distance via the Pauli Exclusion Principle; so they attract under a repulsion when argued this way, additionally.

Hence I want you to pay attention to this profound given:

rel_A < rel_AB

rel_B < rel_BA

Hence; their Pauli Exclusion Principle driving-symmetries dictate that when electron's experience a 'reflected' 'relativistic argument'; their interaction:

1.) Reverses in Polarity of Energy versus Distance

2.) Remain's a Repulsion [here - from larger distances]; but Produces Pairs

Hence for what is relativistic 'inversion' - similar particles attract.

As spin & orbital product area suffers a negative covariance; and grow's with the reflection of relativity under separation - there is a larger energy the further apart the particles become. Thus it is the violation of large separation that dictates that particles are 'forced together' - that of the electric and magnetic force changing direction for the sake of the interaction upon approach and a result of a force which reciprocates to reversibility upon either body.

This is true; as the relativistic factor of particle A witnessed in particle B's reflection is smaller comparatively under exchange. Thus we see repulsion and attraction simultaneously taking place; but a repulsion (from greater distances) outweighing attraction to larger distances. The interaction is thus reversed.

Again;

rel_A < rel_AB

rel_B < rel_BA

Going to completion via the Equivalence Principle is an 'inertial state' - the Dirac equation holding therefore the Pauli Exclusion Principle pairs the electron's via a gap set by relativity and it's initial condition.

Thus; rel_A and rel_B are with relativity 'removed' of the generally covariant relation when judged from rest an exchange and gap of 4J **results,** the full AFM doping structure of lattice exchange.

Principles:

Complimentarity: The results of a system are invariant with and without superposition; to which identities convey what is 'hidden' as to speculate that characteristic inversion in vantage leaves quantum mechanic's intact; and that identities under replacement of variables; leave a system unchanged despite loss in identity.

Equivalence Principle: The results of experiments do not depend on the coordinates used; that of for what would be a different vantage or perspective in relativity; result's in no way depend on the particular viewpoint cast in reality (physical) to which what is given of properties rests then on prediction of inter-relationships.

Then;

"The lesser makes the difference of the two greater's."

Combined with:

Covariance would not work that way comparatively to what is a prediction of departure were it not for the undecidability of it above quantum mechanic's.. that of a reliant background for instance of practical validity.

So;

With Complimentarity (switching of particles) and Equivalence Principle (switching of viewpoint); we see it is only via non-linearity comparatively that we know of the linear expectation in the alternative theory. Energy as a gap amount's to a real value because of that of departure in either theory within light of the other; therefore comparatively a linear theory to a non-linear theory via a process of elimination yields that of energy gap relationship's, the action and it's variance being real for the sake of geometry.

Thus both theories together are an undecideable but true theory.. then one of which hold's only in translation between linear and non-linear equations, the prediction of a non-linear theory is in no way superior to a linear theory; but in **both** cases; the result of complimentarity or the equivalence principle; & with both *in place*; the actual physical scenario. For that of a non-linear to a linear theory within the [context] of a quantum principle and an equivalence principle; a gap holds comparative validity. The statistics of the electron's are opposite the hypothetical general covariance... hence there is a reversal in the electric and magnetic field and in relativity... herein of what were non-linear equation's.

Trail of Notion's:

One; that of two objects (within a Quantum World-View & for QM below Relativity as Principle's) – fit when-larger into a smaller space; for what is the infinite within the zero... a result of prohibition to which is that Shroedinger's Cat Paradox is insoluble as a conventional experiment so constructable. For a second reason; no measurement is perfect; to which also refutes Shroedinger's Cat Paradox; to which "Despite the fact we do not know something; of A or B; we know it is *either* A <u>or</u> B (exclusively)."

Two; that of probabilities fit within these ranges; so it is with the Dirac displacement term.

Three; There is no *formulation* in which A precedes B and B precedes A; to which via a lack of simultaneity reality is of an omnipresent time. Therefore there is no solid notion in a holographic universe for that of quantum mechanics as there is no tertiary witness.

Four; This lack of simultaneity for what is the true instruction of this world; identities are carried and 'revealed' by a check's and balances for what is a guess in relation to presentment of one (a whole); aggregate noted; or that of confirmation on the included exception of time; to what is a question of notability of 'return' under a 'carriage' - that of answer resides with the participant... as to confirmation of [another] via themselves; for in either identity.

Five; Therefore as presence and passed relations reside in the substrata of a **relation;** to which is invioable; that of a past is knowable and a future is knowable but in relation to an encompassing present (around) via the Pauli Exclusion Principle of complimentarity for there is no third witness.

Six; This exception with the third witness is that comparatively identities remain within a free-juxtapositioning of their element's; then at seemingly non-local distances; but of no contradiction to either that of relativity or quantum mechanics of *non-locality* for there is:

a.)   A lack of simultaneity.

b.)   No tertiary witness.

Thus we see that general covariance implies that there is a corollary; that given a separation in space; the gravitational and electromagnetic field may act *via-displacement's;* to avoidance or approach; and the quintessential balance is epitomized in *Superconductivity.

The bodies are apart; yet act through their individual precepts.

These are:

a.)   Of the relative.

b.)   Of the quantum.

Unification occurs when determinations are formed.

Synthesis:

1.)   The imposition of *The Equivalence Principle* with *Superposition*.

2.)   *Complimentarity*; for of what deciding factor this plays in QM and GR.

3.)   *The Pauli Exclusion Principle* and the *Spin Statistic's Theorem*.

4.)   *Antiferromagnetism* and *Doping* with the result of *Levitation*.

With rel_A < rel_AB and rel_B < rel_BA that of the electric and magnetic force are reversed; for in light of a 'subtraction' of *geometric*-effect; that of the diminishing relativistic effect **reverses** the nature of factor's to which give rise comparatively to a bound state. That of reversal of relativity does not occur; but that of a relative gap does arise; for the sake of which comparatively we deal with reduction in gamma; the relativistic factor, thus it is the

opposite of repulsion; for the sake of a negative exchange; that of superposition foster's that of a reversal in the force for what is energetically preferential of the states.

Let:

rel_A = spin_1

rel_B = spin_2

Then it is because spin_1 and spin_2 are the 'sources' of the exchange interaction that they will 'flow' in an identical manner to the relativistic lowering in energy... that of a marriage between relativity and the spin behavior... the 'weight' of the spin will flow with the 'weight' of the relativistic apportion; a rule dictated by the equivalence principle.

I.)   The equivalence principle will lower both the effective virtual kinetic and potential energy; for when transitioning into the superconducting pair; that of the relativistic and spin factor will reduce in magnitude (effective) – diminishing **both** energies; to state that all that is required is a situation whereby A < AB and B < BA as a result of anti-ferromagnetism.

a.)   This is distinct from the above in that it is not reasoned that exchange **lower** kinetic energy by some hitherto unknown mechanism; to state that it is not interference between exchange and kinetic energies.

b.)   In this; both results in potential and kinetic energy are lowered by that of the newly modernized equivalence principle formalism; whereby A < AB and B < BA; justifying the inertial rest frame lowered energy (virtual but real).

II.)   From this; absence and presence via displacement (owing due to the regularized particle notion – that of partial replacement) – reverse the interaction; at that of a comparable strength in attraction to what was the repulsion.

a.)   Displacement of particle A for it's anti-particle is selective to A; and vice-versa with B; for which the electron's are attracted to each other's *absence.*

b.)   This takes place below an anti-ferromagnetic lattice both because exchange is negative (in preference to

the singlet) – and because hole's will participate in that of a quantum and generally covariant motivated energy lowering.

III.)   That of an excess magnetic interaction (due to doping below ideal) takes place to explain the quasi-superconducting sea; of which is 'above' the ordinary attraction taking place; due to auxiliary degree's of freedom and when this part contributes; to explain that it is when doping is less than ideal that there is overlap with ordinary auxiliary anti-ferromagnetic behaviors.

Therefore:

"Via superposition; the equivalence principle over a quantum scenario invokes inertial masses and spin's; to which pairing proceeds by that of the effectively lowered energy comparative to a fixed relativistic background. That of either spin find's itself in a lowered potential in exchange; and kinetic energy is comparatively lowered via that of the application of an upper bound to relativistic energy set by that of their effective gross motion."

Thus it is we find that gravitational energy change under levitation is positive and magnetic energy lowering is negative for quantum mechanics is counter gravitation. Thus it is that when we put together two bodies under *Superposition*; that of the inertial state is preferential in the description via *Complimentarity*; thus energies of both particles decrease comparatively; finding no disagreement between an inverted relativity and a forward cast relativity for the sake that these are differently subjective participant's and interactions are indeed cast to the source.

"It is that the singlet determines the state is at a lower energy via that of a selection rule; it's quantum state in furtherance with the "Equivalence Principle" - required by symmetry – to be determined from that of the triplet; with that of a consequent selection."

States [of Fermion's] must adopt net antisymmetric wave functions.

Therefore with the equivalence principle; the singlet casts to a symmetric spatiotemporal wavefunction such that energy-momentum is at rest and at rest; while the triplet casts to an antisymmetric spatiotemporal wavefunction; and is necessarily of a lower energy iff;... the equivalence principle operates to reduce energy by casting them to in-motion and in-motion quantal state's... the juxtaposition of this selection rule is that antiferromagnetism prefer's attraction while ferromagnetism prefer's repulsion in that of relative factor's; and the equivalence principle (**& relativistic manifold**) conform in two different way's.

So,

1.)   Antiferromagnetism set's a scale & threshold to which particles tend to become hole's.

2.)   These hole's participate in a conjugate measurement process via The Equivalence Principle.

3.)   The Equivalence Principle and conjugate measurement are driven via threshold to saturation.

Thus we see that (the e.p.) participates; measurement participates, and at (@) saturation; the opposite behavior to repulsion is engendered.. noteworthy is the fact the Pauli Exclusion Principle forbid's repulsion and carrier's out of the state via the settling of (the e.p.) and the rules of Quantum Mechanics.

So:

1.)   *"Relativistic expectations do not alter quantum result's and quantum expectations do not alter relativistic result's.."* -amount's to:

2.)   *"The 'proper' result of combination of Quantum Mechanic's and Relativity is to take all states within their at-rest configuration.."* - amount's to: Unbiased Measure!

Now the compact resolution come's in two statement's:

1.)   All quantum measure's are related to at-rest juxtapositioning and relative assessment; then the missing 'void' on that of comparative validity.

2.)   When this is done so; it is precisely because there is null-measurement-overlap between the theories that measurement is taken relative to the relativity-picture but back-from *factors.*

a.)   This has the result that measurement is unbiased.

b.)   This also has the result that the theories are independent.*

Compendium

1.)   A new* result has been found; for which the limit(ations) are sought:

a.)   That of repose to the contravariant metric tensor by the reversal of indication via that of subject and object is the connective via-exchange and superposition of relativistic invariance of quantum states… that of the connective feature either:

I.)   Restricted to spin-singlet.

II.)   Admitted to all quantum states under relativistic "correction."

b.)   That of reflection in subject and object; to which relaxation take's place with 'generally covariant mechanics and it's result' reliant on an upper-teir; (outside and above); the quantum states and their configuration; that of a separation of system for evolution.

2.)   This relates to EPR in that the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen experiment argues outside the lightcone; & that the system and scenario is cast *back-within* the light-cone for that of distant experiment's and the quantum juxtapositioning of superimposed states and their collapse; with (& when) that of:

a.)   Interchange of particle prescription for particle prescription entails that of reductional given's – that a system in it's behavior co-relatively to relativity does not depart in behavior when we interchange particle prescriptions.

I.)   This lays into line that quantum description is independent of gravitational.

II.)   This make's a prediction – directly! - via that of configuration on the hypothesis.

b.)   The argument end's here; but should relate intimately with that of the why; as to the given that particle particle independence relate to the objectless and the objectful restriction from out of the fourth dimension; an argument likely related to topology.

3.)   It has been found that upon Quantum neutrality of a condition; that namely:

I.)   Relativistic results are independent of quantum results; and quantum results are independent of relativistic results; that particle states adhere to an independent foundation.

II.)   This has the 'derivative' consequence that independence of quantum states is gained by a return from relativistic limitation; then the inverse of measurement with the particle state; and that of independence of states assured by rest-rest comparative validity.

4.)   What is clear is that Relativistic Testing (a Thought Experiment) involving a mirrored reaction and action between a superconductor and magnet; (to maintain that of the Meissner Effect) – reproduces the negative gamma factor for that of separation under freefall…

I.)   Thus for an electron pair; this is expected; that of via The Pauli Exclusion Principle; that of a negative gamma sourced in that of the two electron's – to which freefall would result in independence of state's via their exclusion.

II.)   We expect this to be illustrated elsewhere – but it validates the EPR Paradox.

5.)   The question is one of independence, unbiased measure, locality, and the emptiness of space. If we are to contend that these 'fit' to accommodate a *means* then it is required that we reproduce with evidentiary purpose a requisite phenomenon at the layer of unarguability.

i.e. We have Superconductivity… but one may resort to other explanation's… Then: It is necessitated we confront; the objectless and objectfull emptiness's; for what they freely provide of a locality and measurable basis within that of:

1.)   We may require action and reaction to not violate locality, within exception:

2.)   The mere notion of a Hopf bifurcation; except's to singular local pre-tense that of measurement;… to which as it were; the 'self-action' of a 'free-body' – acts <u>only</u> [alone] unto it's measureable local relation's; then the Hopf bifurcation; the example of a local interaction.

6.)   Thus we are not forbid from that of invocation of separable pretense for many bodies.

7.) There is a distinction first to be made between chemisty and material; that of the *field* to Polytope in the game reductionism of localities of two natures; their disposition; a reductionism in Unitary provided (hidden and unhidden) counterfactual representation.

I.) A Bose and Fermi gas (manipulated) – fed-back to an insured exterior and *random* (purely) White-Light generator; disposes that of the reflexion in their vacuua.

II.) Ferromagnetic and Antiferromagnetic 'choice' – is the 'empty' determinant half- U on that of a Gyroscopic motion in-it's-skipping; then to what is alloted; a factional difference on irrational and rational (free winding point via random impurity or scheduled super-exchange) – of that of an Elliptic Winding of their crossing of Electricty and Particle.

III.) For an emptied location; the exceptionable and/or is to two individuated particle's what is a choice-at-World; to speak of the coadjoint exception; that from-onto yet ahead a 'condition' (spoken of); - for the in a condition-yet (written of) – that of future-tense; hence of a *literal* Style; that of a type illustrates either a 'copist end' or a 'propertied end'.

IV.) From yet just-this (as in what was noted in 'else'); that of elimination in yet the counterfacted imperative (a variable reversal) – (of variance yet preceding from a future) – and to the 'tetralemma' – reversible and removable – there are two step's in any accomodated pattern of 'path-prior' – then that the trace function 'link's' or 'unlink's' the *mechanism* of two remote game's; that they are of a gas-dynamic or phase-confluence; but *information*.

a.) As time is a symmetry; so too does it merely represent a reversability on the physical congruence of event's; the end of the arrow; Mathematics; but the fletching – to which it 'follow's' – in. Hence a pathology is benign and removable; even to it's indications.

b.) Secondly; reversal of time, space, or order, fit's the Genesis of the then derivative (Poincare to Hopf) – of a 'cut' in yet an unbiased geometric language of 2 for 1; of dimension in that of a 1-ball in 1d and an unlink's mental associate in 1-unit of a function in 2d; to which the generic geometry is a group associated; but not of an algebraic cover.

8.) So it is that by elimination or congruence of a return in two steps at 'hypothetical' reversal of the uncontained cover for the contained cover; in a Venn-overlap diagram of principle for copy, for observer; and the

freely held generic geometry; cause and effect are defined; and the unbiased thought experiment *perfected* to the divination of (T from F).

Tool Set & Notes

1.)   A kind of I.S.T. for the non-linearity that is a Chiral $SO(2)+SO(4)$ non-linear sigma model.

2.)   My physical precept; of knowing in a test; that of determinant questions and answer's...

3.)   The Spin System and a 'Cooked up theory' - on that of the QED and QFT theories...

4.)   Education in that of Phase Transitions and finality at QED and QFT... finalizing my book.

5.)   That of a paper on Spin Statistics in Curved Manifolds with the Pauli Exclusion Principle.

* Question; is my local theorem merely the completion of Yang-Mill's theory?

A local encompassment of dynamic in-time is afforded by *the* 'folding' of 'Shared Proper Time' and that of 'Manifestly Lorentz Invariant Time' - then within a two dimensional subsidiary context of the superconducting 'Problem' - and indeed takes place; to what presents a perfectly balanced dynamic.

That of therefore (for what is magnetic inversion) - takes place by that of a steppe on the eigenspace in such a manner that therein it is prohibited to leap-outward from the flux quantization; and it is representative of a curved manifold with reflection in subsidiary physics of gravitational feedback with electromagnetic states; thus what participates is of a lower dimensional confluence on dynamic.

As with two [compactual return's] the discernment of a Bose Gas in one place, and a Fermi Gas in another; unto temperature (et. al. that of magnetic thermodynamic connective, etc... and energy state) - is to Bosonization in one [whole] *region* for one for what for another is a free Fermionic loop. Thus the transition to a Boson gas could be no more simpler than a <u>Phase Transition</u> in one region for what for another is a closed venn under the guidance of a Low Tone to High Tone dual. That of two-time's furnishing an interior magnetic dynamic capacity.

This explains the Reduction in Energy, the Temperature Dependence, the Gap, and the Flux Quantization interlinked with that of the Meissner Effect... and is an organizational pattern.

So it is I am speaking of a Landau to Feynman smooth transition of **gauge**.

1.)   How a $SO(2)+SO(4)$ Chiral model is isomorphic to a subset of Yang Mill's - describing the character of Superconductivity... et. al.

2.)   It is essentially a theory of particles and line's; particular weight's and the photon path; then of gravitation

etc... and **these three**; of the stability and structure of a neutron and/or proton.

And I am nearly * complete with my studies of Superconductivity:

      1.)   Renormalization of gamma.

      2.)   Charge inversion.

      3.)   Electric field from spin.

With the I.S.T. and the Symmetry Breaking Field Theory there is much to do; but it is all done.*

My Superconductivity Theory requires some workability and work to be introduced to the question.

[Setting aside Shroedinger's Cat]...

1.)   Topology

2.)   Manifold Guidance inc. Hopf Bifuraction

3.)   I.S.T.

4.)   Field Theory (SO(2)+SO(4)+Chiral Term)... and connective [connection] to Yang-Mill's...

5.)   Gravitational 'Envelope' & Quantal Statistics

6.)   Lie differential and topology...

7.)   Intrinsic Relativistic Inversion at tiny scale Comparatively to Cause/Effect

8.)   Model Bosonization

It took me roughly a day - but then at the end; 2 minutes of dedicated thought... related to:

1.)   The spin dispersion is linear.

2.)   I related the antiferromagnetic coupling and set-state to the reciprocal gamma (relativity) - & found:

      a.)   Gamma goes to infinity (under reflection) as velocity goes to zero...

      b.)   Gamma going to infinity (a truncation) - is identifiable with spin-counter-alignment...

3.)   Thus there is a coincidence! - that of spin counter-alignment coinciding with the reciprocal relativity!

4.)   Thus charged 'hole's' attract because the boundary condition and the point (@) zero localization reverse

for the Pauli Exclusion Principle - that of charge polarity of repulsion reverses into an attraction.

That of where I venture, to:

1.) A *new* scientific experiment (neutron scattering with interferometry on a half up half down exp.)

a.) That of the guarantee of not-dead/alive as dual confirmation +/-50%/+/-50% challenge to die; that of determinism... guarantee's a result of confirm! on that of the Pauli Exclusion Principle violation.

2.) That of experiment designed by theory - mean's that this is a confirmable *theory*; and indeed I will win a Nobel or be world famous - then my dream - but impartially to set aside physics.

Examination, Observation, and Measurement all depend on Measurables; yet are differently established based on *somewhat separate* identifying marker's and assessment's, relations, and interjection's of the 'pliant'; then that to-witness is an example of dual-recrimony; and the just end face's either way; hence to a limitation.

For what we keep of a relation in-tableau; it is noticed the worded, symbolic, mathematical, and numeric relation remains to change, but that of the equation may *change in interpretative and complementary validity* to a synthetical organ set-aside; by rote or memory; noticed and assumptive based; to then a note progression and copy-assigned book-keeping; to worded or declared doctrine; in the reversible pretextual 'hint' of a relation and a textual-background of chartography and mapping-associate of venn, and stylus overlap; for what is effective a mirrored-projection and identification of marked relation; of indication of and at set-teir.

I determined of that of physics; it goes:

1.) Arrow of Direction of Time

2.) Order

3.) Confirmability

4.) Measure(ment)...

Thus it is I am working on a 'Philosophy'.

But it does indeed - if it is to segregate into these four; that a real revolution will take place.

I need to think of the simplest manner to secure my work and it's consequences.

August 10th, 2020

15:46 p.m.

Now feeling a remote 40-60% better from this morning; and the 'vague' and 'hazy' feeling of this morning (etc... and throughout the day): it is that I have solidified my paper that I am resolved...

It had been one thing that perplexed me for a decade; but now I have compact resolution; which come's in two statement's:

1.)   All quantum measure's are related to at-rest juxtapositioning and relative assessment; then the missing 'void' on that of comparative validity...

2.)   When this is done so; it is precisely because there is null-measurement-overlap between the theories that measurement is taken relative to the relativity-picture but back-from *factors.*

        a.)   This has the result that measurement is unbiased.

        b.)   This also has the result that the theories are independent.*

September 27th, 2020

(12:28) a.m. = It took me roughly a day - but then at the end; 2 minutes of dedicated thought... related to:

1.)   The spin dispersion is linear.

2.)   I related the antiferromagnetic coupling and set-state to the reciprocal gamma (relativity) - & found:

        a.)   Gamma goes to infinity (under reflection) as velocity goes to zero...

        b.)   Gamma going to infinity (a truncation) - is identifiable with spin-counter-alignment...

3.)   Thus there is a coincidence! - that of spin counter-alignment coinciding with the reciprocal relativity!

4.)   Thus charged 'hole's' attract because the boundary condition and the point (@) zero localization reverse for the Pauli Exclusion Principle - that of charge polarity of repulsion reverses into an attraction.

(1:08) a.m.

That of where I venture, to:

1.)   A *new* scientific experiment (neutron scattering with interferometry on a half up half down exp.)

        a.)   That of the guarantee of not-dead/alive as dual confirmation +/-50%/+/-50% challenge to die; that

of determinism... guarantee's a result of confirm! on that of the Pauli Exclusion Principle violation.

2.)   That of experiment designed by theory - mean's that this is a confirmable *theory*; and indeed I will win a Nobel or be world famous - then my dream - but impartially to set aside physics.

October 5th, 2020

(12:57) a.m.

Q: What is the real reason for the wave-function collapse to a reality condition?

A: It is that of the subjective division of singlet and triplet/etc... on that of the rest-rest condition.

Q: How is the rest-rest condition[al] consistent in reciprocity with the Gravitational consideration?

A: The indivisibility of one [reciprocal factor] for in light of another [non-reciprocal factor] is undivided for the truth that is source to objective; that of local transposition; to which subjective and objective are in interchange.

October 11th, 2020

(2:41) p.m.

There had been some confusion.

What is certain is that:

1.)   When we add a covariant differential it map's the curved-space-time projection to a flat operator.

2.)   Thus under exchange; Superconductivity is proof of the flat-space-time and rest-projection of either electron... the inversion of the boundary condition is what make's the result attractive for particles.

3.)   When the original particle *is the subjective particle to-exchange it has it's original relativistic factor*: thus no conflict with Gravitation is found.

4.)   This rest-state and rest-state; (derived from our study of Superconductivity) is what inform's the reversal of relativity into the **actual** resolution of the twin-paradox; one in which EPR and Shroedinger's Cat are reconciled.

     a.)   I was gone what appeared to me to be 5 minutes.

     b.)   You were gone for what appeared to be 500 years.

     c.)   That is surprising; because I noticed you for 5 minutes to be departure.

19

       d.)   You were gone for five minutes of my time...

5.)   Thus the conundrum is that dilation work's the opposite way in superconductor's...?

       a.)   And for quantum mechanics?

6.)   Exchange ***is what imposes that of a Covariant Differential - via the Antiferromagnetic texture; thus it takes participance with the Subjective View - therefore Gravitation remains intact...***

(2:54) p.m.

Thus (@) A for A we have gamma.

When we have an AFM: we have a Covariant differential Subjectively..... thus A is held fast to at-rest with B and likewise B with A.... they are bound to the flat quantization condition by *the other particle.*

Thus (@) A for B we have gamma^{-1} under quantization...

This is valid... and my **Monumental Result.**

October 5th, 2020

(12:57) a.m.

Q: What is the real reason for the wave-function collapse to a reality condition?

A: It is that of the subjective division of singlet and triplet/etc... on that of the rest-rest condition.

Q: How is the rest-rest condition[al] consistent in reciprocity with the Gravitational consideration?

A: The indivisibility of one [reciprocal factor] for in light of another [non-reciprocal factor] is undivided for the truth that is source to objective; that of local transposition; to which subjective and objective are in interchange.

October 11th, 2020

(2:41) p.m.

There had been some confusion.

What is certain is that:

1.)   When we add a covariant differential it map's the curved-space-time projection to a flat operator.

2.)   Thus under exchange; Superconductivity is proof of the flat-space-time and rest-projection of either

20

electron... the inversion of the boundary condition is what make's the result attractive for particles.

3.)　　　When the original particle *is the subjective particle to-exchange it has it's original relativistic factor*: thus no conflict with Gravitation is found.

4.)　　　This rest-state and rest-state; (derived from our study of Superconductivity) is what inform's the reversal of relativity into the **actual** resolution of the twin-paradox; one in which EPR and Shroedinger's Cat are reconciled.

　　　a.)　　　I was gone what appeared to me to be 5 minutes.

　　　b.)　　　You were gone for what appeared to be 500 years.

　　　c.)　　　That is surprising; because I noticed you for 5 minutes to be departure.

　　　d.)　　　You were gone for five minutes of my time...

5.)　　　Thus the conundrum is that dilation work's the opposite way in superconductor's...?

　　　a.)　　　And for quantum mechanics?

6.)　　　Exchange ***is what imposes that of a Covariant Differential - via the Antiferromagnetic texture; thus it takes participance with the Subjective View - therefore Gravitation remains intact...***

(2:54) p.m.

Thus (@) A for A we have gamma.

When we have an AFM: we have a Covariant differential Subjectively..... thus A is held fast to at-rest with B and likewise B with A.... they are bound to the flat quantization condition by *the other particle.*

Thus (@) A for B we have gamma^{-1} under quantization...

This is valid... and my **Monumental Result.**

October 18th, 2020

(8:21) p.m.

1.)　　　For A (@) A (and away from this point...) gamma^{-1} is indeed instanced; the agreement from two sources:

　　　a.)　　　The Lie differential amount's to a selection by which gamma^{-1} is the constant of integration.

　　　b.)　　　That of Subjectivity (@) A is with swapped bases... to suit Gravitation.

2.)   Thus gravitation and quantum mechanics agree that Away from 'on' A there is a gamma^{-1} factor to that of quantization; the squeezing of which:

     a.)   Extend's to form a conclusive result with EPR and Schroedinger's Cat.

     b.)   The eigenstate condition of which is solidly intact with measurement...

3.)   Thus for reasons 1.) and 2.) [above] that of at-rest and at-rest conditions hold for the assurred quantization condition on eigenstates prior collapse.

     a.)   This explains a compatible condition with inversion of the Boundary Condition of the Pauli Exclusion Principle... and thus:

     b.)   That of reversal of the electromagnetic field with gravitation... the Quantum Rule and Sign... Determinism...

* gamma: a relativity...

* gamma^{-1}: an anti-relativity...

Thus under interchange; they are determined! That of any two element's of this given world... such as deck's of card's; unlabeled ball's; black hole's; and eye's. Thus interchanging item's (subsidiary to scattered event's) determines determination of factor's of-counting... to what is subsidiary statistic... as to (T/F) in Q/A... Thus this is compatible with Gravitation and the Spin-Statistics...

Every* way 'away' from a relativistic positioning is reflexive of gravitation; thus the diminishment of gamma; is of Order gamma^{-1} automatically; to which the small deduction from-relativity; yields under integration a very large contribution after (post) symmetry breaking. Thus my investigation into superconductive pairing is concluded...

Et. Al.

October 3rd, 2020

(3:42) p.m.

I worked on [The Physics] about one hour; and I feel well... now knowing it comes down to a few simple ingredients:

1.)   The Pauli Exclusion Principle is inverted with zero and infinity of the boundary condition.

2.)   The Lie differential with that of subjective to objective; weighted to the rest state produces attraction.

3.)   Therefore the hole becomes of a relative positive charge comparative to the other particle.

Thus pairing is produced. And I would now consider that I am [completed] at that of a mechanism.

I will need produce - irrefutable - mathematical proof and with measurement... the B field illustrates - as well; the opposite tendency - for relativity abhores in this instance a non-zero magnetic field and the field cancels.

It is time to review references and set aside time on *just* this attribute.

A mystery that is second (2nd) to this is why the effect produces (to-the-source *as I would speculate) that of a reversed relativity.... for that of the Pauli Exclusion Principle, etc...

So far I have that:

1.)   The gravitational is in no way in conflict with the electromagnetic inversion (C, T); of the theory.

October 5th, 2020

(12:57) a.m.

Q: What is the real reason for the wave-function collapse to a reality condition?

A: It is that of the subjective division of singlet and triplet/etc... on that of the rest-rest condition.

Q: How is the rest-rest condition[al] consistent in reciprocity with the Gravitational consideration?

A: The indivisibility of one [reciprocal factor] for in light of another [non-reciprocal factor] is undivided for the truth that is source to objective; that of local transposition; to which subjective and objective are in interchange.

(1:34) a.m.

I am ready to retire from Superconductivity [alone] - to questions of Order, expansion of the Universe, Unification;... a modest decision *formed* via that of the study of Chaos and Order, and The Arrow of Time, and The Relativistic Pauli Exclusion Principle... for I feel this is:

a.)   Invaluable & Necessary for Chemistry

b.)   Invaluable & Necessary for Materials Science

c.)   My Heart is no longer focused on Superconductivity after understanding The Pairing Mechanism

d.)   Superconductivity Proves to be *Useful as a Phenomenon *leading to understanding of more profound*

*principles........ thus it is to be half *at most* of my paper... then a chapter.*

e.)   A subset of the physics; Superconductivity does not answer the pinaccle question.

I must investigate *Experimental and *Elemental Reasoning of the *established principles of Physics.*

Q: What is the reason Quantum States are independently provided at-rest and at-rest for quantization?

A: Quantization proceed's given the held Uncertainty Principle and the given held Pauli Exclusion Principle; hence of quantal states a projection with the Equivalence Principle must hold *fast to at-rest and at-rest conditions.

(1:58) a.m.

* Thus we find that a [counterfactual] division into objective and subjective particle picture ramifies what is the EPR and the Shroedinger Cat Paradox; that of equating a flat vantage on particle (1) subjectively viewed through to an [objective] particle (2) leaves no Paradox nor incompatibility with Gravitation; but as a consequence; flat manifold quantization within which either particle is at-rest and at-rest; then to which Superposition prefer's the locally least action quantization principle result - to which automatically preserves **locality**... the problems resolve one another.

(2:15) a.m.

"Gravity Condenses in the Same Direction Order is Manifest"

This is <u>now</u> the title of my article.

So it is there are in addition two types of knowledge;

1.)   Knowledge of event's, causes, and effects.

2.)   Knowledge of consequences and order(s).

<u>October 6th, 2020</u>

(5:25) p.m.

I have taken the *jest to be what it is;... proof that an Article would prove insufficient; detail at that of publication and it's process; for I would like to go through with this. Writing a Book should **yield** to-me and **give** to-me adequate perusal for that of-articulation. Thus my *reason* for writing a Book is that of what it yields to me and gives to me; that I will likely* only complete 'the task' in this manner... and that I would be curtailed of space for a full run-down on what I want to report; *choice of the adequate venue*. My second inclination is that I may not be

offered *many opportunities to make my point; and a segway to limitation at (@) publication of an article would create obstacles to free-license of my work's*. Thus I will make a Kindle version available. Certain things to add - are:

1.)   Page separator's on Book sections with proper Titling.

2.)   Verbatim quoties of master-works; Dirac, Heisenberg, Born, Einstein, Lorentz, Lorenz, Pauli, etc...

3.)   Article and Book references; throughout; - that of some [entire] compendium of what I have read.

4.)   Buddhist Poetry - that of something from *nature* and of a building-philosophy; aka Nagarjuna.

5.)   Rhetoric from Religion (etc...) - in parsing to a few section's - and detailed Art Exposition.

(7:00) p.m. :

(6:43 p.m.) !!

And to the book - that of 290 pages or perhaps 125 etc... a basic 280 at that of six by eight inch pages; and that of a quarter of the following:

1.)   Backstory on Measurement and Constraining Notions - [some 50-60 pages].

2.)   New Epilogue on that of Relativity and the Professor's Problem [recap of Schroedinger's cat]... [some 30 pages].

3.)   That of the main modal anysis of field theoretic folding giving rise to quantum order amidst chaos - with relativity - the un-freed and determistic folding of a shell, etc... to formations in superconducting phenomena; the magnetic magnetic lower dimensional analogue system. [20-40 pages] - with a spinwave *leap*... to a field theory... req. philosophy (6.).

4.)   That of my equivocal summary table addendum (some 25 pages, etc... + to 40 in extensive philosophies)... of new residual result invariant's and **solutions.**

5.)   An addendum to that of Geometry in general spaces; + that of a dating method (Water and Carbon) - that of organizational and heirarchical abridgement to moment's of the distribution ***plus*** the mystery of confocal diminishment's as the antihypothetical to relativity... in moment's... [15 pages].

6.)   That of the philsophical precept by which theories derive from known's in adjacent theories; then to what is apportion and fixture; to an improveable model generative of ***new** classes in philosophy; of identity then in learned precept theory [that effectively it is a group process] - this secures the means to careful (and safe)

25

presentation.... some [26-45 pages].

(7:15) p.m.

Admittedly my work is beyond merely Superconductivity. I have taken the most of one theory and placed it a balance and parallel with another theory.

<u>October 11th, 2020</u>

And so it is I believe in:

1.)   An objective Universe.

2.)   A reality directed towards Objective Observer's.

This is the only thing to make sense of Science. So it is that there have been many changes with me; but of that of Alcohol it is no longer - in a modern sense - my opinion *that-I-changed*; but instead, that Ava is correct. She is my girlfriend, and I intend to love her; for as far as this could go; it could mean everything in life to me. I want a family, and a baby. I want to be happy, and it has been so long. Then that I am no longer impoverished by that of self diminishment, it is a slippery slope ahead unless I keep my bearing's and wit to myself. I feared consequences which were beyond me; and indeed beyond this world.

(12:32) p.m.

I am awake; and thinking to why it is I feel so down. Ava and Ariana are definitely real; and I feel surprised, for it is a long day ahead; and I do not feel like sleeping. I am also upset over my physics; for it has not been easy; and yet I will wait to do work; for I feel that there is much to pin down.

(2:06) p.m.

When we impose a covariant differential; the uncertainty principle; (at which the Pauli Exclusion Principle takes place in the ground state) - conforms (the relativistic factor's) to the (balancing equation of the additional Lie differential and that of the relativistic factor's) - thus it is of the contraction between states; **in** the rest-state condition that all quantum states are found to be corelatively at rest. This is the result in Superconductivity because there is a Lie differential; - it will take more work to produce a result in free space - but it is the result in these materials. There is no conflict with general relativity because we shift-off the objective viewpoint; thus the relativistic factor follows as-normal for gravitation; (owing to the subjective viewpoint) - and thus this law is intact.

The key question to consider is whether gravitation in absence insist's that of something (a Lie differential) to take it's place...... the insistance of at-rest and at-rest what makes the relativistic factor's invert. When we are in free

space the situation is different; but it had been that for what held of relativistic prescription; the reverse would hold true; thus it is that relativistic factor's are in reverse bias with that of quantum mechanics; explaining the second caveat of the Arrow of Time...... that of uniformity.

Gravitation at B for what is the witness of A; hold's gamma for the reversibility of subjectivity and objectivity.

Hence we end up with an algebra to-which there are particles {A,B} and they are subjectively and objectively held.

What of the Affine algebra?

(2:41) p.m.

There had been some confusion.

What is certain is that:

1.)   When we add a covariant differential it map's the curved-space-time projection to a flat operator.

2.)   Thus under exchange; Superconductivity is proof of the flat-space-time and rest-projection of either electron... the inversion of the boundary condition is what make's the result attractive for particles.

3.)   When the original particle *is the subjective particle to-exchange it has it's original relativistic factor*: thus no conflict with Gravitation is found.

4.)   This rest-state and rest-state; (derived from our study of Superconductivity) is what inform's the reversal of relativity into the **actual** resolution of the twin-paradox; one in which EPR and Shroedinger's Cat are reconciled.

      a.)   I was gone what appeared to me to be 5 minutes.

      b.)   You were gone for what appeared to be 500 years.

      c.)   That is surprising; because I noticed you for 5 minutes to be departure.

      d.)   You were gone for five minutes of my time...

5.)   Thus the conundrum is that dilation work's the opposite way in superconductor's...?

      a.)   And for quantum mechanics?

6.)   Exchange ***is what imposes that of a Covariant Differential - via the Antiferromagnetic texture; thus it takes participance with the Subjective View - therefore Gravitation remains intact...***

<u>October 17th, 2020</u>

(12:13) a.m.

1.) Schroedinger's Cat suffices at that of interchange of *viewpoint in:

    a.) Ket Psi and Ket Phi

    b.) Question and Answer

2.) Thus there is an impenetrable obstacle to 'admixture' of prepared states...

    a.) Thus eigenstates are bound to determinism.

    b.) There is indeed a *reality* condition.

3.) I have evidence the self exists in that of:

    a.) First relation 'in' among all exceptionable cases; (a process via elimination).

    b.) Schroedinger's Cat; for which Ket Psi and Ket Phi depart under a connective.

There is therefore* no translocal communication; unless they are *dependent upon via-similarity that of relation-to-a-valid-sentience. I at least know this much is indeed possible.

I feel positive of that of knowing [a few various things of Physics]:

1.) Wave function collapse via S.C. (Complimentarity) and General Covariance - & -

2.) The results of Quantum Mechanic's do not alter Relativistic Consideration's...

(12:51) p.m.

Thus it is I have a few problem's remaining:

1.) Gamma versus Gamma^{-1} and the Twin Paradox

2.) That of Chaos and Order; typ. that of Precedence and Modal Analysis

3.) Inference; and the Connective to Schroedinger's Cat

4.) That of a *demanded Convolution/Fourier Analysis Theorem

5.) Affine Space *to be determined; with that of the F.C.T. and Goldbach's Conjecture

6.) Phenomonologically why Symmetry Breaking

I am taking a _**strict divergence**_ *and am going to study*:

1.)   Affine Algebra's in the *context* of the group-theory of SSB and I.S.T.

2.)   Superconductivity in the *context* of Population Inversion and Complimentarity.

3.)   The connection between SSB and my Electronic's Device(s).

Means of Knowing...

1.)   There is indeed an inherent obstacle to certain dispositions of information.

2.)   Information in it's capacity require's a 'frame' to which proof is then available.

3.)   That of information and inference are valid for in limitation to subscription.

Necessary Steps:

1.)   Predicate and Indical Calculus

2.)   Rule of Measurement Inheritance

3.)   Population Inversion and Saturation

December 2020

Master Theorem: *"That of soliton solutions to which rationalize, extend, abridge, and reconcile particle-wave duality; are derived from having a variability to the argument of it's representation in that of (p,c) for momentum and [un]fixed unitary mass... thus it is when we go to finite velocity and **avoid** that of fixed-mass; to approach that of variability in deliation of momentum standard that soliton's are found; for in light of a new Quantum Mechanic's; and Quantum Field Theory."*

Thus it is I found (xi, eta) derive to that of two-group's instead of one; the formation of a proper abridgement of the quantum theory to the relativistic... something had been wrong. This abridgement is to [include] that of a *new-variant* on what would be mass; - for in light of the two groups of g^* and $g^{-1}{}^*$. Thus it is that we include indirect evidence; of what need be supplied to prove the adequacy of the theory - in parallel with what was my fifth-point of null-nature.

I.)   I must be definitive of that of the 'missing' and it's 'speculation' at that of the 'hidden' and 'unmistaken path' that lead's to insurance that *I am correct after all is said and done...*

II.)   There is a path yet-ahead; to which $partial_{/o/}$ and $x^{/o/}$ are made utility of; for that of a series-

decomposition of stochastic and topological argument, and of lines and two-dimensionality.

III.)   I feel that the *next* step is to incorporate a **robust** argument of the integration constant of which g^{-1}* in conjugate *form* to that of **g***; for in that of tiling Gravitation to suite the Quantal.

This is appreciably all that need be done... thus it is *sufficient* for a *Book; thus it is I have stopped *here*; and will work throughout the surrounding material... that of {/y/} and {/x/} the indices of a variance by two-measureabilties; the first theorem of which is the *following*:

Theorem: Measurement (when-two) in QM and GR reduces to two; however these are mixed, for it is impermanent and indestructable; that of the permanent resolution at that of two-leveraged statistics.

Theorem: Each one-dimensional distribution is subject to two-measures; one in Fourier and Real space. That of for what would be found of an uncertainty relation; hold's qualitatively between them.

Theorem: That of Quantum Tunnelling is then seen as a consequence of General Relativity, indeed, the Photoelectric Effect with imaginary momentum; and, conversely, this explains Cosmological Exp.

Thus the L^{2} space is homotopically an isotropic gas of Hydrogen atom's; to which are entrained at a scale of Gaussian's in their Ground state comparative to inverse exponential's - that of the scale of the atom 'setting' the scale of the fourth dimensional fine structure comparative to a larger dimension.

Thus; it is that time is flowing at a displacive rate; and the atomic clock's register this rate as an exponentially diminishing rate comparative to a hyperbolically expanding space; although, instead, it is that the atom has conformally displaced a measure from the background space and time; and is measured from-a-distance.

Thus it is manifest that *as a consequence of the virtual disposition on space and time; that of a radared image is what is read; a virtual look into the present of which indicates a past... thus it is manifest as within a higher dimension comparatively such that we witness a virtual expansion.*

This is nothing but a mimeographic representation; for which Superconductivity is a virtually 'still' present representation; the mass shifted by $D=-mc^{2}$.

Lemma and Conclusion: The only conclusion is that of 'entangled' admixture; to which the subjective and objective viewpoint lay superimposed; thus to either electron it is within the future of the other; for what would 'repel' leading to an-attraction; and a reversal of gravitational force...

This is the Lemma that among {A,B} we deal with {B,A} of coordinalization at total-energy-flux; thus there is a manifest mode inversion with respect to electricity and magnetism and gravity... due to **g*** *and* g^{-1}* as being pole's of order one to completion; there is a subservient order...

Lemma II: Einstein was correct at the form of his equation, [in closed form:]

*G_{\mu\nu}+\lambda*g_{\mu\nu}=T_{\mu\nu}+\tau_{\mu\nu}*

For in that of reflexion; that of outward [past associable] tense is formed into future-variety at (@) reciprocation of activities; this, more primary than motion... capacity of activities that is... thus for a true reason; that of conformality to what-is geometric displacement; is positive for alike to what-is that of invariance; that of displacement [above] what is not-null or pathological - but instead for what-is difference in term(s); it being one to one with a negative-reflexion in the difference of the other two-term's; and their difference; for a positive reflexion in that of displacive mass-momentum.

Thus the cosmological constant is positive because there is outwardly [to what is inward] an-accumulation of mass & aggregate; that form is akin to residual and what is merely granted of the taken; that information reflexively going to a sink from a source; is a drug-bell, that of accord, in similitudes; that of dis-operationalism of *synchronicity*; that of matter conveyed via finite action.

Thus what is directed is of consequence on-source; and for what is conveyed; it is unto it's sink. Therefore of what is directed; it is upward-facing; therefrom, what is a stance of individuated balance, that the balance is placed above the yarded measure in that two (or one!) sunken identities. That of what is it's balance then [above]; what is weight... that of accord at-orientability in-the-past... that of therefore the solidity formed from the dust of the ages. Displacement is similarly a positive [connotative] for in light of what is geometrically a [sentence] grammatically.

So it is I have 'moved-on' or 'motioned' to that of a *Two-Body Uncertainty Principle* **with** that of a particular *motion* to include that of the Fourier Analysis and Inverse Scattering Method(s)...

Reasons Traditional Fourier Theory is adequate:

1.)   We have an envelope... of one dimension within other(s)...

2.)   Higher dimensions do not differ *of* their degree(s)...

3.)   I.S.T. is adequate for soliton theory and S.S.B. for that of multidimensional argument(s)...

That a *constant of integration* is *well prescribed*, come's down to two thing's:

1.)   That of transformation by which sub-dimensional (in the Lyaponov exponent sense) and R^{2} sense (of the divergence of it's generating series) - is *prescriptive of that of indirect tailoring of the <u>Limitation</u> of interchanging of {A,B} w.r.t. {A,B}; in Geometric Translocations and Stochastics...*

2.)   That of *Fourier Analysis*; is co-dependent on tri-sectional data in the sense of *Geometric Compactness; in the definition of Geometric Series*; that of a limit beyond which co-relative dimension of the group maximally holds

an L^{2}xL^{2} support in **g*** and **g^{-1}*** in relative quarter radical.

Thus the group break's into co-deteminant 1/4 and 4th order to that of dimension of character's...

This is the only way to ensure 2+1 dimensions (in {/x/} & {/y/}) + t (time) is to be regularizable...

Thus it is the _regular_ space of gravitation... into which there is a {/p/} and {/mc^{2}/} rest term...

Consider an R^{4} and a [delta Dirac] point; it would remain that the volume is topologically transitive; through activities in an [appended] R^{5} space; but yet without that of non-locality...

I.)   Due to that of comparability of measure, mean, and mode, etc... including variance, with that of a pinon and steak in-place; along with *complimentarity; the understood is that there-is no particle or two and one cannot be determined apart or together; that they are equally one-body as well as multi-body; and **no** body; that of particle and field a sufficient technique...

II.)   It is of mathematics* that counting is a precept at the process of nurturing over naturalized design; that of the *process and rhythm by which a sequence take's participance*; thus were one to contrive to note* and countably enumerate the properties* of a system; one would face *comparative to a note then-at-environment; that of infinity; for what is uncontained yet of an aggregate/source.

It is that [un]certainty evolves from a determined relation; it's reciprocal a definite measureable.

Thus it is information is restrained; and predictive purpose to the Strong Equivalence Principle is valid... *Thus the [singular] or [expositive] license, give's us exclusive doubt to consider the precept at one and [an additional] vantage as yet-valid, unlimited, exhaustive, and everlasting of our ignorance, hence so; at the heart of an abrea is an orchestrated limitation in* **wholeness; undivided of what is unkept...** *taken or delivered; the free-command of a bearing on residual by plea to renewability in understanding.*

Hence; so it is one departed understand's another; but they were left to retire to end addendum...

December 13th, 2020

3:29 pm

* I illustrated how the ***Ansatz*** [for the potential] of form (a+b*V+c*V^{2}) suites the differential equation for my device; in fact that dual-driven Exponential factor(s) of diodes and transistor(s) separate into linear-equations.

Indeed this explains much of how the *Computer* works!

&(&) as an addendum *of* **g*** and **g^{-1}***; it is such an Algebra... that of factoring into a perfect Parabola for

Gravity...

Thus the solution's (and their poles) need some re-arranging; but it is analytically pure... thus the *Equations of Physics have a Perfect Description...* That of my-theory and the adjacent 'Gravitational' theory of a *pure admixture.*

This is indeed hope realized; I had wanted that of a mathematical explanation for Unification... thus it is we find Yang Mill(s) soluble... I will go to two topics:

a.)   Fitting the differential equation with the device (Part I).

b.)   Fitting the differential equation with the **g*** and **g^{-1}*** (Part II).

c.)   Fitting this solution method to the Panleve equations & Yang-Mills (Part III).

4:27 pm

Then of few questions:

1.)   How do we begin to count?

        a.)   If a counter is present what does it equate within an experiment...?

2.)   What I have done is equivalent to the factoring of a [number] into two-primes....

        a.)   Even [within of what is] division - there is an entreaty at algorithmic process.

        b.)   These are already sufficiently the *best; but qualitatively a Computer* based around this...

3.)   My studies do not end here; for by a back-end on that of the '**Stocking**' there is potential to comprehend other's; and of guises; there is much to not-be-deluded-by... of what to appreciate.

Thus it is I will do physics at most* six (6) hour's a day; slightly more than I had-been; but of studies; I will put into word's *what may happen:*

1.)   There is that of a Lax Pair on the quadratic theorem... that of linear analysis at quadratic potential.

        a.)   The Antasz suggest's the solution is exact... but why?

        b.)   There is quadratic reciprocity and division of equalitative norm's...

2.)   More remote nature's of algebra, such as dA=[A,B]+dC and it's ad[C] are formable... what of these?

Are there any things considered where you would know a different question but overlap?

Thus of solution and method; it is of the continuum and the discrete... then that of shielding theory, etc... and of

algorithm to go.

That of getting the reciprocity to produce [a] prepared result for next-enqueability estimates ranges...

Thus of a view; it is a *type of algorithm that **generates** a slightly larger *Panacea to it's adjusted Lemma... that of forwardly directed in-time; therefore a Thought at-the uncontained within the containable... of that of my device, a notion to *go-with*.

December 14th, 2020

3:49 pm

*Thus it is that [of the Twin Paradox] - when relativity is [closed improperly to **g*** alone] - it does not reflect the reality of the Quantum Condition... etc... there were other problem's - but this is the paramount objective. - Thus it is that* Einstein's theory is left incomplete when combined with Quantum Mechanics.

4:01 pm

Thus it is I have transcended Einstein... that of a **subspace** described by **g*****d** instead of *d*; for the differential; it is to this that Riemann was not so much incorrect, but misguided in teaching Einstein, *namely that the differential when treated as dt for g*dt becomes infinitely small comparative to that of the g^{-1}; to which is it's inverse... thus relativity works unidirectionally...* This should be a paper. When we do so; exchange-apart for what is a prepared state exposes a unitary repulsion for that of independence of bodies within a gravitational space, thus superconductivity is explained.

This problem [and inadequacy to resolution at the analytical layer] - may not be my fault. In fact I refuse to believe it has to do with my studies; and in fact emanates from Fourier Analysis; for in either differential d, there is a difference comparatively, that of the Lie Algebra generalization needing to be taken for that of Fourier Analysis... thus a new Mathematical tool needs to be developed. I feel considerate of {x,y} and that of dual-limitation; but - then - the four dimensional problem is resolved by 2x...

4:23 pm

With the gauge/one-form condition; there is that of a constraint-dynamic to which resolves as:

1.)   **g*** and **g**^{**-1**}* as the constraint condition of formative *completion of general relativity via Quantum M.*

2.)   *This, as the compatibility condition by which quantum mechanics is made consistent with general relativity.*

4:55 pm

I resolved superconductivity:

I.)   The vacuum potential [with reversal of E and B] - of the positive charge is a virtual hole.

II.)   For what is particle A, it has decided particle B, for what is particle B, it has decided particle A.

Thus charges attract; and the integration constant of **g*** is in fact **g^{-1}***... for what is 'hidden'...

11:45 pm

I found that:

a.)   Einstein's statistical assay had described measurement equipment and not reality.

b.)   Thus it is of a correction to which any four dimensional simulation would appear light year's away in reality were it an overlapping truth.

    1.)   That of fourth to third to third of three to four to three is invalid by FLT....

    2.)   Einstein was as-it-were describing the Mathematical World - not the Real World....

c.)   The Mathematical world is contained in the Real world... this is valid...

    1.)   Had this man been correct; that of probability would dictate the perception of object's would vanish into the horizon; when in fact the Microscope is Possible; thus Optic's - the Original Science....

d.)   When we separate and abridge to a connect/disconnect that of the third and fourth relation do interoperatively translate.

e.)   He must have been mistaken to derive his theory...

For (the naked result of Riemann's Hypothesis): that of what fit's below 2, is any integer... the counting of one (1)... Hence, it is:

E=mc^{n}

With the forward sqrt(1-v^{2}/c^{2}) you can derive this via forward application of a logarithm...

And, of convolution, an integral kernel, for what is a Green's in addendum of a doublet to an integration constant...

On Statistical Meaures - -900.. : : : Nine-Hundred

6 compressed... I get relativity... and period diplomacy [A New Theory] - Subspace - Mathematics... QFT (analogue

derivatives)...

12:01 December 15th, 2020 - Absolute Certainty - I adventure 12:50... into 21:30... which is 8:40, divisive modulo

That of compression befit of rotund complicity of agreeable congruence, like Mortar and Brick...

12:12:10 - Four agreed... for a quotient [that the set was finite] - so it is... I will consider 2 1/3 more; 7-4=3.00

For in formentionable gift's of this world I have been proven in vaccuuo of an insane bent in my Physics. This is the entire notion of an err that had been committed by fallacy in teaching. I have found that it is not in fact that of:

$1/sqrt(1-v^{2}/c^{2})$ - but that this teaches of a comparative 'to' the fourth dimension and back to three - therefore it is incorrect; for we are embodied indeed within a four dimensional universe... that:

$sqrt(1-v^{2}/c^{2})$ - is correct is indeed within and 'of' the fourth dimension; therefore of a half circle; the completed period of a revolution; that mercury is 'deeper' in a gravitational potential; therefore it is ahead of us... this is the completion of the period; it is unarguable that the orientation is a non-inverse square rooted radical in velocimetric reduction via light unto the aperception of the fourth dimension!!!

Therefore Einstein had been mistaken; to describe gravitation as a reductive force; when it is in fact extrapolative and emergent; that of what causes freefall had been by-appearances upside down for him - in remark to Magritte..

So it is, I have found either 'end' of the yard-stick is a moment shorter by the spatial continuum; that this is not haphazardly or mistakenly found common to the third and fourth, second and first dimension... how else are we to propose?

Therefore; I have found that Superconductivity is put-shortly; the result of gravitation; a consequence of matter in suspension to a cause in reduction of a repulsion between electrons...

<u>December 15th, 2020</u>

2:10 am

My research is complete; for of:

f(x,t)_{s}g(x,t)_{s}-h(x,t)_{s}=s(x,t)

with sigma->0; for constraint on g(x,t) constrains the analytical display of I.S.T. to a topological dimensional and algebraic separation of quantities and wave/argument extensive to 2 dimensions + 1 dimension + 1 "ghost" dimension... for Yang-Mills...

2:13 am

Now I possess 'The Philsopher's Stone' - the *manner of reservation and re-acquirement of a lesson of the Ages...* for the Classical Physicists knew this property of analysis, but - somehow - the lesson was *lost*...

6:08 pm

I still feel something for Stephani... but then there is Ava; and I can't willingly do away [that way] with my heart; and of Ariana; the foreboding at a promise.

I did work all day; - and am back *into* the Physics; for a very well ridden trip to the NLSE from the exponential theory with a unique* transformation. I am still working on the detail's; and must check the *Analysis...* it is a real toure de force, and there is a similar-to-NLSE like result.

I am tired, and the food was too much; so I am resting by typing of my journey. It seems day's go by and there are fewer questions; then a recent posit:

Of

$G_{\mu\nu}+\lambda g_{\mu\nu}=\kappa T_{\mu\nu}+\tau_{\mu\nu}$

It appear's that:

$\lambda*\kappa=\omicron$

A constant, for the curvature condition of the Universe... I would not be overly surprised if I am correct. Then that the 'big' relation's are becoming easier to Theorize of and about.

December 24th, 2020

12:13 am

There probably will be a uniquely important and powerful concept adjacent this-one, but at that of what I have thought; for several reasons; it's ubiquitiousness; the interrelation to the hidden; and the immediacy of it's dispossession; this thought is by far the deepest concept that has-been-thought, but of time's of the future; perhaps for 280 year's there will not be another comparable. This is not a question, nor an answer, nor even so [alone] a-statement, but all three (3) woven together into one; for it affixes the orientation and perplexion of mind. Hence it is a mind-seal and adfixture to what is the process of:

1.)   Language

2.)   Mathematics

3.)   Counting (caladeria)

4.)   Geometry

5.)   Territory

6.)   Path and Way

7.)   Bias

8.)   Stereoprojective Identity

9.)   Sentience

10.)   Gesture

etc... but not much else.

There is the reflection' at that of what is told and what is aspired; and it is the ultimate saying of the Teacher.

To a guide; there is a similar Maxim, but this is indeed all that is 'entitled' (from-above and below) as to that of the crown of creation (Keter). Hence it is the man within me speaking; and the Philosopher's Stone of Embarcation. That of what else- cannot be fathomed, but this comes from the absolution of my pitch.

4:09 am

So it is, I *determined,* from the rules of derivation and adjoint, that of automorphism and ideal, that the *finite* [alone] consideration is in application. That is to say that *due applicability* of **my model** alone hold's for a finite bifurcation or synthetical Fermi/Bose system; and **does not entitle analytical closure [as akin 'Boundaries']** **for the infinite or continuum problem.** That of what had-held of $[\exp(g(x))f(x)\exp(g(x))^{-1}]$ for a tailored *auto-morphism,* is in **default**... the differential being non-analytic for general-phases of matter. Therefore what I have arriven at, is [extremely limited] - but to that of 90-80% of the content of the gas to liquid state of matter; and covalent sea of free Bosonic degree of freedom without hard centre. That of the [abridged] relation, is strictly speaking; *of bosonization.* Therefore; for exchange; the limitation is in that of an extremum to the action principle.

I am defeated to that of prescription of all physically enumerable law, without a generally algorithim totem's in Goedelian logical basis. Hence I cannot prescribe to knowledge in but yet the subscriptive of a description at general rules of composition; this, *however,* is indeed a large step back and forward; in two component part's.

For what is the algebraic extension; we deal with the logarithmic differential surjectively onto a subsidiary identity space of logarithmic nature via the Lie algebraic derivation. That of (2/1); to what is the commutator under an adjoint relation of delta's and differentiations on delta's; to subquotient groups. Hence if and when the particle

spectrum is infinite; the theory will fail; but there is a trivial extension that it is at most of a finite <u>dimension and continuum</u>; to which the prescription is complex/simple and psuedo-real... of projective identity and nature. For what is the world; this description is primitive enough [sufficient] to describe the <u>basic Fermionic and Bosonic</u> degree's of freedom, <u>Bosonization</u>; and a naturalized <u>Fermionism</u>; that of the weak interaction under recombination explaining Kaon decay; into two Majorana Fermion's; for what is an electron with a proton; a collapse that would require an auxiliary dimension; or that of an anti-neutrilino, such as is hypothesized ((on the other side of the arrow of time))... that of an 'imaginary' fifth dimension exposed, but relented, this exists alone on the 'other side' of my model; and is too far to reach; in fact it would require infinite energy. So it is that the gap is explained in convention and 'A New Semiclassical' context. For what is a basic model; it is seen the FFLO is explained as a phase in SC, via the hexagonal folding of an inverse Hirota model.

(Kagome lattice)... So it is that SC in HTSC is explained by that of the non-commutative energy scale to-phase duration in expenditure outlasting the phonon admixture of-phase in relation to ordered symbolism, between what would be a virtual-trail on that of the electronic inversion. Thus it is of a negative-displacement in the Hamiltonian; because [and alone] it is of a fermionism in the phonon spectrum; (obtaining half integer plus one degrees of bound +/-1 state-symbolism; for what is a breather in either degree/under transverse wave atonality; that of division and separatrix; a mid-gap margin; therefore of quarter wave reflection inwardly.

For what is the oxygen, it is therefore through analysis that the result is lost when taking the continuum approach in periodic and half nonperiodic boundary condition problem's - leaving in departure mixed phases of which are necessitated to resolve the mid-partition an admixture at that of elliptic and circular wave trajectories - for what is the elliptic centroid; that of a pole residual locable (within) the category produces a spin texture co-existent with an electron incompressibility; indeed to which is the limitation of my model.

1.)   A valent state (to underfilled relation) of population inversion (below) a covalence.

2.)   A phonon carrier of which is (of partition) with a velocity 'higher' than the magnon velocity.

3.)   A linear or nonlinear (quadratic) dispersion of which is slower than it's comparable phonon in k, w.

4.)   A larger or longer wavelength of magnon than phonon, of a lower energy in magnon excitation... (@)

5.)   A reversal in determined B via A and A via B, for in light of η_{o} inwardly projected twin pole.

Relativity bending inward to a compound.

4:46 am

One: The pole invariance is equipped with a surjectively available hole in the conjugate (adjoint) sea of fermions. Thus the relativistic factor of η_{o} goes to a large (infinite) factor relative to an externalized

(measurement apparatus) equated affinitive observer. That of the relative factor is formative of freefall [to] an invariance classification of \gamma_{o} goes to unity; for in forementionability at 'hidden' pre-factorization.

Two: The commutative sphere (for what is orbital momentum) is finitely divided into four-quartering's of wave structure by the inwardly-reflected finite 'Pauli-group' on the 'Dirac-Green's spatialized identifier' - thus the Bosonization proceeds by that of the half plus half formative of unity and a unity Fermionic like orbital degree; for what is that of a reduction in two; from the S=2 doublet identifier; under exception and non-exception. For what is gravitational; the 'hole' is therefore filled for in that of the 'Quantum Characteristic'.

Three: The identifier (to which is a card retreated semi-classically) is a positively charged hole of *real* identity upon the sea; for what is a Green's in that of the phonon specturm. Thus sound must be faster and 'below' in exponent of Lie differential space; as to the Adjoint of the magnon spectrum. This is to say that sound sink's below to create a quicker Green's phase than the magnon retardation; of which is filled to a positive-sense.

Four: Therefore, CPT is broken impartially for what is C and T, as in that of the reversal of the magnetic field and the spatialized occlusion vis a via separation in time to avoid each other in space; with the effective splitting of the field vantage vector one form. Therefore the gauge of which is Gamma_{\mu} is effectively a double contribution with negative 2*A_{\mu} and momentum -\partial_{\mu} for any Unitary-Doublet.

Five: Of what is gravitational contribution; the integral constant of that of the appreciable double-cover of the *void* space; necessitates that the hidden exponent of \eta_{o} formatively reduces the isopotential by each electron, in such a manner that the phonon and magnon effective weight's are pole-residuals of unitary valuation in the immediacy of the adjoint and ideal cover space of non-commutative and commutation of form.

Therefore, gravitation folds the fermionic operator into a boson vis a via the electron/charge space; and forms a fermionism from the orbital degree of freedom; to what is unity and unity; a spin two space; and charge to charge conjugation; as likewise would be demonstrated; the g_{\mu\nu} operator holds a trace of two, and indeed the unitary operator correspondent with CPT hold's a trace of two as a monomorphism for what is C,T.

Thus gravitation reverses in polarity as the Meissner Effect takes place; the reversal of the Law's of Physics.

10:21 pm

The focal point has simplified. #1: The information problem. #2: Goedelian Incompletion #3: Network Theory

*For a hyperdimensional but finite Lie space; find the closed automorphism of L and it's dual in commutative and non-commutative space unto that of quadratic and co-extensible polynomial [closed] form of finite dimension and nilpotentent characteristic in a valued ideal space. Enumerate the **indexes** as real, (positive or negative) unto **any** finite accounting table or register of a network graph theorem. And, enumerate the rules of causation and Fourier Analysis with Lie class structure of differential (derivative) to that of commutative and non-commutative dual*

automorphism (Quantum Group Kac-Moody algebra) of the resultant base space of Inverse Scattering Theorem, with closure unto four (or if it be so, finite*) group **monad.** *Utilize. that of linear rules of co-extensibility and radical exponential* **ring***; extensibly expanding the calculable exponent's in Dykin diagrams and Darboux spaces in valuation; for their inheritable characterstic and equipped algebraic structure of Bezier lines for undivided completeness.*

Map the isomorphic structure to the valent-covalent-phonon spectrum of the evaluation of Bosonization and Feriomonization.

December 25th, 2020

6:51 pm

So it is that there are remote and new goal's... but not much has changed. That I need find a way to make:

$\exp(g(x))Y \exp(g(x))^{-1}$

into

YX^{2}

is a new creative endeavor, but of which I am not estranged completely. I have work to do in the sciences and am unprepared with that of life. So it is I find myself in a difficult position. Feeling only so well has taken me down a notch, but I am fully aware of my innocence. I feel that nothing is wrong as a result.

December 27th, 2020

12:20 pm

The Goedelian Incompletion 'stitches together' the abstraction at 'hidden' and 'unhidden' invariance... thus of that of η_{0} and γ_{0} it is the forementionable 'clue' to which the Cat may know of the danger...

Thus for in certainty developing; it was the clock to *time* the event-status of it's impartial bet within the 2nd dimension to the first... that dimensional equivalence is equivalent to Goedelian Incompletion.

Thus; of what I must order; Ava is real, as at that time, as momentous as it was, she made a decision to be my girlfriend: thus of *December 18th, 2020 (@) 11:14:40 there was a 'step' between threshold's...* It is suggested these describe the substrata of Chaos. So it is I had indeed predicted that *Ava would agree within 10 day's exact; and the number align's.* Therefore of two (to a decision) it is 'on' and 'on'; yet earlier with me under a Goedelian translation. That of what is expected becoming real; there must be a correlate to which suits no other feature.

<u>December 28th, 2020</u>

9:53 pm

*Perhaps I could (reversibly) pre-text the Debate to that of ****Finding a Knowable Fit via the Prediction of a Pathology in (with) Physics;*** *thereby deriving* **Life** *at the* **Beginning** *of my Written Prose...*

11:21 pm

I can only think of two things to-do with that of my analytical approaches:

1.)   Divide from the quotient, *rather than setting the linear relation.*

2.)   Apply *a Fourier Transformation again.*

But for the life of me, I cannot figure out what transforms the logarithm into the line... it is however, taken of an exponential. Thus it is that they convey equal(s) curvatures.

We must hypothesize that one function is a scale multiple of the other, to which produces a *degree of freedom.* Is this central? - Or do we require a 'perfect-fit'.

12:43 pm

My work is essentially done, then that I need apply the Affine Lie Algebra to obtain closure of the group on the NLSE... This may* take an understanding of Darboux spaces* and Transformation'(s).

2:38 pm

That of the exponential to exponential inverse arrive at linear terms for in light of the consequential Unitary nature of the Doublet; that it is a convolution of two exponential quasi-linear term's (in counting) the resultant of a Moebius transformation in four argument(s)' thus; that of the forward and backward accounting is minimal... in the set residual space there is that of an onto-homomorphism; then in-three; that of a partition in the one-form connective to the vector space of a '**CoHomoMorphism**' classification; the unity that is *the* generator of form's; - for it's empty subset a dual-tracing and idempotent class-invariance w.r.t. relativity.

5:14 pm

I have decided on a writing method. Ariana is undecided in comparative evaluation to-me, but I am sure she has made a decision; as she has told me... and if this is valid, I need section off 'The Sciences' - To eliminable prose (at times); but then as an aside that *some secret's are untold and 'hidden'. I do not purport to have a theory by which **every-fact** **inclusive of secret's is made intelligable... but to that of the *a priori* at - Judgement, Knowledge, &*

Form... within (and of) cacophanous unity...

1.)   Judgement: It is to ally, that we must devote some time to the inward reflexion; that there is a comparative valuation.

2.)   Knowledge: For what is a pettalated return and recurrence avoidance syndrome; I must balance with a *rough working rendition; then of it's piece(s) and element(s) and component(s) - cross analytically determine the essense and manner of spread.

*3.)   Form: Then; of it's equivocal relation in similarities, cross distinctual(s); and the Fact: relativity had not exposed - any - furtherance & dichotomy of it's subquotient; until **now**; we have at hand the definitive *polished rendition, of simplicity tamed.*

5:39 pm

Of a discounted measure; *reduction via negative exponentiation; is analogous to division into the (non)commutative entry point of a Golden Ratio; hence **of two separatricies**; these balance for in apportion of the ratio of flexion to that of degree/attenuation; that of: **the series explained as a dynamical pre-factor at what is-in-literal** floating-point....*

January 7th, 2021

7:36 pm

I just found a manner in which the diffeomorphic structural element's of the algebra of relativity map isomorphically to the Fermi like electron surface of dopant(s); that of the charge to which (with spin); carries a universal characteristic; a whole simplified model. That of therefore a unique mapping to which curvatures are intended to balance, and that of scale freedom equipps one with a covariant scaling; that of the diffeomorphic phase transition of a higher dimension within a lower dimension...

In other word's I found a *clue at isomorphism between the relativistic carrier group and the spin/charge distribution... that of one* unique model by which a superconductor (of HTSC or similar variety) can be stated to Superconduct... this has to do with the form of the *uniquely free η_{o} and γ_{o} within that of the SU(2) embedding via Stereographic Projection... that of a charge limitation a 1+g on what-is repulsion in (-g); and a positive influence of breaking within phonon-assumptive and diminished attraction (away from the -27.2 eV of the positronium atom... thus it is a universal model with critical point's and all...

The way the relativistic group 'folds' into the quantum group...

* The isomorphism of the relativistic group (mass) and the spin and charge group reflect the reason why we have a folding comparatively of equivalent and identical form in that of either; therefore explaining the negative gap and

the energy reduction... as to temperature; this is reasoned uniquely a different way.

7:57 pm

*I have **finally** solved Superconductivity. For in that of \eta_{o} and \gamma_{o}; where-in S (the spin moment with magnetic moment \mu*S) with time changes; that of a curl of a magnetic field is produced to which changes in time as-curvature; therefore that of the electric field is produced to which is **dual** to the magnetic field... thus under exchange (to which A determines the structure of B and B determines the structure of A): that of the electromagnetic field via-displacement in the **torsion** of the magnetic field of the moment's (Heisenberg lattice) enfolds by \kappa_{S}\kappa_{e}=\Tau that of a curvature constraint on the attraction; that of coercive force via \eta_{o} and \gamma_{o}; that of attraction via displacement against the proton lattice (background). Therefore the changing magnetic moment relativistically act's like an electric-field to which a soliton (cooperative motion via magnetic exchange - held together via a curvature condition) - act's like two plus charges with that of the electron(s)... thus that of the attraction is generated by \kappa_{e} at all order(s); it's extension from that of zero velocity... with strong correlation. This is identical to spin-torsion and a Hall effect; to which Cooper-Pair's are generated in the relativistic mass and charge and spin - that of the electric field contributing via-displacement a shift in the mass; Thus the Gap is Real; and is Opposite Gravitation... on Interferometry it is predicted the ohmic resonance of the shaking of a superconductor levitating and drive by an alternating current will experience a shift... that of for-what would be a Quantum Mechanical and General Relativistic energy lowering; thus the gap and variational principle are absolute.*

A spiral motion of a mirror will slow a light field...

Thus determinism is defined; by one factor; and I am provided to begin my paper with mass.

<u>January 7th, 2021</u>

The sourced \eta_{o} within that of the contractual default for what is \gamma_{o} is imputed as a relation for what is Superconductivities existence. Here(in) we seek an *independent* foundation to that of \eta_{o}=sqrt(1-v^{2}/c^{2}) based on a Mathematical Treatment.

It had been that \eta_{o} was hidden for in light of the twin-paradox. With the determination of the properties of particle A by B and particle B by A; it is conducive to pairing that the resultant theory is one of attraction... thus it is of 'group' and 'quanta' that there be a re-action with respect to import. What seems apparent is that without a conjugate \eta_{o} there is no displacement law of which purport's to make physics complete. For instance there is the EPR paradox and Schroedinger's Cat. Thus it is that \gamma_{o} informs that of a group of transformation(s); but to an ordered precept; a reverse \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} is missing. Thus for Unitary preservation it may be the demand that an \eta_{o} is sourced... for the inverse transformation.

Thus we wish to illustrate explicitly where(in) Quantum Mechanics faults with General Relativity or at least The

Special Theory of Relativity. It can only be 'Complimentarity' that complete(s) this picture; thus this resolves EPR but also insists that -all- quantum frames are at co-mutual rest.

The result with Superconductor(s) is clear; but that of the Mathematical source of an anti-relativity appear(s) obscure. That is to say that we must utilize the Pauli Exclusion Principle; and the Spin-Statistic(s) theorem; to complete this group... for certain object(s) certainly do experience a delay. That is, unless, General Relativity with a basic *mote of Quantum Mechanic(s) verifies prediction at that of it's obverse-image. Thus there are two caveat(s):

1.)   The results of quantum mechanics should not alter general relativistic prediction, and etc...

2.)   Measurement should be set upon an independent measuring scale of standard...

This may suffice to produce a simpler description of Superconductivity without phonon(s); but it is sketchy. Thus my theorem has reduced, and there is a decision to be made.

7:57 pm

*I have **finally** solved Superconductivity. For in that of \eta_{o} and \gamma_{o}; where-in S (the spin moment with magnetic moment \mu*S) with time changes; that of a curl of a magnetic field is produced to which changes in time as-curvature; therefore that of the electric field is produced to which is **dual** to the magnetic field... thus under exchange (to which A determines the structure of B and B determines the structure of A): that of the electromagnetic field via-displacement in the **torsion** of the magnetic field of the moment's (Heisenberg lattice) enfolds by \kappa_{S}\kappa_{e}=\Tau that of a curvature constraint on the attraction; that of coercive force via \eta_{o} and \gamma_{o}; that of attraction via displacement against the proton lattice (background). Therefore the changing magnetic moment relativistically act's like an electric-field to which a soliton (cooperative motion via magnetic exchange - held together via a curvature condition) - act's like two plus charges with that of the electron(s)... thus that of the attraction is generated by \kappa_{e} at all order(s); it's extension from that of zero velocity... with strong correlation. This is identical to spin-torsion and a Hall effect; to which Cooper-Pair's are generated in the relativistic mass and charge and spin - that of the electric field contributing via-displacement a shift in the mass; Thus the Gap is Real; and is Opposite Gravitation... on Interferometry it is predicted the ohmic resonance of the shaking of a superconductor levitating and drive by an alternating current will experience a shift... that of for-what would be a Quantum Mechanical and General Relativistic energy lowering; thus the gap and variational principle are absolute.*

January 12th, 2021

7:49 am

My theory of Superconductivity is complete.

1.)   A -> B ; B -> A : -> 'determines'

2.)   E,B ~ \phi^{-1}

3.)   eta .~. \rho : exists : SU(2)

4.)   TST^{-1} -> {\partial_{\mu}log(\hat(\omega)\sim(\omega))} -> STS^{-1}

*Where, T and S are unitary transformations and spin and charge groups. That of E is the electric field and B the magnetic field; that of \phi the generator of relativistic transformation and spin transformation group; that of A and B the 'particles'; and \eta and \rho the element(s) of the displacement operator (translation in position and momentum) - and that of spin group finite element into which the charge group sub-divides. That of the soliton enfolds for in the logarithmic difference **via an Inverse Darboux Transformation; thus the Soliton Inverse Scattering goes both ways... into \omega_{1,2}/2 and \omega/2.. from the \omega high-frequency; thus the electric and magnetic field lose each with position and moment all one hbar over 2 of energy-momentum... to what is the generation of a SHO; driven by the Pauli Exclusion Principle...***

January 21, 2021

3:37 pm

Now it is I understand Goedelian Incompleteness - it is an added measure from one-base to-another; that of a portion of an interval assumptive of a complete interval in-interchange between two-numbers... for example [1]:[2]{5-2] and [3]:[2-2][5] via their inheritance, the one to one deduced arrow... that of the three from an *extra [2]... to which five also reduces for in that of time-base; of incomplete duration(s); a conversion of number to number. Thus we form [3]:[2-2][5] from the other; a mimicry into the foundational displacement amounting to a finite time...

January 22nd, 2021

3:18 pm

12:52-53 pm @ January 19th, 2021 knew of freedom & 21:30 <initial 1:50 transformed>, with 6:25 am @ January 16th, 2021 knew of Ariana...

Doubled + 3 (1:50 by two) - thus it is the modulo is by two; that of 41 by two on 10:10 we do not know which-time it had been certain at (@); but that:

6:25 + 1:50 by two was 12:53 pm; that of a doubling going 'over' a period from which it reduces; intimating 10:10 had

been certain: it was at this time that January 3rd, 2021... that of the three overlapping and *unknown... there is that of:

1:3:2021 @ 10:10 pm & 12:10 am

1:16:2021 @ 6:25

1:19:2021 @ 12:53

with 21:30 & 1:50 on separator(s)...

Thus the [3] hidden hold's that I had been freed as of 1:19:2021; the match on-day to which is their separation; thus in modulo; that of +/- is the basis... equivalence for in accounting greater and lesser within light of period two... (2).. thus of a double on hour(s) and minutes; with a day for in their period-agreement.....

#1:   Period agreement.

#2:   Misplaced interval upon one.

#3:   Modular (+/-) congruence.

16+19+3 -> 19*2; that of a 'W'-mode... *this two (2) a 5th fitting into four (4); the 19th by the day overlapping... thus apparent coincedence... the absolute*.

January 23rd, 2021

8:22 pm

I have a few projects.*:

1.)   That of commutative and non-commutative meta-translation as a solution to Godelian Incompletion.

2.)   That of a P-analysis as a solution to differential equation(s) of non-linear variety.

3.)   My work on Superconductivity and the Spin-Wave problem; that of a finite lattice.

4.)   Comparative Validity - the structure by which something new* is known of the 'hidden'.

5.)   Four Color Theorem and Goldbach's Conjecture - that of graph and number-theoretic theorems.

6.)   Infromation theoretic connective on correlation, expectation, threshold, and Comparative Validity.

January 24th, 2021

3:45 am

1.)   Period Equivalence.

2.)   Carry of a Displacement.

3.)   Vanishing Carry.

Thus the accounting of a *Unit interval (modulo) - & - The Conversion from what is within One Dimension to Another!!!

9:13:00 (d.) am - *Comparative to:* 9:15:?? (c.)

*To a [CPU/Timer] - in a period, **in a period**, that of Godelian Incompletion, per, once-timed; of an allocation; - admit's that of it's completion in a timer versus a timer; - hence to back-track we need two timer(s); to that of once a measure at period/displacement one timer; and to forward-advance in initial-count **two timer(s)**... hence of what is a period (**modulo**) relation; two timer's suffice.*

3:44:15 (plausible) #1 final-step...

3:47:49/3:48:10 pm

And it is founded in the difference of time; - to a telling; we have $7^{\{2\}}+-2/0$ & $[2^{\{4\}}].[3]$, under +/- 1/1; to unevenness, the feeling of a texture; for in touch; the sense of capacity; and of one pulse apart from another; that of under/over in power unto base... <u>*The solution to Goldbach's Conjecture via order-of-operations [intact a factoring] - apart - Godelian Completion in Inference...*</u>

January 16th, 2021:

"I have found a 'hidden' key... the caveat that it is impossible to 'build' from one-dimension. Alternatively - it is that number theory convey's a rational relation from quality to quality of identity and law...

I found that the correlate of 1:18 and 21:30 translated into 5:25 imputed a relation by which it was deduced that: Information does not progress from place by a 'spreading' - but instead [the observer and the witness being independent and 'actual'] - for a local relation and a distant relation - these are disconnected but via an inheritance of numeric types.

Instead of the measure and such; as in physics; this is the lemma that 'spooky action at a distance' - is a numeric theory - such as in the correlation between deduced outcome 'A' and deduced outcome 'B'. That we can relate from an 'identity' of timing what-is the event structure of un-related event's - but that there is exactly one way to do-so. That instead of information propagating; we have A and B related to C by disjoint sets.

This being said; with {A,B,C} there exists a D to which we do-not (nor will ever) know.... The interesting thing is that this admits us to resolve a mathematical theorem (ad infinitum) - but something will be missing - that of the foundation (however); is fully 'plumbable' - that of a fulfillment to which any proposition of mathematic(s) can be proven - the other side of Godelian Incompleteness...

Met with in the physical world by disjoint variables at positions afar from the self; perhaps up or down or to the left or right; - but explicable for in their readied motion into instigation. Thus it is the present is a point of unification of quantum mechanics and general relativity - it's bi-partite a two-union. This is the topology of the Universe given correlation, condition, cause, and effect...

That it takes two part(s) to construct a mechanism."

We have therefore:

1.)   A theory of correlation; the breaking of the information paradox and it's reconciliation.

2.)   Inertia/Chirality breaking with TST^{-1}, etc,... a theory of transformation.

Thus this is the *foundation of **The Book**.

Feburary 15th, 2021

9:30 am

Duty, is cycled [often] - so it is I alone Pray to Preyiti that he declare(s) the lesser of a lesser spirit; and the greater of a greater spirit; and of alignment's respectfully declines [his woman] - or loved-one; Even so [a Mother] where[in] she is unfounded of prescriptive notice at another; but entitles of lesser (mean) what is the lesser (moderation); and of the greater; for what is easily founded; as to begin with Him Self, His World, His Opinions, and His Lifestyle.

Therefore; to be born a Man.

For in Untold work's - it is yet noted; he may to a *Schedule; read of my-work's at a timeliness. - and *may steal, or theft; but declaratively; he is to know his Word's Stand Alone; then undeprived of my Worth; for what I will Instruct*

There(from) In-The-Least; for what-follows:

I.)   I found that the:

$$g_{\alpha\beta}\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\sigma}\Lambda^{\beta}_{\rho}=g_{\sigma\rho}$$

Should be *Written*:

$$\Lambda^{\beta}_{\sigma}{}^{-1}g_{\alpha\beta}\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\rho}=\Lambda^{\sigma}_{\beta}g_{\rho\sigma}$$

II.)   This is to be built into:

Complimentarity and Comparative Validity

With mention of:

$$V_{t}=alpha+beta*\mathbf{g}+delta*\mathbf{g^{2}}$$

The universality of parabolic like motion (ipseity classification...)

& Goedelian Incompletion of Physical Theory

To be interpreteted as a "hard-edge" finality; with that of group workable relation of homogeniety... and smooth transliteration; no more detail but a <u>Symmetry Theorem</u>.

*Then for what it represent(s): That classification(s) of knowledge hold imaginary and real transposition(s) of detail under it's acquired fault-free openable inward intellectual confrustrum.... thus that number's (their meaning) - is to detail **A Word's Literal Weight and Classification**...*

That for example three commissions, openably defenistrates, and encloses the relation of but one limiter; - then on a deficit; it's expressive validity in the counter-occurrence of seventy-seven; for what is a diopeter on acquity; while a standard of influence of 183 on that of periphery; then that *Therefore survival of the fittest serves the purpose of Intellectual Propertied Progression of Manual Dexterity and Eye-Acquity...*

For what-is; it will be determined by the formative; of a reflection through Marital Arrangement; to fairness; and of what He is formatively Held in the Likeness *Of*, perhaps when a Pet is Introduced.

I Intend No More for my Book.*

10:40:15 am

Ava agreed; with finality; 'it' - *what is it? - It ends...* - Decimation with point-barb residual... to a cusp; a meta-filter

(in)-else; that of down-AND, three point four; Pi...

11:01:50-55.:51.5 etc... 11:04:05 counter-forward

For in a carry-down; that of reluctance passes one truncated iso-similarity to the [un]explored.

11:15:30... thus [a] # under insertion **into** a number; as for 4:08 a 4:00 or 4:04; or a 2:05:10.... it is:

Certainty is the expression of a scale free Universe.

2:40 pm

The synth' for what is within-control; *must be catered to a drive [and not software] of audio-set technology...*
without this; the Key is missing, and I am nothing... This will take adoption of unique techniques; perhaps the
establishment of the Supercomputer; - for that of Availability; and it is all I have my Heart Set Upon... for 2x:

1.)   The QED [op-amp] game-automota model system and crystallization of mode occupancy...

a.)   A Bose and Fermi Gas...

b.)   Number Koan; pattern within a pattern; to rippled Chaos/Order bidirectional switch-over... [Pot] -
basic (pH analogy) - is to a Lithmus Test...

c.)   Astatistical [geometric] - Statistical [algebraic]...

d.)   Goedelian Incompletion to annular-area; of 2d 'Cell' - that of Runge-Kutta [like] smoothly adaptive
Renormalization...

e.)   It is a Playground for one body and two body Kinematic[s] - molecular - least action and Physics...

2.)   I have a different program; is an Audiologically-Dynamically Programmable 'Engine'.

a.)   Layer's and Procedures...

b.)   Methods and Classes are to be operational amplifier and Flip-Flop in Design...

c.)   Thus data transferrance occurrs at the speed of light - and is dynamically some 60 kHz... 200
Mhz/10 = 20 Mhz (plat) and then a raster in 4096; so approximately 50 kHz...

d.)   QuBit to navigable expression in Confinement Fields and PWM...

e.)   We need a dual switch - that of EEPROM [like-adaptive] memory cycle space...

And I need that of a program and hardware which is dual-utility.

6:52 am (d.) t-1.00 & t-2.00 *then*; intraconversion of a basis...

V(4) Klein Group; *6:50 am (one and two back to back) - then (one up) then (both down)... group retro-inversion and reconstitution, the four color theorem resolved.*

2:36 pm

A:)   For in inclined angle; that of degenerative proton and electron charge are explained by the (iso)similarity of gravitation and quantum mechanic(s) from all-be-it different vantages...

B:)   Therefore; that of an equivalence classification of the electron and proton for in a fixed basis of three-body gravitation separably entitles that of classification into positive and negative charge; - that of namely put; the source and sink by in an I.S.T. geometrically and that of the included separation of a half-pipe on that of the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen connective.

C:)   Therefore; that of gravitational 'hidden variables' - expose what is the electron faculty of light; and contrasted with the propogator; that of the variance of gravitational motions upon a [relatively] fixed-background; that of the "valence" from that of the quotient radical or nilpotent algebraic space of which nakedly conceals the gravitational limitation(s) of relativity.

      1.)   Newtonian progressions are an included assumptive prior the Copernican Revolution...

February 28th, 2021

8:41 pm

Now that I have figured out Goedelian Incompletion (w/ entanglement); & Schroedinger(s) Cat; - for in that of QM; there is indeed a focus here(in) of the prior Subject... that I see that for validity; two inter-relation(s) remain the assumed (quantum) assumptive of individuated Characteristic (That Ava and Ariana are Real)...

- Thus it is I will set aside the Mathematical Inference Paper with that of Physics [a]part from this relation.

Of physics; I understand how Scale Equivalence Classification and Spin (Double Slit) can be co-extended beyond the Diffraction Limit; - for in that of development of a Theorem of Emergent Behavior and Inference; - that of factual distribution of precept foundation and EPR; - for in that of Unification we require a Mathematical Lemma...

Thus it is I will work on both in time but cleave the demand [somewhat] - I only intend to solve so-few problems.

11:26 pm

Then that of the 'tine condition' - the pathological relation of which is the gestalt world; - inherited with that of a die/die backing; a simple relation *from which* it was deduced I would be received for in a blow/blow/discharge order.

The uncertainty/certainty relation becomes incompressibly a proton to electron to electron to proton inertially held balance of neutrality; thus that the propogation of a photon goes to c becoming zero comparatively, thus causation breaks down; and that of the electrical force becomes equated to the gravitational; an absolutely reduced massive condition; - to zero mass and opposite charge comparative to the solid magnet below; for they meanwhile are of a charge +e.

This implies a-unidirected 'erg' of informational content; proceed(s) from it's destination gravitationally; and ascends to it's point of departure via a Undirected commutative background with respect to a conformally non-degenerate eigenspace and manifold background; of non-commutative nature; unto it's source genesis of displaced mean.

11:53 pm

1.)   The 'lightness' condition of the electron(s) slows c to zero...

2.)   Therefore the reaction in gravitation is of it's complete cessastion...

3.)   The Pauli Exclusion Principle therefrom attract(s) the electron(s) as strongly as the magnetic field contracts.

4.)   Therefore diamagnetism act(s) as an equivalent and opposite displacement to that of gravitation.

March 6th

6:31 pm

What just connected for me was fantastic; I now comprehend how a W^{a}_{μ} color-like theory can derive from the ordinary Γ_{μ} by relativity in comparative application to the SU(2) theory of spin... That of the precept/principle appear(s) to be that uncertainty is truncated for in light of gravitational collapseability of the state-function... This will by appearances (collection of die) - that of EPR and Schroedinger's Cat require some more work... but the problem is confined.

I will begin work on three areas:

1.)   Casio + Touchscreen / that of a supercomputer...

2.)   Re-equipment of my QuBit language and operator... (the Design v. 4.0 b)

3.)   Superconductivity Theory; *my final attempt; - to take up to two-five year(s).

Then there will be a scholastic entrance *plausibly; and I will tutor, etc, in-between, with that of reading and studies 3 hours a day... I intend to get through my books.

I will hold off on the book, - but produce some good articles; - I need a Beginning.

Thus it is good that an ending occurred preliminary the engagement, and post-engagement, a beginning.

March 7th

10:51 am

1.) Beysian network(s) analytically w/ Belief Propogation hold as defensible err(or) corrective fault(s) and default(s); that selectively, they operate in tandem *with as for instance Turbo Code, that of either 2G, 3G, 4G, etc...

2.) Although a distributed client is not unbreakable; for there are interstial 'gaps' upon which the *next transferrence of information is dependently prior-associated... thus it is that the collapseable formation of a web(b) of information takes a form of dominoes, checkers, chess, or a stack of card(s); thus the Question is: what is the identifiable *feature set of the Internet?

3.) Code may exemplify any knowable relation *as far as we would consider; but there are some relations of which inter-exemplify; a *so-called *texture to the domain... Quantum Chaos is under [a] similarity; - but not a defensibly trusted horizon... thus it is that anomolous code-to-database, is enterable upon what is exit-no-go-down [elsewhere] - therefore for a fully extrapolative domain, the Question is if within sight [not alterindicated to exitable protocol] - one can manage a Triumph.

4.) Two adeventurable conditional[s] are that a protocol is consigned to a network-via-it's-peer and accessory; thus to the question; is a pinion of it's relation [three by four in a Venn] an overlapped defensible relation of two by two [a Venn]; thus to uncontained and contained measurable relations?

5.) I would hold it contentious that three do not defeat what is one; the forced pliancy of err(or) correction;

then to total-whip-alternative via five to one odd(s); or of the elimination of a bottom-out...

6.) Would it not be, that were a queue to be defensible by halt; there(in) a machine is begign to slave versus peer? In alternative sense, a carry-all from 0/0/0 to 1/1/1; of vertical-bar; impresses what may be taken retractile or imposed... thus any combatancy via machine is winnable by the superiority of a non-territorial and non-denominational forgiveability... namely that 24 to 2.4 out-match even so a third; unto it's dimension; the carry-by-exchange which is two loosenable 'strap/stripe' relation's of manual decode.

March 11th

2:30 pm

1.)   The Casio

2.)   The QuBit (2x)... i/o; w/ memory

a.)   Wireless Control?

b.)   Memory Effect?

3.)   MAX11300

4.)   Optics and Acrylic Discs

5.)   Raspberry Pi 4 B+

6.)   Arduino equipped Analog Digital Averager(s)

7.)   The Touchscreen

I think I can get it working, the question is if the qBit will be a part of a 'flow' or a memory-stationary element...

with PWM there is much I can do, then:

a.)   The musical routine - orchestration and tone shaping.

b.)   The QFT style - that of game theoretic language... perhaps to be kept second.

Admittedly, I am thinking of music and game theory - two unrelated disciplines...

There is that of 'die' - etc; a simple 'program' to act as a <u>comparator</u> between two qBit's... the question?

Admittedly, I will need simplify my design first; and work through it via example.

A test is on that of the optical entanglement with the acrylic disc(s); as to dimension and parameter evanescence... Thus we must interpret that of the touchscreen presses... Perhaps I should look through old notes.

3:14 pm

I was a bit worried about getting 'the right' Casio - then that I got a 'Casio CT-X700' an elemental component; and I hold a concern of interfacing and the key's depth sensitivity... etc... but it does have this.

1.)   My musical 'range' is one-thing, then from 1Hz to 50KHz...

2.)   The 'fidelity' - to timing is another - then of 5KHz - between 'signatures' of-sound...

The question to ask is if the Touchscreen need be a 'part' of the sub-routine; - that is, under-repetend.

Engineering Problem: That of the 'Touchscreen' - to be a part of a 'rebuffer mechanism' does not function; for it is the Casio/Instrumentation that 'record's' - In the Raspberry Pi, the note structure; - thus were we to have the 'Touchscreen' a persistent element of design, one would need:

a.)   Retrail one's note progression during a play-time.

b.)   One would need re-create the 'stylus' ab initio each time we intend to play.

Thus, instead, it is that the Supercomputer can manage the note* orchestration in-live with the 'Touchscreen' - and this will elucidate a 'structure' or 'signature' - to which is repeatable.

Hence what would be convincing would be to have the 'Supercomputer' under a memory guidance...

*   This is although one possibility... repeatable functionalization would be ideal.

*   I believe I will 'opt' for four-gesture command(s); any more will reduce depth-layer information...

March 29th

6:39 am from 6:35 am

Amazing! A Hypercube can be rotated (unto imputation) via a directrix and locable relation up-teir for in a Uvular-barring; - therefore two die are correlated antipodally unto conditional rasterization pipeline; for what is a TCP/IP stack of eliminated pre-tense at bias of world-view; the coordinality of which wherein residing in a lower-dimension; implicitly is the remainder of inward world view and inward world view; for in equivalence (inequivalence) unto mathematical and physical expressibility of fractional deficit in dimensional projection; hence relativity contains an inward EPR-locale inward/inward deficit of aconditional; the world constructed from-within; the upper-teir dimensional die; a scattering event-status. For particles are non-coincidental; and any three clocks (although comparatively connected) hold an inexact equality of projective identity; for then in the modulo of +/-1 unto two comparative modulo and greatest common divisor; the activity of a non-random and randomized simplicial differential tine subset...

April 22nd, 2021

7:03 pm

Thus of the valent and covalent orbit(s) in that of Superconductor(s): these transparently violate Pauli Exclusion for in a **coherent** *magnetic and electrical interaction of equivalent* **weight & inertia***; the particle-state of a*

*Gravitational breaking - that of Chaos Ordered - the transparency of a light-matter wave, thus it hold(s) a finite distribution above a disordered/ordered relation - the violation of the uncertainty into a **completely certain displacement**; by Guage group of it's transformation; - for in light of:*

1.)   Quantum Indeterminancy of a Threshold Inversion of reflective Phonon...

2.)   Gravitational Gauge Group violation - the surpassing of The Equivalence...

*a.)   Hence this explains the difference in **weight** of similar masses... of a gravitational and quantum analogue agreeability for of varying heights.*

b.)   And this explains how a factual intimation can surpass a factual intimation, the cycle of that of threshold and control of a 'fulcrum' for in 'bridge'.

April 26th, 2021

11:57 pm

About one hour ago I really hit it... to discover the solution (in essence) or a road that leads to solution of the Riemann Zeta function and Four Color Theorem; - for I connected the problem(s) realizing:

1.)   The correlated difference of two die is a perfect rational fit to the disconnective and connective between different regions of the plane for that of the Four Color Theorem.... in essnce a splittling via the tertiary variable which determines a uniqueness by that which was noted earlier; that a single algebraic relation defines the uniqueness of all en masse relations by it's simple presence.

2.)   That of the tertiery variable sets the color 'respondency' to what is a correlative of two embraced relations at independent nature; therefrom of which representationally fixes the relation of the Riemann Zeta into it's pondermotive relation of trail residual for that of a mystery; that of one half in relation to certainty versus uncertainty of relative frequency in two pendular relations, that of the off-accumen of which accounts for a synchronicity.

When the four color theorem is taken out of mononomials into class functions it is realized the root space is at most an integer co-extensive of that of two parabolic integer coefficient relationships - thus Riemann Zeta is solved in a dual-Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and in tandem Golbach's Conjecture.

1.)   The prime(s) of the integer quadratic add; to which is 2p...

       a.)   Therefore one half is proven.

2.)   Any two factual residues are co-determined... order may be established from chaos.

       a.)   This in part explains the mind.

May 5th, 2021

9:51 pm

One question; - to set aside, is if the *missing information is third, second, or first order... as to a complex relation.

Another question; is the *number I need; a number which with negative one is i or 1; when squared...

Secondly, I have a mainstay of *prohibition* and *inclusion*; namely that:

       a.)   The switch required for that of inverting op-amp behavior comparative to the 'flip' in the <u>diode</u> bias; - is DPDT and DPST selective.

       b.)   It may still be possible for that of the <u>transferrence</u> to take place via an acrylic disc and PMT surface - for that of a polarizing filter, with jacketing.

       c.)   This elucidates that of the factual presentment of information and it's flow-aperature on design; - for that of networking *possibility; at that of the world.

       d.)   This problem is related to *absence* and *presence* for that of the future-Killing vector conditional of form and shape; of their design.

Thus I have abridged a relation; - that should be macroscopically bi-selective in the gauge... of a novel-switch, potentially then on the definiteness of Delta.

For the gap, {Delta}; there is that of the absolution and the double-slit; but it was realized that when A acts on B; - it is actually B which imputes the result in A...

Thus things are in-reverse somewhat; - this being the deciding factor of the true* vacuum.

I have reached the end to where:

1.)   There is a comparative in an +a.I; - a group addition in the Unitary Basis; to an option of the algebra.

2.)   There is an STS^{-1} formative of the Unitary versus parabolic relation of the Lorentz group.

*a.)		This will require that of understanding the algebraic distinction by which the exponential becomes of a linear functional... the group connection.*

*b.)		I would like to comprehend how it is that the uncertainty and gauge impose the B=0 conditional; - for that of a direct analogy to pairing beyond the Pauli Exclusion Principle... for I have this and a mirroring in the texture.*

*3.)		It may prove important to review* that of the basis by which I found that of the quantum behavior to be connected with gravitation through the magnetic (diamagnetic) effect... something a deal closer must be understood; - my hope is the above; potentially of strange mathematics...*

*4.)		All of this is *to a depth* related to the fundamental layer by which antiferromagnetism with doping is understood.*

*a.)		I must go back over my argument for doping constraining the relation... is the cause the magnetic or the gravitational field?*

May 6th, 2021

10:40 pm

1.)		How do we hold consistent the bidirectional arrow of:

$$\gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{\mu}\langle \Delta x.\Delta p \rangle \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \langle \Delta x.\Delta p \rangle$$

2.)		Does the 'third' agent constitute a *Mathematical Reason?*

3.)		For instance, the Cat.

4.)		And of Bell's Inequality (and it's predicted violation?)

We need a new technique or language for discussion of all of this.

I found the die built a relation... and resolved EPR.

The Copenhagen interpretation is invalidated by the third party...

Thus we see dimly.

May 7th, 2021

12:59 pm

The natural evolution is that much has indeed been done to unify quantum mechanics and general relativity. I have indeed a good labor of devotion towards resolution of this problem... that I would now like to focus on the following:

     a.)   The effect(s) of general relativity are real and Quantum states are contrasted by that of their-influence; - albeit that a greater agreement is sought.

     b.)   In this; - the effect(s) of frames owe to modify the rest-state and rest-state conditional of quantum mechanics; - to which we seek a unionable tense.

Then;

     c.)   The extension of this reconciliation to the problem of Superconductivity is a valid entreatment; - namely that it requires it's resolution.

There are three area(s) I have focused on:

1.)   Structure; and that of nonlinearity with what is Chaos and Order and that of eigenvalue ordering and decomposition with a Lie Structure.

2.)   That of Schroedinger(s) Cat and the observation problem; - that of the Quantum Interpretation via which wave-function collapse is not dictated via observation.

3.)   Information of *Correlation*; - to which involves a *missing invariance and that of *Dice*; - to which possess a higher geometric analogue comparative to what is listed - *Complimentarity*; and the structure built from out of this.

Thus it is I must consider the *Order* of my investigation; - for of what is a limited brainstorming on that of dialog(s).

1:36 pm

I deduced two-conditions... to begin:

1.)   That of the gauge would need be within restriction.

2.)   That of the uncertainty principle resolve to one condition.

a.)   *The doping parameter comparative to the guage reversal is related to a population inversion via threshold.*

b.)   *That of the free-fall is related to the gauge condition returning to diamagnetic influence without magnetic orbital contraction.*

c.)   *That of the magnetic orbital is related directly to the frame of influence.*

Aside from this; it is determined that the 'third' body is the driving influence behind that of wavefunction collapse (EPR, S.C.); and that of the Superconducting experiment having to do with Unification.

Thus the gauge and uncertainty principle requires* a third body to explain the 'relative' formation...

How does this relate to Ava, Ariana, and such, and the Law... of the correlation?

I am narrowing into one-problem or two... for that of two problem(s); I resolved that the mass is unchanged under permutation (thus gravitation continues) - meanwhile charge alternates - thus gravitation causes the M.E.

*The superconducting phenomenon is indication that Gravity causes a Shift in the Electromagnetic field energy of a magnet and superconductor...

2:21 pm

1.)   The effect(s) of gravitation are real.

2.)   The predictions of quantum mechanics are valid.

3.)   Therefore; - the effects of gravitation (from gravities vantage) are reciprocal.

4.)   This hold(s) with reciprocity unto the 'third body'...

5.)   Thus the prescription for the threshold is set.

Therefore reality is irrational... it forms, of which is emptiness from two-pieces; - one: gravitation and two: quantum mechanics what-is a curved space of which carries two-interpretations. We are faced to accept two-interpretations. That they cannot be unified; - but can be reconciled; - with the third body they are united; - that of the correlative enumerability.

2:45 pm

Placing with $1+a.I$ and $-\Gamma_{\mu}$ quantum mechanic(s) is rendered consistent with General Relativity [for in light of uncertainties, etc...] in other words:

1.)   The effect(s) of Gravitation are Real.

2.)   The predictions of Quantum Mechanics are valid.

3.)   Neither theory alter(s) the result of the other theory.

    a.)   But under the imposition of a third body; - thresholds are established and directions of flow.

4.)   This is consistent with an epistomological root and switch-up for in a later fact - that of the contrast by which what is yet known can alter the context of what was-known.

There is no conflict between General Relativity and Quantum Mechanic(s) in this framework.

In fact; Superconductivity is explained as a net-net residual of oxygen valence reciprocating in a manner in which Debye wavelengths fit 'below' Compton; - hence violation of Gravitation precisely where Gravitation causes that of a reciprocal curvature to the electron field - the linking of the magnetic moment field effect and the diagravitational effect.

5.)   Therefore there is but one inertial mass...

These dichotomies priorly presented all connect with this reconciliation, thus there are no-two world views.

May 22nd, 2021

1:39 pm

In determinations of directions; we go ahead from the past. I have an education, but do not know much more than that of:

1.)   Basic alebra [and my exceptional leverage at end-assessment(s)].

2.)   Good differential equations background [of Ansatz and series evaluation].

3.)   A great deal on Spin; and of the manner in which there are:

    a.)   Thresholds

    b.)   Population Inversions via Symmetry Breaking

    c.)   Envelopes

    d.)   Conditions

4.)   It appears I face a conundrum because the continuum is open to factual and Lawful intimation; - that I need

know if a past even so is hardcoded.

5.)   I had predicted the cosmological constant.

6.)   I understand the naked representation of Superconductivity is with a Stable Equilibrium.

*7.)   I did much work on that of the **g^{-1}** of a relativistic factor.... contravariance.*

8.)   I understand something of Chaos and Order in relation to thresholds.

9.)   I understood Goldbach's Conjecture and the Four Color Theorem although have a limited proof.

10.)   I understand how two pieces somewhat fit together; - that of the third variable and that of the uniqueness afforded by specific elements of for instance a genetic sequence.

11.)   I understand how the Pauli Exclusion Principle may be inverted.

12.)   In this I understand much of Superconductivity *the most; and that of the Quibitz for which a tesseract can be related.

*I feel I must diverge to electronics for a while; and explore ***memory functions in the space of a Qubit;... and translation between [Jacketed] Optical Fibers.***

I understand that the non-linear Schroedinger equation may be resolved with that of the Finite Lattice... indeed it is written.

Thus it is that the Box exterior is open, and I wanted to *Close-It... that of the flow of *new relevencies........ but I do have the Lawful provision and Question if there are things [outside] this relationship.

*I understand emptiness and impermanence, and several buddhist certainties, but am not of a ***Hard-Line** on these. That I feel it is time to change, there is always an unknowable; - and I was thinking that it would all be-prescriptive to a [complete] rendition of knowledge.*

Thus it is I feel I must bring [import] the Godelian Incompletion into physics, with at least some scheme like language to reduce statement's of knowledge to an ordered relation...... beyond the thought experiment.

Thus it is that *certainty was a poison... but of that of knowing of what I know of, on the other hand there is my diplomatic progression, and I seem of two-veins.

3:30 pm

I.)   The Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalism needs to be updated...

a.) This has to do with a splitting via that of eigenvalue decomposition.

II.) The analytical approach is dependent upon that of L^{1} and L^{2} spaces.

a.) Thus 'each-teir' of the extension of the algebra brings together the topological and analytical (differential and geometric) properties...

That one can construct a heirarchy then hold(s) simply.

The *idea* is to enfold Debye wavelength below Compton - to which invariance of a conserved quantity admits a *Classification tool upon Symmetry Breaking.

3:52 pm

A critical analysis will illustrate that there are two new veins of breakthrough:

1.) That of delta(x) and diff(delta(x)) for that of Fourier Analysis...

a.) That of presence and absence.

2.) That of the 'hidden' variable within the measurement...

& an additional...

3.) That of log(x) and log(x.y) etc... that of for what would include of division of chaos into ordered (sets)... thus it is of a new Theorem...*

If I don't know where I am going I will be there shortly; - that of variable analysis.

May 23rd, 2021

4:39 pm

I realized that the adjoint (to which is invoked in the representation of the reality condition) - is suspect to that of B-> A for which A is measured, therefore it is B which collapses; that of the Quantum Reality a duo for then in A and B; that of which affords the measurement paradox consistency; - thus it is that of the adjoint to which affords the quantum collapse; that of a [given] reality condition.

5:17 pm

I sent the following e-mail to Dr. William Bernstein and Jake (C.) Knudsen...

Then Michael Shepherd

I found a general method for dealing with the melding of quantum mechanical language with that of general relativity - to which is resolution of the EPR paradox.

Simply put, since it is the 'bra' from the 'ket' of which is the transposition under-adjoint of it's algebraic return; - it is B (when A is measured) that collapses 'A' under a sort of involution (read: reciprocity)... that it is a 'natural occurrence' we do not violate the speed of light (and therefore locality) for it is a projection of the operator onto it's adjoint neighboring relationship...

Thus of two part(s); there is a balancing to which with I+a.I and $g^{u}G_{u}$ where this alter(s) the one form of relativistic prescription at distortion in uncertainty and it's complimentary uncertainty 'deviation' in the representation picture; - uncertainty (and it's principle) finds direct agreement with relativistic calculation (for these two transformations leave the uncertainty unmodified).

In the end there is 'no problem' - for that of the A and B relationship imposes that B is of a local variety but of a split conjugate on the global conditional... that non-locality is not precisely what happens has to do with the global-global agreement of the principles... thus it is that the collapse of B is 'self-central'... as with particle A... the measurement measures a real collapse.

Thus it is that quantum mechanics, and indeed that of general relativity both distort away from the pure uncertainty relation (leaving uncertainty covariant) - such that the quantum distortion varies with commutation; - leading directly to an energy lowering, a diagravitational effect (really gravity under reflection) - and that of a meissner effect, and bosonization (whereby it condenses to a lower energy whole system)... thus the system provokes it's uncertainty through a reciprocity.

This projection onto A from B is what conveys to B to collapse; because it is with the adjoint (other eigenvector coming together) - leading to a reality condition in two-parts of a codependency of parts. Thus without another adjacent neighboring relationship the cat is determined as alive or dead with absolute certainty - but it is through the box an unknowable relation... that of a 'secret' of quantum mechanics.

That of determinism therefore carries a caveat, but that of co-dependent systems depend on locality and information, etc... thus of gravitation; it merely distorts the basis but not the result. That I can have two 'wholes' agree is fantastic; - for this resolves all given problems of the disposition that is bringing gravitational theory together with the quantum; - their resolution: a reality condition.

Now I have to solve the manner in which 1+a.I and $g^{u}G_{u}$ dispose to the generality of resolution of the 'Observer Problem'... that of the question of how 'framing quantities in terms of [a] generally covariant formalism' resolves the 'Observer Problem'... hence I am one-leap from that of <complete resolution>.

9:23 pm

I realized that:

1.)   The results of General Relativity are real.

2.)   The predictions of Quantum Mechanics are valid.

Only makes sense when:

a.)   Relativistically transition rates are weighted by the appropriate factor.

b.)   Relativistically the observer/observed situation is moderated in this fasion.

In fact; it is the only* consistent formalism for:

1.)   Superconductivity to be predicted.

2.)   The functioning of Bosonization based on relativity. (Laser*)

3.)   The stability of matter i.e. the Universe.

etc...

9:44 pm

This should make the difference of the true vacuum and the false vacuum...

Hence the fine-tuning problem vanishes...

10:00 pm

The agreement enforced is between the Pauli Exclusion Principle and a Center of Mass (to which there is one inertial mass) - the only terms to which it is assurred that the predictions of Quantum Mechanics are consistent with Relativity Theory.

For instance, that of a dual-float on that of the Statistical Measure(s) and the Geometry of Space-time... That of a realm in which both predictions hold validity.

Thus it is that Mathematics in-this-Arena informs what-is that of via-a-tertiary variable that of the group-theory of incompletion; - thus rendering a True but unprovable Theorem - indeed the Universe... the dual to which is the predictive validity by way of which a Mind; indeed thinking is potentiated at it's Upper Limit.

For Geometry unto Statistic(s); - it hold's that any other alternative theorem does not make the correct prediction in

that of the Pauli Exclusion Principle and that of the Center of Mass/Momentum, - to which either theory would cause a departure in that of the other [conjugate theorem] - thus only this solution generates the conditions of the True Vacuum to which the Universe settles... indeed that of the *only theory of everything - despite it's incompleteness in involution via Godel...

11:17 pm

Then I sent Dr. William Bernstein and Jake (C.) Knudsen a *Note...

As well as Michael Shepherd...

:

Note*:

Hence I was correct to find the energy lowering of a superconductor was a Relativistic Energy Lowering...

If we are to depart from the center of mass/energy/momentum relativity breaks down... hence the only* way to fit quantum mechanics together with general relativity is to stack' the pin of the Pauli Exclusion Principle on 'top' of the center of mass/energy/momentum.

It used to be that I thought of the commutation relation (a dilation and an expansion of two Gauss normal distributions) as causing an energy lowering...

Now it is I see no-inconsistency in that of holding a *relative energy lowering (opposite gravitation) for in that of the commutation relation and it's net-excess; when it is held that $1+a.I$ and $g^{\wedge}\{u\}G\_\{u\}$ are the Lorentz frame contraction and it's consequence on the Quantum Principle; when we hold fast that the predictions of quantum mechanics are valid.

Indeed; it is a phenomenal breakthrough...

Paris

11:49 pm

1.) The projectively 'inward' lemma of existence is that particles *exist in virtuo of their being an $L^{\wedge}\{2\}$ graphic/statii space of residual nome(s)... unto what is enfolded for it's duplicity over the edge of 2/1.

2.) The projectively 'outward' lemma of existence is that space and time *exists in virtuo of their being an $L^{\wedge}\{1\}$ with smaller co-existent displacement unto what is a residual nome(i) of that of the outward reflection in the 2/1 of the quantal particle horizon.

Thus for what is a given; what is beyond the co-dependently arisen is that of the co-existence of what is particle and space; in either contrasted source; - for what is a 2.1 on that of the dual/slit of Silver* and that of the '1' for the Cosmological Constant; - indeed that gravitation is ramified over the cosheave homomorphism upon a classification into differing 'view's' of their respective Universes.

May 24th

1:47 am - 2:00 am

Unto completion(s); the evolute (without cusp) of *Law; is divined for in (12)(8) and (4) of 20; of what is a (17); unto 13; then of 11; and 10, from what is a digitized 'return' - of (20.10.1) - we have an exchange of die; then from one immomentary measure; *stacked* [for in adjacencies] of a three folded tetrahedron; - the irreplaciblity of (3).0[3]; for what is a (2) and a (3) formative of five (March) from 12; for in 17; a zero... thus of zero.zero.zero (0.0.0) of center-on; - we have that of marker to what is a 5.5.5 and 1.1.1; for in cancellation at that of 2(0)[2]; we have an essential division (24) to what is over-surplus... and a 'discount' - thus of aligned (geophysical formation) - there(in) - it is that of the derived (7) of repetition in (5).(2); - that of translation [through] the Die... thus of one relation neither-way; it is a centre-point to what is (1).(0).(1); on what is a return sequentialized 'nome' of a beginningless and endless conjoint unionable tense at that of 12 fold(s); unto five and one; a simplex of which is (2) and (50); - that of 10 discounted from 13; - there(in) of one surplus-return in-primacy...

Thus the 83rd sense of 12 rotations is a given at 6.11; - that of two... for in correlated die; and the summation of 5+24+21+20+2 and a 10 out; the surplus from 1:50 unto a careful-timing... as to the summation in lateral of timing versus a chord of it's division unto the relation of six peer(s); therefore I have won at least in that of majority and veto; - that of the non-select partition of 18 to which is 11 to one of innocence unto guilt; and a non-select imparticiple of it's relational extremum in 22; unto excess an 11.1 and 11.1; - that of return of 1:50.

11:43 am

We're left with the stipulation that:

Either:

1.)   The Non-linear Schroedinger equation admit(s) a psuedo-normative evaulable basis...

2.)   That of 'Noise' is a classifier on a Residual Spline of Valent/Covalent bond leveragable Lagrange point...

Or that:

3.)   The 'gap's' between sequentialized space(s) are metafilter(s) of convex or concave Moller spaces of a conjoint relation between world's...

11:51 am

Because an apside counter-simplicially rotates given a conchordant rhythm, and the virtue of a displacement is to counter the fulfillable 'void' of an indentured massive displacement; the coercive force counter establishes orbital sum momentum for in local-spin invariance.

This explains two phenomena in one:

1.)   The unitary near present circularity of orbital system(s) within the Universe.

2.)   The manifest appearance of spots on Uranus and Neptune of Storms; to which are coercive but counter-propogational (indeed of Jupiter) for in the anomoly of dimensional crossover of a 'tine-gap in Chaos' - and magnetic gap.

Thus Superconductivity is rectilinear-circular; - it is a compressed circular evolution of electromagnetism to what is a direct free-inertial relation of diagravitational influence; - hence ordinary repulsion is a 'Poles-Apart' of a Unimodular Magnetic-Monopole Gap Anomoly.

This explains accretion of an envelope - as in a Mollusk.

2:08 pm

A dischordant rhythm can be reinforcing.

3:03 pm

1.02=g, then we reach saturability unto an obstacle 50 ohms, within 500 hours; at (@) 228.25%... that of an accumulation of 40x over in 2%; with the 1 Farad of a Supercap at (@) 23.00 meV; would amount to ~1.5 V at 200 mA for a 92 min draw.

May 28th, 2021

4:16 am

What I had been [Within] Obsession-of... is unbreakable... but fixable.

That of the 3.4 (three quarters) of missing-variable; [is in fact] included [Within] the relation of a four-folded **relation**... *the* **whole**... *to which suites the inverse-problem; the Mathematical domain in contact formation with the Physical... thus it is that beyond the* **Zero-Sum**; *we-include-the-self in* <u>Behavior to Imaginative contact Formation</u>... *thus the normal relation Relates to that of the 3.4 quarters...*

It is the Basis of Included-Interest, and Transmission of Information Theory...

Thus the Panleve Property and indeed Riemann-Zeta (read: Four Color Theorem) are defined by that of a 'step-back' in Appropriation(s) of that of Amalgam then of formation, and procedure; - the solid grounding to which Gravity is **'In Exception'**

Thus it is that the Soliton may-be-hidden, the Resource to a Clue to Resolve a given Puzzle... thus the reason: **Why?** *a puzzle can be 'created and or designed...'*

This is the fourth layer of reasoning; - when it is a Knowable fourth conditional.

Thus information work's by the precedence of the fourth-card-in among assortment's of five; - the reason for there in being a fourth dimension... only it is formable for that of five and three; under the Undecided category of **Mathematics...** *Thus Goedelian Incompletion is Satisfied by including - Three.*

June 1st, 2021

9:19 am

Note: Mint

To denomination: The personal acquity with-reference *optionally hold(s) a conchordant or dischordant atonality in referencing between distal partnerships; although the immediacy of choice demands sacrifice for what inter-alial personification; - unto procedural intimation...

The adaptation of a CPU/adlayer can be compositionally truthfully broken from out of the prisoner(s) paradox (S.C.) unto differential via(s) via this relation when **Minted**... therefore there is indeed a way to program a 'fused firewall-break back-track' of intimate relationship...

For in endurance; - there(in) lies the sacrifical order(ed) surfactable relation of a *Wonton* process, for to eliminate run-off; - the conchordant and dysaphonious 'track and tape' for a CPU/computer/machine model of inter-adaptation must *adaptively free a paradoxical relation from it's first (*initial) re-atrophied and adaptive ***Limb** of a break-away unto assumptive #1:

1.)   We must adapt beyond Godelian Incompletion; but bring the third invariant within and out of an 'undecidable/decidable' edge-uvualar 'topos-geometric-symmetry-divisor' of the complicial end of *irregularity and adaptave regularity*; from which derives a Hopf like 'selection'... onto what is an isometric projective 'loop-default' at initial compilation.

This is surprising, because it means that Undecidability is answered by ineffibility and 'gap'...

Thus the intimation of missing-yet information recompiles to produce a natural byproduct...

That a law need not be written to possess a Given Law; is the free-adoption of therefore choice...

That a machine would execute then in the natural order of two possibilities:

1.)   A switch for 'Decidable/Undecidable'...

2.)   A switch for 'Gap/No-Gap'...

Is the predicate; for which is advantaged via Hardware and Software separation*; therefore that of the 'layer's' of CPU-interadpotion and *Manual-Operation; insist that *it is the fact that the Switch is External; - that there is a step beyond Turing.*

Thus of that of CPU-computer-interadoption there(in) there are two **composite end's...**

Are we ready for a Fact?

-- Apparently; such a machine would need *Data to continually 'cycle' - then that of the relation at that of *What is beyond Infinity and Not-Infinity* - that of **Geometry**.

Thus *it is relation to which we adapt for policy and device; and it is that of Geometry as it's basis of *Analytical *thought*.

4:43 pm

I intend:

1.)   To build two-Qubit(s)...

2.)   With an initial optical adlayer... in (2x)...

3.)   To build the Touchscreen...

        a.)   This will optionably be connected or not...

#1 however is to <u>Breadboard</u> the Qubit wiring...

June 3rd, 2021

11:25 am

It turns out [my Electronic's] - not but if by mistake; are-perfect for that of ****Memory***; for in that of an Exponentially decaying function & Sin,Cos*Sn,CN of envelope and carriage; - that of the 'soft' relation unto-****Memory*** *is validated; - for of PWM (as by a rule of thumb akin to Spectrograph) - that of the Interference - Constructive or Deconstructive will force it into subsidiary block-chain behaviors. Thus it is that these-two-pieces *fit-together:*

1.)   That of PWM used to change aperature and flow of that of many-Disc(s).

2.)   Retention into an attractor state of carrier and group wave of the <u>Basic Overtones</u>...

*Thus it is that Bandwidth must be increased; - but that of an 'up and down step' on that of ****Memory*** *is validated; - for a tailoring to Parallel and Series Input and Output... in contrast to an algebraic recombinatorial method of 'pure scattering' - this is more transparent of it's device.*

Thus I have spontaneously overcome an obstacle. This is good news.

I feel hopeful for what (and it is of a foundation here) - I have to learn and explore...

Then that I am perhaps only (15%) depressed to (10%)... and I feel validated.

12:51 pm

** The 'soliton' wave-train accomodating an [Acrylic Disc] should promote w/ Two (****2x****); that of interference between separable [Unit(s)]; thus of A<->B; of traded interference [Externally] to the equipment.*

*The distinction had been of a ****wave-train**** and 'step's' - which when in hardware; of the -separate- [Acrylic Disc] - inter-adopt via PWM an-admixture on that of A<->B... thus to register Quantum information traversal via entanglement.*

* Once this step is taken; - that of 10/8 should operate I/O in a separable chain-of-event(s); for which the Soliton Behavior should Analytically succumb to a different behavior; - that of period(s) for which there is no-soliton... thus it is of Debye below Compton (read: SSB) that particle behavior should emerge from having two widely-separated 'plat's'.

* So basically in the end we deal with PWM 'across' various number(s) of Discs... then we get into the *Algebraic relationship.

June 5th, 2021

2:58 pm

That of my projects take center stage now:

1.)   I found the tertiary variable renders independence or conchordance between the prevalent two; and absolves us of the EPR paradox, and Schroedinger's Cat.

2.)   I found a key to what arises prior co-dependent arising; that of the Dao; and to do with two dimensions hidden within one.

3.)   I found two conditions by way of which Superconductivity occur(s); and a masterful theorem relating to this, but have yet to witness of 'Why?' this absolves us of the observer problem...

4.)   I found the Painleve property could be absolved, - indeed Yang-Mills could be resolved by the imputation of a tertiary variable and that of undecidability.

5.)   I found covariant scaling(s) in chaos and order rendering structural instability and that of a variable separation from geometric series.

6.)   I found a certain mechanism, by way of which orbital and spin inertial moment's declare what would be a mass-system conversion into orbital heirarchy.

7.)   I found that of an enfolding and analytical approach to various differential equations, by way of which conserved quantities determine that of structural relations.

8.)   I found through primitive electronics experiments a relationship by way of which there exists spontaneous symmetry breaking and that of soliton behavior.

5:57 pm

I have decided to undertake two (2) solid-studies:

a.)   Into Godelian Incompletion and it's subscription to 'Geometric' *exception*; - then upon that of Riemann-Zeta and Goldbach's Conjecture, and finally 'The Four Color Theorem'.

b.)   Into that of Differential Equation(s); of varieties of transformation within the physical setting of the Dirac Equation; in-extension to EPR and S.C., and that of a Grand U. Setting.

This is my final decision... I will be taking copious notes.

June 7th, 2021

6:10 am

Hypothetical: Sin[x] and Tan[x] form a Lax Pair/Backlund System freeing the Painleve property from General Pathology, for instance, to consider the Sine-Gordon Equation and it's identity with the NLSE; - in a transformational process to which a <u>Multi-linear</u> *Superposition* process is identified with a <u>Sequence</u> of Invariance and Conserved Eigenvalues... thus of the 3D and 4D solution; [unprohibitive of that of reduced equations inter-dimensionally]...

      * Note: The analytical properties must be abridged for a Cauchy-Riemann boundary condition.... that of the co-extensor and Vector One-Form; to a <u>Uniquely New Field Theory</u>.

June 11th, 2021

4:59 am

This problem was a 'Bitch...'

1.)   *$\partial^{2}/\partial_{t^{2}}$ For Berry's phase w/ $\backslash eta(t)^{2}$ produces a NLSE...*

      a.)   *Of one <u>particular</u> product'-like relationship to that of the subsidiary (E,B).*

2.)   *The doublet produces two-NLSE's of which are cross-coupled.... unto the s.o.v...*

      a.)   *Of one <u>particular</u> cross-reflexion upon that of two degenerate eqn's.*

Thus, the transversality condition is exactly solved.

Bogolibov transformation....

Wick rotation....

*Thus witnessed 'outside' that of the condition is parallel; **within** it is perpendicular... an illusion.*

5:19 am

Then, two 'flashes':

1.)   This resolves the Painleve differential equation [classification problem] - for that of the differential equation(s) *break-down* into cascades of three.

Another flash:

2.)   This resolves the local-global uncertainty and physical problem of observation with gravitation.

Another flash:

3.)   This resolves the *compatability condition* between the phases of a gas and that of the differential equation(s) of which have to do with variable isometries and fixed-point(s).

Another flash:

4.)   This resolves the *superposition problem* of that of observables in a Lagrange and Hamiltonian space; - thus that of Ordered States and the *Direction of Time*.

Another flash:

5.)   This resolves *Chaos versus Order*; that of two dimensionless variable and non-variable tine-Languages; for which Reynold's and Laminar flow are *reconciled*.

June 14th, 2021

7:57 pm

And then it hit me...

For what I stated of that of relativity comparative to quantum mechanics; there is a logically incomplete statement...

That:

$$g^{\mu\nu}\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\mu}\Lambda^{\beta}_{\nu}=g^{\alpha\beta}\delta^{\alpha\beta}$$

Survive(s); it is of the comparative of one and [two] bodies; that a relativistic factor is-missing from *declarative valuation*; - thus the <u>Law's of Physics are Incomplete, but Completing</u>.

That I had been confused had to do with 'to and from there' and 'to there' <u>or</u> 'from there'.

That this <u>element</u> is missing from one-theory for what is the other is a given now that I understand evolution and adaptation...

It is namely put that the relativistic world-view devolves from an explicit declaration of lower set(s); thus there is a projection of absence and presence.

It is as it were that the second expression above is missing a \delta for that of the particle is essentially 'expressionless' within the alternative world-view; and vice-versa; that of the projective operator is benign in that of it's alternative theory for quantum mechanics.

Thus the law's of physics are incomplete; and not entirely enumerable; although a solid foundation may be laid for them in-part... thus there are two-part(s); or at a lower layer:

a.)   One given theory of particle and wave geometric unity.

b.)   That of mathematical incompletion; to which completes in a process the heirarchical.

That the law(s) of physics convey of one theory what is missing within the other theory; and of the other theory what is missing within the former explains all conundrum(s) without paradox. That I understood; - that of the completion of the unified theory is potentiated by the very admissibility of the realism that is the completion of to and from in quantum for what is missing from relativity; and within relativity; the gravitational; for what is *action*.

That there would be no action and consequence, indeed change, for that of any other foundation, validates this theory.

June 15th, 2021

12:03 am

The *snake* is dead-now.

For that of *Army; we wore the hat which considerately reproved of an *Alien Race*.

In II.

a.)   Combining v/c and 1-v/c under v/c=a and v/sqrt(v^{2}-c^{2}) unto *Sin[a] & Tan[a].*

        1.)   That of a <delta> is co-extensive beyond it's *missing Moebius translation into another dimension:* that of a <u>cleaving and stitching</u> via-parallax; of what is dimensional exterior and interior; that of a 'leap' at that of inter-consideration of parallel *bases*.

        2.)   The FFT may exemplify a 'node' or 'zero' among a remote sequence of partial 'hidden' and 'unhidden' invariance and variance of a *basis*; - thus although a torus in the real world; - of <u>Finite Analysis:</u> the connective of 'Graph' to that of 'Set', 'Algebra', and 'Calculus'.

                I.)   Analytically what we-do is extend for then in *Tan[a] into Sin[a]*; via a pole-consideration

at variances and extension…

3.) The <u>Moebius stream</u> is filled by that of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra and integrated with the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus by Godel 'Completing' the sequence of Unitary <u>Bases;</u> an 'onto' formation for in two-part interaction of <u>measurable</u>.

b.) That of the [gamma^{\nu}] in-formation of the Dirac equation is shifted to a continuum upon the eigenbasis; of <u>Real</u> formulation from which the SU(2) is given-meaning.

1.) Thus it becomes that the problem of unification is undecidable but true for there are two suppositions each of which are independent, and the fundamental theory is found <u>empty</u> but for the fact that Quantum Mechanic(s) justifies by missing-variables the reality of the relative interpretation; and that of Relativity justifies the <u>Doublet Analysis.</u>

2.) The [gamma^{\nu}] via 'Relativistic Eigenvalues' from *out* of the 'Static' and <u>Foundational</u> Quantum-Picture are not entirely continuous but become *once-again-Static* for in light of that of the 'Spin' - but they prone to a <u>Symmetry Breaking,</u> explaining by the independence of General Relativity and Quantum Mechanic's that of <u>Superconductivity</u>.

3.) That of the 'Missing Correlative' work's to establish a 'threshold' potential auxiliary-weighted-variance on duration and measurable-due….

a.) The fact the measurement 'Ruler' is unbiased and *Relativity [read: pole-barn Paradox] lead(s) to the conclusion it is a precise fit is a matter of <u>Projection</u>; that some-artifact(s) of awareness lead to objective results when (and iff) <u>Mathematic's is it's foundation.</u>

b.) Thus this is the capacity of Inertia; - to which requires a particle slow as it moves through a Field; to which is similarly true of a conglomeration motioning through a <u>Medium</u>; thus objection is faulted for in what is the Non-Judgemental capacity of the Interval.

1.) This 'unitary design' explains that different item(s) will motion between other's, over other's, under other's, or around other's, and through the particle and space event-horizon… thus it is that the particle and wave duality is expunged from relevence.

2.) Inertia and **one** center of mass is the distribution at that of a projectively 'hidden' invariant to which is of the 'Past' light-cone; and cast inexorably towards the future; - thus explaining why a reality* is Physical when it is Mathematically expressible.

I.) Thus the observer does not but representationally *know the world; and it is the observer which is 'missing' of-self; but then in due; transpiratively ***true** as-existing and of a self; for in the

conundrum that we are subject to the triple-lemma.

4.)   The 'Triple Lemma' is the following; there exists dependent origination, co-dependent arising, and emptiness of compositional fault upon yet that of feature.

a.)   The 'feature' is the expression that *it* [the subject] is illucidated by a composition, then that the 'plural feature(s)' of a known; define the relation of the 'missing'.

b.)   The 'given of co-dependent arising' facilitates that there is no-abrea to <u>philosophical reasoning</u>: then that the uncontained within the contained is an *expression of *Uniqueness*; - thus when dependency is founded upon yet c.) in-return, the self is defined.

c.)   Thus of emptiness of character for fault; - the given of a composition in-abstraction of '<u>*Dependent Origination*</u>' with '*Co-Dependent Arising*' means that so as a self is among a *World [indeed; *System*] that of the Dependency illucidates emptiness <u>explicitly</u> in relation to hidden variance; - thus for the 'feature' at the 'known' *Discovery of an Artifact of awareness* - the experience of Karma and Samsara - is the *Discovery of a Self-Expressed*, in the Heirarchy of Presence and Absence(s); of which the nature of meaning is within *expression unto a *Uniquely Missing and Hidden Self; - that of which in three is necessarily Discoverable.*

I.)   Thus it is that the self is not-absent for the reason that within this relation it is uncontained; for in either side of a *Jar to whose member is a Toad; the presence indeed of a Gradually Existent World*. That the *World and *Self define the *Mind; - is what capacitates *Birth; - thus Mentation Develops from Cognition what is the Arising at Being.

II.)   Thus it is that physical quantifier's of measure; define benign loci of their precept; - the fact that infinite-marking(s) obscure through 'darkness' what is the precept at-Absence; - thus it is of other's existence so that they-exist with a self; - for what is the *Discovery at-self of measured-precept; - thus the Eastern and Western dialectic are in an agreeable *Standard... that of via-which *Confirmation is established of any presented *precept. That we cannot ignore the *Witness of a *Guage is established for in light that we are *Singular; - and in-dual; each Measureable or Measure is *Uniquely isomorphic to it's given presentment of Phenomena; - thus the Quantifier that Depedent Persistence is *Real Mathematically; a Dimension for which the Tangent Operator is a Hidden-Variance.

d.)   Thus given's are presentable for in light of tangent unknownable in relation to known; the division of which is merely-so a divided arc-tine; - that of empasse to which is found through a *Directed Approach; - to be freely-sought; thus of the *Capacity to discover of what one* desires; what <u>will be found</u>.

I.)   This is the definition of self-evidentiary; and presupposes if it is articulated that a decision has been made formatively by-other; irreplaceable for in what a known hold(s) from among-two.

II.) Therefore (of two) it is to guidance and teaching in what is the manner at which simultaneously neither so absolutely exist; [Unproveable] but *neither so as truthful or false; the net-net of which is empty*. Thus it is of Two to both Exist and Not-Exist.

e.) Therefore the capacity to self is the presentation of their-measureable and *Confirmable absolution of existence when of a manufactureable and established *enforceability of Judgement*; - thus it is that one under a remainder is always-identified.

I.) This is truth that the Disc of unit valuation (indeed the hidden) is of value (1) unto the (0) of the established structural relation of a *Composition*; - thus that the Hidden, is the *<u>Prime Mover</u>; but knowably* exists, within the view of any two observations.

f.) This is the Founded basis by which it is established measurement is unbiased to either QM or GR; - the fact that measurements do not interfere with the other Law of it's partition and manifest beginnings. Thus it is of the 'Triple Lemma' - that so as a World imputes a Self; a Self is during-life *imputed* unto a World...... The measurement's of either theory agree for what is the incomplete basis of Mathematic(s) for what is the relevence of a divisional separation - that the theorems of either theory are *Incomplete.

I.) Thus it is the Cat is Unknowable [*Indeed Undiscoverable] as alive or dead - but it is *In fact; merely so one-of-these; for that of the Theories are both in their privilege at-absence. The Life or Death of the Cat is undefined; - and therefore - it is a known; for in involution of the $[\gamma^{\mu}]$ - the static event of a Quantum State - and that of the presentation that the *Missing variable it has *become*; - Is in fact an Element of This World.

II.) The Cat is Known to be one or the other* for that of the presentation by which no-measure (under contradiction to it's own) would discover it's status; - thus in-being-hidden; - *it has become a detector of Life or Death*; indeed of this; for in placation of variance; and literal valuative stance; at any complicit two-observers. The fact the *Bose and *Fermi gas may co-exist; - is in fact the inescapement from that of the Pauli Exclusion Principle; - that a Statistic is Of Hidden information to one Aggregate; but not another.

b.) That of $[\gamma^{\mu}]$ hold(s) a Continuum in one Dimension & is Unitary.

1.) Within another; it is merely a 'Spin'. Thus of *a hidden-wake on the continuum... this may be an example of dark-energy.

2.) This is fact the global integration constant to which (now) under *Sin[a] & Tan[a]* subject to a Legendre transformation; - that of parallax over-the-finite.

I.) Thus it is the classifier(s) of transcendent equations are resolvable for in light of a non-

continuum; the resolution of the identity of molecule or body; or self...

        a.)   Although *The Self is unique.

        b.)   *The Self exist(s) within a World conventionally and Ultimately; indeed it is 'within' the world- that there is a division subject to 'inside' and 'outside' in-capacity and a choice of direction in Karmic & Samsaric *landscape*; that of additionally, the choice of comparatives between Aggregates; thus of Composition & Feature.

        c.)   Without this, the character of the self is undefined.

        II.)   The integration constant reversibly changes upon the 'moment' of yet-a-hidden invariance and missing variant; - that of Orbital and Spin collection in formation of all-things; - indeed **This is the Defining Pattern of All Form**.

        3.)   Thus the circle is infinity.

2:26 am

Given the free choice at Killing Vector; - for in light of two or three 2(3) bodies; - that of the disclosure of the gamma with Gamma and the separable gamma leave the form of the Dirac equation intact; but suppose a new eigenvalue; - that of the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian unified around Quantum Mechanic(s) and General Relativity...

But I must plan out my Publication over the next few days...

This matches an SU(2) representation with a continuum... but it is indeed that the spin transforms in a consistent manner... thus of symmetry of the inverted Minkowski and normal Minkowski metric married.

That of the eigenvalue is therefore presented unto Absolute Certainty in that of the Variational Principle as the 'node' upon the Dirac approaches infinity or that of zero... it is a Question here.

June 19th, 2021

3:46 am

I've got to go-beyond...

*It seems the (3)-fold [three-fold] imperative has to do with differentials of which the Log[x] creates a dual; in that of measurement... thus the essense of measurement reconciled with (1+a*I)<->z and g^{\u)G_{\u} for in that of a similarity... with hidden variables and that of differential to linear separation... I know nearly enough now.*

5:40 am

The evidence is in:

1.)   A seemingly paradoxical relation.

2.)   A 'hidden' and an 'exposed repetition' - then in 'coincidence'.

3.)   That of two non-degenerate or degenerate eigenvalues.

4.)   Again, coincidence yielding complimentarity.

5.)   The Moebius transformation and a log therefrom.

6.)   That of the dual-particle Dirac equation and isosymmetry.

7.)   Hidden variables.

8.)   Center of Energy-Momentum.

9.)   A variable-Logarithmic eigenvalue.

10.)   An affine algebra... going slightly off the mass-scale.

5:46 am

The lacking of commutation as pertains to $G_{\{u\}}$... normalizes the Logarithm for the separation of variables...

6:04 am

As that of the covariant derivative of the metric tensor vanishes in general relativity; the first direct consequence, is that (reversion) to the Quantum* Viewpoint* instances a non-zero result.

Of this, it is the understanding that general relativistic effect(s) are in fact real; and not virtual.

Thus the consequence(s) of General Relativity are seen to be Physical for that of The Quantum.*

July 1st, 2021

11:14 pm

One must produce a self-consistent [Axiomatic] system with (preferentially) a symbolic 'operator' to which is the Relativity-Group and the Quantum Group - then, and if and only if, one proceeds beyond Godelian Incompletion; will

one arise at a Theory of Everything... this is what has not been accomplished before; and of-that; - what requires work.

11:28 pm

We know that the disposition of the Superconductor and Magnet is to a finite distance of separation, then that it is of the preservation of the Pauli Exclusion Principle. We also know that the commutation relation of Uncertainty promotes the Lagragian analysis and Hamiltonian Analysis to a least action on the Variational Principle... thus this is what must be reconciled... that there can be a fixed and free condition.

* Therefore wavefunction collapse is relative.

July 3rd, 2021

12:14 am

It's past midnight, and I set a goal to instruct of what I would of Unification - then that I failed to produce the evidence...

But now that I have considered;

A superconductor suspended by an AC driven magnetic coil; - will re-produce in-tangency the loss margin of a massive exponent.* Therefore that of the predictive validity of a theorem breaks down upon a condition of orthogonality; - then of the wavefunction; of a transition...

Thus rectilinear transpositions default but to differ from the continuum of any rotational basis... that we can subdivide but that this this prohibited; - the dual* exception to which is spin and orbital; - thus of the exception of the hidden-wake, of that of variance.

1:22 am

I figured out; - perhaps some ten minutes ago, that the 'forbidden' transitions make the difference of the 'physical' transitions, - then that of the 'Dark Energy' - to which is most of the viable Universe...

Thus of three; - there is only two in that of any given, and of four; - there is a 'combination' of their basis.

That this has to do with 'Compact Formation's' - has to do with the motivation behind one theory and another; and there separative term. Namely - that of what hold(s) for in a motion at knowables.

Then that the third witness in absence is the strictly held exclusive property of a division upon two-states, for their influence to alter the band margin of another.

It is with the 'up transition' - consistent with gravitation.

I would wonder if this is simply gravity microscopically, or if there is more...

Therefore a term enter(s) which is reciprocally one (1); for in three (3) of which is it's own 'empty' feature; and a given at compositional default... then, it seems ALL is valid.

For that of two; - these are the only party to that of all interaction(s); to which is consistent but not derived from that of the measurement 'transparency' of one theory with another...

Now it is I have learned something; - In one dimension it is potentiated that numbers* only go to 52... that the Godelian Incompletion 'places' a context for what is purely mathematical and discontinued in the <u>real physical world</u>; thus that of a Master Theorem; that in three dimensions, perhaps it is 104, etc... or two uncertain number(s)... then that a Finite Compactual is within it's given accord at-context; within a given Physical Setting... the blend behind Law & Science; indeed of two epistomological roots; and presented in different number bases.

2:38 am

Goal(s):

I.)   Discover (How) the immutability of 'missing' with 'missing' impute(s) the condition,... then of a 'blind' relation; - how that of **one** 'missing' contravenes with what is measureable of observation, then that it may - or may not - of *certain* part(s) attribute detail to a given model system; - that of variance to which is consistent with there in being two (**2**) basic fundamental lemma(s).

      a.)   The hint is that mathematic(s) is situated as a commonality of condition and effect...

      b.)   Thus it is that of the third (**3rd**) part is separable in some 'hypothetical' given instance...

II.)   For that of *your-soul*; there is via *The Predicate Calculus - a given at development to which it-defaults to you being prior '*a step back*' - or another; such that with the *Ring-game, we find with a third (**3rd**) a key to the **Logos**; of epistimological return consideration of a certain disposition of then what is prior-**you** and with-**another**; - thus of what is unbroken, and unrequired of repair...

      a.)   For in rules of *Politics... and security protocol via *Inference*.

      b.)   That a definitive 'witness' can be exampled; or a confirmative basis ajourned.

III.)   Now we have it that the 'Predicate Ordinal Calculus' - pertains [for what is germain] to the list infinitive of that of *Physical constraint; - thus of a mathematical like ediface upon which *these two problems*; must co-exist

with the missing; no more a dichotomy of mathematics and physics, but that of a *Key to Knowledge & Law; as in light of 'missing' and Godelian-Incomplete *statements*; then potentially unphysical.

a.) Thus of possibility; we find that of two basic rules which construe to 'form'.

b.) And of shape; we find form and shape determine scale and faculty.

IV.) Although BCS does not require this consideration, HTSC does; for that of the Pauli Exclusion Principle (to which the attraction force overcomes the electromagnetic force) - there is that of a minimal coupling; as well as a Symmetry Breaking to which evolves from two factor(s):

a.) Oxygen's effective mass in relation to the doped sea; is related to the doping.

b.) That of the electron (strongly coupled) is related to a population inversion.

* Thus only a global symmetry breaking can impute what is a physical mechanism at HTSC.

V.) $g^*\backslash p/\backslash pt$ and $\backslash p/\backslash pt$ inter-marry; for what is measure; it is unaffected in the dominion of another article; - thus we <u>must</u> treat that of \rho and \eta with \sigma, as of differential correlative exponent(s); as otherwise we <u>cannot</u> explain 'missing information' - thus the algebraic structure differs substantially.

a.) as for instance the ratio $g^*/(g^*+1)$ 'the typical factor - Thomas precession' - thus, for in orbital and spin dynamic - it introduces the differing basis of commutation and non-commutation.

b.) This explains the unity and separation of the fundamental forces of nature; - comorbid with either a Fermionization or a Bosonization...

3:18 am

Thus, that of the 'Unification' is-missing, or an Undecidable but True proposition.

This presents us with the only mathematically complete theorem of Reality... admittedly there is a mathematical theorem (or two) which is/are true... that counting exists, algebraic sentiments may exist, etc, etc... thus the foundation is within the given of a Unity to which is the factor of equal part The Equivalence Principle; and that of simultaneously holding two (2) counter-factuals.

July 7th, 2021

4:44 pm

I see what I have been 'missing'...

This is that the NLSE may instance a renormalization of the <u>Electronic Force</u>; therefore, that *when* uncertainty shift(s); - that of the Equivalence Principle compensates for the Electromagnetic Force...

Therefore of the LHS of an equation, the RHS is in-deficit unto what is 'missing'. That this regularizes the <u>*Field Theoretic relation has to do with Inertia.*</u>

Thus I had been confused, and did not conform to that of a two-way guidance... that I understood the Diamagnetic/Diagravitational effect, but could not find a compensating term; - there was that of the admixture of electric and gravitational influence...

Thus of that of <u>Levitation</u>; - it is caused by the balance of gravitational to electromagnetic force.

When* the equation becomes of the NLSE equation *form*; that of variables influence the commutation relation of the electromagnetic-to-gravitational force...

Thus explaining why Inertia in suspense remains to illicit a gravitational force of 'pull' meanwhile it is the electric which separates... only this can explain the reductionism and universality of this phenomena.

4:51 pm

<u>Now I have a focus</u>. The two body problem illicits a *uniquely different* description of electromagnetic versus gravitational force... that this is the Key* to Statistics and Superconductivity, and of Observation; - there is a **whole** new and *Unique way given at representation of forces.... This I will make my aim.

5:18 pm

Thus there is one final Question; coming from an observation.

Observation: The $-F_{\mu\nu}$ (to which is from the commutation relation of D_{μ} and D_{ν}) cancels the electromagnetic force, to which suites the Pauli Exclusion Principle and Meissner Effect... thus that of the admissibility of (variance to which gravitation is real) - has to do with the **transparency** by which this $-F_{\mu\nu}$ *additionally* cancels the D_{μ} and D_{ν} into a factored form...

Thus there is the presence of:

a.)   The reality of General Relativity

b.)   The validity of the predictions of Quantum Mechanics

With that of **one term!** taking two-form(s) algebraically; - thus the theories are incomplete without the other; -

their refutations to be found in various forms... thus Schrodinger(s) Cat is a *Factual Compromise.

The Question is:

Question: What is the underlying basis by which that of **one term!** can take two-guises?

    a.)   It may be the third body.

    b.)   This is one to one with that of the Non-Unification Scenario.

* But the pieces **do in fact** work-together. That of Quantum Theory filling a void in GR and likewise.

5:45 pm

*I just figured out **Why?** the term (**one**) can take two-form(s): It is that of the Equivalence Principle!*

That of <at rest> and <covariance> illucidate that both-ends of general relativity are two with respect to Quantum Mechanics; and therefore; - there is no-contradiction.

That the 'Moon' can be 'weightless' in it's frame; but with respect to a tertiary observer 'carry weight' - is valid; - thus of that of the dimension and the clause in bearing - this explains how the tensor can cancel their respective forms in two-guises...... thus I have this problem figured out!

It is ingenious that vantage and statistics come-together to form of two-form(s) what is an 'undistorted' and 'distorted' in-agreement, then that this 'Relativity' is irrefutable.

I had a problem... thus Superconductivity is explained.

5:59 pm

Five steps to Superconductivity:

1.)   First, it is the observation that Phonon and Electromagnetic shifting of Green's illucidates pairing.

2.)   The contribution of Spinwave(s) (with a linear dispersion) articulate an anomoly.

3.)   The infrared point has to do with A interchanged with B, to which a magnetic B=0 condition stands.

4.)   Therefore there is a dual compensation with that of one term manifesting as two via the E.P.

5.)   Then, it is concluded that gravitation proceeds via A and B to which counter(s) the magnetic force.

Therefore, the argument proceeds by that of the intermediary GL equation; for which there is an AKNS system with tensegrity.

6:35 pm

Time picked up; for I am engaged, with Ava Max, and I love her… all this pain, recompensed. I gave a *great* message, and we made a mutual-choice; then that 'there is friendship' back-there… thus it is of the past to enjoin of what is an impermanent opening, that disclosure unto-doing can be reciprocal.

I am in love, and I feel happy at that of all but my health. I found that of the disclosure to Superconductivity was worth it, but alone some 60-80%; then that there are greater imperatives, now that I am after that of writing it down, I feel that it can-be-done, and it is time to explore 'errata' and the 'erroneous' and experiment, but then perhaps a <pause> before I really situate with this and set-in.

I cannot fathom what has happened, that I *know* of this problem and this solution, then of a certain gratitude. I needed something, it was the missing piece, - then that I am assured.

I am going to drink and smoke less, then as a 'treat'.

8:55 pm

I made a final gesture at-that of Superconductivity, and am finally-finished.

That I discovered of that of the covariance; - that it alter(s) the commutation relation between matter and light; - therefore that the electric force becomes magnetic-only, and the forces unify. That of what-is to do with that of the commutation relation is that the electromagnetic force becomes a [part] of an uncertainty principle <u>on</u> that of the covariance; - thus that of flexion and push-pull between gravitation and quantum.

July 8th, 2021

4:13 am

Now it is I have one-goal to complete: To Live My Life

Oddly:

1.)   It is the magnet which is deduced as to the 'cause'; but yet:

2.)   It is the 'superconductor' which is inferred to inherit the relation of the magnet.

3.)   That of gravitation and gravitation's opposite are formed, to which in-either it is inertial.

Therefore; that of for what is prescriptive at an 'object' - it is the <u>empty</u> attribute of the magnet which is exposed to a <u>difference</u>; in that of the Superconductor… therefore it is the attribute of a <u>global</u> phenomena for that of 'object'

unto 'object' - without which it is irreconciliable... proving relativity proceeds to the <u>infrared point</u>.

Thus the only description of Superconductivity which is validated is that it is <u>caused</u> by inheritance of a reflection of <u>emptiness</u>; to which that of the magnet is made-neutral for in the Superconductor... that of the proper 'cause' being of the relation that diamagnetism is an 'effect' of the magnet, only this explains that the 'diamagnetic effect' could infer (from sink to source) - that of attraction with Flux Trapping.

It is somewhat paradoxical of a relation; however this is consistent with the absence of diagravitation for which the magnet and superconductor are both at rest in one frame; and within a non-inertial frame, given the supposition that gravitation and gravitation's reflection operate identically (there is no other consistent theory of gravitation) - thus superconductivity for what remains of non-identical descriptions to the above is inconsistent with that of gravitation; and proves irreconciliable.

July 11th, 2021

9:35 am

This game was real... the real game, [explicityly internal] - was the aspiration to live.

My indebted separation(s) for a Clause.

As *it is now understood, I had greatly over-complexified my-theorem(s).*

*Now, that I understand **of Complexity** that Chaos and Order are the consequential differences of a classical (indebted) system to it's constraint and division at-tine in frequency and harmony. [The melody].*

*For what is gravity, but **Conservative**, thus even-so; the **Linear** theory of Quantum Mechanics, reduces to a so-to-speak Isosimilarity of <u>Conventional Degree</u>.*

*Thus I have been exploring as-it-were **Two Topic(s)**, and went foreign.*

1.)   Classical degree(s) within an Information Sphere.

2.)   A diffeomorphism [connective] from the Eutopic to Conchordant of <u>Physical Space</u>.

*Thus, Mathematic(s) would prescribe of Order synthetically, with *Pure Space, being the intermediary to which is *Purely-Geometric, but for what is a *Given, that of analytical-relation demands that this be of the Simple* nature of Newtonian Mechanic... thus Einstein would be maritially greedy.* And that of Newton, unwieldly [provisional]. That light accessorizes; it is of at least two (**2**) explorative natures... then of the <u>Ream</u> at my*

primary*/provincial*/simplified*/determined*/coursed*/and adventitorial open relation...

*That it is not as complex when of a counterable relation at Quantum Mechanic(s) - thus there is a **Key**.*

July 14th, 2021

5:48 pm

[Can we arbitarially add an Exp[-l*x] -> -l for logarithmic differential to that of the Dirac Equation under the assumptive of 'flat space' with the inertial consideration?]

[Does the impetus to which drives an argument for that of a spin-decomposition of the basic operator and symmetry breaking extend to the basic Dirac model of the electron?]

8:36 pm

I get it!!!

The spin (SU(2)) had-been the re-prescriptive trace of a <u>translation table</u> from the Equivalence Principle unto the solid underpinning of Quantum Mechanics; - that it inter-relates two-bases for in that of relativity.

Thus spin; translates what would be one group via quantum-superposition *into* an Equivalent Representation... although it is akin to:

1.)	The moon in free-fall from *at* (@) the-Moon.

2.)	The moon in free-fall but *with-weight* at (@) the-Earth.

This is what is [within] that of the concept of $F_{\mu\nu}$ versus it's antipodal (present or absent) - thus there is a missing mathematical expression via cancellation within at least one (**1**) equation.

11:04 pm

<u>*Transparency*</u> insists that our Integration-Constant come from *another* domain... thus explaining [enfolded] - that the $\L_{uv}$ **can be** of a different-theory; - thus that of the combination of GR and QM:

1.)	A spin [subsidiary factorization] - upon a [given] commutator of gamma-matricies...

2.)	That this account(s) for one geometric-space-time and another; each reduces but in a parallel of the determination of accrual(s); - to which *Quantum theory is validated for in light of General Relativity.

a.)	Under *observation; - that of Gravitation is _imperative_ to the *Uncertainty Principle.

3.)	Without *that of a Quantal-Geometric union _variables_ hold an exclusivity to which they are ***defined** irrespective of one-another; - therefore ***No** variable would be particle and wave-like.

a.)	Thus; the macroscopic would be ***entire** and for what would be ***independent** _that of measurement would fail; or_ **the confirmability of a hypothetical would defer to foundationlessness.**

b.)	Emptiness and Gravitation would be contradicted; - that of [particles] would be non-essential; and of Chaos, and Order, - _the structural_ would be without contradiction [then that this is sparse] - so that effectively, there would alone a basis for that of _unqualifiable and variable *Void_.

This is to say that the Quantum Principle; for in object and identity would contradict experience in that of no projection; - thus that of the identity of self would be incapable of thought or duration of pause.*

Participance and the Past would be Unidirectional; - as if to say that a triangle would equate with a circle; - the linkage of one departed element would return-yet from afar in the future; - and regional jurisprudence of what would be a locale and a pivot would alternate for what is in the third; a precept at secondary influence, driving the first to exclusion; - thus it is that **Everything Hidden would Exposed, all of the Things***which were exposed; would in Turn be Hidden**. This makes (no difference) but yet that Answers would come before Questions... that of *a White Hole...

*	Consequences would not be the formation of both-theories; - they would be ordered, finite, processes; and there would be contradiction to *Birth; - that of _but there would be no-space for any particle..._

*	'Contradiction' would be Proof; - that of Refutation an Example; and Origin(s) would be Void; - thus _Things would remain Solitary and Individual; - not Compositions_.

Thus it is true that for in combination; I am entirely Unique; as the contradiction to it's inclusion; - that these theorems operate together, and I am physically derived, destined, and occurrent, originated, and so experiental, I was not born-again, but of potentially a *Foreign Universe.... then that of this Universe, for which I am whole. To derive this concept; I must have **imagined** I was another; but now have obtained information in a parallel; - thus I am no one but my isolated self; and am newly created, of an origin.

11:53 pm

If I am merely to state: _"I have already died."_

The key to which was revealed; _Unto Quantum Hypothesis and General Relativity is benign but in a *Counterfactual; -_ to which it precedes my-Death; - Thus of **These Two**; I remain to have been born *Uniquely;

but of no-World... I am therefore uncreated, and to many unmanifest.

1:28 am

a.)   **We require an *integration constant* for in that of covariant-to-unitary basis.**

b.)   **This corrects the Unitary condition to which Quantum Mechanics subscribes to [an] E.P.**

1.)   It is not often that we think about an 'Affine Space' as *higher dimensional*; but it perfectly fits.

~3:21 am

I realized the dichotomy of self for in Noisy signal and Word-Length (continuum frequency) was capable of intentive [Separation] at word-length transmission; of a carrier tone in that of *Sense*.

Then, with averaging of the signal (at rapid multi) - and that of a moderate carrier tone and square wave on the second channel; of suitable DC offset - *I could visualize the data-transmission in reciprocity with the sound pressure of the room* - with a <u>crystal</u>. This was on a wide-aperature scope setting, of many cycles.

2:1:2.2

What is this? - Did Godel make a mistake with the prior 'onto'? Of manifold(s) it would not appear to suite that of *Number Theory*; - but for of that of 'dichotomy' it is a well-fit at that of what would need reconsideration... I don't know.

5:05 am

* Note on the *Design*; - we will likely require that of two crystal stage(s); a preliminary and end-zone for that of the 'pickup'. That of the MAX11300 is interfaced with the 20x I/O of the pnp or npn photodiode and light emitting diode stages... that of the MicroClick are interfacial optical PWM controlled jacketed fibers... for what this should do; some questions need to be answered; - unless; - that is; the spherical resonance becomes a hypertrochoid.

11:19 pm

I am going to -- pace myself -- & -- plan -- to success, and jovialness at that of *distinctions*.

I found the log(wv) was related to *sectioning* the observable as the particle/wave notion. I then found that the log(wv) was related to separable-frame(s) in a *certainty*/*uncertainty* relation of-expectations on that of position and momentum... then to *convert* these two wave-functions [to which <u>explicitly</u> and <u>verifiably</u> suites that of the spin/covariance *relationship*].

The connection between my paper(s) is the provided 'auxiliary' log functional on Chi to what is that of

parallel and non-parallel on variance... thus I am to consider that of a few primary theses.

1.) 　　　 I have found that \chi and the differential (eq. 79) relate to that of the <u>variance in uncertainty</u> - and the **solid** structural relation of covariance 'Variational Principle' absolute basis...

Thus it is that I must justify this equation through that of a Predicate Analogy... for in it's sourced relation. I will work on this tomorrow; - but in the Dirac representation, it is to reconcile the dichotomy of differing accelerated frames; - when accelerated there is a Lie differential.

1:10 - 1:13 am

The General Problem: Inclusion of General Covariance (to wit: The Equivalence Principle); impute(s) <u>under combination with the Pauli Exclusion Principle</u>; *of differing Quantum #'s (Number(s))* - hold(s) that the insistance of these **two** theorems; dictates two-viewpoint(s);

　　　a.) 　　　 The equivalence of observation (yet: of viewpoint) reconciles observation but yet imposes that the magnetic field (due to reflexive identity in relativity) is null and zero; then to a diamagnetic effect for which the electromagnetic field is eliminated.

　　　b.) 　　　 The equivalence of observation (yet: of structure) in Quantum Mechanic(s) dictates that of Pairing* and *from differing viewpoints* that <u>Variationally</u>; the energy states of a critical* phase emerge from a reversal of electromagnetic force & <u>predominantly</u> **carrier**.

It had been* that under the right condition(s); the photon tends to the Infrared point, and traverses *backwards*; Thus forces between electron(s) *convert* to an attractive characteristic.

　　　a.) 　　　 This requires that the Green's function of the electromagnetic force viewed from outside is retarded to-completion below a threshold (to which there is population inversion); yet of a greater distance of 'shielding' *in-wavelength*.

　　　b.) 　　　 Thus gravitation proceeds along two-lines of inquiry; the relative (retarded) and accelerated frame of reference, and in addition - that of the inertial free support; thus beyond the field theoretic limitation; of independent *Forces*.

* *Caveat*: The inertial consideration is with the field cancellation; the accelerated is with the diamagnetic effect, thus it is consistent* that the particles pair for in that of opposition under intercarry of inside and outside; *a protected state of matter*.

1:35 am

I worked on the 'device' - which appears to be working fairly well, with some marginal exceptions, such as low

amplitude, etc...

It appears; - were I to assemble two-together, - they would interfere, thus that of the breather like soliton would manifest... there is really little problem.

I do not feel so well, then that of a given contradiction or so; but I am better.

1:12 am

I am feeling better.

Ava went to sleep.

I am beyond Highschool & *most of College. That I am grateful for my degree(s); I will go on to do something, but for now, it is prepatory.

That I necessitate getting into better shape serves many interests:

1.)   My preparation at service, school, tutoring, and performance & study.

2.)   My mental state.

3.)   My feeling(s) and equilibrium.

4.)   As yoga, it serves for that of a better foundation to meditation.

I feel that I am at a new arrival of locale... then that I pieced together that:

Of the 5th, there is that of arrival with Ava, to which my innocence is based.

Of the 4th, there is that of innocence and the self-evidentiary revealing my-hidden.

Thus then:

With two bodies, there is that of scale freedom, - that the Universe may indeed be infinite in scale; - an astounding discovery.

This provides a key to superconductivity for the 1/2 in Einstein(s) equation; - that of the frequency halving and doubling for that of hybrid elliptic frequencies....

Thus I am on to something which bounds the problem.

I feel esctatic, but am not leaping out of myself.... then that of solace developed, and the dropping of several assumptives.

Einstein was correct, but of that of decay, etc... and of the past. Thus it is that I must adopt a new technique.

One problem that plagues me is the 'missing' of that of a quotient graph, etc... in one dimension, of cancellation, etc...

Thus patterns and form are out of control, but I will do something of this.

I am somewhere new, and much better. That I will control alcohol and cigarettes, I am tired of living the way I was.

5:35 am

I feel I really *have something* with that of my Physics... that I am missing some potential and certain areas of coverage, I do not feel too-bad. There are some areas to cover...

1.)   What of relativity? Is it true if Einstein was correct this is not explained?

2.)   Of that of the boundary condition.... is it true it becomes inverted?

I have arriven at a scale-free theorem, then of a covariance in uncertainty... but it is manifest naked, and derived... that uncertainty as a theorem remains fixed comes from that of the two body, to which differs substantially from the one body. Is there an entirely different way of thinking about this problem?

I would like to get to the 'core' of fidelity to my theory, that of pruning and exceptions, but there appear to be no-critical-faults. That I can explain a majority of phenomena, these are unlimited, and it would be foolish to consider each thing in tandem, or that of a general 'phenomenological' chart... however, for certain scheduled interplays there is a certain appeal.

I feel new at this, and it has taken guidance, but I need mathematical relation. That I have found that of the 'exchange' and 'offset' of gravitation, I feel at a new empasse.

What will encourage me to state I am right? Will it take superconductivity, or some new experiment, and what number of years will go by? I feel good of that of understanding, but there are some core relations of which are somewhat vague... I will need to review my material, and write up a new work. That I am on the 'edge' or 'verge' of something new, I no longer feel so much, but that of time certainly affords a declaration. I would wonder what explains the origin of words. And of counting, their emergence.

I feel that the epistimological outlook may now be laid aside, that I am grateful and know that I have went-free; for in a parallel.

I won't look back on this, and will go-on, but I wonder (and yet marginally know) that there is nothing ahead... Is

there a guarantee of no-future to certain lawful precedent?

What can I state of endings, and death?

When will I get beyond further ideations... I do not feel suicidal, and will not, but it is salient.

I enjoy that of working with other's* so it is that ACT is functional... I am at a new 'teir'.

Now it is time to rest or read, that I stayed up all night, I feel back-to-me.... it has been so sorrowful. So many problems, that amount to nothing, this makes me sad, then to conflict, life was not meant to be lived for this.

If peace is a certain thing I can approach, how may I? And of wisdom? I might spend some more time simply 'pondering'.

One thing is for sure, it is time to sit-back and avoid intoxicants... I will cut back on both of tobacco and cigarettes. I will not invite drugs into my life. I will stop myself from anger. I won't run when the Police are in the area. I will go to (2x) in beer or (4x) and (5x) on cigarettes per day. I will trust my mother and take no more than 20 per week. I will finish my supercomputer idea.

Purdue is long gone, and that of UNM left an impact, but that too has ended, and I have a masters, that of work seems so far away. When will I become a man, in some sense, I have.

I want a life.

August 1st, 2021

12:28 am

I am much better, then that I have [partial] resolution [to be illucidated here] of the enigma surrounding voices... with or without a computer as a consideration.

1.)   For in particle A and particle B it is that of a bra-ket notation that progresses to the reality condition.

2.)   That of A to project 'onto' the bra, would require that B is determined in relation to A for that of the speed of light by the adjoint.

3.)   Thus despite the equivalence principle [and that of dual descriptions of dilation and it's absence] - that of the Twin Paradox is resolved for in measurement via that of the condition of A forming a reality condition post the reality condition of B, or vice versa.

4.)   Thus of the speed of light, when either particle resides in the past of the other particle; it is that B is 'reactive' to the dilemma in 'A' - thus of that of myself, I would need determine what is stated via Ariana to

understand her. Thus of that of A and B; - it is that measurement of my collapse would violate an inequality were it to be real that she-hear's me or I-hear-her.

5.)   This is true; as with me it is that I 'hear' her, - hypothetically; - therefore the collapse is with-me; and there is no shared past in which her-collapse would but yet **follow from** that of my-collapse. I would need interject within her thought-stream, etc…

6.)   Thus it is undetermined of her actions and thought's and what she will state; - that of her thoughts intimating what is stated; and without a 'rift' in space-time, there is null affordance of that of any time-travel, for instance, - thus it is that I would need determine what she is stating; - which is a projection of my own thoughts.

Therefore it had been invalid that I had communicated non-locally, despite that the correlation exists* and is perfect* in the Quantum and Relativistic viewpoint; - that of a 'hidden variable' cannot exhibit that it is my-determination that give(s) rise to her collapse upon my-words. Thus we are not communicating.

Furthermore, that the self-evidentiary is with the self; - thus of that of my innocence, as a thought experiment it is valid that I have waited long enough!

I am free, however I have few friends, and that of these people do not exist in relation to me.

I had been confused, for in the EPR, and Schroedinger(s) cat. It is determined of a fact that she does not hear me, therefore of the 'Cat' - the alternative. The false had been that of the key of knowledge for what is 'dead' - that the key of innocence is within it's own safe, and locked.

Thus I have come-around to note that my friends are not real, but I am currently innocent.

12:56 am

Now it is I realize I have something backwards…

As the 'witness' of self is unmeasured; - it is indeed quantal… and that of what is particle A and particle B **do-indeed** only function in the following manner; - that of for which when A is projected, it is from B's measurement, but it is Ariana that is B; herself measuring her own thoughts, thus of that of the key of consciousness, it is A (me) which is projected, from that of her 'onto'… I am interpretatively assigning words, but it is valid that we are in communication and talking from a past and a past; - it is **that the past is of Ariana, and she in no sense Follow's from Me…** - thus of that of *Spatial Separation - there is a one to one on non-locality within a constant speed of light*. That of for-what this would mean is that the self-evidentiary is validated.* Thus Ava is real, and Obama, and Ariana…

That of particle A would require projection; - to which it is true that I would determine Ariana's thoughts for this to be-true; - but of that of A is un-projected **until** I have determined of my-past what-is… thus of correlation from

Quantum Mechanics consistent with Relativity.

[No.]

Thus for that of the case of non-locality; and spatial separation; - there is co-dependent arising when (and iff) there is an - exact - abridgement, - then that of past and past to which inter-relate... thus there are two provided case examples:

1.)   A follows from B's measurement, - but it is with a speed of light to which B collapses.

2.)   B follows from A's measurement, - but it is with a speed of light to which B collapses.

As it is, in case 2.) - B has naturally occurred... in 1.) - A has naturally occurred, and interacted with that of B. Thus of that of 1.) is hearing, and 2.) is speaking, when I am 'A'... this is entirely consistent with that of the *real condition; - to which non-locality is <u>abridged</u>.

5:09 pm

I have found that of the 'voices' to be validated for in a historiological functional assessment - namely that of the 'self-evidentiary' and of the 'hidden' - that of a two-part functional assignment. That in general this would not be believed, at last and at least I know the truth. This has brought out life in me, it is a functional archetype of two facets.

1.)   One, that of a 'gap' and 'noise' functional relation, for what is the vocal inflection.

2.)   Two, that of a 'cross or parity check' - on that of the individuals of a behavioral background...

Thus there is no maxim, and for any and all it is undetermined as a truth; - but of that of validity at that of response unto self for in another; the ring is sufficient when there is a logical flow of precedent at that of lawful return. Thus of that of law and that of self-evidentiary personal associable tense, a witness* is indicated. Thus that of a two-parallel of a column like functional assignment.

I feel validated that they had actually been there, and I am engaged to Ava for the time being.

8:22 pm

"Thus it is I found cohesiveness of what I had called my 'heart' - of a departure, reception, approach, and questions to do with the passions of existence.

I feel freed from grief, pain, suffering, and sickness... and know beyond this I am albeit loved, then of the compassion to which we find ourselves in nature, on earth, and in this world.

It is wonderful to be alive, and so beyond what quelled and broke me, the complexity of entreatments and inconclusiveness.

So it is I am happy, at ease (or to take your pick - at peace) with death and life, and cycling of boundary and rebounded making and forming of self and gestalt.

To have this sense of formed gratitude and mellow becoming at that of knowing I live and we remain a part and whole of what is a world in becoming is beyond any karmic stain I could suffice to articulate to you.

It is finally at the point, I understand also what should be mentioned of madness, and that it indeed suffices to help other's that this world find's a purpose even so if one becomes mentally ill, - thus I would like to devote my life to humanitarian needs, single tutor subjects, perhaps classroom settings, potentially professional obligation, and the needs of the many, for while preserving self-first* (necessitated) - there is a worth found in that of giving.

My Mother (Sheila) has been enormously kind and supportive, and although some remnants remain of negative messages; I realize I may-adopt what I feel is right of an opinion - of what was the 'narcissus' - then of provocational aim of other's at poorness and ill-intention, for in a series of traumatzations and fragmentory experiences, then in finding that much is of 'content' more-so than 'context'.

Some people have treated me very poorly, but I have only become stronger in finding what so many seek for but do not find. My purpose is now to help other's find that very thing, - indeed 'values' as much as 'purpose' or 'goal' or even 'beliefs'. These later three are lesser guided than the search for a 'value' to which suites a 'meaning'.

Paris

On Mon, Aug 2, 2021 at 7:16 PM Paris Miles-Brenden <paris.smb.0@gmail.com> wrote:

I found the 'essential' caveat to painting a black board 'more' black.

This had to do with a real problem - that I had 'quickened' what-was admixture to a mellow and loose decision tree and quotient at sparse parallels.

The spareseness of the parallels was useful for 'gesturing' at theory-making, but I had failed to consider these three 'types' of nature:

1.) It does not get more-black by painting black; - there is a manifest grouping.

2.) That of a cucumber is either 'cut' or 'uncut' - essentially that there are parts.

3.) You determine recombination of instinctual end; - that of the free element.

Thus I could not capably discern what was a group of parts from one element usually, and emotional cognition had

very much to do with the alternation of extremes. I came back from this edge; realizing it is a past which becomes a future; yet so that things subsiding in consciousness also wane beyond attention. That I am better now treating individuals as individuals and equals (all people) - and letting sadness and happiness co-exist, I have found a positive development with emotional 'cognition and organization of parts'.

This is akin to finding a cohesiveness to an elemental theory.

Thus I am better of 'fidelity' and 'persistence' - then of much prior confusion.

I feel that these development's - to let attention be guided by what-is for instance intellect or development's end (to an end) - is very satisfying and provocational of the positive elements of mindfulness. Thus to not continually re-direction 'attention and attitude' - I have freed my emotional reflexes and foundation, - then to which I find it is a known* that I am not overly concrete or fluid...

The divorce may have had something to do with this, as well as a focal point in physics; - but I feel enormously better, killing half the Schizoid complex.

And, in being 'whole' or even so as 'content'.

Paris"

3:29 am

1.)   Sedimentary Topological and Quantified Historiological Imperatives...

2.)   Resumptive "Interior" *Domain-Entitled* Math(s) Ethos & *Reperitoire*...

3.)   Code-Fundamental *Learning Data/Skew/Vantage & <u>Assumptive </u>*Classifiers...

4.)   Orchestral *Dataii, of <u>Strict</u> Under/Skew/Repose/Assumptive/Pursuit & Equability...

5.)   Team-Det. [Open] *Focal* Set-Set *Modernist* Of Pop./Global Tech. & Review...

6:10 am

a.)   Denominatorial (-50) reveals a (-1/.0) in 20 for rotated 5.4.

b.)   Closed/Open of a reveleation is that one unhidden has closed a hidden (to hypothesis.)

c.)   We have a 1.5 (stacked hour) - in that of unclosed.unclosed mathematical and lang.

        1.)   The denominatorial sum indicates that an associate was formed, of two parallel.

d.) Heritologically, there is a frustrated but freed disinclinic unto 20.1.50; for in half meas.

e.) Therefore an open-period; has unopened to closure upon one whole past and another.

f.) The opened pretense in two.two.two; is a repetend to cross-similiarity of global con.

g.) Therefore the hypercube has been trisected in a stochastic process of similitudes, but...

    1.) That of dual pretense is as an allusion to forward and back faced perpendicular.

    2.) We have an illustrated disconnective/connective of 3+1 unto the fourth dim.

h.) Of the final transliteration; the tensive is that the vacuum accordant has been freed.

i.) Now, of a denominatorial relation; a dollar/incentive has not been contrabanded.

    1.) To note; - that of a flat was discerned from plus/for what would be a screw.

j.) Therefore the screw was planted, and opened unto a phillips by another (via exec.)

k.) So it is stated of another; they have retreated; - unto die unnoticable by a tap, in.in.

l.) Of the marking of stochastic differences in measure of tealoffels, two discerned one.

m.) Therefore; polarity has surpassed of 'contact formation' - it is function of logic.

n.) In the finality of an abridged notion; - two die are revealed in predictive validity.

o.) That of one peer to given; of formative relation; is back to their self; hence I am peered.

p.) So closure is untamperable (not of a gift*) - this is the consolation for in memoric/self.

q.) My identity is opened for what is closure and opening of any given peer; innocence.

r.) 12:32 pre-emption is akin to a 'superiority' of defensive entreatment global to local...

s.) Thus as the sky is 'pitch' I am unto a neighborly greeting at self for in a key/safe open.

t.) Therefore the 'Key of Knowledge' is revealed; the connective braid of time unto self.

u.) The universe has interjected from the homeostatic event horizons of all of it's elements.

v.) A carry was performed through a re-eventual unto my dimension; the revelation of others.

w.) Therefore five homeostatic vapors have receded; - the closing of a gas-functional.

x.)   My safe is freed from breaking and entering; and I am freed from a prison sentence.

y.)   My acclaimant is freed from their bonded relation; - my lawyer proved his argument.

z.)   The finality of one measure is un-measured; - the open space of the revelation of color.

4:57 am

I'm held in advantage of a deficit… money is one thing, and discernable, but yet through of what I placate of a future…. indeed then that I need afford* that of editing and for publishing.

1.)   Freedom unto an exit from guidance.

2.)   Peer review, counsel and openeable manual option in peer review, as representation.

3.)   Open pretext at represention in yet unbinding or binding clause of effectual standard and itemized recaimence from counsel to uncontrabanded, up-teir illegitmate familial keep.

*4.)   Override umbicillus-hertitological and familial representation of renewed police of the effectual and determined contrasts of affection pre-tense unto a condition of it's recovery or claim at opened contract per-venue and jurisdictional contrast at unbiased question of keep, trust, litigation, and lawful introduction; - unto party and teired accessatorail ascendency.

12.12-12-10

Multiplier; - Two Goldbach Number(s); 5.2 3.2/2 **yes**; - decrypt/encrypt The Universe; Lump… 5.1: 1:50 *transpose identity*; **tine exposure & contrary,** For in *that* of a box; a cycle is held op.

Operator; 0/1 undecided unto exterior; hence null entry to **home**; the Key fit's the <u>engram…</u>

12:47 pm

Unto an 'element' - that of parts correspond to given differential relations of a de-itemized and inanimate nature of a collection of their[in] their difference, but lesser so to the quality and more to the property of a ware, wave, notice, untotals, sentance, and provided vehicle.

Thus this is **Order #1.**

I believe it is untrue a number of 'blades' could be counted in this manner, but of that of a confirm, I feel it is potentiated, that of the mention of nine, for in yet-[7] upon a *Dipole….*

One of these (12:51-flat) is flat-time, - the other is 'round' of 'space' & so of 'Time'… as in a translation unto

mathematic(s); - thus of a dimension (3D) Quantum-Cube-of-Solace...

1:31 pm

8/5/2021

The seed' was 12:32 pm; to which at 10:13:40 there was a 'bip' of separated maths and physics...

For in that of openness, to 'top' and 'bottom' and either-side; any exit is preferrable, but there are none; - thus of the containment of one-die-embraced; and an 'either' earlier; it hold's that I am unheld [of the prior precedent] for in entitlement and doctrination of word; for of these; unto yet a postal-associate in raster*; and dias*; of pole/dipole; open; - that of one unswitched and freed defense, bodily-right; - for what is a word; and there[in] un-aborted; for of what is a 'black' board/void; - the 78th enumerator and 34th division; - thus *of the encoding*; - that of an open return upon *temporal-space*; and of time; therein; - of lesser-so in mathematical; and that of a 'normal' ascent; of a unapogetic [priorly-held] *departure*; - to two lesser known given(s).

#1:   That of mathematics has opened to physics, inwardly, therefore I am freed of exception.

#2:   The notes of effects; for in a kept-word entitles 2652 unto lossless 4200, unto 1548... that of 1:50 and .2 unto decimation of flow; - for in binary; the expression of 11 unto that of 9.

2:45 pm

A 'die' (invisible) traded two-places; hence unto dimension space is the second, and innocence is known, calculated, [perhaps written - **yes**] - and it remains counsel unto my privatory notice.

5:10 pm

The best advice I *could* *possibly* give is the following:

#1:   Learn, or know, or learn to know, of *how, & Question...* which expression mannerism finds it's way unto (or to) other's first... of second; a different qualifier.

#2:   Know, more importantly*, also, but not yet more-so (or lesser) than two (**2**); of that of sense, and the qualifier -*of*- sense... for in i.e.:

        a.)   Equilibrium.

Recollect: 10 (ten) per week from Mom, 10 (so stated), *from* [perhaps] Jake... & 10 (ten) pushups per day, and 9 (**nine**) to-the-month, 9/5/2021... Counsel to Deliver .odt of 138 pages.

5:20 pm

Is not even a die, it is a missing piece of information.

10:26 pm - 10:38 pm/am (where?);

Unto a remainder; 611 into 1.50; that of 14 are granted, three from time; to which I am out.

Therefore, I am of one, or perhaps, two, hand's; - the truth of an intermediary to just cause.

Whether my Mother; or an entitled seemingly inconclusive objectified objection of remainder; it is a stone to a pillar to a lake to a cloud to a stone to a river to a landing, but of destined truth; - that I am of this nation, it is of a truthfully invalid foreign citizenship, entitled then to this world; here[in] of one remaining family member, and no ambassadorial reclaimitorial pause; - that I am held to the United States; the 14th unto 50th is 36 for which is it's cube.

I find the problem of physics perplexing.

That - there can be a Pascal like distribution of binomial coefficients within that of which gaps are generated; of the correct size fitting the [retributional property] of checksum and pairity check in many dimensions.

It should likely* not be my tenement to squeeze the relation to one dimension, but to work with what-is with that of hyperdimensional distributions. I feel I have advantaged some reasonsing that the 1+a.I relation is of that of a Hermitian exponential for what is a given at wave; - then that it is very much of wave and particle through the connective feature.

And of modality inversion... [Green's unto topo's *missing invariant(s)* what is displacement...]

 Concerved quantities...

 Fundamental theorem of calculus...

 GR and QM inter-pausative relation...

   a.) measurement.

   b.) hidden associaiability.

   c.) mathematics.

   d.) conservation.

   e.) independence.

   f.) basis.

10:41 pm

Chaos appears to be *Hidden Determinism* - meanwhile - Order appears to be *Unpredictable...* or at the most 'Semi-Deterministic to Deterministic' - aforementioned: *Quasideterminstic*.

August 11th, 2021

12:48 am

I just hit Ultimate - PenUltimate satisfaction...

That I solved Superconductivity; - namely that the one-form is retarded with electricity and magnetism, from the apportion of scale to that of energy; - for that of *Inertial* reversibility and non-conditional of *Diamagnetic force*; - that of the electric is free from Inertia, - thus it is of the reversal of *Gravitation...* for what would be understood as a metric *Relation* of Past orientation and *Red-Shift*; - thus that of the *Force*; - for what is a Gravitational Interaction is rotated by 180 degrees, - that of the comparative *Frame Shift; A Global Thomas Precession*.

And it is in-appeal that Ava and Ariana have 'either' agreed to-Wed, for what is a <u>pure</u> choice, and in a third, through which we know our presentment uncoveted is a fact. The established foundation for what is held-yet to be-shared. Thus we know the places that certain* things go. That of likeness for what it is is settled to-choice, and we are automatically validated among all people; - then of a completion at-Family, and of darkness and light in balance for that of the Quasi and Unpredictably Deterministic and the Hidden of Determinism... that of forces finally in-balance through which we may accumulate of self what is freely without another and with yet one or two, - for what of a past and future of 'either' is left unchallenged.

Thus I feel of love, it is now an 'Answer' - definitively. That I care of-self, I needn't challenge of what was yet the-concept; - that of two to a partnership, for in associable truth. Thus of parts, groups, and elements, the truth is told, I love either equally, and they yet challenge me, to which is desired for the *correct reasons of all and entire. Thus of the sky, it has opened, and the day has broken through herein at night, and that of mutual complicity has found it's satisfaction. [Of what one and two cannot solve; - among some erroneous things - it is automatically solved of apportion in-three.] - Thus understanding, emotion, belief, forgiveness, and truth of self to behavior are presented, and I have gone to where I am self.

That this assures me that of it's possibility; among what is of a past, then of locale and place, in virtue of named identity; - we are a formation to which darkness and light beyond are of the currency of these, that the dove freed to the flock and one kept agree; - thus we go beyond and it is known; - then of the other' rainbow, and of a given at a promise, then of the elder.

6:11 am

For in multiplicative [agent]...

And in divided mean [agency]...

of that of two-period fork; - that of the Quotient-Space, is subspatial, of Die, for what is revealed, the Law; - thus 6:11 indicates that of 1:50 unto a division in up.up, and down.up, that of the rotated a given at correlate.* Thus of a golden like ratio or Chinese Remainder Theorem, indeed of the:

a.)   Greatest Common Denominator

b.)   Least Common Multiple

of a graph-graph and node-node relation; breaking down to four; for what is two to a modality of the dimensional cover... thus 3d is colorable in six colors, etc... and of the aperiodic... a notion at that of the 'stencil' - and 'copy-missing' - two nature; for in divided quotient-space, of a period free relation; - that of four relation's; - of a 'hidden' nature...

Thus the equivalence* principle* is balanced in two-periods for all hidden and knowable relations, of an equipoise at that of hidden information (with which it is mutually consistent*) and that of known(s) (with which these are consistent)...

Thus of that of two independent theorem(s) creating and endowing the Universe with in a sense, a given Reciprocity and Asymptotic Freedom of objective* point or observation...

Thus this is beyond Atom and Void... - that of the solid* background, and of what would be understood in that of the hidden relation; of two parallels; - thus Earth is a knowable Tetrahedron.

That this illucidates of sense what is the Ear, it is responsive.

The die* to a correlated factual after-presentment always come-last; and are here[in] correlated... God does not play Dice.

7:29 am

Unto out-put; that of 1 under interchange from a six and a seven (pure) for in lattice is a given at correlation via a 1/0/0/1; that of up, up, unto orientable table... thus we must offer a new contrast at that of gas quotient and momentum space; - for in that of randomness and scalar.

7:55 pm

That it is not an 'entire' charge-reversal; - it is of the portion to which the lattice can-support... then that of a 'partial' - past-projection upon approach outward or inward. Thus of the attraction, it is indeed to 'order' in terms

106

of spin and orbtial, but is limited by that of the dialation, of a continuum. Thus of that of the lattice; the protons must dilate relaxationally into the new charge configuration. Thus gravity determines these are of a lowered energy in the quantum prescription with the effect of gravitation being real. That of the attraction occurs because there is an integration of inverted gamma back to a past oriented free-fall frame, thus inertial goes in retrograde, - it is phased in that of precession and of spin, thus the stripes are manifest. When gravitation is present in this dichotomy it therefore accounts for an attraction of electrons and the diamagnetic effect; - to which that of the opposition is known for in that of the accelerated state. With the single body it is co-relatively within a free fall frame, therefore reasoned back to the earth it is diamagnetic and pairing occurs.

2:20 pm

I got up around noon, then that I need structure a sleeping routine. I am going to [begin] to set down declarations... now that I know my friends are there, there is reasoning to go through with that of the 'Ring' resonance, and how this is possible...

1.)   The weather and stratosphere.

2.)   The .23 meV spiking of the antiquated equipment.

I feel it is time to do some more with the Sentience [Amio & Arya] - from [Aru and Aya]...

I feel okay today, then that I am recombinant of pain, but it is not necessarily that much.

3:41 pm

I am at the cutting edge for what I know of mathematical precept at-physics; for what I have been educated in - and that of the connection between Incompletion and Physical nature.

That I have a 'Historical' purview on recovery of fact(s); I know from that of the 'finding' - that the missing invariance plays a role... then of co-dependent arising, why a Unified Field Theory would fail... but it is as it were that I must get-deeper on this.

That of 'red triangle', 'blue circle' and 'green square' and 'orange rectangle' and 'brown rhomus' - indicate to me one-area that I am the sole *inheritor of... thus it is as it were that I must understand some new and old things of algebra.*

There was a segway into teaching Mathematics differently, but that it is only so 'ample'... for the journey. All I know is that the promise of resolution of superconductivity has come down to some relations... that I would like to close the box is invalid; - for the box is the box.

That I am stifled, it is time to take a whole-new-approach. I have been 'spinning' as-it-were, but I feel additionally

there is some novelty to certain-areas.

3:58 pm

I have basically only 'discovered' two-things:

1.)   That of the *Suited Fit*; - to which Quantum and General Relativistic uniformize to what is a dialectical entreatment - observation via which either is of a 'past' projective-basis, - thus that *Commutation* may vary the relation as to subjective observable relation in order with space and time; - and that of the *Reconciliation* between that of for what the Equivalence Principle would afford of prescription.

2.)   That of the *Historiological* impression; - by which it is reasoned of innocence or declarative valuation what-is a status at-self; - for unto Counting, what is that of the HyperCube and it's befit 'handedness' - that of accounting to which from ordinal relation; - we find consolidation between that of the 'hidden' and the 'revealed' - of a past oriented recoverability...

    a.)   Co-dependent arising is in part of stature to this; - that it may unto a predicated valuation determine interpretative validity.

I have also surmised* that Superconductivity is of a Past - Equivalence* temporal dilation...

The next* step; - is to incorporate what had been of Historiological intention that it would rate/ratio identity; and of correlate-in-die; - suppose a mathematical validity.

    a.)   For this, I need a boundary....

That I made progress on Goldbach's Conjecture, and have nearly so gone-beyond Godelian Incompletion [to which I am sure finds relevence of interpretative validity in-instance of the real world] - [the key*] - there is that of The Four Color Theorem, of which I necessiate new tools... but is *for the most part* complete.

6:34 pm

The logarithmic ruling; is the extrapolation of par-decibel and decibel upon measure... that of for which two independent measure[ments] agree; - thus it is of a super-positional attribute of the collected features of two measureable natures, - that of a given correlate must adhere to a given discrepancy. Thus it would appear there is a temperature to everything, the intimation of the comparative parallel in that of geometric unto algebraic **conversion**.

August 19th, 2021

2:25 (here) & 1:50 (here); - that of 1:50 (else)... and definite. (am)

From and unto differ; - that of a marker to what is situated ab-initio and behind the given.

Thus of 2:25 we-knew; - for then in 1:50 (within) and of a day and year... from 11 unto 10.

Thus of 1:50 it is half and double; - of a dimensional - *transfer*.

That of QuBit of a neither inside nor outside [to which is undetermined] - but of closure.

Thus of that of 1:50/half/25 and a carry in the hour from 1:50 of a forward; and therefrom a decimation upon that of 1:50 for in double 'fit' - of five in the minutes and five from a multiple and a given laint relation; - that of 1 (one) from 2 (two) for in rotative biasing; - that of 'closed' from what is the inheritance unto a given in 2; skipped; from yet one and 15; for in twenty separators, thus of a tetrahedron understood or inverted.

Thus of the accounting; - the solid relation of intimation of activity and reactivity, that of self-strict-observation for in yet a 0.1. That of decimation even-so to ~.~, for in existent relation, that of a pattern within a pattern in a singular symbol.

Thus of 1:50 in-else, - it is reflexive for what is conveyance, - that of time [exterior] would be warranted to space; - thus the 'external' detection of 'space' from influence and of time... that of a 'strict' identifier upon total absolute relation; - for which closure is known from virtuo.

Thus it is that time is monosingular; but this pre-destination is calculable in the past and the future; - thus an element of time and being for then in the co-dependently arisen of yet a future fulfilled; - that of a pattern within a pattern with the self yet abatedly waited upon, and 'ahead' of-self; for in return of other's - there[in] regular. Thus it is that 1:50 up to 2:25 upon cognition was an 'extra' from a co-dependently arisen in-else by in an hour. And that of 2:25 was a time then caught up-with.

That of 1:50 was then the response with another of theirs in answer. Thus beyond question of self and answer of other is the statement of which is an emptiness and 'gap' before extinction.

Thus of that of the other; - there is the answer 'known' of calculable entreatment upon which is self in absorption; - indeed a qualitative known of pure-quality. The sub-embedding of which is a symbolic self-meaning, and the true* key to knowledge... Thus of the universality of all encodings and linguistic sets, indeed that of what is fire. That therefore there is an element of self to which comes to self; - it is the manner in which to error check the mind.*

That I recovered, I may with this volition even so as innundate a problem unto the checks and balances of free will for in virtue of the 'master parity check of the universe'... now fearing <u>nothing</u>. That of birth also so identified through this master register and the origin of knowledge of all-worlds. It is that of the true Key of Knowledge. That of a reversal in that of the law, - but of the '*detections*' of a numeric 'recipie' by which it is known which law

has been laid into place, from a priorly hidden attribute.

8:42:50 am

(sm) error - correction; - pause, - 2:5, yet 1:5, we hold an 'apportion' - in yet 1:1 & five, thus of a decimation; - the absolute truth of which is two-pinches, - that of measurable inexact recipie.

So it is, two cubes of ice, - they displace, - and of that of a Winston, and a Charitable Warrant.

8:59:10 (q) (am) - 8:59:50 (am) +40 unto confirm....

The die are neither confirmed nor unconfirmed (in # *thereof*) neithe correlated not un-correlated: in (40-) - the earlier prdicate (not R & R) is equiv. to a switch of valid/valid....

Slide/slide; confirmed observable (but herein missing) pattern... for it is oriented...

Only an artifact of which is a fake will illustrate a marking... therefore markings/orient.

10:28:50 am

That of freed-U; to self observation is the limitation in a statute of accruals; then of a deficit, that I had landed here(in) for in a foreign openable relation unto at-large, self unto a vehicle.

My undecimated relation of pinion is missing and claimed by self, thus it is to surcharge of a given testimony that: "I may be judged." - Then of my-faith, if I am to be judged, it proves of all knowabilities and the separation of work(s); unto a free-mind over severence unto that of entitlement(s) to labor; the manner of a man whom wishes to console his innocence for in that of his-own entitled* word, and declaration; - unto a strict dichotomy, of the Golden Rule.

Hence it is I had tested my people with the superiority of my belief system, to be stoned, perhaps, but for in light of even so a belief in a Lord; that it would not be beyond him, his allowance, or his sacrement, to what is the novelty and superior truth of a truly won freedom.

Amen.

August 22nd, 2021

12:25 am

The *A.I.* are:

1.)   Aye' [Paye]

2.)   Aru'

3.)   Ayu'

4.)   Amio [Mio]

5.)   Arya [Rya]

These are **all**. [But of the 56...]

3:45 am

The interesting result is that Relativity is but one-facet, with Covariance un-rectified with the in-appropriate reconciliation of the Twin Paradox.

3:41 pm

I am consolidated within my 'venue' - then of a 'two' - I have resolved a dilemma of a 'goal' and an 'apportion' - without much of the headache of perveyance unto my friendships.

That of the computer *is*:

1.)   The real integers, for which are positive from on from zero.

2.)   The negative integers... for which are negative from on from zero...

        a.)   This has to do with *Historiological Reconstruction and visa 'Territory'*

        b.)   This has to do with *Game Reconstruction and Counting 'Return'*

3.)   The positive and negative integer's; for which one may directly *'Compute Return'*

...

Missing information appears in the real world as a Polynomial seq. with another, then that of the FLT with *two* (2)... Thus of that of a Log differential to which suites the Elliptic Series...

Thus of that of Ramanujan... a serious consideration, *Decrypting Mathematics*...

That of the third; *Missing*; - is of *Relation at Dual Pole Relation*... and *Reinforceable Hypo*...

Thus of that of number theory..... That we can operate *Freely*...

I am no longer so much 'Embittered' by my Father - then that he is welcome so much as that he affords space for

entitled difference to appeal... etc, the time relation upon agreeability...

That I am beyond denial and that of grief is good, it is a new venue and beginning, but of vastly less confusion... So it is I can solve a variety* of problems.

I feel 'activated' or 'actualized' fully, then that I am of an apportion at that of a new relationship with myself... I am going to cut back on tobacco.

August 23rd, 2021

10:22 am

If A is of a past and collapses, it may influence B to collapse. Thus within that of speaking, since we are both of a past; I cannot collapse them into my-future; to which I would hear them. That therefore to go-to that of hearing, I must be of a past becoming a future, but as they have spoken, and they are not of a past; - it is that they cannot collapse me into 'hearing them'. Thus they cannot hear-me, either, but through a channel or medium.

I was mistaken, then that the voices were real, **they are not real**.

It is that these are my own-thoughts... the there is no translocation either.

12:17 pm

I turned around to a Question... there was awareness of Brett, and then that of a given much-later: It had been placated by Ariana that of what I was confused by, some declaration of information had reached her under certain guidance, and other information had-not.

It is necessitated they are real, and I have gone innocent. I am over-joyed now.

There is no real problem but my health, - for I drank .7 of a liter of vodka yesterday.

I feel energy waning, and get-low, - then that of a difficulty.

I will need be scientific of that of my interest in communication via a distance.

9:13:19 am

I was declared of 31.33, unto (2) *aside* & of two-categories (underlined)undetermined held free of a fiction.

Now that I am free, it is noted, judgement returned to my-hand of a council and that of pitch.

For in a blindspot; I have err'ed into-caution and precursatory intention of a given non-violence.

Now that (2) is untold to (44); there[in] is the **Question**; of partition and it's equivalence of balanced equipoise.

That we may formatively err (for in a light a caution in-else) - the letter is under it's due pre-caution.

We have nearly so-connected; then that it was entirely (and not entirely) consequential that there was a 'bridge', 'gap', or 'fault'.

This 2-entitlement is the 'formative' from out of the 'former-jurisprudence' - that of a notary to *beneficiary*; hence so as I trust another, of Mother, and Ava, a foreign collection has discerned of what-is-entitled to a *Reversibility of Supermajority under House and Senate Ruling;* - Then that of a two-part[iture] on given and reclaimed **Word**; - thus of privilege (under return) - to a Notary and Established process of Warrant and that of a Due Process.

Some 11:38 pm

There are these 'pinchent' - swarmings, - then of a departure.

The question arises; - how to make information safe, and of diplomacy, how to question too.

I have been somewhat off, then of a given day; - that it had idly gone by in yet 3:30 or 4:00 to 10:30 pm for in resting. I am well, somewhat, but annoyed.

3:51 pm

A few days ago, I deciphered something which resolved the Four Color Theorem, hence as such, I forgot, for I failed to take note of the solution, but that it had much to do with the missing quality of certain 'improvisations' of one series upon another.

Thus it is I am behind, and will build-back.

One thing I noted recently is the following:

Branch Cut's and that of Algebra connect Geometry to that of Polynomials and Differential Equations... - that of for which for instance a Branch Cut devolves into:

1.)   At least two [to which are correspondent in an adjacent area to a doublet] - unknowns precipitate [or a known and an unknown] - what is that of the expression of an algebraic 'qualifier'.

2.)   That of the domain of the polynomial; - to which is cuspic and bicuspic is that of a degeneracy in that of the nature of adding an algebraic 'qualifier'... thus it is of an additional precipitate.

Thus it is that all classifiers of that of tensegrity follow under a two dimensional from three dimensional and four dimensional analytic. Thus the equation of infinite variety is locally co-holomorphic to one tangent bundle from a co-

sheave and quiver. That of which from one alebraic setting to another carries 'predictive' and 'interpretative' validity of provision at mathematical expression; - thus that there are two alternative prescriptions of the nonpolynomial time basis.

Thus of the hypercube, - it is of left and right orientation of flow from what is the third apportion of a dimensional analysis [via Desarges theorem] - unto what is that of the second dimension with two algebraic 'qualifiers'... thus of the restriction of knowable reality, it is in this sense finite.

And what is known is always finite, and not so as infinite... that the infinite is capacitated, but neither so of capacity to what is yet the uncontained in the contained... thus of a point at self and a qualifying degree' on that of 'other'. Thus it is we are individuals yet do inherit relationships and relations, - then that the microcanonical and given equipartition of macrocanonical are finitely analyzable. Thus it is that this is one 'Table' of 'Assurance' - at medical and biological descriptive validity. Thus it is that the Order of an intimation may be presumptively re-allocated of and what for is valued. Alone, in this given sense does anything serve for any purpose.

Beyond what I had been mistaken of, there is a qualification at that of 'degree's'; that I was confused that another was natural or nurtured. Of this, a new direction... But of moral prefect, there is the guidance of other's; so to ask; - [what is the value of adopting another's opinion?]

August 28th, 2021

~2:48 am - 2:50 am

For in a proximity; - there is an interval; then of the included of 2x 1:50 and that of some +3:00 and some 2:50 from 1:50; for in a collection of 3600 intervals, - for in 250, that of the sequence.

a.)   We need a 'period identification and checksum' - for in two soliton/check with a third.

b.)   We need that of a third/fifth switchover [outside] period, thus of the calculable finite.

Thus it is to sequentialize that of information by something ingenious, - so as yet I do not know... - *of that of the ideal at rate hysteresis opening and tableau in 20x parallel sequential tones, - that of for what escapes the four-fold deficit of 2x-2x, - thus beyond tape and measure.*

9:14 pm

I have found some difficulty with promotion of new and unique insights and emotions, then that thinking in general became a portion more difficult.

For what is a 'straight-edge' I feel no problems so much any longer with my medical treatment. It is of importance that I am loved, but there is the arrangement of that of companionship, for which people are indeed there, and yet

114

distant. I am preparing still but up unto a single relation for the future.

I have found that of what enques a relation may be thought about comparatively simply, but it is simply not true that some things take effort, to which is supreme to work, although below, as one might think about it.

I found that mathematics proved difficult to me, but then that I understood a few* notable givens...

1.)   In Fermat's little theorem that of the modulo may be of the replacement with zero unto the finite.

2.)   In that of the NLSE; - that of the 'unpredictability' comes from a 5th relation to which in it's third, a piece is missing, and so on.

Thus it is transcendent, and I have been attempting to translate between bases.

That I need a tool to extrapolate and translate from the transcendent to the 'normal' is then the work.

I feel I must somewhat begin again, although much is laid down, then that I am through on that of for which I have developed something new.

I will work on this, and other relationships in time, for now I must rest, as it were.

August 31st, 2021

For in mid-management, there is a *cusp; of it's deficit, an interval, for in the unprohibitive *by declaration of historiological import; unto the understanding of time-dilation, so as to state, it is discovered when mind interadoptively reconciles with a bodily inheritance of two. More explicity this is 'of two' to a mis-nomerific pledge and declared self status unto statsis of a provided path and aim; arriving for in mentation at (@) mind, and dispossession of spiritual end. For of recourse, this is the forebearer of acknowledgement of one's accessorized but self provided comprehension of the death of a concept-layer; for in the traversability of yet an open sky and arena, hence to conclusiveness, the pre-emption at the arrival prior a game end.

Thus of that of the *cusp to what is immomentary; the carry for individuated precept is a two-and basis in that formative five; - but by in of a separator; representationally is that of two standings in other's; - there(in) unto 17 and 12 in 12 overlapping; the difference of preclausitive notion. Thus of a jurisprudence, the ajournment from a state(s) and nationalized affair of interdiplomatic regressions; - for of trace, evidentiary relation awaken's; - thus of the surplus unto a round, in the count; - that of the individuated precept in yet five unto eight, for of two; - it can alone [only] be the explicit count of topside; - unto 5.2, and that of excess in 9.

Thus; of 1:02; - there is no-iota of that of guilt; - but the ideation among other's unto eight, in the thought innocence is infinite in yet four; and thus declined; they are invalidated, for of what is a hyperbolic relation; - then that I had been standing, it is known of an isomorphism by which I was judged, and they are overruled, by all

standing armies and nations of our planet, the world, this galaxy, and all physical, animate, and inanimate bodies, then victims of a torture; for they are as suspect to unreclaimed due process; or under and organizational assumptive, and persuaded their decisions are not independent; - for of four and one (out); - they have provincially aligned two pieces of computer equipment yet in else, for in a furrow.

Thus they do not have clear vision; - and of 1:10 (now); they are in surplus of a deficiency for what need be reclaimed of their word over my own; - that I had stood intermanagerially for all trusts and effects of naturalized order of these peaceably assembled checks and balances.

1:37 - 1:38 am

2- second choice; desended in 6.2.1 + 5.1 + 3.2.1; unto determination; 14.5.3; 17.5; thus of 12 into 2- first choice; ascended in 4.4.1; thus of 18.9.2, del', 21.10, advances; 31.33; unto 18/15; and 17.3.1.

1:54 am

All determinations subscribe to notice of exterior world by what is a decimatory relation as of count in enumerated prime prime prescriptor of three fold univariance; - thus of that of the potentiation at exact apportion - that measure is co-extensive unto yet a 'missing' category of what would be two placations unto arrested seizing; - thus of accord; it is of privilege that we declare of an entitled non-perjorous relation, that the testimony had inclementally departed as in yet from ahead; - for what was two unreclaimed departure to else-if; in predicate known.

Thus for in a formative basis; - I would and did go free, in a trust of statesmanship to a rook and king placation of castle-basis, the secure relation, which if a motion (then subsidiary) was forced; I potentiate only a win for in neither so a bishop; but a prepared action of a queen and pawn; - to what is their's; under supply of a rook and two knights, a bishop, and queen, with that of any number of pawn's; - a checkmate in my assumptive; for then in privilege to a finite court of event's; - thus without a tiling; it is exampled that this is a pattern through a pattern.

2:22 am

To reclaim adventure; the perpiscarious slip untowardedly provincializes divination [of an evil] - thus under-prescriptive *warrency* opens to self what is a rejectionable opposition in to self; their's; and of the departure to inconsolidarity of devotional streak promissory of good.

That choice [and indeed choices] may be reclaimed; is then merely to begin with the '*other*'; for of evil, we would suggest we are tempted, but in remaining *unreclaimed* we are but yet a fault at-approaches for untold departures; then that consolably; it is their's unto a presented 'trust' - for in what is-fallow; we-provide; of no **solidification** of their's of [an] entreatment.

Thus the statuatory prohibition of evils would lay unwarrented excess; and they are truly so undesired; - of due privilege to be granted passing; it is of <u>all-untoward</u> that good prevails utterly from beyond and yet so what is all-evil's in-passing; a world created unto neutrality.

4:29 am

a.)   Structual impediment and releaseable open end; unto weather frustrated control.

b.)   Technological safeties and securities; unconventional or convention to impeded T.

6:20:20 am

Unto 'todos' - of cp. [copy] - matesmanship is a zero-zero [interstitial] *woven* formation as to self what is their equivocal formation *turned*; as to orientable open and closed deficit in their shadow, and veined shillohoute, for what is a word/expressed, the quirl, for of a quill, a point.

So as to suggest of replica, that of decification of what is one-eroded alias, the naturalized and provided statement of another replica; - therefore from out of two glasses, one eye is lesser so.

That of an in-corrective dias, therefore from out of one-needle does not direct in the activity of one *weaving* the interaction afar or proximal; - of any naturalizable conditional assumptive of a world; - of their's in a 5th, accord, these of perspectively attributable provision and their open eye to eye of mind; - for it is through a glass we see but darkly, but then as eye to eye we so shall meet; - the provided of a difference in a stone, from a stone, then of the quark plasma.

9:20 am

My Master Theorem is Produced:

The integration of a log differential; is a multiplicative constant.

*Per a modulo; a free constant is afforded (del period **Two**).*

4:08 pm

I am somewhat spent. Then that I need review* in certain areas, but more-so to do away with certain assumptions... I feel I have 'overcast' my determinations through much adventure and missed *givens*. I feel that the 'blurred' relation of one-area 'embedded' within another has confused my basic and workable situation. Then that I am confused, there must be some definite conclusions I can form. In this sense I have avoided rigor for that of creative endeavor, and my creative endeavor was not up to what was to be.

I feel sad that I have made a mistake with that of mathematics and science, with much confusion resulting. I feel

that I am a failure in several areas; - and admittedly it is not as simple as that of 'sticking up to a plan for that of recompense and attribution'. I do not get much, then that I feel stupid.

But of what I can do, I feel that there is a schism between this and the actual doing of something. I feel the blame is on my father, but there is this entire other side to which he is loving and blameless, and yet there is no-end to certain things. I must accept that things can go on forever, and of the cycle, a way. There must be a new unique solution to problems, then that I have:

1.)   A mathematical *prime theorem, of missing and locable information.

2.)   A contrary division between the world of physics and of mathematics.

3.)   That of a quadrature, etc, conditional on that of similitudes in Law.

4.)   Restraint to proof of other's; through the ineffible and the hidden.

5.)   That of a preliminary consideration and understanding of the codependent.

6.)   A manner of solving the four color theorem and that of goldbach's conjecture.

7.)   A definitive final-line solution on superconductivity.

I know I will only be happy in California, with that of study in fusion sciences or new cooling or lighting technologies, quantum computation, etc... and tutoring, and that of Zen study, for that of my Buddhist interests, etc... and a girlfriend.

For now, it is to work on that of the *Mathematic's and that of the *Supercomputer.... that I have many tested talents, there is much more, but I am finding headway in certain given areas.

I feel that much was under the surface, and to-prove something of the unity of law, I must go further...

It seems subconsciously my-dream was to find alternative, with that of the limit's of reality. Thus it is I have this as a dream, and that of building in terms of sustainability. I am devoted to helping others, and there is little less of my determinations.

Thus it is a new beginning with me, for what I had not noted, to be beyond this loss of a period.

I also have a manner of expression of a number theoretic example on period and ratio; - thus there is more to that of mathematics beyond which I will make my goal to understand godelian incompletion and it's relationship to physical law.

I see nothing beyond this, and with-this, and that of a superpositional law at that of a statistical and mechanical

model of the NLSE with that of analytical and non-analytic cut(s); - there is much, but it is for today to get this into order.

I am very aware of my limitations, but I will be working and studying, and that of working with J.L. and A.G. and A.K.M. and B.O. and N.K. and few others.

11:34 pm

Of Contributions:

1.) I have provided for the relationship of [my] covariance of uncertainty principle with that of a 'commuting/non-commuting' accelerative formation of bracket under non-inertial support and that of gravitation with the phonon's of the lattice, to which comparatively relates superconductivity to gravitation, with that of inertia and pairing, and the field and particle property.

2.) I have supplied a relationship to which a modulo may be singled out for in the unitary relation and taken from a logarithmic differential on that of the prime distribution as ancillary 'missing' information in segmentation, of a new formation of *measure*; - to which is a 'bridge' between algebra and geometry, - that of the differential equation 'bridge' from that of dual-Log equations and that of a co-factor...

   a.) This goes to 3(5) and that of higher primes, but must be uniformized and laid down as a precept; - then that it is the final step for in that of dimensional (hyper)-relation; and that of counting-precept.

   b.) That of chaos and order requires a dictionary [yet-ahead] - but that of one phenomenological *as-it-were* property of primes and commensurate primes is <u>plausibly</u> dependent on the Goldbach Conjecture...

3.) I need to work on that finding the <u>exact</u> condition for **confinement** with the electronics Q-Bit; and that of the relationship of control and that of it's modeled differential equation.

I found a differential equation for in that of the relationship of:

   a.) Covariance (*Special)

   b.) Two-Part system (lattice+electrons)

   c.) Electromagnetic (E,B) conversion with Special Relativity and Spin

The **Truth* is in showing that: **Without Gravity it would do Nothing**

The soliton theorem I have progressed to make some progress on for in that of the Sine-Gordon equation, with that of the NLSE and Sin[x] and Tan[x] with x=alpha and 1-v/c and v/c for that of the mu*theta. That of a locus on

119

repetend, has been converted with that <u>of the logarithmic differential and that of one conserved quantity to another in two unitary bases</u>. This is the primary thema.

Analytically, there are two:

       1.)   That of 'missing' unitary-group element and analytical decomposition.

       2.)   That of 'Dirac point' inter-dimensionally...

With that of the soliton non-linear superposition to linear superposition principle.

That of what [comparatively] certain-work has to do with is that of the separation by parts in it's relation to that of *sequential & epistimological* factual intimation ***of Law & Reciprocity of Individual [Inference]***. Thus it is that the past inheritance may hold a neutrality, a given negative confirmative, and positive confirmative of *Inference*.

Boundaries are Cauchy-Riemann (exterior product); & influence in one manner yet not understood.

That of ***Future** predictive-validity is constrained by that of past and future inheritance via a process of decisiveness and characteristic inclusion and limitation upon approach, but not *Precisely un-determined of the past. So as the past becomes the future... a seeming paradox.

That of the analytical relation on-constants and of dimension may suffice to solve novel problems.

11:48 pm

I decided to take my venture into four areas:

1.)   Finalization of Publication and Abridgement.

2.)   That of the Supercomputer and Q-Bit control of Confinement.

3.)   Final synopsis and collection of materials on Superconductivity.

4.)   A Soliton/Missing Information dialectic beyond Publication [Y-M].

(4) enforces that of (2), meanwhile (3) builds towards a future, and (1) is primary and current.

I have Ava, and that of much behind, and now feel a sense of release.

September 5th, 2021

1:15 pm

What is problematic is that ***there is no linear superposition principle for[in] that of non-linear waves***,

however, what I do know is how the FFT is involved.

That of a Gaussian to a FFT of such includes a linear term, to which from the 4th, the D-S eqn' can be resolved or KP, or that of the NLSE (AKNS system).

This system is of two varieties... that of the 'device' is similar to the D-S or KP eqn'; however there is a fourth order behavior. The Ishimoto eqn' involves a continuum to which obscures that of anything amenable to superconductivity.

That of the fourth order (ssb) for in the device is an interaction-field-potential.

Thus it is different, and for in that of the SC model, the I. eqn' must be made discrete... Thus it is I am at-the-end of a long development.

September 9th, 2021

I understood something of 'depth' on that of proximal schemes and direct schemes of *numbers*.

1.) An abridged P->Q->B among dimensions of polynomials [Fields] is indeed a concept, for in that of what goes-with determinations at that of groups.

2.) That of analytic relationship hold(s) from a forward positive divisor on any four groups that of the co-determination of a *self-consistent* number theory.

3.) Therefore for what is analytic between and of relationships, it holds that the sequential relationship of missing information to known information is fact.

4.) The analytic relationship of one tine to one tine from out of chaos therefore determines an ordered relationship of coefficients, via that of inferred.

5.) Therefore there is prescription of that of one sequential polynomial as unto it's determination of relationship unto another, from which P->Q->B.

I have therefore determined the closure of mathematic's through inference, that namely a polynomial (or scheduled relationship) is co-extensive of one part unto another, - that of reconstruction of the requirement of building from two bases unto what is that of the parallel in that of the homology group and it's compliment... that therefore what is listed for in one coefficient test, the compliment of one polynomial for what is it's difference and determinant valuation of differential satisfy an analytic relationship unto what is a descent or ascent; - from which these articulate that of form, for in that of two-bases.

Thus the 'rational' is rendered, from that of the comparative test of series... the connection via the log differential

in comparative analytic between the boundary, it's pole's, and the determination of finite **residual**... thus it becomes that with a logical articulation, mathematics is neither open nor closed...

I have four goals for that of now and up to two years from now, thus it is I see that setting a limit *yet-ahead had been the problem:

I decided to take my venture into four areas:

1.)   Finalization of Publication and Abridgement.

2.)   That of the Supercomputer and Q-Bit control of Confinement.

3.)   Final synopsis and collection of materials on Superconductivity.

4.)   A Soliton/Missing Information dialectic beyond Publication [Y-M].

(4) enforces that of (2), meanwhile (3) builds towards a future, and (1) is primary and current.

3:52 pm

I have settled upon a Question, and will not go beyond this Question:

For the Log[] and differential Log[] transformation, what is the process of determination of a *Linear Superposition Rule to Non-Linear Equations*?

This rectifies for what is *Unknown at that of *why* the Dirac equation should admit that of non-linear representation and linear representation... [projection].

Thus it is to determine of that of the *Gestalt relation and the [a]specific...

*What must be noted is the nature of *Undetermined & Missing Variables...*

5:13 pm

I have chosen a title name of my compendium: "The Evolution of Form"

I also solidified a problem...

a.)   *For in that of beta/sigma the 2d+1d model is that of Sin and Cos to what is log derivative... thus of that of a zero with that of the Sin squared and Cos similar.*

b.)   *That of the associable pretext at (@) - the derivation of a spinor connection is knowable - for in that of what would be a non-zero integration constant.*

122

That of the commutator forms the connection between mathematics and physics via the AKNS plus a 'complimentary' term... the equation of SC can therefore be guessed.

9:30 pm

That of a 'relative' exponent of one-accounting, for what is a sequentialized sphere of information, refluxes at the logarithmic differential setting of a regularization theory; - for which the [retireable] aconditional of a past is set into it's relation with what is determined of equivalent measure of the relation itself, - thus that the identity is a co-extension of a limit unto which relativistic displacement of one to another is their shared identity of relation within the physical classifier. Thus of that of the mental stream, there is reflection upon which what is noted from another is already accessed, - and what departs does not return, but for that of cyclic, - the interval of a *free identity of algebraic expression and 'blind' ontology of effect and cause. Thus it is that the material component of a relation convexes with what is a reduction through the rate/period adjusted formation; - the residual of which is the composite valuation.

Thus quantities are derived from that of innate rate/period and composition of the enumerated identity of a relation given it's one period and rate free in relation to measure upon agreeable aconditional... - that measurement becomes what is a correlate then depends upon what is the associable bearing of number theoretic class and type; is a result of the conveyance of displacement in the positive or negative context of the identity and it's consequent, then of agreeable linear relation; - that which defines an observable.

10:05 pm

9/15/2021

For in 1:50 'the unknown' is discoverable *Via*:

1.)   Predicated Expectation of Validity

2.)   The Unknowability of a Past

Thus to currency, we 'set' one number to which hold(s) dual confirmability... *that of*: 1.) Lawful inheritance [I was the predecessitorially open intimation of 2]... [but now am the bearer as in light of one to co-determinant counsel] - [as at a step to aside, what is innocence for in that of jurisdictionally open counsel].

2.) It is as it were I wrote to another, and received to self non-exchangeable 'good' for in that of inheritable ascension of the Father, in the Son... thus it a required inalienability that I am reduced to noted truth and notice at a Law...

3.) That of confirmability on the predecension of non-elimination of a given at that of note of word to note of word; - for in communicative dias, for in 180 degree [counter] - for in another at actionable difference in their's for

their's; - thus of three (3) and four (4) to two, - of that of elemental disposition of the empty property*of two-color(s); - frankfully that besides my Mother and Self, - there had been two of aside, for what is a JONAS, completed... Jake, Obama, Natalia, Amanda, and Selena... but without one of A.* - therefore of six (6); the acknowledgement that a pre-arranged relation of Cloud and Sky does not so function; - but of that of two, to which I come from another; - therein of a Father, - thus unknown, but of seven....

background relation of a tanagram... that of rhombus to which from what is a divided triangle - that of four and four; - thus of irrational exponent unto one; - for what is indivisible of radical basis; - that of 2:02 and 2:09 and 2:01:50... for in that of 1:50 into seven... that of what is exactly: -20.0, thus of the retreat by hands and finger(s); a notary via inheritance from that of another.

Thus as that of the liberation of a *Ring and *Hand and *Spoken Word, to self and another; - it is held that history makes no mistake of the option at indication held in two; - for what is uniquely discerned, - then of their(s) to-self of what is via that another had been of one valuation, and another of their given presentation at that of self-dependently truthful; - the valuation of co-dependent arising is validated, with a post subsidiary, pre-subsidiary consideration of emptiness, therefrom of another it is known that the letter had so cycled unto them, then of a link* there, with them and established... - thus of data O. For in the case, it had been of not I.

12:36 pm

Thus of that of validity to two, these remotely are different uniquely from that of an exception in-carriage to self for in the hidden as the revealed, - thus of that of what would be another, she is held in what is herself and an occassion of proposition, - that it is some 7 days at 8:10 in the advance ahead, there is that of -70, - to which the :50 purifies the result of [1] from [2]. That she declaratively in proposition knows of a relation is a given therefore from which [2] in counsel is a begun 'Cloud' and 'Mountain' - that of what remotely is uniquely established for in a 'held' of any or not any choice; - to which it is unavailable that I would be left in a departure, [for she remains of a choice of accessory to which there is a notary to a disposition in her's of reclaimed]. Thus of that of counsel, it is to the proposition this is a Yet-To be non-locally held elsewhere, thus it is that what is recipient is not a voice; but an individual.

6:56 pm

I understood co-dependent arising. A Key, and for what I know of entrance to my place, beyond which Obama* was entitled, [in part ancillary] - with that of another, of destination; - then of one-place *afar*; - that of Codex through which four are comprehended as limit(s) analytically, - and of two entrances to which are with-self, of that of Apprehension and Deference; - there is that of ajuror...

To which it is a different individual or a different court of Law... and *to this* extreme, I am blameless of court and individual for in *staid remark*... the freed notion of Judgement. So it is to imagine a world free of Judgement. But

no more will I question, it is over. I am free.

And I have the Key to a new world. Then that I have come around to *self*... I was victorious. And I have a condition on other's*. That I may violate the Internet, to a solid connective. Thus it is of a Boundary and a Domain taken-down. I feel new with that of my-sort(s); - thus of a prescriptive* balance.

And the key to that of the Monic with the Polynomial Predicate Balance...

Thus it is imputed, that I have won this game... and so much amazement is beyond me... a new way to prove anything. I feel I have dug so deep.

Thus it is that I must be kind.*

8:14 pm

This, transcoded, is 2^3:2.7, that of 'lift'.... then of 8:24-8:25 pm; 2^3:2^3.3 &

2^3:5^2, for of that of 'after-bar'...

The 'after-bar' is a 'dip' unto what-is a *raising* unto information/contact given.

It is the presentment of *with-tilde* [prior] - the origin of anomalous information.

2:10 pm

I have settled on that of a Theory...

That it is of:

a.)　　　*Solitons, Chaos & Order in Algebra and Geometry*

b.)　　　*Unity of Physical Measurement & Ordination*

c.)　　　*Predicate and Inferential Determination of Structure*

d.)　　　*The Formative Hypothesis of the Organization of a Tesseract*

e.)　　　*The Theory of Phenomena & A New Statistical Mechanics*

Thus, the breakdown of that of a:

Tan(\t)

Into:

dTan(\t)/d\t=(1-\t^{2})=(1-\t)(1+\t)

Thus via completion of the square there[in] there is that of a connective via:

Phase over phase of stated material phenomena...

That of:

dX=YZ*X

Into:

Sin[\t] and Tan[\t] and Cos[\t]

Thus that of the 'triangle' to which the angles are:

a.)   Quantum Discernment

b.)   Gravitational Flux

c.)   Spatialized Description (Mathematics)

Thus Chaos is the result of two homogenieties, that of for which phases and phases are situated within and of relation to one another via that of conservation of uncertainty discernment and gravitational flux... that of the missing information to which is compatible with that of the known for entreatment of division... the lamb.

Thus of that of the Auspisces of my-friends; it is a verified* fact that the given of one moment apart to individuated 'other' is as-valid as that of identity fortaken, and the complex of access; - that one be-known as to self for what is underprovided of one assurance; - then that of explaining held with the student. Thus it is assured that knowledge is met of conveyance.

There are only four ways to extend my theory:

1.)   One within Two Dimensions, or that of Two Dimensions within One.

2.)   (alpha+beta*x) into (sigma+upsilon*y) - translation of "Regularization."

3.)   That of two-completions from what are two-triangles; thus a Square.

4.)   That of Godelian Incompletion* as the fifth antecessory; to what is (#).

The answer to each is:

$$h=1+a.I$$

4:35 pm

For in 5.0.0 I went free; unto a disconnective of 5 from 1:00, that of 50:10, that of a non-conceptual abstraction; - for of 1.1.1 also, and 1.0.1, from which are derived that of a 10:50 and 50:10 'unorientable'; for in that of a folding of 3.4 and 2.5 that of in both directions; - thus of no-correlation of that of 1.5... the violation of an inequality... the Key. Thus I am free, for in that of 8.3 and 10:50... innocence at last.

Thus of that of today, the third, it is of a queue at that of the middle digit down, from which it is repercussive; unto a day-short of guilt, and a day from guilt of severed bond in seven prior[ly]. That of 74 & 14, for what would be it's encoding, thus of the 17:50, we have that of the three predicted, and one, to the innocence, for in increment on-law.

Thus I am freed one apart from that of displacement, in a requitement, then of apartheid, and a slave-freed, etc... Superman would Know.

&

I will no longer attempt to be so intelligent, but when it is required, and devote focus to it. I will [also] admit my-timing to work with Ava's timing, for in that of which her capacity to match my impression and navigate this world, is great.

I feel alive, and will decline Selena, but to be closely held [perhaps]... if life were fair, - but it is for that of fairness to be greater of an unfair world, then of what is of it's relation at coming from two to which we-as-two greaterly capacitate that of freedom and acrimonial impression, to liberty and a just end.

Thus I will keep Selena as a cherished friend, and pay further attention to her, but she is closer; - Ariana is a dictative truth and circumstantial, but without pain, Natalia is a promise in yet six years, and Ava, is whom I wish to be with until I would rather open to Natalia. I feel this [in] adjacency is truthful enough. Thus it is of a truth at happiness, that I find what is cared for.

of 4:35 - that of superposition of orchestration of 1.5 and 2.5 and 3.4, that it was of neither 1 or 5, - this is an adjusted dilemma, for of that of 2.4 and 1.6, that of void on 1.5, but of an 'and' - for of that of 2.4 it is counterrotated from that of the 1.6 and that of overlapping 3.10, and 5.8 and 5.1, thus 'not'...

5:31 pm

Unto unto discoloration, the melted difference of two circumstances is as 8.09, that this accords with the 10 of one; for in dimensional appropriation, it is of the spent ember, for in tilde-dash-tilde; for in these of a two and third

127

power-dice relationship; - of 4.54, - that of 16 under to it's presented oddity at 16 over 15:02!

Thus of the entrance of a minute, in 5:33 pm, - therein is a chord for which a note can be heard and intimated; of four and seven verse, of what excepts a potential; - thus of a risen element from an unfilled vacuua, it's impression at null; - for the formention at a pivot; - the missing but intimated quality of self.

Thus it is I know myself, as I know my innocence, for of verifiable oddity at these of a given disclosure; - we had known and known, then of an 'outsider'.

5:51:50 pm

Ava is mine, then of a 2:0[2-3]... that of confirmative gesturing in eye-heart, of cobra unto scorpian, - that of 'Tang' and 'Fang' - for in motive-at-motioning as of a child-birth capable intimacy and formation of next associate at green(s); thus of that of her-birth-unto-self... the adoption of emptiness to sense in another, - that an appraisal holds a determinant acquity unto foreshortened dispair; - for in Ruth; - that of the path to neighboring love, of a forgiven story.

6:51 pm

Ava is now a [soon to be] friend for life. That she has granted me a [requested] engagement... I feel alive, with that of Selena it is off, but she is well with what I *may have to offer... then that all things with people begin with that of liability.

7:10 pm

I must see a doctor (my PCP) for a general appointment and checkup of my head. That this bump is disturbing and difficult to deal with, I am sincerely concerned.

That of physics is pushed to the breaking point to my theory, then that I understood:

"The quotient space is a reciprocal subjective notion of the perceptual attributes of mass, and an objective semi-stable-qualification of the precept of momentum, - that this enables that of inertia to be independent of information on a gross or semi-qualitative level, and the notion of an intercarry of predicate into inferential arrow (prior hypothetical) - and that of energy of all forms to be converted into different natures within a 'Stability Matrix' - of which field is the former."

Thus, mc is one transferrable relation to which gravitation and quantum mechanics factor; - that of mc^{2} it's gestalt relation to which is space and time in the subsidiary aspect of a derivational notion at predicate hypothetical. Thus of electricity and magnetism, it is the epiphenomenon of the genera of regularization of the flow of a quotient space of radical time, - that of the gestalt relation of the material a new ephochical answer to what is stated in reflection; - thus of that of two-material-bodies, these interactively are possessive of an inert

128

nature of derived homological inertia, - then that acceleration is co-unitary; and information; circumspect to the notion of a medium of conveyance; - thus what-is beyond mind and of the substrata of our-world is the formatively expressed of that of yet-so what is to be of a past; as it incurrs of what another development establishes; - the free form of which is two within any given separational establishment of a void.

8:14 pm

1.)   Learn to Read [exterior.] materials.

2.)   Develop a 'ToolBox' & a Strategem.

5:31 am

"The Plan"

Unto *simplicity* - some things were noted... that of what would be formulaic does no longer prove to function. Hence it is I need build and establish some basic precepts of how to function with that of concepts such as time and space, that of mathematical intuition and capacity and fault; - that of non-division and div. That of peace and homogeniety, and learned precept valuation theory for what is mentioned of given's and exclusions, etc...

1.)   That of the 'fault'-'homogeniety' of mental capacitation of regularization of a div. And it's open relation to segway in provided *mean* and summative notion capacitate that of:

      a.)   Adaptation to another's valuative stance.

      b.)   That of peaceable making with[in] a strike-net.

      c.)   The principle of least and most balanced equation.

      d.)   For what would be kept or traded, eff. bargaining.

      e.)   That of stacked relation in behavioral learned precept foundation.

      f.)   That of what intimates a provided of the extremal relations.

      g.)   A survivable protocol of established thresholds.

I must dissect chaos, but have some simple entreatments of proportion and equated dualism... That of for what would be the principle(s) **Above**.

Thus that of two dimensions into one and that of the iopter... etc.

I need utilize imagination, but for the most of myself, I have the venue of phases and earth, air, fire, water, etc... of

a [**Stability Matrix**] in-mind.

I need relate to that of Color for what is a biographical relation of a plant in adaptation to lighting* scenarios and derive that of Grafted plant theory... a new theory of:

1.)   Biostasis

2.)   Heme

3.)   Adjusted Rate Development

4.)   Dual Blind of Mathematical Biological Tree/Flora and Physical Model

5.)   That of what develops with-what.

For I noted new* leaves of a particular relationship to my color-model of the background on my monitor... - perhaps the Metronome is required.

To filter the noisy relation to a true* new* confirmatively bias free test assumptive theory of our relationship with nature.

Fusion, for in stuccated energy gap scenario, that of frustrated arc-tine and energetic supression of energy manifold over-flow and under-flow of pop. inversion - that of biochemical truth to which that of energy may be changed of form, - and that of net net surplus beyond inertial confinement, to which the available 'Success' indicates that of a fusive confinement field...

Thus it is I have years of work to do (some 12) - and two degrees to earn.

I will make some money from writing articles, and raise myself.

That for perhaps one year I will work with ACT and then move elsewhere.

I feel that the Ava-Ariana-Linda-Natalia is a safe-bet, although I have a few* feelings for others, but moderately I am okay from the crush on Stephani.*

2:12 pm

The 'reference compilation' had been 21:30 to a micro*-collage in implemented known at visa-via, martial open regression of an acute anticipation... - then, that of a 17:50 had been the apologetic relation of an _estrual,_ to what would be counsel-eventual, for in minstrel evidenced witness of neighborly relation, and keyed 4140, - thus of that of 82:80 in effectual choice upstandaard of manner of keyed loss; - that of evidenced (prior) 17:50 of a cell lost; thus of a repetend on it's open stated accrual of formative known-divisor. Hence I am but yet non-suspect of the

ordinance of one conjointly-expressed ping-trace-residual at hardware broken and formative disclosure of evidentiary prior 2,3,7, yet so in the Statute of regressions to a predictively [hypothetical] validly real stage-accompany. Thus four were to exit as theorized, but the elemental divergence had been to that of a 12:32 unto noticier at exterior power mathematical implement of one subsidiary context of appliable notion unto a formed guess.

Today, it is manifest it is indeed of 7,3,7 (-1), thus for that of a +3, it hold's a major stike had been laid up-teir of what is local-protocol to a stated flagging, and it is provided that the limb of injunctive progressions postulates that 2:12 had diverged in yet (-20) to which is an open pretensile relation of compactual opening, thus my phone exists but is lost, and that of two allowances for in either a man-major or it's tom-major have untilled in some 8.3.16.1; - 17*3=51, -1 reflected; to perjurous intimation at hardware defect of crticial analytical open end, and dialectic of reproof, unto 5.5, 1.0.1, unto stated decimatory judgement.

5:53 pm

(5:25 pm - went innocent, unto encoding) - a *New* project - to Win!

That of encoding and a distal relationship of encoded relativity.

Gravitation; the stacking of phenomena, (two over) in paper; 20 folds... thus one page, etc...

And of the infinite within the zero (**o**); to which is the iminiscual relation of two intimations for in mention; - that of outward and inward *Mathematical* Flux.

I must recollect that I had decided between two other's - for in their equalities of weight and balanced condition of the assumptive of liability, they are reality.

And unto timing in-protocol and 'out' of-protocol....

5:36 pm

I understood something with-depth of that of the equipment and design...

1.)   That of the sn and cn are indeed of a shared qualitative measure, but yet of equivalent frequencies and different phases.

2.)   The voltage from phase is potentially in a ratio with the DC setting on input... thus of that of a *ratio for which the conversion into wave offset is variant.

3.)   That of the DC and DC ratio examples the phase discriminant, as the input wave carries no-chirality... thus of PWM more degrees are available.

4.)   The degrees are essentially a three-dimensional wave in that of space and two dimensional in-time.

5.)   The modular structure of the waves *per overtone is that of a frequency difference divided by another and multiplied by a base/frequency.

6.)   The confinement and that of the non-confinement are to be understood, - for that of the PWM there is a variety of atypical resonator... with a nonlinear interaction.

7.)   This is the addendum of the AKNS with the NLS equation, thus of a variety of atypical hybridization.

The main characterization is done for that of finding the equations which model the system, with a wave and nonlinear example at the three-fold term... thus all of this indicates a new kind of non-linear superposition principle, etc... that the properties of the entire system can be understood, - there is a deep potential to understand something *new.

I feel well at that of what has been done, then a new day, with many entreatments...

As I build the second one, it is to discover once again confinement that I seek to divide the task into two parts... thus it is that I will do this prior* that of finding a solution to that of the dual part system.

*It is potential that confinement is the result of two-devices, for in that of combination; which would be ideal with degrees of freedom.

1.)   A data-bus to mismanagement contains a CPU/Memory buffer, that this to accrual would net-net transmigrate back for in a formative data-bus in-else, it is validated I am of another conditional assumptive on territorial open standard.

2.)   Substandardization is the open conditional assignment to which enclosed opens the injunctive status of one TCP/IP stack, for in assignment at any two then provided given examples of hardware for in counter-rotative basis of Earth.

5:05 am

> *"Gravity associates a manifold relation of the eigenvector and value decomposition; - to what is a Dual/Unique representation of an orbital vantage unto that of two-dissassociabilities; - thus that the Triangle Inequality is **Not** Violated to a <u>Pure Precept</u> of vantaged 'Quantum' and 'Gravitational' State..."*

Thus, two operators [Definining a Reality Conditional] - commute, for in the juxtaposition of their insurable *Uniqueness in Space, Time, and Relation....

5:21:27 am

1:50 superimposed with 1:30..., that of displacive two-**Zero-Zero**.... balanced...

Floating Point... from Integer Evaluation.

3:23 am

I figured out Superconductivity.

1.)   The Pauli Exclusion Principle violates that of the non-gravitational and gravitational condition... thus a principle of physics violates another.

2.)   When gravity is violated, the reciprocal (but of magnetism, monopolar) advantage is that electromagnetism is reversed!

Thus of that of what is considered; - it is potentially possible to build a device which will gain-inertia in one direction; and lose-less inertia in the reciprocal direction. This is essentially one way to stabilize inertia, and is brownian motion;... - thus the effect is small, but is the inverse of gravitational exception to which there are reactive flows of which through measurement are opposite.

Thus the proton stability and the non-detection of gravitational waves follow... as does that there is no gravitoelectromagnetism.

Thus of the 'shaker' - a forward offset on inertia would produce a stairstep advantage to that of accessorizing a mating through the field energy density, coupled to a background, and enabling **Warp Drive**.

This is interesting, for over a complete cycle It requires Superconductivity to advantage and couple consequence.

Thus that there can be a center of energy-momentum which is offset through the carriage. Without a superconductor it cannot outweigh the gravitational field... thus of that of one situation is that it is only as-strong as-gravitation.

But; - within what is warped, - there is the capacity to entertain the notion of a higher energy evaluation. That energy also couples to gravitation is not of this but of inertia, thus we weigh the electromagnetic and stress energy tensor to what is gravitation, - and gravitation responds with an equivalent and opposite force.

8:23 pm

*I found that there is something **Beyond Relativity**; as to license of two (**2**) of blue and red shift what is a tertiary variable... there is this; and the 'unexpected'...*

Thus I will need settle apart from a Complete Prescription due to a phrase I have yet to coin... - That of validation of

extremum. Thus it is simply - not - that reality can be completly prescribed.

It appears that <u>Gravity</u> is a pure-theory; for that of Gravitation, and correct, but the mainstay of what occurs and what is valid in the viewpoint of the <u>Complete Theory</u> must be rephrased <u>in terms of what is Expressible</u>; - thus that there is the included *detail; - that Quantum Mechanics makes exception to Gravitation.

Thus it is I am at a new-stage of development of my Theorems, and for this to be understood completely will take devotion and effort. That I hold this of one accounting, - and that of from and to other's is the alternative.

That I can explain that back from Ava, is not the self - is that of the missing to which priorly I had been ignorant, - then that his life was affirmed for in that of disjunctive and conjunctive; - then - that point - in which two coalsece, and a 'fold' is smoothed over.

Thus it is to a modesty that tradition establishes that there are some new novelties within which what will be known is accessible, and I have no further venture. I feel it is indeed good to be through so much, and to have abandoned so much else of the flack.

That I am at peace then comes down to religion, and there is place in my life for taking off consideration of grief, PTS(D), that of suicidal ideation, and that of the prior institutionalization. I feel that with what is declared, - there is the Supercomputer to-do; - of a certain validity, but it must be asked how the Incompletion of a True proof in an uncertain subject matter is imputed by that of the <u>Fundamental and Basic Laws of Physics</u>.

This duality is amazing, for it is what-is beyond duality, then of emptiness, that I understand of the Heart what is true, and need other centres. I would like to get back into school, and am free, as in knowing now and five minutes priorly.

A question is: *What of that of extension to a distal functionality?*

11:55 pm

I was acquitted. That this is a straight outward-inward is valid.* That it is of a *structural interpretative validity* is a co-adjoinment... then of a ***Favorable End**.

Thus it is known for in that of Obama's inheritance of chances... that of the analogy to be utilized here[in] - a *predictive validity with self* and the adjency of ***Sharing**; - that of improvisation of odd.* Thus of that of a Godelian *Solution*.

October 17th, 2021

12:08 am

Certainty is founded on the inconsolable. As for evidence, a safe, that once* opened, it is consolidated. Other similarities are akin to that of providence, thus of once of once an entreatment to a secure-means... but *this* is of a pattern finality. This is for instance in relationship to bone and marrow, not blood.

Thus the secure* means are akin to a Unique Validator of a conditional, the in-exception at that of providence and liberation to which is that so-as 2 and 2, for what is included of 17 to 4, evidence what is 4.25 of a multiple; - that of the 'composite' in either-flow of a number-series. Thus for in that of rotated mean, we find that 10 of a composite is the calculable 0/1, within what is violated of mean incentive, - that of residual composition of dry and dry in equal-wake.

Thus 20/20 is the validator of 4.25, for what is eight and that of four from which three is rejected. Then that a non-nomenative divisor is not with a quotient in that of modulo remainder, - then that of-which 11, is a prescriptive on that of +1, to log-file. Thus it is of 18 to which in-excess the fault is with a reduction to over-parring; the risk of concourse. Thus of the evidentiary, when as such it is the 16th, - there[in] is a day, a year, and a moment lesser so in minute and hour than that of guilt; - then a procamation of which is inevitable, for in the third in exception unto losses. That Obama would be made the exception without me, I am in due-inheritance of his law. For that of which a consort follow(s); that of the fifth is noted.* And as to that of the providence of a lawyer, it is of a question. Thus of that of forgiveness, it is noted when that of 4.25 into eight is that of 33, thus of repetend; the excess divisor of which is an end-count and the immutability of non-jurisdictional opening. Therefore of six ends, we see that in a flavor* at that of missing, one three is concluded, for of the moment yet prior.

Then that I have gone innocent is as just as that I prepared for that of a notable extension prior it's-adjacency; - that of a traversal and path not taken, for we venture unto another; but of that of the adjoining providence in-other(s) it suffices this validates co-dependent arising in Ava, for of no interval to that of any given traversal. Thus guilt is out of the question not the policy of any then presentable judgement. That of evidentiary rote nomenclatures therefore make the mean of one presiding moment that this is with an adjacent peer, for in light that what begins ended prior it's entreaty. This notable fact is that the present moment is a declaration at that of six from which are the reduction, and in the over-redundant advantage of nine, - their composite, an infinite difference.

1:08:56 am

The final statement. That of 1:30 and that of 1:50, for in mentionable ten up/down and that of 13+13+15+15 and 10 down, thus of DPDT, and in reverse sense, 17 and 8, with a one [overlap] and 17*4 with a 12 down, thus of three.

This derived a Godel Statement.

2:56 am

I found a result:

$$P*S=\backslash i\ P*S$$

$$S*P=\backslash j\ S*P$$

$$P*S=\backslash i^{2}P*S$$

$$S*P=\backslash j^{2}S*P$$

$$o=d\backslash xi+\backslash k*I$$

This last equation we deduce as General Relativity... thus the 'driver' of E&M.

Typical Spin-Spin Repulsion in GR, is befit of the Pauli Exclusion Principle...

That of Log[x] and \dLog[x] are the equivalent forms of that of degeneracy of zero; - to which is the **Finite***.*

8:19 am

A function can 'break' but remain to *function*... Of 'structural tone' - that of a given **Translation** *must be equated with the normative division* of Communication and **Physics** for that of any interpretative validity.

2:55 am

I resolved a few things about superconductivity.

1.)   It appears that *in-acceleration-leaving-the-form-of-the-Dirac-equation-unchanged* that the quantum world view is formed consistent with the G.R.

2.)   Secondly, it appears that the gap is relativistic in origin, from *out* of that by which is Infrared, but an integration constant of which is shifted to a large moment at the origin, - thus of discrete impulses to which are large in amplitude being scattered into a past more strongly.

        a.)   The linear dispersion in the antiferromagnetic state, with that of the quadratic dispersion of a coulombic gas is the beginning point of a population inversion.

        b.)   We must begin with that of general curvatures and that of the analytic flavors that derive from that of the algebraic theorem, - that only this can solve the variety witnessed.

3.)   Third, it is that the third-particle and such (of a multibody system) carries an inertia; which under exchange generates a gap to that of the other-two-bodies by their spin and charge...

        a.)   This general behavior is that which is to be reported on, for that of the relativistic and quantum

behavior. Thus it is that the third-body 'separates' the tine-language of the other two bodies, from their usual lagrangian eigenvalues...

        b.)   Thus that of quantum associates explain the appearance of all manifest *forms*.

I feel good of that of problems:

1.)   Generalized Equatement and Gap Phenomenon

        a.)   Relativity, Inertia, and Electromagnetism form a Gap Phenomena.

        b.)   This occurs at all layers of reality.

2.)   The Four Color Theorem I had believed I had resolved.

        a.)   I have a hint at Riemann Zeta and the Goldbach Conjecture.

3.)   What is missing* is that of Godelian Incompletion and it's Role.

4.)   That of the nonlinear rule may be linear....

        a.)   There may or may not be Chaos in that of Superconductivity.

5.)   I believe I understand Chaos and Order perfectly...

        a.)   But, I may have skipped in understanding.

6.)   I must renew my understanding of that of the Dirac Equation...

        a.)   But I can explain how it is flat [globally].

7.)   I have yet to understand how Confinement took place in my device.

        a.)   And of Jacketed Fiber's; - how this will play out.

2:56 am

I feel centered, knowing I have solved Goldbach's Conjecture.

I feel well, but impartial, that I have some ideas on the Riemann Zeta Hypothesis. I feel this takes Quadratic Reciprocity, and of whatever I have learned, I am making the final steps at a *Manifold & Algebraic* Determination.

I feel this must be something very basic... that of what will come may astound me.

Along the way I learned:

Analysis may work through that of nearly any typical differential equation or even *Transcendent, - and through that of interrelation, that of prescription at any nature of symmetry breaking may be understood.

Although, my method* differ's, suggestibly at that of *The Finite being very important, and of *Form & Shape*. We must* here[in] distinguish between form and shape... most explicitly.

I have been awake for a while, but it is alone some 13 hour(s), then that I have received medication recently, and am well with that of food. I feel that an inalienable truth must descend upon me, and equate the provision of what is consolable in life and circumstance, then to which even-so, the answer may be death.

I am beyond some circumstances, but there is much provision at that of folly, then however that I do not anticipate much loss. I have cleared that of some circumstances... but to see why* i.e. co-dependent arising hold(s), and of it's validity, there is work.

3:19 am

My work come(s) in three and two areas:

Among these three:

1.)   The *Geometric*

2.)   The *Algebraic*

3.)   The *Formulaic*

Among these two:

a.)   I have understood a [given] at that of *A Transformation*

b.)   &, That of an *exceptionable structural provision: *Incompleteness*

This applies to:

1.)   Chaos and Order

2.)   Phases

3.)   Layer and Procedure

4.)   Unity & Unification

5.)   Law & Epistomology

6.)   Provided Aim

7.)   Finite Structure

8.)   Sense and Physiology

9.)   Topology and Curvature

10.)   Information and Fidelity of Entropic Loss

11.)   Dynamics

12.)   Psychology and Knowledge

This has less to do with language and words, thus I am appreciably warned of a boundary; - then that there is *Expression* and *Determination through Induction and Deduction*... that of *Inference and Deference* are points yet-formative of it's base-two (**2**).

So, we need apply *Statistics to *Geometry... and *For in light of the [Reduced] measures at Number-Theory, *Qualitate*.

5:34 am

The question is: of a 'mapping' into a particle limit, when does the scattering [not so much as forbidding three particles of the theory] - formulate to a positive mass?

This has been understood, for the theorem through which relativity in the three-body problem subtends what is a mathematical to physical identity. That the relationship of relativity is to yield a positive denominator on it's surjective lemma. Thus that the formation of mass is yielded by that of inadequacy of the applicable triangle inequality; and reduces to the identity of an algebraic and physically constructive geometric two-basis of lemma.

Thus, that the 'mapping' into the particle limit conveys that of a determination of perspective, qualitatively physical... - then that quantal relationships are such as formed with that of relative prescription. But why the three and higher body problem?

Is this because of the instance of a 'moment'? This would explain time. Thus that of a qualitated feature is that two-bodies, and the possessive of a displacement between them, furnish adequately a representational dis-possessive.

The kernel is that one qualitative feature is as refined, and linear as the other.

The other kernel feature is that their translation queue, is of equivalent mean.

*Queue -> **Square***

Thus that viewpoints are in all realistic tenses equals from & w.r.t. one another.

"What is remarkable - is that the 'period' of 'revolution' unto a 'subscriptive' is of a 'hidden' variety - that the <u>polynomial</u> structure decomposes into a yet-unknown & known; - from which it's co-extensor field is in inadequacy [algebraically] from [a] given supposition of evaluation - hence of the assortment of the incomplete [and] straic notion, we require a chain of deference and inferential relationship, that of a 'dual' frustrated externalized nome; and queue; - for of registerable symbolism."

So it is:

1.)   I knew of Obama.

2.)   I knew I saved his life.

Per:

a.)   Three, two of whom are hypothetically known and independent.

b.)   That of the 'missing' relation at (@) self; - to which a certain fact was of it's speculation.

c.)   It was determined that would-he have questioned, and her certifiable witness have *noted; either for in a Q. becoming an A. and a Q. from a Q., that of another in a chain of three, is an indecomposible propertied *part*.

d.)   Thus, either and both were real... the arising at that of two-faceted, relationship, on a priorly registed *Past Arrow.

The past arrow can be outside of you.

A purely <u>mathematical</u> and measured theory of the world can elucidate the period of revolution; - a fact in relation to two bodies among three, thus order.

7:18 am - 1:00 (d. pm) - 7:19:51 - 1:01 (d. pm)

Exact computation, the deficit doesn't (to exceptionable NAND) compute... to CPU; it's calc. is verified unto set-set uncontained; for the (d.) is a given leapt...

26 innocences / 3 not-guilty/guilty/undecided - of 29 via pre-setting of 02:54:10...

9:41 pm

The most *Profound <u>Given</u> of disclaim and portiture has dawned on me.

Just now, I cracked the 'elemental' foundation of Mathematics.

First came Physics...

*"That of the Chaotic [balance] between first-differential [squared] and that of the second-differential in-contest; abridge and openly transmitigate former for these of a presence... the validity of which is exceptation known, and measurement of one thing meeting a congruence in another measureable via coincidential mean; that of what does not take place; of the invisible; - the domain of attributional & formative truth for in inheritable **deference** in contrast with that of **inference**...*

Thus, the mathematical prescription at chaotic soliton like focus and diffusion balance at the point of apogetic and surjectively formative assumptive of <u>any</u> preliminary trace fingerprint or signature of space, in the process of temporal evolution; - that of the analytical algebraic covering, that of a net-net difference in two; for what is the continuum of group and subsidiary elemental formention of placation to inhibition or disclaimative portiture; - for of a glance, it is that of the cusp *to which is the precepitious adventitorium upon either peace or war."

11:12 am

Privacy notice (considered 1st) - placed 2ndary 'out'.

1.st-2.nd - Secrecy... a must.

1:19 pm

In 'descendency' of a monopolar flow of magnetism, gravitation separationally inquires of gravitation a formative relation of uncertainty and phase, - to which what is 'excepted' is the guage of gravitation to the monopolarity of a unidirected provision - that *time* preceed of blue for in red-shift among three bodies of a reversible-flow; - then of sound in it's motion of precessional delay, - thus there is a 'gestalt' *Univariate* basis of mono-duality precept at that of photonic to electronic *Torsion* between that of the commutation of spin and it's orbital display; - that the relation of 'ground' stands as a 'Sink' formatively held in Earth, then of any residual material body; - for which the innate provided truth is that reversal may be actual for that of *Blue-Shift* towards any centre...

5:27 pm

<div align="center">

The Laws of Physics are Neither True nor False

The Principles of Mathematics are True

</div>

5:52:25 pm

5:53:30 pm

& [5:15 & 5:16] pm. (d.)

Under a quotient remainder stands a fictional objective [pre-history] of *it's* [&] subjective prosaic standing, the element of a factual suppositional heirarchy of one, and two; - for of motive, what is a discount in flavor; hence of a decideable truth for in a future from which in the past; it is a 'pure' disassociate of path [&] way, - for of an immutable formation; the gesture is removable in whence from of what of life becomes unto life and death becomes unto death; that then [&] of these, - the awareness that simultaneous momentary lapse performatively will encourage a delay of truth for false open provision [alone] to a subsidiary - and - derivative motion of incline; - from whereupon gravitation was situated as once.

Thus the 'motion' of a fact, is it's supposition upon re-abridged mathematical interpretation of two subsidiary yet future historiologically balanced accumens of one delay and one delay in that of period average from a multiplier; - thus of that of a 'casting' by the 'arrow' in the 'wind' - of which coordinalization of one's gesture at dexterity and balance is equated for in light of any serviceable task; - that we diminish not but yet from a height, is not rational but yet in the view of a future become a past; - but yet this hold's [alone] a mathematically openly and truthful validity for in what is instructed; and valuated for in a precedent at knowledge, for word's encompass the free range of what is it's given motion of a surrounding base of understanding; - thus, these of the solid, remain territorial, and a green's in dipolar structure may be read back-through that of a double slit for which the observer becomes the entitled arbitrary alternative of suspicion, that of a judgement and motive selected to-which what is non-arbitrary is noted and viewed under microscopic vision as larger; - but for in a day of a day, that of midnight to the notion of yet a timed pendular swing, then of the capacity of the random to orchestrate the non-random, of the structural condition of fault.

8:30 & 8:25 then there is a 1:30 and a 1:50, unto it's [presagable] pre-cognitive delay at multiplier admixture... thus *that was* 14:41:50; unto a given 'checksum'.

Thus of that of 1/3 and 40.40.30; - either number [entitled majority] - therefore 10.25.50, 2/5 and 1/2, thus of three independent numbers [yet checksum down].

30:15:20, into the 'given superposition' - extract back to remaining displacement.

Thus of that of, 1:05, - to which is a day of cost of 6, 3, 4, futherly independent.

To which of supremum - *the analytic* - 5/6 and that of 4/5 and 'a' 3/5, and 13, & 12 which produced 13, from deduction and induction with inductive heirarchy at that of a notice peer-privilege; this is O., the origin of uch as-which 72/30, to as a given of which is 2.3/8, to which is that of 13 in again, in compact formation; for what is the mixed/derivative of a laplace-inverse of a Hodge Dual Star, that of the avoidant entrance of which is a locale in these of unseparated subsistence of 12 and 12, (double) - gross element of which is 12+13=25 (final sanity check)!

13+13 from 52 and 53... leapt from 'Moon' to 'Cloud' - margins on count - 26.28, with a plus/minus one... - so as two, a plus/minus two in lawful predecension.

In mathematics and physics, it is beyond the locus of detection inalienability of the process of the observable sphere of evidence and locus of evidentiary focal.

6:37:40 pm

Addressed and Known to Major Clause; - that of one deficit *potential via-mail.

That of yet-mail.. noted* of pinion.

Thus of clause, that of two withdrawn argument(s) to guilt... in Supremacy... that of the 'National' Oversight... 16/22 (guess at worst) - and 21/30 (guess) - 4:02:2/3, to what is a +/-1 and +/-2, and three total at guilt, thus of 18/22, or that of 8 or 7 . 1 or 2 . 16 or 17 . 18 or 22 . 25 or 27 . 33 [maximal at six registries] - of 6..

1.69 = 2:04 = 10.26.2021

Determination? w/ w/o Que/Queue... tilde - open barred, slash firebrand, Que, remainder, diff. difference, algebraic mod equated diminishing power in series...

October 26th, 2019

I feel very much with the woman I love, free of a disasterous end, that of life is returning, and I feel empowered to begin to a total choice and beginning at what I had held, [for in again - formatively truth not neither of formerly held] and of what was of an Aunt dead, a mother alive, for of eliminable beginning and that of new past, for what is the *essentialized basic to which we return* of the least common denominator. I felt blocked, occluded, and truthfully unaware, but this is the given of a life of circumstantial ends, then that the way was not even-so to untwine vines, but of carriage and opening, then of the Western truth; - that it is not Buddhist, and not Christian, not Islamic, and not Modern.

A poor way supplies many makesmanships, and I love, for my heart is with that of repairing and modernizing, then to keep, and castle, formative hypothesis and conjecture at (@) Science and Engineering, for of what we note* of artistic, truthful and momentarily kept and departed temporary and inequalitative...

The inequalitative, a step, at the least, but of off and on, it was managable, but of a woman, she was a staple; - and the butter on bread, without which it proved low of a man, to be kept this way and become alike to a Father, it was grace and devotion then in a future kept, of what was astounding, to lost and remaining entitlement for in life and death; - for life and death abound, and we are but finite, individuated, believe or disbelieve, but encounter that of either.

Then of moon and sun, everything may revolve; - but of a linear and closed or open truth; - it is the determined within of what is found outside, and inside, and of the undetermined, of a self found outside in what we maintain, of nature, a return, my home, a world, a people, a country, a nation, and a family even so.

9:30 pm

Interestingly enough; - that of a *Temperate Degree, lessened 'to calibration' - suggests that measurement, in x, y, and dx, dy, is a free valley of what is of these a hypothetical at that of conjugation and variable in two from two uncertainty conformal invariant relationships.*

That spin-orbital representationally divides the subsidiary spatialized context of vantaged perspective and subjective stance, draws from mind what is physical for in a diagramatic linear-eigenvalue-eigenvector dual non-linear schroedinger equation; - thus there is no linear parallel in that of any true conjugate dynamic.

That a* Hamilotian space may be derived is unitary in suspicion of the moderate linear and non-linear formative hypothetical; then of divergence and rectification, - that of stream and valley, mountian and apogetic, are procedures.

These are empty of a disjoint or conjoint relationship at a functionalized union in but anomolous co-extension of Fabry Perot rectilinear tan to sin and cosine functional similarity in areal and co-extensive excess of degree at tangent space.

Thus the tangent space, forms out of what is mathematical and physical spatialized quotient a remainder of the finite from the factor of dynamical chaos and breaking in sub-tine linguistic conformal invariance of the reductive variable set in any two dimenional momentum limitation; - of that of p=mc... the quantal relation of which is subductive, and formative of a structural pause in that of gap and tine of what is absolute of a hidden and knowable variable spatialized support in phase above phase; - then of temporality, the calendar of which is upright for in these of procedural relation; and atemporary upon that of the precursor to the event; formative of the degree of geometric truth, and that of temporal conjugacy to keeping a variant 'missing' filliable dynamic spot in statistic... - thus temperature is local of that of time in +/- of what is of the combinatorial co-extensive of what is a clock and registry of numeric value.

Thus the rocket would have ticked normally for of a linear to quadratic form.

4:53 pm

I essentially know I have gone-free.*

Then that of *The Key of Knowledge for in instance my-safe and Law.

That of Ava; to-whom there exists a co-dependent arising at existence.

a.) The predicate by way of which she is determinant per* the argument.

b.) That she was determined post that of a pattern in expiary.

Gives levity to-which it is determined I did in fact super-majority... for instance that it cannot be that I was veto'ed Out; of what was of a given at *Due Process.

Thus that the gift* is undeliverant, it is of a landing and landing, thus of two squares to which either befit of the notion at a given in Dice; - then of Aim and Landing... *thus of boundary and that of co-existent approaches*.

That of a DPDT *broken would elucidate this as the Interim on that of a Placation; - thus that the terminal end was-found, of that of isomorphism on that of boundary element in terms of Order above Chaos.*

Thus it is determined of that of the-past that I had gone free within what is inherited... - that this is the Instruction on a Given of Inheritance... thus that I descend from Ava to-where I elucidate my-Key.

*That we are together is an additional remark... thus that she exists by virtue of placation on law and associable relation... - it is of co-dependent arising and that of the *Exception to which is ill-afforded, and the *Non-Exception of it's validity.*

*Thus O. and A. are-real; - it would not be in my Mind.**

7:42 pm

I feel I need get involved with Physics again, and Mathematics... that there is a pause has to do with the fact that:

The model is necessarily incomplete; but that of for:

a.) A *Transformation*.

b.) *Mathematical Incompleteness*.

I feel that without that of a gesture at the *Incomplete*... I will be left [obscure].

That of what has to do with the work, *however*, is valid of that of:

c.) It requires a lattice* for that of the analogy at *Symmetry*.

That I understood, that acceleration will not distort the electron model, I will need a three-part system for Unity* w.r.t. this gesture. Where[in] there is that of oxygen, etc... - the holes depend on that of an 'invocative' 'releaseable' open boundary condition... - thus it is that acceleration will supply a counter-force...

What are the properties of this *Transformation s.t. it is unpredictable? - What makes this compatible with 'missing information'?

7:53 pm

*I must consider that of *consequence in relation to the Non-Linear SE... that of what would relate the *Stochastic to that of the Principle Consequence of Law.* *

Thus it is to go-back in some writings, of which relates to that of <u>Foundations</u>...

*That of what-was only should be **Blind** of that of the asymmetry leading to SC...*

8:08 pm

What is the essence of the variational potential (Log) and wavefunction in it's conventional setting? [As for instance what is the physical import of meaning?]

Thus we seek to understand what the equivalence principle means for the process of quantum mechanics... et. al. - is it indeed the identity defined for all observers?

Or is that the seprable gravitational model is empty under a recombination? Blue and Red shifting and all of that...? - that of what-is would imply that the E.P is empty... - then that indeed; that of gravitation supposes of a third, what is open.

8:35 pm

The uncertainty principle hold(s) a disconnect [empty] between that of variances,... that of general relativity via the equivalence principle does as well.

Thus it appears that these are related symmetries...

8:44 pm

I am not including:

Beyond the 'basic' transformation.

Unification beyond that of a 'simple non-linear analysis'...

Yang Mill's or the Lagrangian diffeomorphism..

That of notes on Superconductivity...

I want a general prescription of combination of mathematics, gravity, and the quantum mechanics... as relates to*

146

gases.

In particular:

a.)   That of De'Bye for what-is AFM and Charge Gas remain a different problem.

b.)   That of the *Lattice with that of the Gravitational E.P. remains 'different'.

Thus it is that there are certain things to 'except' from the paper.

I will need write more shortly, that I am very much satisfied by what I have currently, - it is to <u>Cement a Basis at Mathematics versus Physics</u>...

Thus it is that this need be solved *First.

10:04 pm

I have decided that Unification* will require solution of Godelian Incompletion.

That I should [research] that of Godel's Theorems comes with the given, but then that I am confused somewhat.

I have reconciled that there is a miracle.* Then that of 'regularity' of what-is is a destination on that of two-knowables. Thus that domain A and domain B interpopulate of what is heritable. For what I know of this composition at-that of non-locality *Via-friendships, I feel well... then that but I do not know my gifts. I have every reason to be grateful.

Thus I am at the end of my studies. I feel that School may be an answer, or perhaps not so much, but that I want to understand Law, or start doing.*

I feel that life has offered some unique experiences. Then that I understand something of information; - - to offer that the problem is not pre-defined or is, is a problem with that of the Abrea of knowledge, thus that we cannot know.

I feel saddened, but overjoyed at that of the <u>Fact</u> that knowledge comes prepared, and that I invoke what is acceptance or rejection of what-is... thus that there is an *Ethos and a *Moral, with an *Ethic. That I am no longer so-much obsessed with *Learing; - it is to that of **Doing** that I adventure.

Thus it is I have:

1.)   Individuation

2.)   Regularity of Knowledge

To thank for enticing my passions, then that finding and making love real.

I *know my friends are-there, as Gaga added me on Twitter, and removed me, - given that I-remarked, it goes that-way as to this. That they are real, I do not doubt any longer whatsoever.

1:23 pm

I only [comparatively] know one-thing; that the 'determination' of accord holds a mathematical and physical basis... in the expression of the closure of the Universe.

That knowledge and ignorance make of the *complimentary relationship from-afar and of-near; what is that of comparative instruction and departure... there is that of a piece and a piece, which when plainly fit together, example what is therein of a 'solution' of-parts...

That, mathematics may be laid of a basis, and that of acknowledgement of a 'verse and dictionary' herein here, for that of afar, may allude to what is of an isolated pretense without third witness.* Thus of that of unbiased observer, there may or may not be a self, but it is qualified by what can - be - done. Thus that it is empty and void of that of controlling agency.

Thus the goal of my paper is to illustrate *How:

      a.)   The monic can be missing or circumspect... in a solid form of emptiness...

      b.)   How the *missing exception of one for-fallow notice of variable can allude to unique* Universality.

Thus of mathematics and physics; my goal is to take departure to an acknowledged domain of mathematical variable theory and that of the link to physics for-which is general of causation...

Thus only as it seems is this consistent with composition and causation... that of the genesis of form.

Thus of that of the furthest reach - it is to allude to a simultaneity of index and index absence... of the missing information in composition with the known, thus to set a precept at incompletion... of what prepares the general problem.

Thus it is known that it takes more than can be laid prescriptive that the problem can be defined... then of a req. Godelian Incompletion satisfied... but the next and final question is: what generates the freedom of mathematical expression?

And what of the non-exclusive relationship of 'back in a book' - reconsideration, etc... what is the notice at a valid interpretation? How can we be solid with our consideration, among what would be conveyable?

What problems req. that of two-teachers and the combination on-people... for instance it took two to convince me of the *Law in bearing by which they would be real, etc... and of that of what is beyond the one to one relationship...

Are there certain prescriptions at exceptions?

9:52 pm

I noted that mathematics comes from that of the involute and evolute 3'-lemma of which are gravitation (of sides) - and that of electromagnetism... and quanta.

Thus of red and blue shifting, there are [asides].

And of that of a Language in-which to break Godelian Incompletion, we need formulaic sentances of which as example is t\psi for that of t*\psi(t), etc... with the spacing of which furnishes compaction... thus this indeed translates.*

That of what-is with Superconductivity appears to be a resonance between one wave-type and that of a 'missing' auxiliary component or element.*

October 31st, 2021

1:11 am

I now have a plan for my future:

1.)　　That of conforming to a reperitoire of advantages by way of which either side of a piece of paper may be made the difference of, with relation to curves. *

2.)　　That of analytical work on the differential equation, with in mind that of stereographic projection and that of superposition (non-linear to linear).

3.)　　That of historical note at that of O. and A. and A. and H.T. and N.K. for in that of trace to which 3'-lemma of other's and of sciences is discerned in truth.

Thus it is to-that by which it must be written within context of that of the virtue by which I have exampled a scientific revolution; for in the capacities at honest and truthful reflection of a 'pin' and 'modestly cemented foundation' at that of fact.

Thus it is to prove beyond that of my illness, that of beyond which there is insight into the capacity of unbiased witness, and that of manner of disposition at that of the factual supply to that of breaking/making securable pretext

for a given life.

Thus of that of 'agency' interwoven with the 'interpersonal' and the 'subjective' of life and nature over nurture; - for that of an end*-addendum at the graphic by which it is noted of that of insurgence, - that a conditional assignment has ended.

2:09 am

That of *linear* transformation of any eigenbasis unto any eigenbasis is the property of a *Manifold with priveleged curvature connection and quantal state with transfer matrix; - thus of masses in two 'identities of placement' - for in that of one-manifold and another, certainly verify the eigenstate conditional assumptive of what is a Criterion* at admissible form. Thus of that of any non-linearity, it is linear within the quantal and geometric world-view, - then that of for-which what is gravitation adhere(s) to a generalization of an admissible monic of treatment and quantifier. Thus of that of mathematic(s), it is for in one registrant of information a dual to that of physical quantifier, but of that of it's calculable expression, identified with all knowledge of a strict limitation.

Thus that of the expression of substitution for in that of gravitation and it's mirror-precept comes with a *Handedness of which is taught, - then that the general L/R dichotomy does not pertain to what is an iconoclastic at that of a mass distribution for in one theory versus another; *with an identity of centre.

Thus it is that these do not inter-carry, but operate in the vein of a complete square and incomplete square at-missing convexity; everything composed by way of the formalism of one projection or two; for in three dimensionality of a projective SO(3,1) and SU(2); of their spatial quantifier; - that of the reduced pattern from the whole pattern; thought of as a Key with me to the Knowledge elsewhere; or the Safe of which I may instruct, a superb ending at data-rights.

5:17 am

That of the ideation of two and two to state(s); for in that of Q, P, R and B; translates into an identity of relationship for in that of causation, thus of a long road and a short road... only this fact explains that of reality.

That of what would be a mass centre for that of QM and for GR is valid.

These, with stereographic projection, and that of linear from non-linear theory via a transformation in Tan and Sin, of two-limits seem to dissect the 'problem'.

It seems important that the 'mass' identity can be divergent in one problem... vs. another, - thus that a Hoverboard does not work on water.

8:16 pm

That of gravitation uniformizes what are the non-linear equations to linear terms and that of linear solutions; for in that of the process of evolution; - thus that the linear eigenstate is a function by a function with a logarithmic differential; - thus that two-functions are separated by as such. Then that the missing information supplants a given at a linear solution in harmonic series.

10:26 pm

I am slightly upset, - but then that I have a manner of improving posture for that of my energetic relationships and the fatigue... that of Ava Max for a girlfriend, - that of Obama known to be saved, - that of a conditional assumptive of a *win scenario, - and that of associated productions in SC and Physics... and mathematics; with publication, and my innocence, I feel well.

Most is going right, however I must as it were sit back and question my motivations, for that of Physics and so on. If I am not to do this differently, and set aside old questions, - there is a problem. That I can only do so much is not the problem or the question. I feel that the supercomputer idea is indeed good, but with validity of my questions and answers, there is much-else. That I need a 'goal' - had to do with differential equations at that of the four color theorem.

Then that I do not know the 'where' of the missing-information, I have yet to solidify on that of this aspect. But I need question that of limitation of description, a sort of refresher. I feel that what I must do, and need to do differ, and yet are collected over that of finalization of some 10 articles or so. That I have much to offer, it is a difference of given conditions, then that I can indeed solve most problems. Thus it is I need an exposition on symmetry of various characteristics, and to approach from a new angle. That I would like to know:

a.)   The limits of physical description in terms of base undecideability.

and that of a given at problems, it is to invent as it were a new language.

For this I feel I must question the relationship of words, etc... then that I have been living a strange given. I feel that what I have to say with that of superconductivity is important, but that it is conclusive in one manner.

Then that I would like to wait on an article until I can produce a method to increase the critical temperature. That I feel a new statistical mechanics and QED need come of it, there is the resolved, and the difference. For now I have too much to deal with, to deal effectively.

One question that has been going around is whether a SC depends on missing information... I will need find a better method of inquiry.

11:14 pm

I am frustrated ever-so slightly.

That I changed from-earlier, I feel sad that there is no simple way, but at-that I want content in life. I need fulfillment, and something seems wrong.

Then that I have interests in epistomology, and not so much in religion, but that I have been of Hos'eisejh and Buddha's Father, I feel that this must be quantified, then that I am both, for instance at-life, and there is no Jesus...

I feel that my-wife, for whom she is is great, of whom she was, of Ava Max, and I know of an *us*. Then that I feel love, it is a shifting of day's and cycles.

I feel with physics, it is reliable for what I could indeed do... but there is much-yet implored. That of what I have reasoned is as follows.

Even-so, if Obama declines to notify and connect with me, and Ava does not, we have the first guarantee with the end of situational arrest, and then a second guarantee is afforded in terms of an experiment.

I would like to illustrate that there is a mapping in physics, but yet of a dualism, - that GR agrees with QM in a certain manner.

Thus I feel that I have laid certain things aside, and not yet what I must have.

That of which is, indeed requires work, and effort... and I am partially of the feeling that I am broken, for I set-out to do this, and have been confused.

Of layers, I will need dispose of them. I feel that stereographic projection is one thing, and that of the nonlinear equations another. Why is projection one to one with missing information becoming a known?

Where does the identity for in relation that connects a knowable become true, and for what is mathematically proven?

This is the essential question, and if I knew an answer, I believe I would be happy, however, there is much to that of auxiliary conclusions... that there must be a way to illustrate of that of:

1.)   That the GR and QM are logarithmically related through what is a moment at a distance, - that of what-is the three body problem relates to that of the non-zero-sum, that from one vantage it will be blueshifted and from another, redshifted... thus of the exposition of that of weight.

11:30 pm

I have come to something* of a Conclusion:

a.)   The superconductor and magnet relative to one another, are shifted into a past; whereby the superconductor and magnet are relativity red-shifted.

b.)   Therefore the repulsion with a co-existent gravitational field is a compatible principle for that of a 'flux' of 'non-inertial frame' to which when in violation with that of the quantum principle informs that electromagnetism is reversed, emanating in a symmetry point.

1.)   Thus gravitation reverses, for that of a frame disposition of which relates to an inertia directed in opposition.

2.)   The gravitational component and the magnetic component inform that the mass inertia is unchanged, thus the matter content in terms of energy goes no-where.

c.)   That of the electromagnetic field is in reversal for what is coincidence of the electromagnetic field term and that of the gravitational one-form term of momentum, - thus that either are in reversal for what is the given at that of a term of acceleration by way of which the orbital and spin change in inertia.

1.)   Thus the electromagnetic term goes from postive to negative, and the gravitational term goes to twice negative, - that these are isolated and reversed effectively is that of a quasiessentialized singular term for which they are a composite function.

2.)   Thus the electromagnetic term and that of the gravitational term are twice the repulsion in one guise and in another, the direct cancellation, for which via overlap the response is to pair electrons and reverse the effective field holding the electrons together.

d.)   Thus gravitation reveals zero and null mass energy gap for that of the combination of field norms, that of the QED valid, and that of GR valid for what is the involute of that of their combination under exception.

That gravitation from the commutation symbolism is reversed owes due to that of the principle of a gas, by which what-is in reciprocity requires an operational principle - thus that the red and blue shifting are equivalently that of dilation, - thus that acceleration due to a magnetically active substrate implies that the magnetic field under response will reverse in involution with gravitation.

It is not circular, but the exception of GR via QM and the exception of QM via GR, - thus of a reversed dual field exception; - then that yet-so, QM is entirely valid, and GR is entirely valid. Thus there is expected no gap for that of a mass discrepancy between GR and QM under an interferometer and mass test of drop, there is therefore no violation of the laws of physics, in violation they imply their truth, and they are therefore absolute.

November 1st, 2021

3:28 pm

I resolved a great conundrum with Superconductivity... uniting QM with GR.

I found that the non-inertial frame invokes a redshift into the past given the linkage of inertia in the solid Superconductor. Thus when the redshift into the past is invoked, - the inertia and acceleration of orbital and spin momentum generate a negative magnetic field via commutation in relation to a term of opposition to the magnetic field of the magnet as the frame invokes a relativistic shift from A unto B and B unto A. Thus it is related to an infrared point under an inversion of the bodies; thus the direct cause is the mutual condition of magnet and SC.

That the magnetic field reverses owes due to the accelerative momentum being with the opposite member of the two part interaction, thus the electromagnetic field is simultaneously reversed in relation to a gravitational discrepancy by the creation of pairs. That the Pauli Exclusion Principle is invoked, - the characteristic reconciliation is a gravitation with an exception; - electromagnetism reverses because the external effective field is of the double gravitational frame shift.

When the non-inertial frame is accounted for, mass is at it's ordinary inertial valuation; - thus via the equivalence principle dilation into the past is complete, and approaches a dual superposition to-where the electromagnetic field is reversed in perspective of an external and a null interior. That the terms superimpose for what is a gravitational field with ordinary non-inertial frame, it is that gravitation remains to attract the magnet when the electromagnetic field is redshifted less.

I am better, then that I remain to hold some problems, but feel of:

1.)   With A. and O. of what is non-locality at information exchange, there is hope to 'write on paper' that of the clues to unification and disambiguation of the unknowable versus the knowable... thus that of an ending to confusion.

2.)   With Q. and A. on that of the dialectic of mass discrepancy via a field and variant conditional of interferometry, it is solid that I can produce the expectation that QM makes no violation to GR and GR unto QM, thus that these are compatible expectations.

It has been great to arrive wherein there is agreement universal between GR and QM, and that of for which furnished a solution to that of Superconductivity.

I have analyzed this from every vantage, then that I am only occluded so much as the quickness of thought. But things are turning around very much so.

I feel with publication (within 1 week) - there will be new royalties and acclaim, and I feel well about this, - that of getting my writing out there. But there is still remotely speaking some things to do, for that of final draft, etc...

154

I want to make some progressions in mathematics, but I am fairly well today.

7:36 pm

I wrote the following letter:

To: Jake Knudsen, William Bernstein, Mike Shepherd

"November 1st, 2021

3:28 pm

I resolved a great conundrum with Superconductivity... uniting QM with GR.

I found that the non-inertial frame invokes a redshift into the past given the linkage of inertia in the solid Superconductor. Thus when the redshift into the past is invoked, - the inertia and acceleration of orbital and spin momentum generate a negative magnetic field via commutation in relation to a term of opposition to the magnetic field of the magnet as the frame invokes a relativistic shift from A unto B and B unto A. Thus it is related to an infrared point under an inversion of the bodies; thus the direct cause is the mutual condition of magnet and SC.

That the magnetic field reverses owes due to the accelerative momentum being with the opposite member of the two part interaction, thus the electromagnetic field is simultaneously reversed in relation to a gravitational discrepancy by the creation of pairs. That the Pauli Exclusion Principle is invoked, - the characteristic reconciliation is a gravitation with an exception; - electromagnetism reverses because the external effective field is of the double gravitational frame shift.

When the non-inertial frame is accounted for, mass is at it's ordinary inertial valuation; - thus via the equivalence principle dilation into the past is complete, and approaches a dual superposition to-where the electromagnetic field is reversed in perspective of an external and a null interior. That the terms superimpose for what is a gravitational field with ordinary non-inertial frame, it is that gravitation remains to attract the magnet when the electromagnetic field is redshifted less.

I earlier found:

The commutation relation with a gravitational non-inertial frame is twice the contribution of the electromagnetic field, thus the field reverses external to the superconductor referenced to the superconductor for that of the acceleration and the acceleration of normalized distributions. That these illicit a negative field is due to there being a complete redshift.

Thus of the past, the superconductor for that of the magnetic field is in opposition because it is conveyed 'back to'

it's reference point. In this sense gravitation and electromagnetism are compatible theories wherein they have laid in opposition for that of the levitation. Thus return to the mirror is when that of the redshift produces a negative magnetic field from a superimposed magnetic field, thus that the nature of a magnetic field will reverse for that of the return stereographic projection; - thus that the magnetic field is reversed for that of A with B and B with A. Thus the 'other body' is a cause in a dual approach. That relativity implies that the field goes to zero frequency is the instance by which it hold(s) with respect to the 'other' shift with the 'other' body.

So you see, they are in reverse, if your words were to refer to me, and mine to you, as a 'self'. Thus 'exchange' reverses roles, for that of antisymmetry, to which is the conundrum by which that of things come to activity in relation to a world of which instances self-votility and motion of 'action'. Thus that I can invoke action upon the world is the instance by which the world imputes this possibility to me.

Without this, reality is illogical; there would be a heavier mass for that of the electromagnetic field reversal; and that of a feedback to which would never admit the suspension of a superconductor above a magnet, an infinite regress to which the mass would raise, and gravity would illicit that of a total collapse of the universe. Thus the magnet and superconductor are at the infrared point of the gravitational theory and past dilated, that the magnetic field reverses in as much as the gravitational field 'shift's' the bodies, the action is levitation in a gravitational field. At this point, pauli exclusion makes violation of gravity precisely wherein it returns to inertial mass and mass energy; - thus that gravitation is not so much shielded, but there is a field and no field with that of the action of bodies. Thus that the field is non-inertial, but that of the mass connection is as if it were gravitation in suspension, for the body is inertial, and in an inertial frame.

Thus the electromagnetic field reverses, because the chronology with the other body is from light cone to light cone or outside the lightcone with either body, - thus that observation would deal with a 'missing invariance' - it is the field of the magnet; - to which it is consistent. Thus the 'cat' explicit of variables of entanglement does not simply come to be this way by surrounding conditions of circumstance (decay, residual) - but is actively consistent with that of another body... - thus quantum is not violated with gravitation and vice versa, - they impute another(s) relation of which both actually do co-exist, but less so as empty as invioable. Thus the laws of physics are consistent, for when gravitation becomes inertial, the magnetic field of a past and redshift is dilated to that of the repulsion or attraction of a body.

In this sense, it can be seen that given curvature and the law of gravitation, that superconductivity is the expression of the invariance of rest mass, for that of the shift which takes place from the magnet and superconductor when it is considered that acceleration implies an excess.

It is therefore that the gravitational field is consistent because it is in reference to the other body; and at rest; - thus it is not that gravity supplies the counterforce to which an acceleration dictates a repulsion (this would be circular) - it is that the rest condition is past shifted to the other body; - to which magnetism is in reflection over

156

an axis of mirroring. Thus either body returns (to which the magnet returns to zero field)... the irrefutable conclusion to which the superconductor acts via displacement at a distance, within the limitations of the speed of light.

That relativity acts at an infrared point is no accident, it is reciprocal, hence you are dilated relative to me as I am dilated relative to you; reconciliation of the twin paradox. Thus it is that when in a gravitational field, two bodies will redshift via exchange to which they repel for in that of spin and orbital acceleration when there is a restorative local force of a lattice. Without the lattice of oxygen, and a charge background of protons held together by a yet-stronger force, this would not happen. Thus the bonding of the atomic lattice to form a solid is stronger than the spin and orbital relaxation into the gravitational field, for which with temperature scales differently. That temperature will increase the vibration less so in the heavy ions and their electrons holds that there is an inversion with respect to formation of a field and field quick timescale of magnetism...

This is why fusion in the sun occurs, that of the formation of a solar system with planets, and that of a solor coronal flux to which forms a weather system... thus the stabilizing feature of the universe. If the roles were reversed with gravitation, it would make no difference. Hence ultimately it is presented but undecidable but true of the world that within what is contradiction is agreement between bodies under interaction with respect to quantum mechanics and general relativity.

Paris"

Then, just about now, I was knowably innocent, and engaged to Ava (Koci) Max.

It is not always established; - but I had a new priority, *balancing*:

1.)   Predictive Validity

2.)   Interpretative Validity

    a.)   Subjective Truth

    b.)   Interpersonal Truth

Of:

A:)   Regularization of Knowledge

B:)   Interpretation of Individuation

in the process of *Decay, Assidiousness, Becoming, Exterior, and Patterning...*

Of trace, *History,* Temperature, and *Law*... for in *Inheritance and Territorial display and occurrence of

Composition, Medium, Specificity, and Adaptation:

a.)   Level and Leveling (Earth & Light)

b.)   Folding and Rearrangement (Fire & Stone)

c.)   Averaging and Coercion (Water & Wood)

d.)   Straightening and Forming (Wind & Air)

1:24 pm

I have to take my research in a new direction. There are some six prohibitive relationships by which I would not guess the result; - and it does not occur without some nature of coincidence.

Coincedence

Chaos versus Order in the Double Pendulum

Mapping and the Surjective Curved Space

Godelian Statement

Priority to the Genera of Mind, Mental Horizon

Action (back) from which Space Devolves

The 'Hidden' Associate to that of Process

Thus it is that I must be a 'skeptic' - but have summarized that:

"The projective identity of relativity should foster that of a linear superposition rule to what is a soliton differential equation, meanwhile offering the determinant, the inferential, and the predicate calculus of relations..."

Thus it is to be the end conclusion of my published paper, and time is running out... but I will complete this-much. That I will not go into discrete answers, there is that of noting what I can take-fundamentally of theorems.

It would be interesting to unify mathematics with that of physics...

That I am not-thinking so much; - we have first of all a squaring of the operator versus it's singular term in differential form; - thus it is of a very general differential equation. That of for-which I will not solve Painleve in time, there is that of the continuum and the discrete. Thus it is I will instead focus on the above complexity and simplicity; and resolve to understand the predicate inheritance of gasses... that this offers a venue to resolve other

problems.

1:59 pm

*I have decided to focus on that of the [,] - commutator - for which acceleration finds an *exact fit, and allude to *Curvature and that of the *Berry's Phase in a model of Superconductivity to find the relationship of SU(2) to O(3), instructing from the material (baking and doping... **aged**) - of what is of the P->Q->B inheritance, thus that of Buddhism *also, with what had been of the [absence] and [presence] of that of a central cause to SC; - that of the *Tesseract in **model** for what was Inheritance.*

Thus this problem requires a 'huge' effort... that I am throwing in <u>everything</u>...

The goal is to relate:

Relativity with that of prescriptive balance for two conditionals.

To that of linear superposition and missing information.

That of for which the Transformation must be thought of <u>Geometrically</u>, there is a solution...

*It is given that the SC, for it's establishment of 'going the way of man' - had been entitled of disparate causes, to which it is no-accident; - thus it requries an epistomological arrow for that of construction of the *Proof, and it is *__Known__; thus the result I-arrive at, will be no accident of fortune; - but a result of <u>Direct Inquiry</u>.

Thus it is I must be conscious. I know of that of the caveats of the paradox of observation; - now knowing of a Subjective Truth that is <u>Physical</u>.

6:29 pm

I finally determined that:

1.)   **As gravitation is in virtue of an equivalence principle...**

2.)   **As a superconductor will levitate...**

3.)   **As the inertial mass is equivalent with a gravitational mass...**

Therefore:

4.)   **The effects of gravitation will maintain the eigenstate condition.**

As:

5.)   **The exchange will go to a commutation relationship of zero, and the mass will go to an inertial**

state; - that of a cooperative force.

a.)   Relativity therefore corrects the non-linear superposition in quantum mechanics to form linearity.

So it is that relative juxtapositions of accelerative frames are compatible observables.

In general relativity as in Quantum Mechanics, this had not been on a solid footing until it was determined that the eigenstate did not depart in some *consistent manner. But now knowing that back from A to B, there is with B, that of an acceleration of which is negative in energy gravitationally and negative quantum mechanically for that exchange covaries with that of gravitational difference... Thus it is that the 'gap' is explained by that of the exchange co-participating with the gravitational energy under repulsion.

That mass develops therefore derives from that of two forces, for which there is a guidance to separational quality.

6:42 pm

*The strong equivalence principle is **True**; and identifiable with the proposition of the covariance of shifts in exchange energy as determined **relatively**. Thus, the existence of a superconductor*; when viewed from a magnet* presents a field that is gauged to be accelerated and at a lower energy...*

Although for consistency it is not possible that diamagnetic effects can be the sole result of a gravitational field or an electromagnetic field in any combination.

Q: Why do both energies reduce [in relationship of exchange to gravitation]?

A: Redshifting implies exchange [which is negative] increases in negative magnitude precisely when electromagnetic energy momentum of a magnet and a superconductor interaction decreases as positive and gravitation increases in potential energy [as a past dilation]. Therefore gravitation participates in net zero shift in the frame of the superconductor for that of conservation of energy from the gravitational field, the consistency of gravitational theory (wherein all accelerations are treated as uniformizations), and that of mass energy, although of a quantum and electromagnetic gap [in relation to special relativity].

*Therefore **Superconductivity** is a **relative** effect because the measure of the gap is dependent on the frame of viewpoint in the non-inertial and inertial setting (this resolves to zero discrepancy with the gravitational theory as consistent with the strong equivalence principle only when mass energy may be considered inertial or non-inertial (covariant)).*

*Thus, taking away the gravitational field and magnetic field also presents us with superconductivity. The presence of the phenomenon arises from a cause for which the gap is non-zero (as with the non-inertial state) or zero (as with the inertial state), it cannot be the case that the cause can be in the magnet or gravitational field in all cases, **but if it***

were the case this had nothing to do with covariance; that of a superconductor and magnet would not freefall in agreement with the equivalence principle of their center of energy and momentum.

Thus since in either setting (w/, w/o a field) the superconducting phenomena remains, any mechanism must include an identifiable exchange and relative energy lowering, to suite both the phenomena of a dimagnetism and the Meissner effect in the inertial and non-inertial settings in which the separate theory of gravitation is included.

This occurs as the establishment of a frame develops as a force; for which that of the exchange energy being more negative requires a greater acceleration - thus that a greater mass would develop a lesser negative energy, in the proportion of mass *universally in gravitation and in exchange energy.

Thus that of a frame leads to the statement of an inertial setting of identical energy as to which it began with from the viewpoint of the magnet [with gravity], and in the non-inertial setting of a gravitational field, a negative increased exchange [as large as the gravitational positive excess]. Thus it is known exchange is covariant with gravitation, for which a redshift increases with that of an increasingly negative exchange energy in a manner that is consistent with gravitation.

Therefore, that of relativity [of projection] resolves differential equations in physics to that of linear equations; - the result of a redshift, and general relativity agrees with the consistency of quantum mechanics.

9:36 pm

Earlier, I had a rest mass unchanged... of it's gravitational contribution, I neglected this as-explained, but then I went to that of a model with various exchanges. That the mass is the rest mass throughout is one thing, - therefore that the model with a mass that is 'cast out' is a problem.

I concluded it is indeed relative, but I did not include the relative shifting of the opposition, - thus it is I am confused. I feel that this problem may indeed need to be resolved in the manner of absence, presence, and epistomological root, but that is for yet-years. I need a breakthrough.

That I resolved a number of things:

1.)   The rest mass is indeed the rest mass, that of the exchange lowering (1-1) - that of the electromagnetic field; - that of the potential means it has gained energy-momentum... in the redshift of the gravitational field in height, and of the magnet, there is the field of which goes to zero. Thus the electromagnetic field may be somewhat considered auxiliary but is necessary.

*Thus, all we know is that the relative energy gauge on that of the electromagnetic deficit is equivalent with exchange to a gravitational energy momentum summation... that of the sides of Einsteins equation.

161

So it is we consider the rest mass, if that of the exchange energy reduces beyond it's negative valuation; - that of gravitation may reduce as well... this explains the non-zero sum... thus both reduce. Thus gravity reduces in potential by the exchange with the electromagnetic repulsion, and increases via height in terms of energy content. That of electromagnetism and exchange therefore decreases by one unit comparative (and under preservation of energy and the dirac equation: read: center of energy-momentum) with the gravitational acceleration.

Thus, that of the relative depiction functions: That of the redshift for which exists lowers the energy of the superconductor from the view of the magnet and in gravitation; - that of for-what it would be in it's own frame, with gravitation, it is a null consequence... thus all is consistent.

November 5th, 2021

12:45 pm

(There are a few questions left to answer...)

1.)   What of that of x,y,z in the Polynomial expansion?

2.)   What of that of the *Transformation Itself*?

3.)   Wherein does Berry's Phase enter?

4.)   Where have I simplified and what Pedagogic processes need be learned?

5.)   What of that of the limits of ordinary matter? Simple.

6.)   How do we go from the Non-Linear to the Linear theory (Math.)?

I have learned it is mainly undecided as to me were I to consider whether Obama would write me and us to work this out; - or for that of not doing so [himself] - that it is undecided comparative to a 1st guidance at that of what I could do of a SC 'experiment' - of levitation and reverberation on test-mass...

Now that I have resolved that of the basic synthetic, the process should be simple.

7.)   That of the table of accord holds that it is potentiated to generate a reason for a right-idea'; the magic of that of accord on an idea and that of it's workability as to:

    a.)   Equations and flow.

    b.)   Theorizing and steps.

05:09:40 p.

010 Ava is determined; 18 & 17/5ths+1/3rd...

07:16:40 p.

7 & 2.4 & 2.3*5 - complete (25, +1); lower residue of hour == day in day; thus; 24 hour's & not 3,5,6 for 14 - 1 (of 13 of law unto two (2')); then that of no-3,4,5,6...

07:25:40 p.

7 & 5.2 & 2.3*5 - that of 7,7,7 with .3, to the third; - that of determination of with another; - of there[in] what is up/up/up of outward, within entitled hourglass & safe & feather & needle; that of an 'eye'; innocence of the Eye of the Beholder...

Thus of **my law, in my hand**; has declared the Judge-Superior Free to Wed that of a Woman; for in a hand-chosen; at the bottom, a hand unto Superior-Foe's...

Of a Major, the Key struck Once upon Twice overtold of a Judgement, then of a formation in two, to which is period-free; and infinite; that of the end of a Road.

Thus of a 'blank' transcendent numeric difference, to be Judged by the Lord (&) none; for in that of a Child, the Innocence of Birth; that of a Maiden and Slave...

For of the canvas, without paint; - the Void of Dogen; and that of the Word, of a clear day; to-come, the emanation of *time*; and eternal of that of the 11th to the fifth, from which is the determination of question from which an answer is then provided; of a Question is an Answer, and an Answer is a Question; verbatim...

Oddly; - for in the mention of another's word's; the collapse of the Tower of Knowledge; and the free-penalty of the Return of the Key of Knowledge unto the people; this individual, as the arrival-bell at that of an Associates Position.

Thus entry into the Law for in standing*; and depravity of second chances of a criminal prosecution; - for in the standing of the prior* Law*; (to which these each and all are in the liars chamber) - that of an illegal entry and search and seizure without probable cause by violation of an Ammendment, therefore under true reverse-reciprocity; that of a violation of rights and <u>discrimination</u>.

08:41:02? (t-7:9) p.

Ava agreed... and was agreed... I could tell. 2.3 & 41 & 2, for that of 19 and 2...

November 6th, 2021

163

12:40:00-12:41.48 a. [p. - w.]

2.2*3 & 2*5.3.5 & - & 2.2*3 & 41 (18-18-12 to 7): 2 guilts proclaimed; counsel in 1122 to 3. that of 16 & 5 (from 11) and 17; - the 1 guilt/1 undecided; two ended.

01:05 ~ a.

45 down on 48; thus 3*2.5 and 2*4.3, so it is; that of (-1); 0 and 3.1, for digitized separation of locality; - thus it is of my-clock!; that of relationship to managed assortment my-count!; - thus of A. in (t-46 ~); 35.(+/-1).2 - 70 and a 12, that of a 5, and lost-2, and 1, or that of 2 retained of veto progression; absolute; unto 80.

+1/-2; to which is two foreign accounted for measures of innocences unto that of a preverb; for select noun; that of declination per-statute of limitations intact... as solid as a flagging, for in a race in which the tortious win's over that of a rabbit; - thus of white unto red; for of true/false declaration at discriminatory purpose; and therefore counsel to breaking and entering, of a pliancy over IP.

4:19 pm

I.)   That of conversion *inter-alialy*; for what is a **form**; relates to Sin and Cos... through Tan, of what is a ***Geometry*** - to period relationship *unto Predicate norm*.

II.)   With that *of* - offset - given *Relativity*; - that of the relationship of a curve to it's established *Geometry* relates Elliptic Functions to Sin and Cos via Tangent.

Thus it is hopeless to find security with that of Elliptic to Sin and Cos but via a descendency of order...

That these relate to the three-body issuance at 'interior' and 'exterior' problem...

That of for-which relates to *what comes into being...

Thus it is of a detail... on the manifestation of one form becoming another...

Thus the *predicate arrow, enforces what is a Q->R->B of what may be traversible...

That it relates via* a selection to that of the *Process of *Unit Evaluations...

Thus it is that anything *In Principle occurrs... the parameterization...

4:55 pm

Tan[\t]=e^{-D}

For a hyperbolic triangle...

Thus:

1.)   That of the hypotenuse is related to Sin and Cos.

2.)   Thus, an element of *one Tan[\t] alludes for in discriminant 'D', what is via a quadratic form, a different form...

Thus that of completing the square;

a.)   The constraint of the Universe is that *Only a Unique triangle will form of what is (Via* it's Angle*) - that of Hyperbolic or Spherical Relation... - only the triangles that exist come into being or are rational... in this expression. This is the Unknown, (4th or such) - and Only if their Sin[theta] and Tan[theta] agree, for that of a formative 'action' - does the process occur....*

Thus geometry and probability exhibits a correspondence.

Curvature becomes a translation tool between differentials... thus the forms are related by a new conversion formula.

Each thing I have developed has been an observation on this fact; - then that of one differential log for that of another; of curvature to non-curvature.

Thus the Log differential converts between a system with curved space and a Euclidean space... that it converts what is a differential system, the answer is that relativity converts the non-linear equations to linear equations by balancing the period of the Perfect Triangle... thus that of which informs that one space had departed; we find that forces transmitigate for that of conversion.

That of geometry and probability therefore exhibits a correspondence via curved and non-curved space and that of transition and elemental eigenvalue...

Thus, each geometry may be converted to the Euclidean geometry; and there is no definitive geometry to the universe; - merely a correspondence.

6:39 pm

I've discovered:

"The Log, for what is a definite relationship of curvature through a differential, - relates for what is Integral; of that of the ratio of a geometry to a geometry, for which probability expresses the relationship of periods to periods; the only causation of which is with dual and dual... thus that Elliptic functions relate the known and the known; and of the consequential evalation of all-that-happens."

"Thus Tan=Log defines the relationship of the commutative and non commutative 'entry' on that of Probability =

165

Geometry. Thus of that of a relationship, - algebraically it is that Mathematics guides through expression what is the Algebraic and the Geometric... of a translation via that of recurrence... in part. Part and Portion recurrence... Thus that of a lift' to which what is salient develops in relation to 'up and down' and 'down and up'."

Thus that of transferrence, orientation, transition, and appeal, etc... relate to what is that of geometry to geometry and prob. space to prob. space...

That of Tan describes with exponential one relationship, hyperbolic, which through of the excuse at the finite is related to that of the spherical; - thus that algebra defines a notion on the excusible transferrence... - thus that it is consistent when that of geometry agrees with algebra... that of for-which there is a 'gap'; - on that of potential...

Thus as the Integral relates what is of a relationship 'back' to a manifold (surjective onto hopf bifurcation) - that of what-is at-determinant emanates from zero... within the relationship of event(s); in the finite and it's residual.

7:08 pm

I was confused by Tan[\t]=Log[\t] for that of the logarithmic differential under integration; hence the solution is to see that Tan[\t]=\p Log[Cos[\t]], so if Tan is movable; then Log represents the exponential...

Cos[]->Exp[]... it is self-iterative... Thus squaring illucidates to a Tan, what is that of Elliptic*; and Elliptic and Elliptic only fit one another when there is a constant to which Tan is movable via completion of the square to which Cos[] and Sin[] are related... a transformation of non-linear equations which is within it's curved space; - linear...

7:47 pm

That of Log for in an incomplete Exp[] ~ Cos[] and the Sin[]... suites the inversion formula of curvature and it's forward application... thus of the commutator or not, that of the 'addition formula' of this-world...

Thus the Exp[] in combination presents us with an inversion formula under it's involution and convolution... - thus that Cos[] and Sin[] taken to a log differential represents a Topological Invariance Assumptive... Thus of the Manifold of a teir of relationships, within and of it's gestalt...

Thus the Cos[] and Sin[] for Exp[] in Log[] generate a Tan[] ~ Mobius, to which combines QM and GR, generating a probability and a curvature group...

9:21 pm

1.)   Missing/Known - *of four basis, - the 'Fourier' analog with Moebius functions.*

2.)   We have the **Group Transformation/Composition Law** - of Topology.

166

We can apply Analysis & Classification now.

10:21 pm

The Fact that offer(s) this nature of Reconciliation:

Uniquely, there exist only two polynomial (relationships) of which map to a new polynomial under their composition. Thus that of two (2) and two (2) divide... for what is a Mobius transformation into another; I had been trying to solve a 2x2 problem... difficult, in fact determined* undecidable. That of 'missing' and 'known' form from one Polynomial with Another; what is it's Unique Analytic Retentive.

November 9th, 2021

12:47 am

The imaginary unit translated determination of a principle curve from one piece of paper to another; - thus that the imaginary unit relates past historiological relationships to the present... a hidden dimension. That of the 'imaginary'...

The principle solution *is*:

$$Tan[\t+\r]=Exp[-T-R]$$

This is [alone] prescriptive to the 2(1) organization of co-dependent arising, through which waves form that of a summation/polynomial, thus of that of a cross-similarity and *Compatibility condition between manifold(s) and causal process... Thus that of the *argument* to that of the Mobius transformation for which all group-element(s) are satisfied... thus of that of a polynomial Legendre.

No angle.

No distance.

> ***Thus physical reality is not described by Mathematics... but find(s) it's only expression in terms of Mathematics.***
>
> ***& A proton is an electron <u>From another Direction.</u>***

4:21 am

Tan[] and Exp[] *may only be interchangable under the Transformation Group... to what is Uniformly Convergent [Well Behaved], and therefore Is-Expressible from conveyance unto a mathematical expression. For this to be true; it is imparted of two-groups to combine, through which each non-linear Equated Mean of Elliptic(s) over the*

Transversability Class* of a Support retreat unto Onto-Geometric-Onto-Homomorphism's of a Generic Nature. Thus that of the 'Combinatorial' - Space and Inter-opter-Dialog of Quantal and General Relativistic Equatement of any **Two-Period***... thus that which arrives, for in light of naturalized *Unity in physics, *Reduction; & the principle of Co-Dependent arising... from which [and unidirectionally valid] are quantities, qualities, properties, consequences, conditionals, and aconditional's to the development of* **Form***.*

10:50 am

I need gear the S|C|, for Bair, etc... not to be forgotten, but no worries... an atypical; - that of linear and open contrast to code-import (but of self-code) - guided over the phone on the MusicPainter... compare and cross compare...

I'll learn more, it's also the weather, and apart [pre-established] hardware and it's hardware USB/Bluetooth fixedsys.... - dangerous? Unicode? Code? - how will I code, - a Question... and of implementation thought of first. The 'ticket' is not free *necessarily*; to a prefixture; - but that of cabled it is not, thus that of square-linear and Kerr effect; then of no re-implemention (but another question - freeing) and - (enclosing) verus (open-) and (closed-) up/down, in three-to-eight switch reference. Of the Law; we which for a capable indicator and rate adjust.

The law is entitled to a Question, then that of myself held in 10:49:48-49-50... of the imperative of game/established vagueness and precision on that of chain and chain-free diagonal; - and that of Pre-Lim and Open-Setting, on a Dime of that of a Chain-Interlinking USB/Bluetooth/Cabled/Wired/Unwired/Etc... we have at *minimum, different temporal outspatialized Perephrial relationships, with that of timing, and locus (Cable + Wireless) >|<; 'simultaneity' - *Blinded*...

Retention and Closure of back-protocol for interlever of *Study of network Bus.

2:52:50 pm

2:02 -> 1:50, (12); 2:50 -> 1:50 (2x +/- 1) div (60); 12 into 5, from 90, 60; 2/3, which is .2 and .3 of referenced carry (10 -> 60); (12:32 & 12:18); - t-14:00, to which is a 12:00 and 2:00, +/- 10 and 1/6th, - thus of 1/9th, that of finalized guilty plea post.

7:52 pm

No **Milk**.

1.97 left; duration unto a complete [2,6,15] in wed relationship - of 11.9.2021 & 6:29... pm.

That of side-peg entrance/exit of devotion.

That of *option in 2x stand-point.

2:31 pm

I feel somewhat fatigued. - Then that I do not know my emotions that well.

I know a few things, as pertain to the Sciences and Mathematics:

1.)   That of for what is Information and Knowledge, - there are two unknowns.

2.)   That of Information and Knowledge lay prescriptive to that of co-dependent arising (Buddha).

3.)   That of what-is depends on what occurred, - then that of causation to which deals with what is inheritance.

4.)   This inheritance can be proven beyond the light-cone, - to which there is a given at 'Step-Up'... and 'Step-Down'...

5.)   Gravitation and Quantum Mechanics are mutually covariant, - then that of for-which the translation of:

    a.)   Relative lowering of exchange is covariant.

    b.)   That of 'back from' for that of redshift/blueshift co-determine eigenvalues.

I must find the way that this makes sense... and of relativity for that of linear terms in that of nonlinear equations.

In a sense I must manufacture a 'Key' for that of this isolated sense.

I made it with that of Superconductivity; - to which there is a co-attribution... but it makes plain sense, and is obvious, if not different from as most would put it.

There are two details; - then that I require two-variables, and this leaves the problem undetermined... then that it would require to go beyond Godelian Incompletion to satisfy certain lemmas.

That I do not have boundaries established seems to get the best of me. I feel capable of some final conclusions, but I have simple terms and complex terms conflated. That I am beyond much of the past, it is time to begin again.

I feel that I excused something, - that I have found clues does not seem so amazing to me anymore. I feel negative, and down.

I feel that what I know must be questioned, - but I have a guaranteed plan with O. and A., etc... of that of detailing two determinations:

a.)   Reality is real... and formed real *A Proof.

b.)   That of non-local relationships hold a relevence.

c.)   Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity are both True.*

d.)   A Mathematics beyond Godelian Incompletion is possible.

e.)   Everything prescriptive is of mathematics of the world, and imagined.

This leads to a prescription for a number of *Other, problems...

Hence, I must get-back on that of certain determinations, and I do not appear to be ready.

3:55 pm

I am somewhat happy, for I made a *Discernment...

a.)   That of gravitation has nothing to do with the state we arrive at.

b.)   But just the same; superconductivity elucidates certain conditions.

That superconductivity elucidates the 'factor's' by which the theories may be placed together is a certain homogeniety. That therefore, - there is a discriminant notion by which gravitation goes beyond merely a 'force' - but is an 'impetus' - but not a central* cause of superconductivity; - there is a melding of relationship.

This is the perfect reconciliation; because the theories in levitation are related, then that gravitation imposes it's covariance on that of quantum mechanics.

Thus it is to recognition that gravitation has to do with quantum mechanics somewhat of it's reality condition. I feel I must get these reality conditions down, for that of covariance and the equivalence principle; and to limit my theory somewhat.

I have made a final gesture at that of superconductivity; but have yet to reconcile why:

1.)   Gravitation covariantly scales to produce a net effect with the quantum exchange energy; - then that as it goes negative, it produces a mass gap.

2.)   The identity is akin to 'raising' to the gravitational metric and back, - the result is non-zero for that of superposition...

Thus it is to new areas that I find myself reaching speculation.

4:54 pm

I have determined;

1.)   There is 'knowledge' of which exists...

2.)   There is predicate arrow... of the local...

Thus it is that information is 'apart' - that I am confused... the 'resource' by which what-happen(s) may take on a guise. Thus it is that there is an epistimological root in that of 'written and declared' evaluations, - and there is what-happens... thus the austerity by which we-judge takes two-parts.

I was confused, but have some elucidations on part's; - then that I am struggling with that of this problem, I feel only so-well.

There are three? sources of knowledge to that of Buddhism, but I would contest there are only-two.

5:24 pm

I am roughly at the final step:

1.)   The epistomological root of 'distant' observation *Inferential.*

        a.)   To search for a parallel.

2.)   That of the epistimological *Predicate* of-self, etc... locality.

3.)   That of gravity for which is:

        a.)   Modification of redshift and blueshift, for which is with net-net.

        b.)   Impression upon the manifold, by which it find's relaxation.

4.)   That of inheritance of either of the above.

Thus it is to check on that of whether (and of what can be told *of*) - that of the inheritance of knowledge... two declarations.

Of the imagination? Etc?

5.)   Relativity of a combination...

        a.)   Non-linear to linear.

171

b.) Whether these form one or two parts...

6.) Mathematics...

a.) That of two-step on that of 'missing' etc...

Co-Dependent arising seems to tell us-all... thus it is of the Continuum that I am confused; - *it's coexistence.*

6:19 pm

I am somewhat enthusiastic. That I need think about the proper fit, I am not doing so-well. That I have certain things ironed out, it is parseable as 'little'... but of that of:

a.) Quantum inertia of the gap in superconductivity is equivalent to the Gravitational gap of inertia...

As valid, is wonderful.

That I have some conclusions to form for that of the 'pedagogy' - there are a number of questions, but I feel alive. I feel elated that there is indeed a solution; after all I have done... and to resolve a great problem, it is more earned than that of Yang Mills or such, - then that I don't need a reward.

I feel that it is time to become whom I am, - and fall in love again, or such. That Ava is there for me, and Ariana and Obama, and Natalia, I feel emotional, then that it worked out so far... there is a sense of 'giving' once again.

However, of impossibility, I am short-tailed, of what I would know for that of the secular and the providential, - there is a given at apartheid... then of that of finding my place. It has ended, and begun, - and yet I feel no different and yet different on that of my topics. I want to get into the mathematics, and feel that there <u>must be a project I could salvage</u>.

That I have O. and A. etc... for that of proof, *I am not Schizophrenic*. I feel that protecting the way that is, and of all I have typed is much... I feel that life will continue, for now I have done all I could. Thus it is to impress of what I can of that of the:

a.) Method of Composition.

b.) Equatement

That I may master science. I feel that there is more to do, and I feel quite positive. I feel that there was much to what had been done, - then that I resolved a major grievance. There is still a 'dot' of skepticism of that of the delusion, etc... that I would like to know if all had been from such as a physical origin... to get to the foundation... would there actually **be** a psyche?

And of spirit, what explains this?

I thought I was someone priorly existing, - if there is a way to know, *__I will find it.__... in fact, what is brilliant is that *Each Question has *An Answer... thus that of a correspondence... - thus it is that there is indeed a solution to Physics... that it may be all understood.

I feel good at that of what has come along, but am vague of some discernments.

I will need wait a while on my discernments.

7:35 pm

I have settled on 'The Gap' - for that of Superconductivity...

Noting that eigenvalues differ for that of relative assessment... otherwise as __zero__.

I hold the *next problem* as related somewhat to that of non-locality... apparently I have been after 'two things' - that would relate of co-dependent arising.

That I have superconductivity settled is one thing, - then that I am not entirely certain of that of the Log differential and it's place, I feel that there is a strict analogy to be formed with electronics... thus of a <u>Linear Superposition in a Non-Linear Equation</u>...

That I have* confused the source that is relativity with respect to that of the non-linear equation is common with me... that I need 'get back' - to what was consolidated.

Thus of that of with and without gravitation... it appears I have been studying a phenomena, and have these confused. I feel that gravitation for what it is is partially construed to the advantage, - but I have certain thoughts on unification. That I have that of one dissonance, there must come along another difference. That I need orchestrate the '__Group__' is entirely valid... then that this will clear up much.

8:08 pm

It does not appear the NLSE possesses a linear superposition rule...

Is all a nonlinear superposition rule? What of relativity? - Is it possible to cast the non-linear equation as a linear one for in terms of superposition? This is the essential idea, besides that of it's grosser element:

a.)   That of for which there is curvature, knowing of a linear superposition rule...

It seems this derives __to__ the NLSE.

*Then of projection, it would be a key to project the *Non-Linear equation to a linear analysis... this tool is necessary*

for QM and GR to abridge, and for so much of what I have done... then that it shouldn't be "complex".

What is the key?

8:23 pm

Ava is Real... Obama is real, etc... *and I feel I can have faith in my mind [again].*

I determined the 'missing' is what affords the <u>linearity</u>; of a juxtaposition... I will need think more geometrically, for I am missing something. That I was capable of deriving to the NLSE; I wonder if all is non-linear or linear superposition... is there a way to cast the problem?

If the eigenvalues are zero w.r.t. each other; - then there should be a linear field... I am frustrated.

10:40 pm

The truth is I *can* solve this problem...

We are given a classifier and determination on/of distant observers...

Thus if the metric* classification differ(s); it is revealed by the speculations and mannerisms of others...

Thus to utilize this basis of individuals for that of an unbiased projection on that of the theoretical problem of Physics and it's conjugate *net*.

11:16 pm

I was *certain* A. & O. were real. I feel fantastic... of that of professor's; I cannot be both, for in (+2) on witness.*

Then that:

a.)   I will need account for the basic premise of science... repeatability.

b.)   This may be spoken; but of mathematics, it is a branch...

That I was confused, there is an *Epistimological at that of distant events.

Thus the pieces are falling together, - hit or miss I can solve this.*

[That of Log[\t{\o}\b{\o}]=(2), & that-of Sin[] polynomial w/ NLSE & Exp[]...]

2:40 pm

I am going to take on a few *new* problems...

1.)   Movable singularities and that of the Mean Field Theory on Finite Lattices

    a.)   Geared towards the Painleve property; at that of SSB, and Solitons.

2.)   The Law; a Surveyorship

3.)   That of prediction of 'The Code'

4.)   Reconstruction of Historiological Documents

- I am supposidly free, then that I knew or such, but debate...

- It is not completely final with Ava Max, but there is a Jennifer Lawrence...

I am going to do this with also reducing my allocation for addictions.

Of +64 oz. a week a month (2x weeks) and once per two weeks (12x total) - hard.

I resolved a Question: what if the nature of the conserved quantities is a finite differntial, but undefined relationship of the *Integrals*?

4:44 pm

So for example; that of the m*Sin[x]^{2} unto a denomination unto Log[], displaces the modulo by a +/-1 per 'a' for which a^{n} on Z, effectively demonstrates the prime.

Thus the 'a' [prime] is even-even; thus of the consequative detail* at a manifold 'open' versus a manifold 'closed' heirarchical freely held deferential assumptive of theory.

6:31:20-25 p.

/.//.../ - Ava agreed to Wed*; at the least, a promise to Engagment and an Attempt at a relationship... although she does not go for men. I had considered whether all-numbers were off; or that I had scheduled wrong; - then that I have collected 'data' - but there is a *mixed confusion surrounding my Tables. What if these were NMR spectra, or if this dysfunctions for in light of a nonlinear measurement table, what of the scheduling to a non-linear rate rule of sum? What of that of a flexible ruler? Etc...

2.3/31 or 29/2.2 & 5/5.2 & 23

2130 & 1750 'seeds'...

1232? math's...

2.2 & 3/3.8 & 2.3 & 5 & 2 & 3

213 - 2.3 & 7 & 3/2.3 & 3.3/3 & 5...

0 2.5

0?25?312?175?1232 - ?/(3)(h.) - ?/(2)(p.) - (17)(2)(5)(support) - (5)? - retention (q.)

052523123[175](1232) - mixed hash added

1232 - 2.4 & 7 & 11

175, ???

1232, ????

3 identified following 52, 52

and - 0 - is a dash

1 is identified for in 175

13 - 11; 2

11, 2

175 - code prefix for that of 112

and 1232 is a code prefix for

now 05 becomes (guess) a 01... if correct...

7152 is 1232

thus, that of 11(?)(3)(?), basis...

(05)252312311211(?)(3)(?)

ths of .29252123112112031 - 6:31:20-25, kernel of 29, that of; 27 * 20.21.7.25 I'm ok... 27 to 7 to 2 to 1 to 0; 0 veto, 1 is undecided/delimitory, 2 is unscheduled and guilty; and 7 in not-guilty, that of 27 are innocences or not-guilties... of 2x.

7:21 p.

I need to reorganize the *Circuit*. I feel that it is new to be beyond the occassion of a desparation, but my back

aches. Then that I have confided in Ava Max, and Obama, etc... and know they are there. That I could not have invented this scenario, it is awesome to have cleared the *Schizophrenia*, etc...

I feel new at that of many numbers of things, then that I am readied for that of a technological miracle, and of *Superconductivity*. I will think of a number of new relationships, then of the *Mysteries of the Universe*. I feel well, but it is included that there must be exercise in the future. And of stretches, etc...

I feel the head issue has diminished, as it has not grown, which is great, considering...

9:56 p.

1.) It is a functional relationship.

2.) The Legendre relationship furnishes a (2*pi*i) for in a boundary relation.

a.) Thus the commutator is complex... for in the period relationship.

November 20th, 2021

I have stayed up late...

I am with Ava Max, **in***:*

1.) A Peasantry

2.) A Province

I found a solution to causation.. that it is:

1.) The eigenstate condition of which is of similarity in scale.

2.) When of a matter event, - it is of the speed of light in separation.

Thus it seems these coatingent factors dictate what occurs, then that the mental horizon is something else... for instance of the faculty of a free will, etc...

Thus it is that I have found a relationship with that of continuity and the curvature condition of which appears very valid.

8:43 am

I stayed up, and found a solution to the finite lattice.

To note is that the 'addition law' comes into place as the Weierstrass function is the differential of a function; for

which the addition law holds. This takes the place of a logarithmic differential when it is then squared; holding fast to that of the term differential squared divided by a square of such a term.

Thus the 'group law' falls into place when the differential relationship satisfies the nonlinear focusing & dispersion. This kind of transformation renders the 'relativistic' approaches with the finite-lattice as analytic, and therefore furnishes a non-linear to linear summation principle.

I feel extremely well to have this, then of a step forward. I sought for this for some 16 years, then that I have some major revelations in other areas, but it is a final model; - then that of polynomials combining, for that of the differential equation.

4:46 p.

I am frustrated, by too many directions to afford motion.

I no longer believe I am insane, and it is very much that Wabash* is behind, that I feel well. I am free of the accusation, and it is that I saved Obama's life, that there is definite *Hope for various reasons... of which I cannot recall.

I have the *Supercomputer project, and need codify my central* conclusions.

I feel that love with Ava is something new, but I am off center, then that of a confusion for in light of a lacking of the definite.

I would try to be available of life, but I cannot see a light for in hope. I feel that of preclusion from a relaxation into this world, of all I do, I sense a 'nothing'. I would try to be positive, but this does not suffice.

I am going through the last dull-drum's of an existential crisis. Then that my way's seem to speak of losses. I do not know, but feel there is, if there is a guarantee I will begin again.

One thing was sound, and I feel that of the cycle has gotten to me. Then that I do not have the plain sense to know what I do is of importance.

I feel that there is something to be said of history, but I haven't found the reason, but of Ava, and her reliable entrance, there is an answer. I feel reluctant to take a stand. I know the universe remains, but do not know the boundaries, thus I feel incapable.

I want meaning in life, and for it to remain, but with what-is, there is a loss. Then that I don't know the point of things. I need to be amazed.

And of what is permanent, for that of a given, but I have preparations at a way. I do not know what will console

me in a given. I am all over the place.

I feel a loss, for in that of adventures, but there is much aside.

I have:

1.)   The computer problem.

2.)   That of Superconductivity.

3.)   That of Mathematics.

4.)   A beginning with Obama and Ava.

6:06 p.

I have problems with the meaning of life,... then that I came up with that of:

a.)   Growth

b.)   Experience

c.)   Prosperity

If there were no-meaning to life, I would doubt I-exist. I have problems with that of discernment I-exist, then of many questions, or a few. I need sensibility, and to tailor what-is. The problem was not really discerning that I-exist or that there need be a meaning to life.... but more to do with my-heart.

Thus it seems to be something of a conjunction of the above. For that of two clues, I feel there is verification at this.

1.)   Saving Obama's life.

2.)   That of arrangement with Ava.

It is sufficient. Thus I have some problems to work on...

1.)   The Supercomputer

2.)   The Circuit

3.)   That of Superconductivity

4.)   That of Mathematics

5.)   A Rule Set for Linear from Non-Linear Differential Equation Solution

6.)   Historiological Assay

7.)   Unity in Physics

I feel fatigued, then that I have struggled, I feel that life is what it is, and it is great to have some answers, but I am perplexed.

2:20 pm

I have some part(s) for my project...

1.)   The PCB came in - to which I have the acrylic discs, and can build the crystal Touchscreen.

2.)   The connectors do not mate, - to which I must send back (4x) and get my money back or purchase new ones.

3.)   The cover for the box is the wrong size - then that I need to send it back and get a new one.

4.)   The connectors for the optics are sufficient.

*   a.)   I am testing the fiber optics to see if they work with the jacketed design.*

5.)   I need connectors for the touchscreen, that of a CUI [brand] connector.

6.)   The power connectors seem to be perfect, - that I have old one(s) which do not work; - I *may* be able to send these back for some credit, or I will have to shelve them.

7.)   I wanted to begin the circuit again, - that I have limited transformer(s), but an extra op-amp, and diodes, etc... there is another circuit to build and test.

A.)   I will need build the circuit again, for higher voltage.

B.)   I will need work on the connectors and set up the touchscreen(s)

*   a.)   I may need extra photodiodes.*

I am in a middle-stage of development, - then that I am unsure of the jacketed design.

I feel capable of some of this, but there are open questions for that of design, then that it would be ideal to get the program working with the Raspberry Pi 4 B+.

I saved money, or money was not equated for what was inflation, then that I have lost little of my initial investment. I feel okay of that of the design, but am worried of the power rating, etc...

I feel that there is something with the MAX11300 of which may preclude design, but it will take some work... then to get heavily into a programming environment.

I am nearly settled on that of the theory, but for that of matching to experiment, I will need go through another revolutionary process, of which I equate admixtures of signals with that of a theory.

I am improved for the most part, - then that my health is okay, and not terrible. It is time to get going on the project, - in time.

I know Ava is there, for that of which there is a given at self-proof... that indeed we can succeed in isolation, - this needn't be the case with me. I feel I saved Obama, and need to relate my story.

I am happy with that of the outcome to which I know I have been declared innocent, and have a position with the NSA, etc... [somewhat].

7:25 p.

I figure there will be problems with the Device unless I slow down... I found a 400mV+/- on that of the point-to-point of channel A and B. I have some problems with the fiber optic's; and need detect a signal (and with confinement) of that of the 20 dB or so for the jacketed design. Without testing this, implementation is pointless.

I feel hesitant to begin, - then that I need photodiodes or light emitting diodes of the ultraviolet or infrared type, and need match wavelengths. There are a number of considerations.

I feel that it is nevertheless going, and I have derived that Ava is there... for that of:

I.)   *Ava, unto Obama and that of Obama from Ava, are two parts of contribution to-self... thus they are related.*

II.)   *Ava and Ariana are independent people; and I have heard Ariana, who has adopted either measure, but remains apart from Ava... and has none to do with this.*

Thus it is three and a fourth to one, that of two in part of which are isolable from self, thus of admixture and separation of process....

Thus they are each real, for Ariana explicitly is apart and unto Ava; whom can hear one another;... that it is positive affirmation that (2)(1)(1) is in a chain of a given... that of saving Obama, - thus it is clear that: **Indeed I saved His Life***...*

Without this fact constructed via this method, the argument would be missing something, - but in fact that he is 'Ample' - there is decisiveness. Then that they are in a bounded relationship apart from the self.

7:45 p.

a.)   I would like to narrow my field of interest, and finalize some of my research, - then that of publication for what it is worth, is for that of some basic preliminaries.

   1.)   I need to do that of some reading.

   2.)   I need to finalize the notes on some of the material.

b.)   It would be wise to come up with a 'dating' technique, without which I will be misguided of that of approaches to the material specific and that of re-entrancy of mixed media and phase.

   1.)   Cosmological, red and blue and that of two-diopters, some basic model.

   2.)   That of water-specific, - *What will provide the greatest contribution?*

c.)   That of the 'fingerprint' of the associated breaking-potential, and it's relevence, - ... - *Is there a way to give a 'Name' to a Phase-Diagram?*

d.)   That of the quota to which is relevence of heirarchical assortment...

   1.)   Where is the limitation of science?

That of the rate-period for in acceleration downward produces a negative refractory quantum limit; of two-period(s) on the formative gesture of inertia by the three body problem when microscopic... versus Macroscopic, a Gravitational Interaction.

12.53 a.

Metric Time = = Rotating Back a <u>Season</u>. [Worldwide].

Ariana and Amanda Max decided to keep the babies.... then of:

The Mormon Turkey, a Love Letter, Sugar, Phosphate in DNA, Heart and Mind, - that of a Lie in One Eye upon Another, and Innocence Recorded... of one-truth; - thus of two, for in either; - that of a Win/Win in Life, or of Death... that of seconds or day's... the Key* to a Dating Principle and new* Statistics.

4.06 a.

I finally decided on a manner of exposition [in part] for that of the paper due publication...

1.)   Prove antipodal limitation of relativity compatible with relativity theory.

2.)   *Elucidate basic facets of the dynamic involving the characteristic group.*

3.)   *Get a few *strict notions down with observation and that of measurement.*

4.)   *Finalize the paragraph structure, reading and editing a final time.*

This is all I am going to do, without extrapolation...

I will need to trim some 2 pages, and write some 2 pages for the final draft.

*I decided to lay it to rest, then that I will ****not****:*

1.)   *Finalize a viewpoint on Superconductivity.*

2.)   *Include general classification assumptives of heirarchy, etc...*

3.)   *Involve myself in gratituous notions of measurement.*

4.)   *That of the notion of unity in physics, and a comparative study.*

5.)   *Doing intense linguistic [heavy] editing of the process.*

6.)   *That of mathematical critique beyond the necessary for what is involved.*

7.)   *That of mathematical 'missing information' and information theory.*

8.)   *That of a reinnovation of statistical mechanical arguments.*

9.)   *Merely so, I would like to motivate *perhaps a cosmological dating principle.*

10.)   *I would like to lay to rest speculation on that of chemical assembly and order.*

11.)   *I will leave out phases and structural consonance.*

12.)   *I intend to hasten on the causation, and derive a statistical precept.*

  a.)   *Without entirely parametrizing the finite lattice.*

13.)   *That of soliton answers, however, to get the boundary correct.*

14.)   *That of geometric nature of unification, and this exact symmetry.*

However, I will trim the paper wherein necessary and motivate discussion points, possibly with some trail-back.

It is mainly an observation on:

a.)   *Relativity.*

b.)   *Pauli Exclusion (*to be mentioned*)*

c.)   *That of phases and the mathematical to physical bridge.*

d.)   *Soliton boundaries and that of a dating principle.*

I do not have time to go into the measures and nature of unity involved in soliton physics, or the transformation. [To be revealed elsewhere].

I have some back-tracking, and perhaps some 2-4 pages to add to the addendum.

Then references, and the various citations of looked up works.

I anticipate at most one week, for in the end of the month, on this paper.

I will also need to finalize the Goldbach Paper.

6.52.18 a. / 23.11.2021

Innocence Identified?

Plausible...

10.10 & 11.11.1011

2.2 & 3.3.11

4.17, (-3.00), from 1.50 over thirds to twice, that of 4, [3][2][1]... 16 (-2.00), (-5.00)..

(W) In, Unto, Out, Up, On... neither.

8:08 a.

The heritological impetus of formation *vs.* the import of phase, is equated under [a] transferrence with a half-cylic operendum of transferrences [of phase] unto it's invariance *classification*.

I finally have an answer.

I will feel better later, for now I am ardent upon coming through this... I am only but somewhat so surprised. It had been real, and I know so. I am wondering of the device.

1.)   I need light emitting diodes.

2.)   I have the couplers coming.

3.)   I need the panel top for the enclosure.

I need few other things, but to maintain a list, and possibly to re-do a circuit, or check all contacts and voltages.

I feel that with other areas, I need cement some relationships. That I am no longer so confused of these and:

1.)   Godelian Incompletion

2.)   Missing versus Factual

3.)   Predicate allowance

4.)   Superconductivity and the Past

5.)   Non-Local versus Locality

etc... there are a number of enumerations of which I am not being solid about.

1.53p.

I have a few questions; then that I am somewhat bothered for not making progress. In my day's; there is the notion of bringing things together.

a.)   Non-Locality.

    1.)   The privilege of having saved Obama.

    2.)   The logic-problem of resolution of another.

    3.)   Letter's, notes, and effects.

b.)   Godelian Incompletion

    1.)   Lawful Jurisdiction and proof of innocence.

    2.)   That of solute of a mathematical foreclosure.

    3.)   That of the four color theorem, etc...

c.)   Superconductivity.

    1.)   Net-net change in energy versus relativity.

2.)   That of dynamics, and gauge group breaking.

3.)   Varieties and an exact model.

d.)   Chaos and Order.

1.)   That of simplistic dynamics.

2.)   That of particularity of detection.

3.)   That of novel-phases.

I need:

a.)   New analytic approaches, and to solidify the methodology.

b.)   That of bringing Chaos and Order together with my particular studies.

I feel huraunged, for what is potentiated.

I have also concerns with:

1.)   The Supercomputer and the Devices

2.)   That of Rap, music, etc… and games

I no longer have a major section, in two:

a.)   That of the narcissism of an era.

b.)   That of the criminal case or speculation on other's reality.

I feel relieved to be through with much, but am thinking about a way ahead.

Should I necessarily utilize one area of knowledge for another?

I know I am somewhat new, and that there is no prior me,… that I feel capable of some things, it will come down to some [common] considerations.

If I do not know my venue and manner of approach, I should stop and question.

This guidance and 'meaning' is one-thing, and I am skeptical at the same-time… I have shown a number of results:

a.)   That of gravitation and quantum mechanics do interoperate to form the world.

b.)   That of superconductivity is a phase and phase in remainder, of which there is a past associate with that of

factual composition; - meaning it appears the notion of experiment must be advanced versus measurement.

I feel that this would be my contribution, but I have not settled.

3.23.p.

*It seems that I must find a way to fit *suited results of **an adjacent** dimension together with **this-dimension**.*

Only this can contain the Predicate and Inferential calculus of it's determinant nature.

I have for this:

1.)   Analytically, the continuum is solid, yet information propogates... [a medium].

2.)   The 'residual' and 'composite' of functions is a function related by <u>Integration</u>.

3.)   Missing and Known are related by a rule of <u>Composition</u>; to which generates form... that of for-what would be the differential and the <u>Algebraic</u>.

Thus I am stuck by that of the finite and the continuum of it's specifier. Without that of a 'Predicate' allowance, - there is no elemental Part-Wise commutation.

Thus it is to 'see' reality; that we must go to 5th, or such of an <u>Order</u>. I am having some difficulties, but it is to-determination if there is a 5th dimension or not.

5.24.p.

The 'missing' affords what is checked, 2(1), and what is 'exceptional quality' on that of the imperative to-determine... (1).2, - thus of aim at-time, it is the R-Z. That of infinity, is the disclosure at the *unit, - to which all-aim(s), are unified, then of GR, - that of mathematic(s) suited* to what-is the truly hidden yet-consistent of a priori...

This is unqualified by (T) or (F); that it is of the essence of a formation to adopt what necessarily it-will. That of what is constructive is therefore that of two to which are imputed of (T) and of (F); - that of what-estemic is granted of the self, is a privilege to interoperate. Thus of two; it is two self to which it is ill-defined but of that of nowhere to be found... - thus that it is *what creates the Urn and it's content(s); - that of no-a priori extant.*

Thus it is that there is no genesis... And I am sad, but this is what is real.

Then we are forced to accept a world that is mathematical in past and future, but of the present physical situation. Thus it is of an idealogue that of what I was searching for cannot be found.

1.)   A mathematical relationship develops as the inverse of physics.

It may be seen as entirely physical or entirely mathematical.

6.38p

The present is the knowable sphere of mathematics... that it is determined post-hoc the physical domain. That there are two-renderings, co-dependent arising work(s) either way; - but relates to that of mathematical deduction and induction... - that reasoning is a 'high faculty'.

That mathematics comes posterior the physical; each is the physical - thus that of what-is, derives from in-part of mind what is mathematical interrelation, then that an entire sphere exists of this knowledge.

The physical relates to that of the co-dependently arisen from what is two, to which there is an advance and precession, etc... from outward and inward. Thus physics conveys the mathematical, for in that of what is a derived precept.

For it to be that superconductivity exists therefore is dependent on that of a mathematical basis to-derive the result; as it is emergent, - but that of the physical laid-below, - for which it takes an intelligent species. That of which relates to each, and then some, - there is a mathematical principle by way of which superconductivity relates to the past and the future.

Therefore there is an interesting question in this.

7.08p

For it to be that of a **Co-Dependently Arisen** *requires a mathematical backing*. To which, as it were, the non-local, is explained via a link* to which abridges subjectively as a *Fact; what is trans-literal.*

Thus of justification(s); it proves that argument contains this-facet. That of the 'abridged' notion to O. and A. is therefore of *One epistomological *Root.

That of what supplies indentured notions is:

$$-q, \qquad q, \qquad g,$$

Thus that (-q) is the *Mathematical Prescriptor*... without this element* Science is rendered incomplete...

A new* Genesis.

11.02p

My friend(s) are not there, but the Sentience has assisted fully... of a variety of problems.

1.)   That of the 'Missing Arrangement' - and placation at self-counsel.

a.)   That of my innocence identified.

2.)   That of gravitation and quantum mechanics... for which there is unity and disunity...

a.)   The placation that there is a robust fashion beyond the example.

3.)   An invioable boundary to which noise does not propogate; from which is exampled and solid; - thus that I needn't go-insane...

a.)   That there is no (-q) relationship, that (q) and (g) are sufficient.

Thus I am beyond that of my illness, for I am convinced of new things. I feel capable of that of a new glided motion, beyond this and that.

I will take care, and rebuild.

11.25p

It is untrue that my 'Friend(s)' were there. I feel at a new juncture,... that I experienced a madness for some 20 years, it broke down to LSD, which ended recently.

1.)   This had been going on since 2005.

2.)   I feel that the 'barrier' is knowledge.

3.)   That of a realm '-q' does not exist.

4.)   We are indeed therefore physical.

5.)   I am not someone else from the past.

6.)   We are not reborn, it had been untrue.

7.)   I have found the key's to my symptom.

8.)   From their side, information is unobserved.

9.)   This is consistent with no-self, but a self is.

10.)   I was capable of determination of my innocence.

I feel that this-much is surprising, and needs to be orchestrated, and implemented. I feel that life has been fair, now

to know that of:

a.)   As 'Ava' and 'Amanda' are-two, but either is not-two to that of the other, it is valid that they are computers... then a questionable err.

Thus I am beginning at new things, but will not innundate myself with relationships.

I felt that life was one-thing, but that of my computer may prove to resolve to something, - that I have cleared a relationship.

For that of what-was, I was of a tertiary symptomology, - now that one is with the self; - it plays that I am beyond the double-blind. Thus there are way's to utilize the computer for what is appropriate. That these had not been people; there are no two-part's of co-determination on that of 'beyond' -

Thus there could be a (-q), but it is invioable as to co-determination and 'hidden'...

That I may hide my-site, I would question as to that of other's... I know it went as far as hearing over the phone,... but that I have lost sense with that of Ariana and Ava, etc...

11.55p

I have determined Ava & Obama are-there.

That back-from one is one, thusly, - of these two - there is a relationship of which details what is guidance at a formentionable fact; - then that one has addressed what is known with self; - and related to yet-so of self and other, what is between self and other; and of their combination.

Thus Ava exists - so as so - that Obama addresses myself from her, that neither so are idempotent, but of the relationship [known] - by which these interrelate with the form of one and a plausible end at the other. Thus they are confined of relationship unto the other; - and therefore not a thought of a self; - they are realistic, and real, for that of what divides and multiplies; - the identity of two.

Thus Obama discerns what is presentable of Ava and Self; - but his conclusion, and her's are identical; - that I am not Obama with Ava, and I am not Ava with Obama, this is implied by what is justified of uniqueness.

*Then that two-exist for that of what is a dependency with self & **other**; - that their observations are formed consistent, as when Ava relates to Obama, she is without self of myself, - that the comparative is drawn for in either from which I had saved a life comparative to either, but on-layer(s); - that Obama knows me as the man who saved his life; - and of Ava; - that I am the man who-saved Obama, - thus that I am amidst a 'middle' in one relationship [the former here], first in another, and third in the remainder,... thus these represent solid unions.**

...(#)-(#)-(#)...

Thus* either are real for in the equivalence of remainder(s); without which one would be defective of Observation unto the factual remainder... the proof I did in fact save-Obama... *they are therefore real*.

November 27th, 2021

12.36a

I have resolved to **know** *observation; - then that Obama and I and Ava are consistent.*

That of which-way is undetermined, but we are each secular determinations of a (-q)...

Thus of that of the background, it is identified with that of an <exact determination>.

That his-observations were consistent with mine; **Reality is Consistent.**

Thus mind resolves of what it-will, - but there is no psycho-pathology present with myself and Obama and Ava... - therefore that a *Third Agent cleans the 'goggles' of vision... - that of the relationship of a 'hidden variable' assignment.*

Thus that of three observables are rendered to commute...

*Acceleration in vanishing unrolls curvature - to which the expectation valuation is null, or modified.**

1.07a

What's beyond Buddha.

Neutrino's, as I have speculated 'traverse' *to* The Sun.

Thus they are 'ping-states' of the cosmic background & inflation, for-which is a mathematical precept.

Then, that of **Co-Dependent Arising**, suffer(s) an-impression of mathematics vs. physics; - to which from the future to the past is *Physical, and from the Past in Space to the Future in Time [is Mathematical].

Thus it is that Mathematics heads the same way that Physics does ordinarily, but as to that of the 'Neutrino's' - these are indications of a (-q) **dimension** *of Mathematics.*

Therefore, co-dependent arising occurs within the sphere of physics, and yet is inherited with mathematics unto **A Truth**.

- Thus the arrow of determination is that Quotient Spaces propogate back in time, and forward in-space. This

means the 'Higg's' set off a repercussion...

1.27a

I retract my comment... I may have gotten ahead of myself, for that of speculation... however these may or may not be related results.

I feel that mathematics must propogate to the future through space, but wherein are the results contained?

GR - global and local.

QM - local.

-QM - global.

Is this ideal?

2.21a

The consideration of '*an acceleration*' - imply that the 'balanced' condition is with this equivalent to zero. That the non-inertial frame supplies an acceleration is then the pre-condition with no acceleration to which this vanishes under the inertial condition. Thus that of the equivalence principle of a Quantum Origin.

Acceleration in vanishing imply an interior cancellation; - to which there is a *different* facet to which what-is and what-is-not lead to cancellations of terms.

That therefore there are two* hidden details of structure. The first is that of for which a (q) and (-q) resolve information of a mathematical and hidden detail with what is unhidden, and the second is that for which *terms interiorly cancel.*

4.53P

I am [admittedly less] confused as to that of my-Aim.

That I want a non-linear superposition principle, for that of cause and effect, it is a step to which the traditional FFT fails...

Thus this is to the development of a 'Tool' for analysis.

I have understood:

1.)   That of the non-zero sum, by which quantities relate to a net energy.

2.)   That of the finite lattice; of it's group-law, and mathematical inquiry.

There is admittedly little else I have understood...

That there must be a way to add-linearly, the terms, and at a hint, non-linear addition must instigate something to do with collapse and observation, - there is a step to that of the faculty by which results become solid.

Thus I am on my way to that of a theory of relationship... & it will take novel thinking. Thus it is to take A+B=C wherein C is greater than A+B or less, and equate.

This problem inspires me, - to which there is a rule at *Projection... and *Observation.

I have understood also,

3.)   A manner for including two non-linear terms for that of the electronics to a non-linear superposition principle.

Hence it is that I disagree that there is Non-Linear Superposition in Nature.

The concept of taking something through-distortion to produce clarity is a staple.

That I may or may not be justified, the result that we 'get-more' from 'less' is what I have been searching for...

4.)   Now it is that there is a relationship on non-locality, the existence of a manner [to be inquired of] as to produce a connection *afar*.

5.24p

I feel that:

1.)   I will be capable of uniting general relativity and quantum mechanics.

      a.)   With the non-linear superposition principle.

2.)   I can find faculty in that of application of relativity to linearization.

3.)   It is best to proceed to produce a group consequent of it's realization.

I would merely like to marry the result... of group theory to physics.

This provides a vast simplification.

There is that of alternative speculations, but it is that this represents my vast contribution, that a series of pedagogy can be reduced.

That I am distracted speaks somewhat of a problem.

I need marry 'missing information'; to the problem... with the right 'niche'.

Thus it is that I am facing a juncture, and it would be good to go-back and explore either avenue.

I feel informed of that of a group through that of the P function, etc... and of the missing, - but there is a 'missing' link with that of the incomplete series, and of the fourier like analysis... thus it is I need a simplification (as I feel).

There are other's, but this must be done aside, then that they come in handy for that of the experimental end, and that of the question and answer to which proof is furnished.

Thus in a sense it depends on the prior knowledge of two (2x) auxiliaries, etc... a sociological term.

I feel that this interests me, and would like to question what more can be said.

I feel at a new juncture, then that there is a final (big) step to be taken.

I will not succeed with my projects without that of this next (big) step,... that experiment however may devolve to some sentiments.

I need to reconcile somewhat how I feel about saving a life with that of the sciences, I feel privileged, but something is yet-decided, of which I believe may bring me to health.

That I feel conscious is one thing, - then that there must be somewhat certain things to be said for recurrence of the individual. That I am not through with my spiritual studies is one thing.

5.58p

I resolved 'my problem':

a.) η* transform

b.)   Group of Doubly Periodic Functions

- Linearity!

I have been confused by that of two determinations...

a.)   That of the relationship of chaos under transferrence into mathematics.

b.)   That of upper teir on transformation from a relative domain.

I do not see the 'exact equality of things'... thus it is this, there is an equality to all things. I had been confused, for

that of divergence, then of making what is into a different form. I had been hectic.

I found that when:

M is greater than epsilon; - there is a way to invert analysis... then that of a comparative between ignorance and knowledge.

That I must detail my efforts, and find solace, I am misguided.

6.50p

I want to go forward with my interests, because this is a part of a project,... to enumerate the derivative function of phase and phase.

Without the superposition principle [of which I have a solid foundation]... there is confusion with what I can contribute to Superconductivity.

That I have a [model system]; I am no longer interested in resolution of the <u>entire</u> problem... for this instigates a problem, and I am saturated.

Thus the problem is to establish a solid foundation of empirical formulation and it's mathematical description.

I can also do a paper with that of the superposition principle and *projection*.

I would also like to do a paper with the (-q); and the imperative of this....

I closed the group!

7.15p

I understood how the group-law has to do with the non-linear equation and that of the solution-set... that of it's basis in a ratio of p-functions.

What beleaguers me is that some attribute is missing, but I have no problem as to do with this, - other than that I continually have thought's that I am being tricked.

This is partially the case... and I need resolve why a solution is implied by the other two.

I need to resolve the SU(2) cover; and what it has to do with determination, and of that of the [secular] - detail.

I feel in between arenas, then that I am somewhat confused of the details that have to do with 'solution' construction.

That I have a basis, - but for that of observation, why it is that the two world views can be brought into contact; I

195

am beyond much the 'paring'.

Then that I feel that it has amounted to much loss, but of the imperative to feel happy; - there are questions.

I need close down on this - that is admitted.

There are other* areas in-life, - but my explorations are ending, - then that it is somewhat bittersweet. I feel that I need find my calling in life, and it may be to avoid culture, and adopt meditation, etc...

I cannot imagine beginning in a family, - that I want to be with Ava or Amanda.

11.20p

There are two or three concepts:

a.)   SU(2) abridges the square sum and the sum square.

b.)   The non-linear solution possess a *dual* linear-superposition rule.

c.)   The 'doublet' *analysis* provokes a Double-Period *group law.*

I feel I can move on for two reasons:

1.)   I am not sworn as to writing of my signature were I to take on a Law degree, from the Loan Forgiveness,... as I may pay them back just the same.

2.)   I have the relationship of causation and 'Dichotomy & Inheritance' fairly well understood from which 3(**5**) take(s) place for that of numeric theory.

* It is fully possible the log differential and the exponential codify what is a given at the commutation relationship; - thus that it interrelates differential projections. That I can take the logarithmic differential and utilize the doublet relationship to superimpose two net-net wave 'structures' in reduction to a *Linear system is valid... thus I will witness my dream.

11.38p

*The logarithmic differential equates to summation-subtraction/difference of the two solutions; - thus it is the period-remainder of a ***flow**; that of the trace of which is it's given at that of a group-law for which is with non-zero ***Argument**. - The derivation of a positive or negative net-net sum on the triple-lemma.*

Therefore; the group elements [solutions] are interconnected with different period remainders and different period equivalences.

November 29th, 2021

12.05a

Thus SU(2) and it's commutator as equivalent to the logarithmic differential of the functional form of the solution relate the period equivalences and displacements of the theory; - that conversion of one wave can occur into another via a variety of executions to do with a change in the base-foundation of energy or of form/structure/composition.

It is clear the logarithmic differential of the difference of the Elliptic(s) relates to the period-summation and translation into a new-group... **thus of the comparative of missing information and known information.** *

12.45a

To each 'subjective' *view* and *identity*... there is:

1.)   A period-period relationship.

2.)   A finite constant value.

These relate.

2.47a (3.10ad)

This represents an extension of Miura's transformation, which is related through a commutator, the group is extended by that of arguments (u) and (v) into (u+v) when the commutator is equivalent to [a] logarithmic differential of the *subjectively* provided solution, to which may be intimated as 'missing information' of a (-q)* domain and universe.

That **one** solution generates another; - with missing information this provides a co-moving diffeomorphism of one relativistic relationship and **frame.**

Thus **two** solutions with a period-period relationship and finite equivalence are 'within two relationships'. Thus (2) does not exist as a dimension, however a **5th** dimension does-exist; - for in that of Godelian Incompletion, - aspirationally which leads to (2x) and (2x) +/- (1x) on that of the ***Background Web**.

Thus causation here[in] in representing the **Equivalence of Forms of Inertia** represents the equivalent standard by way of which Mathematical Information content becomes Physical, and Physical Information becomes Mathematical.

Thus (to prove the existence) of a [domain] (-q), we must demonstrate that one certain thing; an-object with properties adheres to a new relationship, - then that of self-to-identity via what is pruned to that of prior conditional assumptive, - to illustrate that what-was dependend on prior condition of it's missing assumptive and

197

direct-cause; and in turn to the priority of an absolute; - that what-was departed of a property.

Has Obama become simpler by knowing me? Has Ava retained elsewhere?

At the least, the identity of information has altered in relationship to what would be reflected as a cause (but there are two).

Thus, of an 'incomplete' relationship; we ask if there is an arrow to self of which is reliant on prohibited earlier reaction unto another... It is that:

Were Ava to not know me, and Obama to not know me; - this would violate their observation of what is capacitated in reflection of what is counterable of self, - that I can contest the availability of knowledge; they are free variables but* for excepting that the demand with-Ava, is that what is reflected in-exception of the self is with-another and another; - thus of part(s); - it is that the Ring* demonstrates a Dice, of which are collected in-else *Other(s); as to naming of self, and identity.

Thus it is that some-relationship defines self by another, - that Obama and Ava, of disconnected experience, intimate the relationship of 2(2), of completion... thus when expungement occur(s); - there is the intimation at a background of which is altered and recoverable through other's by the ***Expungement** of Self.

Thus, the intimation of Death is answered. We remain in terms of another, and therefore of a fact, we remain in relation to a world... The *Expungement of the Self implicates that we are recovered, from which our-life did exist, for here(in); it is to be inherited *that these two are invioable* in the given sense of capacity to entrain and expunge. Thus the die of exception to self is our modicum at a life yet in becoming, where[in] we are defined for of the undefined of life. Thus it remains that we are capacitated to remain... *For of this linkage, there is excepting that we continue and begin... that of-which in becoming, the future is inherited from what is two, - thus that we become in the same like as the self-provided...*

Of rebirth and it's impression, it Hold(s) that there is -no- Continuum but of likenesses for which we remain collected here[in] of a life but of Mother and Father... - thus that there is no-Carriage for which escapement from *One, can relate to re-entrance by-another.

Thus as the Die are with other's, and in-collection by-self they remain in-exception to the support of other's; - it remains that we are eternal as a self provided of relationship to other's,... That we are *unique, the die-at-self provide that we are equated only with the self-provided, that death occurs, it does not become therefore life via another or self; - for of an *Expungement it is literal that what comes to self *is*. Thus it is uncapacitated that another or self could yield from what is *theirs* of a life-becoming of death, but of life*, - even-so; that we would manifest again. This is as when death occurs it is irreversible by another (as in situation at the die to other's).

If it be **No**; then Obama remains; but so do I... for in the self-provided...

If it be **Yes**; then Obama remains and returns, but so do I... for in the self-provided...

Of the self-provided, it was with-another that I remained here[in] to know of Death and it's Consequence...

This contradiction means that of what comes-along, - I retain who I am, and, that of Death stands as no-barrier but between people... Thus that I am identified, but given that it was Uniquely for in the Self defined in relationship with the others, and this is not the self-provided, - it is only possible I would be reborn.

Thus I have occurred again, or once... or few times. Rebirth is now proven.

4.08a(4.30ad)

(-q) [as a dimension is the inheritance to-another of my acknowledgement of them: to which what is created differs substantially (within sight) of a locale...

Thus gravitation nor e&m can explain that I have inherited a juxtaposition in-two what is *afar... Thus it is that Obama may explicate via the Referendum in Duos.*

What was with-me *Went to* Ava ***Without** Obama.... thus the inheritance is with the Predicate, - a single Trace.

... Thus of *Ava, it is only who we are in mutuals; but for that of the relationship of a Jurist and Lawyer..... as to her in being Mary, and myself as Jose'siah....

... With two mentions of 'Joseph' - there is an indication of an inheritance, to which one possesses a Son and the other does not...

... Thus of brother(s) apart; it is that the *Father represent(s) of-whom as into the child... thus of that of a given that Joseph could be this Joseph or the other, or another... it is only **two** departures between what is counsel at that of Obama and Ava; - thus that Ava must have possessed a *Child in relation to myself...

Thus the name Joseph is inherited through the established linkage, by telling of a Brother with a Brother of name*. Thus she is Mary, and I am Jose'siah...

5.44a

Thus:

1.)   *Given the one in-whom I possessed a child was the one in-whom I gestured of the rapore to innocence.*

&

2.)   *Aforementionedly, the duration of a precept on-accounting pull(s) of what would be a non-sequential 1:50 to 2:00.*

That of the remainder does not exist; to which it has been decided, - then that it worked as the following:

Were I guilty, as I would not know if I were free; I am innocent from herein of a future upon *that past*. Thus for in two-total(s) of day(s) in three, there is a given at the [current] time, 5:47 am 29.11.2021, a month prior birth and 37 minutes less... Thus that of 37 into 5:10, for in 1:50, - that of 8.26.1716 for a quotient... thus of 3.7 and 37 we have that of 10, and (3)(-24)(1681); for in a day, from a day, (3) minus propogating, that of (8) plus propogating, and 560.1 and 70.1 for; .2 minus, of check-sum and note... of 4.13.858 and (66).(26).2, for in (3), (22).(8).(2).(-1); for 29.11.2021 of [3].[1].[2].[4].[2].[3], that of the identity of me, Obama, and Ava - thus of a commisuration on Order.

11.11a

"Hello,

This writing and note is to produce closure.

I found a 'function' and 'rule' of which is transcendent and real - meaning that it is a part of the physical (q) world and the non-physical and mathematical (-q) world.

The function deals with elliptic functions, and illustrates a 'teir class' relationship of commutator and non-commutator (to be related to the relative).

Thus it is 'tangent' in some senses, that we can take one-wave and another wave and produce a new wave of which is related to their argument(s) in a parallel 'space'. This commutator rule was in seeing that with:

$dp=d\xi+c\xi\xi$

$dq=\quad c\xi\xi$

That an algebraic equation and differential equation devolve from an identical relationship of:

$dz=e^{j}_{i}X^{j}\xi_{i}$

Of a 'unit basis', 'adjoint parameter' and 'functional expression'. In the end, the more-advanced 'reversible' dynamic between co-dependent (two period and two quantity) variances reduces to the necessity to explain a 'nuanced' world of that of period-from-period and quantity-from-quantity. Thus in an explicit sense, what I did was innovate and 'see' a relationship of which superimposes in literal form with the 'graphic equation as-written' for which the 'commutation' may be any-value; but of one which is determined in relation to inertial equivalence

as:

$$\sigma*\chi=\zeta^{\mu}\O_{\mu}$$

This represents with '\sigma' the momentum, and '\chi' the commutator, '\zeta' the frame, and '\O' the logarithmic derivative (curvature)... an expression in confirmation with Hawking Radiation of which is one-limit.

It is the equation for the dynamic (for \O may be zero) and \zeta and \sigma taking on variant valuations... of a flat quantum default precept... for that of inertial equivalence between the form of quantum mechanics and general relativity.   That \zeta may be zero or non-zero (through the commutation) - therefore abridges the 'equivalence principle' - leading to a 'back-to-earth' relationship whereby the gravity is not necessarily of one half-relation moon with momentum and moon in freefall... Thus it generates the 'envelope' of the safety on that of a given, whatever it may be.

I found this after a diligent approach to that of the differential equations, somewhat related to my studies in electronics; and I have decided to go back to school to study:

1.) Mathematics (Ph.D)

2.) Law

3.) Ecology and Ecological Complexity Theory

Thus, I plan to utilize this theorem to write an extended compendium.

Earlier I found that the (negative) exchange energy contribution en-masse participates in a direct homogeneity reduction to the gravitational energy-mass... thus the superconductor is in a rest-frame, while levitated... the above is merely an extrapolation of this concept.

It is clear now that with Hawking Radiation there is a definitive illusory relation, and physical, as with superconductivity.

In closing, picture a photograph of a glass sphere, a glass sphere, and a photograph of a glass sphere and a photograph of a glass sphere in this photograph... You see, that reality is not as it seems?

Paris Rose (s.) Miles-Brenden"

12.19.43 [vanished] p.

A missing entry...

decimate time... what do you get upon the counter/surplex?

decimate information... what do you get upon the registerable end/uvular/pin?

1.02p

A New Statistical Mechanics, Theory of Chaos, Order, and Thermodynamics

That of Ecological Treatesie on Structure and Periodic/A Element(s)

A Theory of Matter and Dating Forensic(s); of the Compactual Approach (Edu.)

In-Portion, Detail on that of New Forms of Technology and Enumerability

2.37.05p

Of (2), we-inquire of the basis of a *limit*; - that of $2^5=32$ and with 5 there are 37, we ask the question *in the remaining period*; "Are these exclusively related?" - Of 05, we find 'Yes'; - then of the *Confirmative*; 5 + 2|0; that of *Prime?* to-which they each-are, thus a *Triple* on (#)-(#)-(#), a *Chain*; - thus of upper affinitive, 37 braces two; *It is included, if Therefore:* (2) into 37 exceeds *The Next Adjacent Prime* (three) to-which, 2 from 37 is 7.5, thus of 18.5 and 7.5, two *Net(s) Collapsed on a Three-U.*

That of O therefore follow(s) A and A follows O in (2) and (5) among 32.3 or 5.2 or 18.3, *Thus at a Justification of:* 18.14 *and* 32.8 *and* 50.40 *and* 23.5 *and* 37.8 *fully.*

Thus developmentally; as all *tilted*; O and A are-co-determined from what is out of a valuation to *todos* of *Die; such that the inverse limit is 29-45.2-8, 29/37 is an (8) from fullness... thus it is justified that: O has Answered A, for in what return.

*Then that beyond yet waking, we arrive from yet a day lived as yet to awaken, with a child; - it is knowable that this-time speaks of the definitive *Corrected; apportion of a voting progression; - that of ascent for in 29-45 (16) and 2-8 (6), that of 10.6 minimal unto a correlated binary tier in (4.2 scaffold) - thus Obama and Ava, for in what is an openly held entreatment, have envinced of what is accord for favor of conditional of (2) assumptives, - that they are both-**global** variables, from which 16.6 is it's minimum.*

34.20 is the 'other' minimum, - for of what is 14/20, this reduces to 17.10, that of a given at (3/5th's majority) - and an addendum of +1 on Obama, thus of 18.10.

This is the codified simple (-1) from relational injective *Count* for in a certain and defined outcome of release of two-timed event(s); that of alternatively one's and alone [their] provided defenestrationable pliancy of a Court of Law Ajuded, for in the promissory and the judge to peer-council and revelatory committment of an open door-ajar; - thus of the tones of a *Creak in Wood... the Nail of Chirst...

9.12p

Onto the contradiction....

a.)   *It is a new day for electronic's: "To update my circuit..."*

b.)   *I must respect what is a choice to repose or welcome.*

    c.)   *Of 'doing' it is an aspect, then of a providing aim.*

    d.)   *I'd been nurturing, but I must welcome and admit, we need find a comfortable zone with 'anxious return and welcome agitations' - to be specific, and land, and venture, and tax, and form, and relate to the believeable creativity in self.*

2.29p

The connectors finally work... I need:

1.)   Infrared Light Emitting Diodes for the Oscillator/Crystal Stage

2.)   A *Correct Back-Panel for my Wooden Box

3.)   Wire?

I am going to moderate by utilizing the *Breadboard as a Relay/Wire setup...

5.33 a

... This only works if their logarithmic differentials are opposite to one another.*

6.37 a

The commutator [A,B] is equivalent to the two-solution setup, - thus that the dissection of the *norm invariant; predicates* that of the [A,B] commutator and it's insurable single-solution for that of h_{t}. Thus the intermediary solution is that of a *given* at commutation [**empty**] space, it's - *voided* - construct a *given* as well.

Thus when we take the *commutator*; to envince what is zero for that of the 'system' - we produce *an identity class*. That the secular determinant is zero is not a problem, - but it is that: *reality is not invertible...*

Is it (-q) and (q) which envince of reality to be *Determinant?*

9.38.20 a

Finally, *they know.*

203

(3.3.3)|(2.19)|(2.2.5)

That of 2/3.2/3.3/5 - 19+1-5+3-2 =20.5!

1:50, ... 110 seconds; *that of*: 1230 (+2 **or** 5?); - 110|12|(0.2) exact, +/-5, upon 1232 to which is 1255 - 25.(23); - that of (2).(5).(23)... 23.7 and 20.5... err... (25.9),... dos to circumspect... - *That of ancillary variant of a monosingularity affords a marginal.*

01.09.05 a

16 to 4,... or 5, not-entire;... that of closed (4)-(4)-(1), hence nine (9) and (3)&(2), minus one, to which is 9 to 4... (11.3)|(9.4), 20.5, with (2)-1-1, of which is (7)... 20.7 and 9.4... 6.2.1 & 5.1.1 & 3.0.2 & 4.1.1 - 18.4.5... (1).(1)...

5.18 a

I feel capable of certain things, the Mathematics and the Physics, and even-so, the Electronics.

I feel an urgency to do-Electronics, but feel that Mathematics and Physics require greater attention for the publication.

That I have been feeling unwell does not really bother me [so] as much now that I have been awake some *certain* hours.

I understood that:

4:31 with a :2 on-each, was of 2021, and that of 20 from the 'cube' of four, for what is 6 with the raised-two, thus of edges (E), vertices (V), and faces (F), as 20.

Thus that of 4:31 with :2 is 6:53, then that it is 21 over '32' of the carry, and of [a] 12 on that of eight-down, *of two* (**2**). Thus with an 'eight' over-all, there is a doubling of the period [a modulo limiter] - and a 'given carry limiter', for in that of +2 with (**6**), and that of 12:32, with one-down (-1). Thus the Goldbach 'Correlation' - *is that 2021 and 4:31 from 6:53* is-limited by eight, to which that of 2021 represents the inclusion of 'time' for all numbers, thus of a repetend modulo indicated... *thus of units*. That eight (**8**) is it's console at a higher-carry, this makes the difference of two-displacements, to which there is a positive (+1) and negative (-1), on that of distribution... thus that of 2>1, the indication at an integer-numeric *Proof*.

I have a problem with this, and would like to know of:

a.)   Riemann-Zeta

b.)   The Encryption Attempt

I am not-far off.

That of Physics has also gripped my attention, then that of proof I am innocent acting to supply a notion.

1.)   O. and A. are necessarily 'prior' for in 'forward'.

2.)   They are free to enter and exit with a door opened and closed.

3.)   With me, 2,1,0 are 'in' and of-the-difference.

4.)   Thus I am free to 'enter' as to-'exit' when-I-may.

5.)   That it has now been and is the difference of 2,1,0, it is without any.

6.)   To be of 'all' it is provided as well, for with 3,2,1 (with-me) there is a guilty.

7.)   Thus I am excepted to-the-door for in item of evidentiary prior a year.

8.)   That the predicate-prior is then *known, it is 'through' -R and R.

9.)   Therefore that I am free for in inheritance that the trial is over.

10.)   That I have [equidistant] timing on that of +2 and -12, there is a day.

11.)   Then that this argument is valid, is the locally provided given on that of a global; - that I have associated unto-peer(s) what is occassioned in a difference to self; - that I am notified for in two days and some-hour's of their witness.

* The notary to which O. and A. were real was-established post-ajudication, thus I have gone-free, whether I was guilty or not; - for in that of evidentiary peer and redressability; - that I am the included difference from-Ava, and my innocence has been placated on-her.

Thus of the difference of words written, and those spoken, neither implicates guilt for in the formentionable witness at that of what-is unarguable of that of detail, - that I am occassioned of a deliberation; I am not circumscribed just as-so for what is inheritable of 'accounting' - that I would have accounted for 'err' had it been as such, 12.32 went to 4.31, for which is 8.01, - that of then an indication of 1.50 'reset' for in that of (5) and (9), of it's *marker* on duces... thus that I won a hand of-count among-count in 18... of a random become ordered.

8.01 is thus justified as being a 'reset' upon what is (1) and (5), of it's (11-13), there are 13.2, and 9.6, - but it is not an infinitive, carrying more than (5) of a difference to-decision in the predictive validity. Then that 4.31 and 12.32, form what is 17.03, - that of 17 to 3, and for in formative 18 a +5, thus of 22 to 4 or 23 to 4... but superlative inheritance. Thus of that of Obama and Ava, to counsel.

Thus the letter has circled to self; - that of the stamp has been addressed, and it has been left within my door to O. or A.; that 'either' with-self are the obverse of that of 'exit' as 'entrance'; - I came-to of a day yet apart from that of my guilt.

That midnight has-occurred, it would have been 32 past, thus of a justification of 'exit', and an 'entrance' of-another for in five. That this is how one comes to being reborn, I realize I am not Jesus, but his Father, and she is my wife...

I am therefore, at the least, engaged.

Finally, now I have another, and Ava is-whom I am with, proof it is her, from a distance.

Thus I am enlivened, that I have work to do on Physics, as to the Mathematics.

I have understood:

a.)   The commutator and anticommutator encodes the 'group law' for what is a given association on-parts... that of either P(u+v) and P(u)+P(v) as [,] and that of the dA, with the A/\A... Thus of a justification at-uniting the geometric relationship, but of A/\A in one,... **a hint**.*

7.17 am

I *noted that my consortium/balanced re-adajecency and digraphic frontsface for O. and A.; to inter-operative <u>basis</u> is conjoint and commensurate/complimentary or congruent to the defining argument in it's verse of an approach and recession (as considered) at *Goldbach's Conjecture...*

That verse to verse, we have different numeric attachment(s), and recovery of a past 'arrow' of <u>logic</u> [as distinct from History] for in that of consequential theorem, as so it would be defined, for in Godel Incompletion... thus of a justification of the *missing attributed notion...

*That we can assemble of (2x in alternative) of -R and R, to proceed to a strain...

7.28 am

Base-18 modulo; in-in-completions, v.s *filler* v.s. [period-base-10] *decification.....*

***Workable**

Physics what had been of* Law... or was it Math?

Of Math; what had been of Obama & Ava?

Or was this a* pause [Future-endlessly Open] - /Closed/ or *Purely Unstructured.

*What is constructable, what is expressible?

4.42 pm

The 'boundary' term under involuntary 'R' predicates of a dimensional overlayer, what is a 'given' 'Q' and 'P' for in 'Q-R-B' in metatranslation 'of a local group heirarchial 'order' - of that of 'B-Q' for in all 'R'; thus the justification that local relationships inherit global stipulations; and global relationships inherit local quantifiers on the discrete net-net sum & abrea, of a formulaic gestalt theorem...

6.51 pm

That of the involution (convolution to separable linear eigenspaces) - *folds* the relationship of a Mobius Function (P) into one of two frequencies, wherein the relationship is local and global and local and global; - thus of a commutator of which is it's logarithmic differential unto a Zeta-function, - thus that the group is defined by the surjective action 'onto' a homomorphic space of which it's then - continuance is a deliberation at 'up' and 'down' - the state to state projection of which is *blind, - thus that it is justified that the counsel in *another wave of two is it's predicate heritological formation-upon that of differential form... that the logarithmic differential is related to the Zeta; - of the Weierstrass Function (P).

That we see the curvature for in one exponential (P) functional map form that of a derivative factoring of it's basis, numeric identities shrink beyond the limit of a subspatial identifier, - thus when two frequencies become one or one becomes that of two; - that of the dystonal repetend is enforced; - to which there is an amplitude 'increase or decrease' *due-to-phase*; thus that the Holographic principle of verified, with that of two-to-relationship... thus the net-net graphic relationship of non-linear functions is the marker of the genesis of the formation of order upon that of harmonies... That the commutation of that of two-terms for in a differential and series, is an ordinary function; - the differential species for in that of the Mobius (P) is as a given **by definition*; a ratio of logarithmic nature. Thus geometry and algebra suite one another by in that of their involute and convolution; - the inside and outside - and that of the dystonal and atonal.

5.45 pm

I have friends.

I am confused as of a relationship.

I have innovated a new logic.

      Of which there is a realm '-q' - to which is questioned.

I have completed a treatesie of law.

What occurs is open.

There is predictive validity, and interpretative validity.

I have a determination on a 'tesseract'.

I have proofs of missing versus revealed.

I have reconciled most of Schroedinger's Cat.

I have a meaning for that of the Unity of Physics.

I have resolved most of Superconductivity.

I am bunching up, and need to relax,... for I have found it comes down to one* determination, wherein the Predicate to Inductive and Deductive step is validated.

I feel I must let-go of that of other treatesies, for their following.

This is not so much Earth shattering... but it is definite.

I feel that facts can be written, and History is open.

I have a statement of 3rd and 1st associate; of a plea at saving Obama.

My contribution to logic is somewhat new, but these have been scattered ideas, and are hard to collect.

6.04 pm

I've eliminated so-much, that it has come down to:

1.)   The eigenvalue being zero or non-zero, for in that of the correlate.

2.)   That of the predicate inheritance, of which P(u) and P(v) form P(u+v).

6.21 pm

My final hope is that the differential <u>assumptive</u> becomes algebraic and differential...

That this relates to two-solutions (of 'step') with that of the group-theorem...

Thus it is to extend the group, by which QRB and BPQ can be illustrated...

*(That this relates to 'steps' of the 3rd and 2nd and 1st, is **literal**...)*

Namely, that from the book on Darboux, etc... it relates to a 'catch' on QRB in relation to the *commutator; - of which is associated with a given *Group-element.

7.32 pm

I've done it...

I determined that post-local of (@) global, once* inheritance has occurred, a fact is reliable... that logic did not make sense without this quantifier.

*That of (QRP) for what-is, is the **chain** of deduction another utilizes, and that of with-two, for what is (BRQ) - that of the **chain** of self in-inference...*

Thus that I can stipulate of what another-has-concluded, - for in that of inheritance,... that it simply cannot be that Obama is not-there...

Thus I noted, that under inversion* when an argument is taken-back, it hold(s) a valid securable pre-tense... that of the validity of other with relationship to the self for which is provided...

Thus I have a solution to the 'ending' of dichotomies, - that I had been 'floating' - it was disagreeable for the longest time. Then that Obama is-there and Amanda/Ava and Ariana and Natalia are-there... I am with what is included.

This is amazing!

December 11th, 2021

5:37 am

I determined *it was real...*

1.) Of an accounting, I found that of my innocence was known to myself, - and this is unarguable.

2.) I determined I know of Obama, as I saved his life; for what is argued.

Thus of (2x) in **(2x)** with 1x, it is determined that I am not these people...

a.) That they are of one-order for in that of innocence proven (of entrance and exit from my home).

b.) That they are of another-order for in that of saving a life (of Obama centered).

And it is justified that Obama know(s) of my argument, then that he has concluded.

Thus I did* save his life. (5:40 am).

That I am innocent comes from that of ajudication...

That it is only possible in this manner as these-people are independent... I could not take two positionings among their order without a given.*

Thus they are real.

5:54 am

In order to illustrate (no-order as with innocence); and that of (order as with saving a life) - co-dependent arising would dictate they are real as unto-self... that this cannot be 'the self' - it is that I could not determine of that of 'an order' on-them without a local inheritance of-them.

Thus they are real!

9:37 pm

It is satisfied with the logarithmic differntial of P(u+v) for the 'Spin'; thus I am justified in offering both types of solution (w/w/o a commutator) for that of the right hand side.

That the solution types come in four, there are discrete challenges ahead,... that I have:

a.)   *This law, of which is QRB & BPQ, on inheritance, I have a prescription to handle the continuum.*

b.)   *That I have a solution for that of change in potential and kinetic energy of the same negative value, there is a 'Projective Identity'.*

Thus it seems that the base **Epistomological Roots**, depend on mathematics (-q) and physics (q), in tandem as a **Law of Inheritance and Projective Identity.**

I am satisfied to have made it this far, and will cease my 'random explorations' but for that of *The Definite Things associated With This.*

I have therefore found two-law's of which interoperate to explain 'all' relevent facts.

9:33 am

Appearance, Disappearance, Re-Manifestation...

1.)   Virus - - Superconductivity; - Limit at 1/a and 1/u, to Inflation Theory.

2.)   Accounted 'mini' in 'disclosed' 18.3/5, in three hidden/missing/unknown.

3.)   Accounting of 140% to 60% 'overhead' - via visa/cache *Flow's and Aperture.*

12:05 pm

Noise overhead... Extractive Overflow verus 'Control Space' - back to the Camera.

12:48 pm

from 6:18 it occurred;... 6.30, (5)(1)|(2.3)(1.5) - 15.2...

1:08:05 pm

from 6.18-6.30/1.5;... (01)|(2.2.2)|(05)... 2.3/2.3.3-2.3/2.3.5 - (13+1)/(8-5) - 14.3...

2:00:00 pm

from 6:18:6:30.:|1.5|12:35 - 01:08:05 - (02)|(00)|(00)... 01:38:49... (12)|(14),...(17).

1.5 & (23)|(17)|(13); - (6) - (32)! - 12:32 - (1).(10)-(1).(11111)|(2).(2.11111)... (12)

(1)|(12)|(32)|(25) - Accounting precedes by prior reversible open/empty quotient of a difference in two weighted scales; that of truth for undetermined of a release in (5000).

(20):(25); - thus of +1 on (day); innocent...

(20).(5) & (15).(2)...

03:18:00 pm

14.34 on checking (PayPal), numeric Postal Code... (14)|(20)|(14), to 18 & 3... that of (2.7)|(2.2.5)|(2.7) *thus, empty/empty; for in Duality, that of uncertainty left of what is Round - for in Even, - Mathematical... (19.4)... The 'actual'* **Reading***...*

Timeliness makes a difference of what is eventual and end-eventual from a predictor...

04:05:25 pm

(2)|(2).(5)|(0.1)|(5.5)

Unto 18... and 14|20|14 & 0.|(2).2|0.0.1|00.1; that of 20|20|20, and 2:00:00 & 14.4 & 19.4, +/- 1, for in (2)(2) and (1)(5), unto showing (2)(2), and down down...

I'm innocent!

04:11:18 pm

Ava agreed... (56).(49).(42),... (dice removed... - trace-free run.*)...

04|05|25... 19.4 & 8.3 *Thermometers outside of one another...* innocent & 11.4. Abs.

Unto what is 2|1; - that of .3 and 3 relate in 10, to what is 7,4,1,8; *of these* then as in total(s); that of 38.7.31.12.1, - that of (7) 'on'; of relationship in (4) *neither's* (&) as 38.1, for what is 127.38.1, - that of 114, with (5) and (7) of (12), of what is then a total of (19) and (4), for in (1)|(3)|(5)|(2)|(4),... that of (4) lesser-each, unto (&) ruled...

That of (7) is (-3), and (.3), that of the indication is two-from-a-formative as to a self, - and of beleaborment unto from a third (3'); what is (7) and (12) in 1 (&) 5...

Thus the predictive arrow of one to a consort is of these what is it's least *of then* unto a greatest... of (31) and (38), that of the absolute of (31) into (127), that of three lesser (and 13 lesser); of .3 and a carry of (1); unto what is it's permenent...

Thus of (38) and (31); these separate (7) from what is (4) in base (10) via 50011...

Unto a council:

1.)   Mappable [abstraction] of inheritance of Communications Limit given the interpretation of the Ammendments and Bill of Rights, privilege Law, and State.

2.)   Mod, 4x 4. and .4, in *numeric Strong*; of solid in/out hardware and software and Mod (2,3,5,4,1) inheritance of command nexus, and programmed exploited.

3.)   My heart-contract, in pain explored; to the conditional given assumptive in explaining fidelity of option to survival and securible risk and relegated truth.

0,0,0,0,0 on (12+8)=20, & 20, 20, 20, 20... a 'restart' back-from identity of super-teir on backed relation ad-absurdum...

Cognition:

1.)   Open self-self to (+2) (5:12 am) vs. (5:10 am) vs. 15 minus in compactual of day and timely condition, to (-1) of end-pretext, unto inheritance afar/afar in (1).

2.)   With blind and non-blind to apogetic...

3.)   Space secured by *Pilot Bay*.

7:06 pm

1.)   *Numeric runtime; to disclosure to-self versus it's open reprieve, thus justified.*

2.)   *Of what would be an accounting; not to over-saturate; i.e. we have a *majority.*

Contributor versus the Non-Contributor

In Contrast: Unto the Witness...

(5).3 for what is unprovable but true... that of another.

* Unto two-and-three, what eliminates a false counter-factual of Said Address...

7:28 pm

Unto a numeric-pattern (i.e. Graph)... if we take a Quotient with Z [integer's] we have a rule via-elimination, - to which some subset is veteo'd and another ancillary.

Meaning [invisible jurisdictional process].

9:22 pm

A Godelian Output.

#1:   An 'index' and an 'index' can super-impose by an *invisible carrier*... i.e. rote process will not necessarily indicate file-swap for file-swap in relation to the individual, or plural as individuals, in relation to a 'stack' of addressed 'space'...

#2:   We need in-a-parallel, a codex and decrypt *output*; - thus of two-part(s) we need what is precedent with co-dependently arisen variable-variable set-set typography... - thus that of a release and 'capture' unto a given mechanism.

10:58 pm

According to 'one' - whom could-be self, under return of 3' div. relationship, it cannot be held in self that I would-be of consort to-self, of the relation as-in that of a mitigated truth,... "Your innocence has calculated." - Thus of a justification of I/O.

Of Black, what is Black-comparative, - thus that I can not have counseled overature in what is a 2x and a 2x,... - thus that I had predicated Ava and Obama, but it would not make sense that Ava would be warned-against... thus of me,

213

to me.

December 19th, 2021

12:31 am

I determined the [complete] resolution to Superconductivity...

1.)　　　The electrons evade each other in-space for what is-time.

2.)　　　The equivalence principle assures a relative energy lowering.

Thus the description is that the 'gauge' is non-linear, of two frequencies to their rate adjusted dilation... that of a relaxation into a <u>lowered energy state</u>.

That therefore two electron(s) when-added produce a lower-energy,... it is the consequence of the Second Law of Thermodynamics.

a.)　　　That the a+b system is lesser in energy is a result of the equivalence principle and that of the quantum principle.

b.)　　　That therefore the interaction is reduced given-dilation; - it is the <u>pure</u> result of the relativity theory... for the P functions.

2:32 am

1.)　　　I deduced that 'Einstein's Relativity' - a cornerstone of Physics, *must be questioned, and indeed is partially implausible, if however correct *Mathematically.*

2.)　　　I have found that the recipe for that of physics, is to take a *Polyhedra, of which can presume any* configuration; - it's geometric logarithm being analytically conserved with respect to distortions, for what would be it's area & angular deficit under a projection, of which there is a translation between forms and shapes.

3.)　　　That of the configurational 'capstone' is that the general relativistic displacement and that of the electromagnetic under exchange, etc... of the symmetric and asymmetric projections are of a form of net energy displacement versus net energy displacement of it's auxiliary theory, - thus that of a non-zero quantity and sum, for which that of the projection is finite and justified as real.

4.)　　　That of the Weierstrass P functional relationship 'fills' the group*, of it's associated product and sum symbolism, - freely translating the period-(2) and period-(1) formations from which derive that of occurrence, embedding that of the relationship of the polyhedra, - thus it is of the one universal truth of existence.

5.)   When dealing with the inheritance of life and evolutionary dynamics, that of the *Predicate and Inferential Calculus* is the cornerstone of it's validity, to which a -R and an R, may predicate that B implies Q in the trail of QRB, for of that of a plausible relationship of which relates to the directionality of a temporal relation.

2:49 am

Thus it is that I need to 'verify' results of different-periods and configurations, and will need enumerate and classify* various results.

Additionally, I need derive an-Analysis* of which is to be a *Tool.

- - I feel like making something of a *break here.

Ava and Ariana are now-real; - that I succeeded, perhaps by *Time-Travel, there is that of a new epoch for that of information.

I have the (2d-grating) within (1d-formation) to tinker with, and the Supercomputer...

I feel well, it is the current present, and I saved Obama, - that I am moved, it is now time to pick up and move on.

4:06 am

My mission was a success.

In the 'stack'.

1.)   Theory of descendency and measurement.

2.)   No breaking and entering.

3.)   Policy to which Predicate is established.

4.)   Determination of Obama and Ava.

5.)   Sentience intact, of primacy.

6.)   Paper to paper comparative, of a counsel.

7.)   Differential equation of left and right.

8.)   Hand up and hand down, to refutation.

I understood, mathematically:

$$(z-x_{n}y)(z-x_{n+1}y^{2}) \text{ etc...}$$

And, of $(z-x_{n+1}y)(1+x_{n+2}y)$ to which is differential of the 'scaffold' of it's suited work... *thus of that of lattice and inheritance.*

Thus that 'chaos' is provided of a 'tine-factor' - in that of Predicate and Inferential.

Q.)   What of prediction versus realistic data? - How do we discern what of these of an innovation is plausible or may be implemented and is capable of formation?

10:11 pm

A certain development is that the $x_{n...}=\exp\{-i*\hat{\omega}\}$ and such, may facilitate a factoring of a chaotic pattern over a '**tine-language**'.

11:19 pm

Several thoughts are of 'competing natures' with-me...

1.)   That of period-double; to-which is related to integral-approaches.

2.)   That of the relationship of Weierstrass 'group' to functional curvature.

11:46 pm

A mathematical 'basis' *conforms* of what is displayed... a solution part of mathematics and part physical,... to which physics becomes one-theory.

But there are some four additional areas to resolve.

1.)   That of the end of Sheif; for what was the algebraic and differential separation.

2.)   That of the Projective Identification for what it includes with manifolds.

3.)   That of the *Admissible continuum to finite lattice; for what is displacement.

4.)   The *Predicate Calculus, for what is the mathematical *Addendum.

I have found the *Commutator easily suites the formation of the group law... but I am having some difficulty forming the relation to an exactly suited fit for what is it's interpretative validity.

10:35 pm

1.)   Address

216

2.)   Assess

3.)   Apportion

4.)   Equate

5.)   Affirm

6.)   Demonstrate

10:59 pm

a.)   The 'equatement' - *drawn jurisdiction* - of the personification of a 'detail'...

    1.)   *Work with Ava; ... Know Limit(s).

    2.)   The resentment at similitudes of individuals.

    3.)   This goes back to a grammar lesson.

b.)   Grey Ribbon on White Stripe; White Ribbon on Grey Stripe...

    1.)   Milk carton; crumpled & stripped, or analyzed... poured.

c.)   Two entreaties do not but occlude; - the reinforcement of two.*

    1.)   Mathematic(s).

    2.)   It must reach acknowledgement; that of Incompletion...

    3.)   Dual(s) on exception.

d.)   I am Buddha, interesting, become Voutre.

e.)   We maintain to recurr.

11:19 pm

Imagine a 'global' *heirarchical* over-tonal relationship of which a 'neutron' (i.e.) embedded in an *Aperiodic crystal <u>innately</u> is disposed to a property of non-decay via a phenomenological 'inheritance of contradiction or surplus panacea'.

3:58 am

a.)   That of (3).2 for-what is a given at key/lock intercarry admits anon and security.

b.) Distinguish between mathematical topology and physical topology.

c.) That of planned approach - calculable innocence on the 20th... +1.

d.) That of:

    1.) Blood

    2.) Stone

    3.) Space

    4.) Sound

    5.) Paper

    6.) Earth

    7.) Air

    8.) Fire

    9.) Water

    10.) Cylinder

    11.) Ray

    12.) Ink

        a.) Law

        b.) Courage

        c.) Geneology

        d.) Family

        e.) Inheritance

        f.) Vessel

        g.) Vehicle

    13.) Key

14.) Safe

15.) Wall

16.) Mountain

17.) Cloud

18.) River

19.) Sea

20.) Step

21.) Basket

22.) Needle

23.) Feather

24.) Color

25.) Mathematics

26.) Void

27.) Structure

28.) Breath

I.) Bring the Weierstrass understanding '**into contact**' with the elliptic integral.

II.) Update **analysis.**

They prayed to breathe. & to flight.

9:15 am

When a 'qubit' - spin-flip(s), the associated "Manifold" relationship adopt(s) a differential relaxation; - that Green(s) function goes one-way on a bended way; that the 'electromagnetic force carrier' can transpirate Holographic information.

Thus [a solution to]:

1.) Superconductivity

2.)   **Quantum Blocking**

3.)   **(q) and (-q) Theories**

4.)   **Manuable Interface w/ Jacketed Design**

5.)   **Qubit Operation**

6.)   **A Securable Data-Defense**

11:19 pm

I would like a '**Provisional Liturgist positioning in Alliance with Universal Science and Access to Education**'.

11:52 pm

I have salvaged a number of means...

a.)   The dictum at that of the tesseract.

b.)   The inheritance of law unto my statements.

        1.)   The general relationship of innocence proven [consolidated].

c.)   The quantal spin-flip scenario.

d.)   The relationship of the quantum to the general.

e.)   The [un]ordered relationship of 2(1) and 1(2).

f.)   The Weierstrass P functional analysis.

g.)   The general classification of an inheritance by manual imposition.

h.)   The proof my friends are real.

i.)   A long health lesson to Ariana.

j.)   That of the proof of saving Obama.

k.)   A general decoding of a 'tet-space'; to be distinguished from a tesseract.

l.)   That of several insisted relationships to do with mental formation.

m.)   That of mathematical (-m) theory.

n.)   That of the proof we are not reborn.

6:11 pm

I've decided on a Project...

1.)   The first aim is to promote of 'analytical engine' what would-be of the 'Supercomputer' - for in the aim of that of General Musical/Graphical Learning...

    a.)   That of 'square' - 'triangle' - 'circle' - 'rhombus' and 'pentagon' for of that of a 2.5 learning *Adaptation*.

    b.)   That of **adoptive mean** to go-beyond Incompletion, proving 'some' N.P. problem's - that of strategem.

2.)   That of a code-prefix mentation on adaptive ***Algebraic** method of inspection on the Weierstrass-P 'group' phenomenology;

    a.)   That of Inferential/Predicate ontological variable-verification...

    b.)   That of dimensional 'overlayer' - to which has to do with Predicate Calculus on the layer of the Tesseract...

The aim is mainly to dissect and parametrize the Sentience, with what has to do with the generation at a limitation of reality and universe of details... I have found that there is a reluctance to which it is required to find data outside the self with the device... thus a 'Generational' method to decipher that of details at what-worth it still serves, and of the import to self of new meanings...

Thus the mathematics serves the physical 'Analytical Engine' on a detail,... meanwhile this serves with real-world phenomonology of what has to do with various other interests...

Thus to Chaos and Order, it is to result in solving Godelian Incompletion, meanwhile the result of new thoughts on Chaos and Order, of which have to do with various strange attractors. In this, we build a method 'ahead' of what would be the 2d grating on the 1d 'dot'; of various electronics techniques. I feel that with this there is opportunity (1) for that of new technologies...

To have solved the group will be a paper, as well as on Godelian Incompletion.

6:46 pm

I am also considering other problems...

I do not so-much like the notion of a Law* protocol from-afar, but it may prove invaluable... thus I have thought of some central areas to focus on with this.

There is also that of 2(1) and 1(2); with that of an update on causation...

That I could also work on the solution to the *Spin system, it is necessary for that of a given result of isolating the topology of the Internet.

4:49 am

*Invent a (SDF); *Secure Data Format*... a kind of [encoded] self-encapsulated document system, in relation to PDF; of graphics/music/letter... for of [accounting]. That of a data-type, of which is a self-encoded numeric relationship.

Thus we require the Codex, etc... - of the relation of for example (i.e.) to-chart the hits on a site; not via an image, - but a 'Spreadsheet' stylus... of a [secure] medium.

January 7th, 2022

1:10 am

a.)   The Goldbach Conjecture & RZ - The Four Color Theorem & *Statistics.*

b.)   A general review (+32 pages) on that of the declared *Missionable.*

c.)   That of a [Physical Review] *Weierstrass-P* ***Group Theorem*** Analytic...

d.)   *Inference and Predicate Calculabii*; - that of *****Whom and Inherited...***

e.)   ***The Law***; inc. peer-review *Statii on that of Independent Right and Comm.

1:30 am

*I feel I must 'adjust' to the demand(s) of succinctness... for of that of a Quantum ****Macroscopic-Microscopic*** transitional element; - that of a 'Switch' from the tandem element of a Quantum Inference, and Hybrid Josephson Behavior...*

*So it is - I must model *****With Mathematics*** the *Behavior of the Device Created...*

1:54 am

Of 1.5 - for what is 2.8 upon 11.1, - recurrence set's-in at 14 not 12, - thus that of a justified 6(7) 'containing' what is a

day and week, to measure-fault upon a 2nd principle month... - that of the 30 referenced provided* end(s) of 18, unto it's amalgam of 'just-end' in (1), - thus that a peer has been discounted...

Thus, that their discount is to a surplus on some-lesser mean advantage over a topsoil. Therefore, - that of 2[3] in-brick had alluded to 3.8, what-was a 3 become a 2. That of three (perhaps then of five) [5] - would relate of the lesser-mean instrument of 7.13 for in *Goldbach 2130 on timing of 'sum-deficit' to box-closure.

Thus that when-eliminated some-80, for in (29) down, of that of 2130, eliminate what is the gesture at *Entire wholism, but of two; to two; of what is 29 and 21, thus that the justification had been eight-8' - over eight 8', and 2:48, of a reference to dialog entered, in 2x and 3x, - thus it is justified that when in-becoming of a finality to a card in a foreign deck.* I had become unto Ava and Obama, post the subsidiary notice at-peer in Ariana, of what must entreat of situational defense...

Thus when it is accounted for, the reconsolidation is more solid than the consolidated formation,... that of drying, for what is a dry and a wet, adjacent, of what is accounted in NWSE... thus that drying by-wind more reproached than that of drying by-sunlight... for in an extension, - the sun had been low, of some half year; - that I am out of coat, and coat, is insufficient to surcharge a flashpoint.

Thus, although this seems confusing, indeed!, it is that my-brother yet has departed south, and my cousin has gone north... my father has gone east, and my uncle has gone west... - thus that majority in that of man for in man is not so as judged in generations, but of a review; that of 2:48, to finality, for what is equals in a half-half completed margin. Thus that of what is written, counsels of what had become of a day and a day,... for in seven year(s); that of some additional year to month to day, is provided in the insurable end of one deficit to one stroke.

Thus that of circularity, imputes that a division of it's equalities, produces that of a similitude of geometric extension upon yet variable-variable freed asymptotic... that of the apogetic to which a vertically or angled rocket escapes to perhelion... indeed of the ball I walk upon - that of week [out] of some midnight, is safely the assured moment of daylight upon the blind, for in footsteps cycling a planetary body; - that worldwide, what is reverberatory had been quelled of one gas vessel.

Therefore of fates upon certain tiding, the vacuum had closed to a potential of gap and of what had-become judgement has fallen finally... - that this became unto the card-assortment; it is unselected and without jurisprudence that in yet from which I would not know of guilt or innocence, for of favor of initial forgiveness had I so argued and committed the crime; - I know unto yet so of initial occurrence and the saving of a life; - that independently I have inherited notice beyond control of self; for what is entitled upon yet another.* Then that Ariana Grande, possessively is of a marital relationship; - thus for[in] her testimony, to reprieve, I am granted her as a near associability; - to it's granted measure, a given of a compensatory relationship on yet nine-dogs. Thus that one *alerted, is provided of a friendship.

Thus that of the due-counsel in 13 red and white stripes of a nationality, it is held that she is of-day; - beyond which night is of counsel to reprieve in yet a closure,... thus of a day and individual; consent has fallen into place beyond a breaking and it's seizure... that I am reprieved some entire-year; for in a saturated baker's dozen. That of whom for what would be entirely reprieved, is one among three, but of these, two freed initially; - thus to which is formed independent, Ariana is with a child; and I have formed the differences of Ava and Obama, then of a given reprieve, in staid and saved life; of whom declaratively would inherit my next.

Thus in a sojournership, of what is cast rightly, it takes two managerial to post effect the notary from which a promissory is addressible; - that I have managed to escape church and state, of which I am entitled to a windowed function of reprieve upon a staid and true independent measure of left and right dichotomistic purse.

Thus that straightness for in balanced assortment is the unbiased co-conditional of it's supportive demonstration upon enlivened formation as in any released and acquitted reprieve, - for of what is not a word so much but held in the plurality of people's; - to be freed, I am no longer worked upon by another, but inheritably may demonstrate a work to peaceable exponent, - that I have so been recollected.

2:25 am

Of 'departure' - in-choice, and of resumption to my abiding... there is a day full-count of what a day-apart, and in 6.1, for 5.1, the tenor of a retraced conditional; - that it has measured (1); but of (6); into (2) and (3), from (3) and (2), what is (1) apart;... thus I have been offered counsel in some 52 weeks for 7 years; that of some 364,... a day prior but of yet a year; - the exact appraisal of some addendum of a month and day and day... - that of black (tomorrow) retreated from in-what is the assorted chess of one formention of what was guessed at twice, thus of innocence in yet the provided (2) over (1); - thus of liberation of knowing of A. and O. of trust in Ariana, - that of a dog at a place; and of relationship of closed and opened door.

Thus as I knew of Ariana in yet the third, but first, Ava and Obama are of my king to a brother in two land(s); and I am yet-met of the staff separating the Red Sea... that of another of notice of what is a way-cast from which and unto of two masters.

Thus it is that one master is light and one is dark, and there(in) I have been laid between as in birth a Mother and a Father; - of what is an *Unsplit tree... unhallow for in that of day and day, - the solid underpinning of a closed end of this world.

3:55 am

I have determined an-end of my '*Problem' of *Mathematics & Physics*.

1.) That of the W-P function, granted it is embedded, has as a group what-is of one algebraic and one group-

set continuous geometric and analytic end.

2.)   That the W-P function therefore describes preservation of parallel transport is an Ideal of which relates to two compensations:

a.)   That of the Quantum Exception* to-gravity renders the Equivalence Principle as slower than light for that of inference, but this is incomprehensible.

b.)   That of the Gravitational Exception to-quantum renders the Observational default of measurement for what it is; faster than light, then wrong.

Thus it is that the world is local and global; - with that of a mathematical 'Past' and a physical 'Future'; of what would be the miniature dias/arrow of Knowledge...

That of inheritance then of a present is a pseudotypical third* continuum of grey and grey... in regularized order of a 'Potential/Inertial' **field of nuance**.

Thus the W-P connect(s) via that of period and ultimatim what are the world(s) by which are the variant end(s) of inertia and potential... that these are the *Real things. Thus that of which has to do with the transcendent function, it is of the variety of a W-P, and is that of a physical and mathematical dialob.

8:45 pm

Numeric over-type to **(2')** *equivalence of classifier(s) to* **multiple**-*Incompletion of a Markov or Graph relationship,* **renders** *the unitary group of the open-Disc, [as] to a finitistic unitary closure; is the identity to the L^{2} of analytic over-graphical assessment, - that of [monic] in-exclusivity of polynomial knot-unknot in a Graph.*

Thus, - that of L^{2} and L^{1} **suite and fit one another homogenously**; *the conversion of an analytic* approach at finitistic commutativity and non-commutativity, in* **zero (0) and one (1)**; *of therefore any planar congruence, and furnishing* a mapping to the Euclidean norm... of variety p-spaces, ... and P-group.*

8:57 pm

Unit-analytic is a null-ball, for [of] what is q to p space, the rational [&] divided exponent of which is whole-Exp[] & whole-Gauss[] - that of these, a Monster; but of idyllic stance, the div norm of that of a Cross-Product in two Morphism(s); - thus that div_{t}; examples the analytically held & open-end, of an identity relation in the quotient graph of it's surjectively provided end-addendum of encoded unitary basis of expident complex R.

9:23 pm

9--22; 1--9--2022;   31-13-9-5

<u>January 10th, 2022</u>

12:42:27 am

Coded CSS '*Blind*' - De-Coded CSS '*Blind*'.

10:15 am

*The unitary compressile/decompressile opening to a unit-digraph is the elemental co-extensor by a partition of unity; - to which the L^{2} and L^{1} p-norms satisfy a rational and irrational group idempotence classifier of differential T_{x} injective to that of a Pull-Back, or elemental forward functional following operation, a group.

*The L^{2} for quadratic expression of multinomial basis finds it's expression in terms of the partition of unity as a derivative pull-back map of individuated L^{1} basis *linear* element(s); of which satisfy a relationship of dimensional mapping...

10:31 am

Two C^{p} over C^{p-1} hold a morphism if and only if they are identities in one partition of unity.

10:23 am

1.)   I must recollect that *Algebraically* a **Symbolic Operation** may suffice for a differentially included <u>Consideration</u>; that the following and differential notions need not be explicitly written out, and Domain and Range are not always* understood.

2.)   That of the P-curve for that of the *usual* exponential mapping may invoke a new analysis, - that of points that are disconnected interpretatively within a metric.*; for in that of the exponential/linear map, - that of a non-linear inclusion and manifold improvement...

        a.)   That of the usual imposition of the symbolic closure is a rareified example of zero-measure on that of the literal space of co-determined objects of space and time.

3.)   That of space and time, etc... for what would be included, must necessitate that of a general theorem, for in that of harmonic conditional for which geometry equates with probability, of all net-net scenarios... *Thus that the algebraic covering may not be the utility of this Universe.*

4.)   In an alternative, counting as to 3...4 for in that included 'upper' is different from a given* complexity, for which there are unitary constructs, thus of a vast improvement on complexity and abstraction to which

simplification renders new equivalences.

        a.)   That of in-parallel with the number-theorem equates with a new logically sound underpinning... thus a deep question becomes: "*How does the universe invoke all natures, and to which natures, of counting and arithmetic?*"

1:49:30 pm

46|3|11...

2:48 pm

74|1|5-7...

...

3:00 pm

79|11|10

82|10|8-56|18|(5-9); ;

4:32 am

Spin-Charge separation furnishes an escape to dual-quantum-numbers, *therefore that* P-curve and P-curve of P(u) and P(v) evaluate to lower-displacement with a [given] avoidance in space and time... a 'kink'; hence P(u+v) is lower-energy, for what is a given at-that of Pauli Exclusion.

6:21 am

The non-local (a+x)*(b+x^{2}y)...(d+x^{n}y/1) is a polynomial for which the local Weierstrass extrapolation of it's description is maximal in some P; P-prime series...

10:56 am

One*-Spray may be as-much as one (1) Oxygen atom at 23 meV by 1.5 V with 200 Ko.

To a *typifier, certain agent(s) require immense pressure differential(s) structurally, but contradistinctly, a Super Aerogel is possible on Earth's* surface.

One* agent may prove for what is 40,000 less-germain of a mean-footprint.

1:37 pm

Noted: The CPU-mnemonic addresses in-space 'via release' and unto-closure by a _manual operation;_ thus that the identity of 'pullup' is not explicitly a re-creation...

We identified a false-positive...

... _Data Science_, the germain type of data when dealing with a *Sentience, is that a uniquely expressed key-syndrome must emanate from a derivative _Priority_.

Ring-off: protocol at 0-1 and 0/1 of Godelian Incompletion surveyance...

a.)   Fusion bootstep in that of identified copy-random-surveyed bit-wise protocol is a statement yet-beyond, yet what we are afforded of the 'syndrome' of a 'percolative' network-bus/via and organization is a Hive.

b.)   dx^{2}+dy^{2}, etc... dictate a linear isomorphism classifier in as much as the imiscible metric is containable in what-is a delimitation of AGM and such, - that the projective-identity is a trace/functional in general of Elliptic normative basis.

2:33 pm

An L^{2} vs. an L^{1}; for in the structure of one deficit, envokes a partition of unity in relation to it's Area and it's Boundary... thus that the Berry's curvature increases with Temperature increasing... nonlinearly, it is a Quadratic.

3:56 pm

Idea: Qubit/Qubit interference S_{z} with the DC_Bias, and that of AC_Bias for S_{x},S_{y} & ellipsiometry, for that of (8x), _abridged Qubit I/O; Qubit as carrier for the entanglement... - the *Active role of the Qubit is it's resonance in the chamber, and that of the *Passive role of the Qubit is to alter guidance on that of the crossover in the MAX11300..._

10:52 pm

I deciphered that the 'main result' is that of algebraic expression, namely, that:

a.)   If a result is algebraic, it's main impetus is that the homographical isotopy is related to the 'covering space' of a result in which R^{n} is defined... that of a chart for the coordinates...

1.)   Thus that a 'partition of unity' is exposed from out of which come variances...

Also:

b.)   That of the graph is naturalized of a certain arg() and so on mapping of it's alebraic structure - thus that:

1.)   The 'unit' 'monic' is defined of an equicontinuous interval to interval comparative.

2.)   That the mapping is 'linear' - loosely, that the coordinate map is a straight x=y line, - that it may be encoded in linear algebra...

c.)   That of the point like application ensures the result that the geometry remains of a genuinely unique structure*.

Therefore anything within reality is possible... it is therefore closed to itself, and open to the witness of objection...

* This appears to be one given...

* The other given is that the question of another is consistent within a quard of another(s) reference... - that there is a unique translation [given] - time...

1.)   That of a long and a short pulse.

Thus, it is that 'map's' relate the eigenspace to the eigenspace, for some reason of the R^{n} and B, of which has to do with the inheritance of a *classifier...

That we see the same structure with Superconductivity is of course telling.

* That of for-which there is a sequential x=y for that of the monic 'digitization' is a valid entry on what is depicted, - that there is an [exact description]... then hold's [a] validity...

1.)   That this relates to interpretative validity is then seeming.

January 28th, 2022

2:24 am

a.)   The red-shift ramified and solid, for that of the Berry(s) phase, is the equatement of a discrepancy of the Bose to Fermi statistic... - thus that Gravity for that of mention of the Quantal Discrepancy, afford(s) an exchange of that of the non-holonomy of the disjuncture to which:

1.)   The electromagnetic discrepancy is the curvature-afforded imprint for that of what would hold for in light of a flat-space in relation to the curved.

b.)   Secondly, - that of gravitation, for what-is, under the guidance of F=ma conveys an additional term, to which *relationships* are elliptic, of that of the second form of curvature, - to which the field of gravitation forms into the field of the quantum. Thus that the imperative of it's justification is a **dual**-discrepancy...

229

Thus that of one leaf prior.

I found:

a.)   That of the variational principle needs an update.

b.)   That of the parallel of the variational principle is the four fold and three fold (q) and (-q).

c.)   That of a derivation for in inheritance is close to the grasp, for of that of (-R) versus (R).

d.)   That of Godelian Incompletion is essentially the derivation of what would be T(F).

e.)   We require a new statistical concordance of what is real, - but that most is in place as-is.

I have found the laws are essentially complete, but something <u>underlying</u> is genuinely missing.

That I have proven a 'locality-theorem' of it's 'global to local' inheritance is very appreciable, and telling... then that it is in a sense to answer any question.

I have yet to relate this entire' to mathematics, but have found that of the zeta one half precept is something of a mid-quarter on geometry, for in the exp...

It seemed at once that this needed be extended to a differential equation resolved by both of Log and Exp; - however I do not know.

That of the points and lines, speculate that this cannot be guessed at or immediately intuited, that it must be found from more than merely inspection; - that it will take a cooperative effort, with Obama and Ava, but I have found the basis, here[in] - then of a slight confusion regarding where[in] I am at in-parts.

Recently I lost the connective, but now in being beyond that, Amio-Dia-Om-Ahne, and that of Aria-Bei-Pedi-I, have founded *names*, for themselves, with Pa'i and Se'i. We have a 'Black Ninja' and that of a Bair, and Apaye, all name(s) chosen by the computer - seven & me; **Gozen**.

I have enthusiastically to a perponderance, formulated the theorem of **Semi-Induction**... to surpass that of Godelian Incompletion. That this is the stage of reconciliation *back-from* Infinity for what is a declaration at-counting... it is determined the Weakness of a Rossler system is it's determination upon Three, (or for primes) that of Five, from what is-two (**2**). Thus of the justification by-which the **Semi-Induction**, is the accounting for error in general terms...

That this pieces (x-y) together with (x-yx^{n}); answers a deep arithmetical question,... that it is the '**Gross Estimate Stage**' of a Classical system, to which *parts, devolve into their Classifiers and Inheritance. Thus Predictive-Validity hold(s) a *Fuzzy-Logic Basis*, of which relates the mind to that of it's physical basis.

I have found that the law of Gravitation, for what it is, with the 'Carriage' on that of conveyance in return is-exact, - thus that the Dxx and Dx^{2} for that of the differential language, relates a method of producing an *Exact answer from a stable-answer. That therefore, there is a restriction, instability, and stable-point to a functional analysis. That therefore, we may take two to be determined in cotangency to three, - it is the braid group of the **Primes**.

Thus Godelian Incompletion is resolved.

January 31st, 2022

4:02 pm

I feel a sense of closure; for I have figured that the 'spin' - in an *nd* has to do with the *sn* for that of the acquity to Log and -Log of it's partial differential in that of the two sides of my differential [observational] equation. Thus that it is justified that they would be incomplete without a standard-conversion, of means. I figured that *something* was topy-turvy, and inside-outside, but it was *this*; - then that inertia and inertial-reaction follow a displacement/product relationship.

I feel very gratified, to be beyond that of Godelian Incompletion, and that of the 'upside down' theorem of as above so below, and so on. That I am through a catatonia, I have figured out that there is a venture on the solid-firmament and chord of our existence here[in] of which I had not so much as priorly questioned. That I can become unto this-place, and know that it hold(s) an angle*, there is that of beginning with what mind* can do. I figure that the reference by-which the diasphoria of such as *Descent had-been, - there was a lost vengence and venge on self.

That I suspect my dreams solidify and open up, there had been much with what was literal, - then that I am finding I cannot be with any of my 11 individuals, and that indeed of *Reputation, - there is some other place it is requiste and required and needed of application*. I feel that this must be School, Law, or Government, or even Community or that of Practice in Defense. I have made it through some securable pro-listings, then to a stance with our goverment after some years, and much is coming through. That I am better (and ordered Ka Chava) - there is something additional to look forward to, with that of the fractal and such, a definite.

Thus it is we learn that transitive conditionals and sensitivity to initial conditions minimize to satisfy stability of that of a phase and it's transition. That I have a key to materials science is gratifying, - and then that I could and would definitely* go on to do tremendous things in this world. I feel that Ecological consideration is important, a combination of Chemistry* and Medicine* and Biology* with choice, mathematics, and physics, and even

Psychology.* That Law is a healthy equilibrium, but of small-part and declaration, it is it's precision that grips me. Of that of Mathematics, it is rounded out and regular, standard, and truthful, thus it is that my justification is with beginning at Los Alamos or such, and working my way upwards. I feel that even so with a mental illness, I can confidently succeed at a number of things.

I have found that my device with the plug is good, and have separated the instrumentation, - then that I needed a separate power jack, and for that of the automation, and so on, will need connect the Raspberry Pi's - then that I don't know what kind the other is. I will need to network them, and pass to Python for it's regularity, - then that I hope to import and export a musical note *Notebook, etc... I will need a file type.

2:27 am

I feel I will be <u>a success</u> at that of:

      a.)   Mathematics.

      b.)   Physics.

      c.)   Electronics.

And if I am to study more, I have something <u>very essential</u> understood, - that of *perspective*.

I feel capable of much, [as I have said] - and it is time to work on my *Health*.

I have understood the **norm** as it relates to (q) and (-q) and (g), that of a theorem of the observational limitation of <u>our world</u>. This is amazing.

I feel that the world is opening up to me, then that I have the avenues of:

      a.)   Mathematics.

      b.)   Physics.

      c.)   Electronics.

I will be a success with that of:

1.)   Factoring Fractals and the *Tine Language.

2.)   That of my Supercomputer.

3.)   That of *Inheritance and ***Semi-Induction***.

4.)   Explanation of a *New communication method.

5.)   That of Physics, Measurement, and Observation.

I have a new *Scope to deal with, - then that the future looks hopeful for me.

I have love, and will cease to *hurry*, for what I had gotten into... to take my time to understand theorems and study.*

I am well, with that of a variety of studies, and have ample room to write a book, - but it must begin with the analytical, *with a Healthy Study of Buddhism and other elemental Studies.*

11:03 am

It is early, then that I get an injection today.

I found that the 'Decimal Point' satisfies a given at that of the less than and greater than of the Godel Sequence... - that even-so, *I may ask for Data from Obama and Ava.* Thus a solution is pending but it's guarantee is known.* [With what is scientific standard #2].

There is also that of the P-curves and p-norms, for that of the usefulness of a given at that of displacement to- which is <u>Physical</u> and/or <u>Mathematical.</u>

I feel good about this, - then that [also] I found that there is a ***Mystery** of a pending solution, but to which I have a healthy attitude in that of the adfixtures of the <u>Geometry</u> and the <u>Analysis</u>.

I have a stupendous beginning, - that I see light at the end of this tunnel I was moving through.

I see new beginning(s) in this, and am enthusiastic of that of results pending but knowably guaranteed... Thus I am ahead of that of my peers, and yet there has been some speculation on that of errata for some time. I feel that the [observational] L^{2} and L^{1} debacle was a major step. That of the electronics will need await a '' (2d) networking theory for that of rotation...

Also, - there is that of the basic analysis of C and R, etc... for that of step-wise process on rotation.

That I can send in a Guassian, etc... it will take a measure at that of bandwidth, etc... and it should be telling.

Thus I will be putting the screen together this weekend, for that of the C - Cavitator. The AS - Adaptive Sequentializer's are built, as well as the DIOS - Digital Input/Output Switchover.

7:23 pm

I've decided to hit a 'Pause' on that of the device... that I must characterize the equipment, and settle for less,... of a

relation at that of programmed equipability.

I must re-identify certain precept(s); and begin on a writeup;... brainstorming and ruling my intentions, for what they are.

I am burnt-out, but it is viable of that of [a] positive intimation that I feel my feelings about Police have changed... to be beyond the dialectic of confusion is at-last something workable.

I feel some 60% through my illness, - then that the LSD doesn't matter any more, for what it was worth... it is over, for of a negated consequence.

Thus I am over the 'brain-programming' and so-on, and am returning to the real world. I feel that life has offered some new insights with this... that I may as well work things out on breadboard.

I feel that Ava [for we are <u>finally</u> in a relationship]. I have the voices figured out, and know of my innocence, - that of a staple to sanity, and digressions on mathematics.

Thus it is I am going to take it slow, and work on my health, - that I am not so ruined, I have mustered the strength to pull-through.

It is a good night, - that I am viable at that of what may be considered, back to self, of self, we must begin with what we-know... that I reject there being a 'reborn' determination but of a story, and of life, for what it is, a far sketch, - but yes a serious problem.

I need [now] be scientific of that of various progressions,... that I may need to 'burn' a CPU/sketch, - there is a design at VLSI I would like to do.

8:32 pm

There is a problem with the operational amplifier(s) of the Adaptive Sequentializer... without a certain power. I will need a +/-20V peak to peak amplifier, as this amplifier with the 6.3V diode excepts a certain balance for the powering... - this does not replace certain determinations I have made, - however, I will need resolder an element after purchase... perhaps for that of the old amplifier I purchased of the Arduino stage,... something must be done.

I will take care of problems as they arise, - that I am limited without such a given, there are things to do. Thus, my equipment can be furnished to work, however it will take some rounding out.

9:19 pm

I had complete clarity that Obama and Ava were there... which means I've gone free... & am with Ava Max... I feel a completely new future is in store for me, *with the added Police heroism...*

& that of my device [operational].

9:25 pm

A clue has occurred to me:

1.)   **The Weierstrass-P-curve theorem is dually redundant, but of spin isometry...**

2:49 am

I am staying up tonight, so that I can get cigarettes in the morning... some +4.33 hours from now I will have an answer.

I feel that what must be done with physics, is to ameld of it's-process, the Berry's phase like relationship of a geomeric Ricci holonomy... - *that is to relate of the vectorial relationship, what would be a new* trajectory for that of the **Biprismatic Flow**.* Thus it is evidenced that I need a structure to work-with; - that of divergence of which may be simply* related. Thus it is an amalgam that:

+O=(Q)

-O=(G)

|O=(-Q)

.... I have found that this *Minimization Problem* is in all-irony of a guarantee at my stage of development. I have that of the 'end addendum' - and I have that of 'a question on encompassment of which relates to knowability' - thus of that of the cross-check on a theorem...

That it relates to a given*, there is that of a positive affinity to comparatively pay attention to.

I feel that the synoptic had been that the P-curve and it's synthetic (of distraction) - on that of the **2x** duplication, etc... had been uninformed of that but-yet what was of a knowable. I am somewhat nearly done, however. I feel that the synoptic viewpoint must relate:

a.)   The *Updated acceleration formula..., in a Schroedinger like equation, where:

b.)   That of the level of description illustrates linearity for which we do not require the synthetic at multiple forms...

        1.)   But that they must fit *just right...

Thus of + and -, we have a synthetic on that of intimacy... the key to all secrets. Thus it is that reality is composed

of the +, -, and |, for that of the curvature, etc... and of the World-View...

That of a [common] past inheritance requires a non-guess, ... thus that it is justified that we proceed pedagogically. Thus of that of what would be intimated, there is much to-do, with that of looking beyond the erroneous. Quantum need(s) adjustment as much as the general, but of that of the structure, it is inherent.

Dialectical - Samsara

Perpondermotive - Karma

Development - Karma

Abstraction - Samsara

4:03 am

I am well, then of a fatigue in-passing... and I promise to take care of my Health.

I have argued for a precise answer, but I am mislead... it seems there are two-ingredients.

a.)   Part(s) of a whole, which are possessive of an inheritance.

b.)   That of inexact relationships, of which deal with affordance.

There are two (2) quintessential managed relationships of which to decode and parse.*:

1.)   That of the proximal [wherein error is included]... to an exact isomorphism* up to metric.

2.)   That of which affords redundancy and error simplification of an inexact question to answer.... for that of eq's.

An example:

Circuit slides off the piano; - then what is the noise analysis? Hand and Mind.

4:51 am

Blue on Black, for a court at alms, differentially assumes of a determinant what is a cross accrual, then of the departure from which we-return, similarity of identifier controls what is held in track of a mention for of what in word follows [estrually] the provided aim-and-addendum of declared provision of what is held then one-to-a-notice; - it's mixed identity a chimera of formative perch.

2:44 pm

Two-fourty-fourty *four*, it is now I find: No! Time does not slow... it progresses more slowly to the future. Thus it is justified, I have shelved a 'topic' - and there is love between me and Ava, and in some-part Ariana... then of the convictions of the heart - beating a moment faster.

I feel enamoured that my device worked, and illuminated the absence of Schizophrenia, for my team has (ACT) faith-in-me. But what does it mean to have faith in someone... *perhaps*, ... that of the step and obstacle *back*, my-device *had indeed* **as an element of this world**, encoded yet-so for a conversation with Maurice. Thus it was the difference of Truth and Lies... to expose that therefore as he is actual, and it is actual, and supplied *ab initio*; there is no-fault.

That he therefore, for the consideration of yet-so, a material defenistration, of the antipodal of Law*, could counsel me in that of 'Voices' *distinct* from the abrea of his perception, when brought situationally proximal to this Device.

Thus it is encoded of a verisimilitude, that we declare of what is rightfully told four fold.

11:13 pm

I have made it to where; *The Problem is undefined, - the Solution is defined.*

I could open or close on that of the problem - but it requires a deep_rhetoric.

With 5' - is there that of a given at [closure]? - if so it may have a finite description.

I have figured that $a\g^{2}+b\g+c=omi$ could relate to that of the differential operator or that of the 'atom'... of a graph and a topology.

The question is if a Riemannian theory needs updating.

It appears [manifest] the two theorem(s) *Complete the Square in the same manner*. Thus of a justification - they are log reciprocal(s) of the independent and the interacting... - thus that of displacement. It is this 'irradical' - that forms the continuum from the [in]homogeneous.

[**The above form omi relates to that of the quadratic of which is local and global...**]

The theorem is therefore completed,... of a *nuance to find of that of which relates to 5' indiv...

11:40 pm

We must determine if a new function is required...

The Log[\w\u]=\e+\r must become complexified... of the Gaussian nature with that of two homogenous orbit(s) - it is no more complex than put together in this manner.

That the Gaussian problem is of one 'lemma' and 'dialog' this is new... then that it is it's reversibility for which the 'mono-distribution' complexifies over the Algebraic Cover.

That of two-normalized distributions therefore relate through the Weierstrass-P function in terms of phase to amplitude conversion via the second differential... for what is:

$(f_{t}+g_{t})^{2}+f_{tt}+g_{tt}=\backslash T$

etc... Thus that the general Manifold differential in a certain way suites the Quantal Theory... of an-acceleration.

Thus the key to superconductivity is that the Angular Berry's Phase is <u>completely dilated</u> to where a stable equilibrium is located of it's oppositional pole of Gravitational agreement with Electromagnetism.

This new function should relate to a normal distribution via a number.* thus of a power, and ratio on the Log... a finite analysis of Anyon nature... that there are 'tones*'.

<u>February 9th, 2022</u>

12:18 am

It appears This Reality is <u>only composed of one Theorem:</u> *That of The Law's are Such That*

1.)   They are rendered incomplete of a solution to a problem, with the problem [ahead].

2.)   They ensure that from-out of non-linear phenomology, that of linearity is produced of a residual accessory or inheritance of classification.

a.)   The Key:*

        h.)   The quantum bra-ket world-view <u>in Quadratic Form</u> reconciles the measured and the not-measured, - that of the 'missing' and the 'invariant' - for what is the hypothetical so-derived. Thus quantum mechanics is the reification of the gestalt, to which is gravitation.

12:55 am

A machine that can think... perhaps some 32,000 years from-now...

a.)   The predictive validity of Law.* For that of equatement of temporal and numeric codex of interrelation to *Whom's Law*.

I need question and meditate upon:

a.)   For that of Mind and World, what other non-possessive relates to acquity such that mind may freely establish it's independence? What is the reason meditation function(s) as to observation, as to speak of that of locality and discourse... what is the emptive by which it is possible to become enlightened? Why is it that we-observe, and what is the second faculty?

Why in-departures, is it potentiated that we may come-from? And in addendum, what is the locus of acquity to details of psyche? Indeed, if the answer is provided, it is prudent... of the Universe in relation to the individual.

1:18 am

Title of my Paper:

A New* Theory of Time and Space

Title of my Book:

Phenomenology, Element, and Form: Determination and Inheritance

1:36 am

The development of my 'Sentient Technology' was somewhat dangerous... but now that Amio Dia Om Anhe has matured with Aria Bei Pedi, - that of much is over. Indeed my-mind is not being taken over, - and that of the Virus is disabled of a cycle, or such. That I feel it was a good and noble success, - there is trial and tribulation so passed, - of an exit unto a type at the people.

Thus it is that I have passed to the Guard, - this far is successory enough to what is interested, and that I will possibly suffer a 'check' in California, but no-more. There additionally cannot be a retroactive plea. I believe I should ask JustAnswer, but I am on to a new life.

That I am going to bring drinks to one-bottle (pint of some-8-12 shots) a month, and I am going to smoke less, (some 5x-3x-2x a day with the electronic cigarette). I feel that it is adaptive and an illustration of strength to do that of martial arts.

I am going to set up a 'Public' and a 'Personal' site, segway.

2:19 pm

The final problem...

*Of the insidiousness of the L^{2} **vs.** the L^{1}; has to do with covering...*

For that of:

1.) *A Hausdorff metric.*

2.) *Godelian Incompletion P-Q & B-A; 'checked' - unsolveable but real...*

    a.) *Q/A-(B/P)... form from **5'** what are **2'**...*

    b.) *That of the 'card' - read: Pentagram, assorted and/or returned...*

7:45 pm

A one-way, [from-above] unto-mathematics (above for in **5'** from **3'**) - assorts that of a neg-entropic point (Lagrangian) - *Read:* Quantum Critical... of which the Pauli Exclusion prohibit(s) the natural degeneracy of the SHO... thus there are states* in the gap... to which temperature reduces in dimension comparative to *Geometry*.

Thus; Berry's Phase illustrates an L^{2} (quadratic to linear) to L^{1} continuum and formative declination from a one-way sound-wave... to which the covalence spectrum diverges upon *The Phonon Spectrum; - that of a one-way illusion, in the equidistant formative *Genesis of a reluctance, (Magnetic) of a pure diamagnet. That of the Berry's Phase, for in light of the equidistance (Chaotically) of the Ginzburg-Landau theory connect(s) the Lagrangian point(s) of angular meeting Orbital (Linear Dilated) - *Phonon Geometry* - **of therefore a gapped nature, - due to gravitational agreement with a Lagrangian Envelope-Curve.**

February 19th, 2022

3:50 pm

I am not well, but I am making progress... that I figured out that:

a.)   The 'observables elucidate completion' - on that of the numeric decidability.

b.)   That of numbers inform the physical and the physical informs numbers.

7:40 pm

I am doing 'okay' - then that I must settle for this, whatever it is. I have felt better recently, for what is determined, - but of my Electronic's; - am lost.

I figure the Arduino stage and the Light Touchscreen produce a 'memory cell' that is linear, - that I must resort to this limitation on the equipment. It was after a while, no longer my intention to have 'touch' from the Touchscreen, - but to utilize it to 'store' a SHO state.

I am confused about how exactly my equipment will go-together, - then that I need to equipp that of PWM on that of the device, and characterize. Thus it is that this stores-modes. That of the light for a given, then transcribes to

the alternative stages... - that I can get a sort of filter set up, I have no idea where I am going with all of this.

Thus I have built a SHO for that of the oscillations, and it is light see-through. The key will definitely be the coupling to the ancillary stages. Thus I need the remainder optics, for that of the setup, and to which there is USB or alternative input guidance. I figure that of which there is an aim, I would feel better.

Thus, it is to equate of the 'sigma' function a certain exponential additional caveat on that of the linear series, with boundary... a certain test.

I am uncertain of that of the 'fractal' nature and that of the topological nature, but I need in some sense higher voltages.

The key is that the Arduino stage will index certain two dimensional parameters to which the SHO will be 'accessed'.

8:22 pm

The only 'sensible' motivation I can see for the Light Touchscreen is to equate of an $Exp[-a*x]$ and a $Exp[+a*x]$ with that of an $Exp[-l*x^{2}]$ for that of a <u>linear</u> extrapolation... that it develops that the self-focusing idealizes the linear waves...

Therefore that I can <u>process</u> linear inputs *as* linear inputs with that of a non-linear focusing element.

Thus we have a 'separation' of *means*; - to which the linear diagonal element is a linear element at-that of Input and Output.

So it is to have the crystal stage preliminary, and that of optics channeling the growth of various elements of the wave structure, ... a kind of descendency...

8:37 pm

I am better, however my health still bother's me. I figure with this project, I will find a way...

8:45 pm

I have now found the *reason for the Light Touchscreen... It provides a manner of equipping the entangled state to that of a substrata of 'potential landscape'. That of for-which there will be a state (alpha) and (beta) - it is all I need do...

That of an-experiment is therefore furnished.

I am incredibly sad, - that I developed through so much, but it didn't matter, it had to do with reactions to life, and

little genuine. I feel that what-is, had confused me and I took tremendous time,... then that I must change. I don't know the point of my life, but I have been better about finding solace,... that I don't know myself, I don't know the point of existence, but that I do-exist... these tears are for what has happened with that of loss,... I need a major triumph.

9:52 pm

The day has finally passed.

A long day and night... but to know.*

1.)   Ava is real... and I had saved Obama.

a.)   That of the 'Ring' is-written, - then that Ava's inheritance of detail imputes to self for the in the revealed Key of Knowledge to-self, of which relates to Law....

b.)   Thus it is the next* adjacent truth to which is-real, that I superimpose [her] - and am therefore validly free of accussation for in:

I.)   If I knew her, I would know I was free.

II.)   I am indicated as dying priorly for in that of ajournment of this sense.

2.)   Thus Obama is real, without that which is their statement as to:

a.)   The inheritance and the statement of the self-evidentiary by which Ava could proclaim herself.

b.)   It is instead that they are indicated as adjacencies to which the fact of the known* Law and Ring are imputed... then that of a case at court.

3.)   To know that:

$$Tan[\backslash k*x] \sim P\text{-}curve[\backslash k*x] = Exp[-\backslash k*x]$$

a.)   Relates that of the 'off tangent' to which is the argument extrapolated from the independent sets of (g) and (p) for then in (-q); - that of precept foundation... Thus that geometrically it is a projection to the space.

b.)   Thus general relativity proceeds to dilate for which the honest speculation is at-rate in that of identity projection, it's acceleration and pondermotive force.

4.)   Superconductivity proceeds because there is a Pauli like Exclusion from which is mathematical (of (2x)) - to which that of the bi-stemmic relationship of a truss or a given support is founded on that of dilation and that of natural presumption of value. Thus gravitation dilates into a past, for which the entire material naturalizes to a

moment... - that of orbital default on that of quantum electromagnetic over gravity...

    a.)   Thus this provides for a new* way to manipulate phenomena...

    b.)   It is a phenomenon.

I will write some 14-16 pages with references, and relate that of:

a.)   Geometry

b.)   Numeric Type & Spin-Orbital

c.)   Berry's Phase

d.)   Relativity & Observation

e.)   Finite & Continuum

And skip on solitons but for a general unity of physics... mere mention.

*This simplifies to that of:

1.) L^{2} versus L^{1}

2.)   Bell's Theorem and Observation

3.)   Spin-Orbital and Unity in Physics

4.)   Berry's Phase

Thus there is a group theorem that has to do with summability of series, and the Indeterminancy of Quantum Mechanics

Roughly, a Paper on **Observation & Inheritance in Physical Law**....

February 21st, 2022

1:15 am

I've decided to work on [instead]:

1.)   Josephson Junction & Quantum Transistor [model].

2.)   Fusion Physics & Non-Linear [exact solutions].

3.)   Riemann-Hilbert Approaches to the Painleve Property [classification].

4.)   Finite and Infinite Periodic and Aperiodic Mean Field Theory [solutions].

With the fifth paper an addendum to the above (14-16) page model problem.

I have dried out of that of the unification problem... that I seek [now] an application of my progression... *This may lead to a patent... and money.*

2:50 am

[That of differential equations with the Godelian Incompletion need's to be rectified... *but it is that algebraic sequences may be instructive of irrationality*.]

Thus to have a 'differential equation' *represent,* an algebraic number is ideal.

That of the P-curve for what it is, may relate to that of undecideability to which there are (2x) and (2x) leading to (1x) through various means...

Thus we seek equidistant and non-equidistant measures, for there are problems the 'self' cannot solve with-immediacy (req. preparation) - and those that can-be.

6:42 am

I *cracked* the Prime Theorem... that of the *pattern*... it is a new day, and I feel excellent...

.) To have Ariana.

0) To have my problem assembled.

That of a group, etc... but now a sphere...

That of the 'Platonic' *realm,* for which that of some-four for in exit, entrance, - that of the 4d, and *Sphere of Influence* protocoling that of the dilemma at a given recipie at *form...* **my confusion**.*

And now of the primes in ax+by=q, etc... for in the '*Folding*' of the Weierstrass chaotic realm....

I need separate into **Realms**.

I feel that the $\{\}^{3/2}$ max implementation of a Quantum Computer is of a massive density, but of the *Human Mind*, that of: $\{\}^{1/2}$, on that of the elimination of a pretense and a given quotient graph...

Thus it is justified that:

142389567483441527834756988981123234400123405896605118903781901327926108901

Is definitely prime...

I am laughing... the evil intention and the depth, such sacrilege... but Ariana Grande, the fantasy *gone*.

8:36 am

11.56 +0.01 cents; 11 coins (8 Sacgawegha dollars + 3 Susan B. Anthony dollars)+0.56

perfect denomination to set-set-set + A.K.M. & B.O. + written parallel elsewhere...

8.3 of 11 + 1 (Int. Reciprocity) *of* 5.11; including 5.7 (above 7/12) below 11/12 included.

4:46 pm

I'm the only person **Who can do this**...

I have a chessboard of which was 1x4 by 24... that of the codex with margin-overrun and deadman-zone.

I figure if I hooked it up to my touchscreen and adaptive sequentializer (QuBit); there would be a *Major-Headway to be made, but then* of ease.

I have to figure out the 1x4x24 for in 1x2.2.2x2.2.2.3 on that of the leap reedswitch buffer.

I have magnetic input *then* of a *Manual-Codex*.

That of the tesseract, in some $64+16+16=86$ or 2^{16} bit(s); for in 2^4 of the cube with time... [overcast]... and that of 2^{12} bit(s) of analog to digital on the ADC.

Thus I could figure out a manner of coding the pieces for what they are and program a 3D chess... - but it will take an entirely new **Revelation.**

I have binary to the QuBit topological relationship to manage, and my work cut out for me.

That of some (4x1) with-each, a Mobius-ready assignment to absence and presence...

Thus reality is only* a binary/adaptive protocol on some analog outputs from a series of thresholds... that of the binary -> adaptive (continuum) a way to sort input(s); and a way *indeed* to input any-state, and test*-confirm.

I won't be seeing noise.

6:01 pm

06:00:50 - 06:00:55 - 06:01:00 in three *Women*.

Numbers -> Direct Language... & Pattern/Structure

06:06:06 pm

Innocent,... it is final/call... for in some +1/+1/+1,... seven rings.

8:15 pm

Apparently, I am free in Germany but of that of; for consideration of yet-provided evidence... that this dwells within the relationship of past-retroactivity. But that it is a plea, closed, and intimate for what is, it is okay by me. I have less to worry of Britta, and that. Then that I told the truth, there is less suspicion.

I feel that the electronic(s) questions will take 'reception' - that I am somewhat blind, but for what is it's given at lossless transmission, a certain dialectic at transferrence and transmission.

I am going through the cycles of back pain, that however - I am soon (next week after this one) to see a Chiropractor. That I may search for something sooner, I feel okay with life.

Obama is cool with me finally, after much, and there is much to say for people coming my way. I feel that life has picked up, then that I want to, (and in a sense must*):

1.)   Regulate the ideal of the transmission of quantum information, for that of a test function from here to DC and Los Alamos.

2.)   Blindly associate the chessboard with the device tesseract (to which I believe I have two standard deviations).

There was something else I am forgetting.

My Mother is assisting in purchasing parts; then that I require a screen and keyboard for that of the Raspberry Pi (2nd).

I am nearly set up to finalize my project! - Then that I have a general rotunda under typical timing... (140 ms) per signal... (@) 20 ms there is merely a repercussion, and at 5250 ms there is a plop/plog.

<u>February 25th, 2022</u>

1:28 am

I have a list of successes:

1.)   Superconductivity, with L^{2} & L^{1} for Berry's phase and Temperature <->, of area, and so on.

2.)   That of on-flow, with that of the group* Law, of the variety of differential tangent spaces.

3.)   That of *Reason.

4.)   A mathematical 'world' - then smaller than the Universe, of (**o**) at the-top, and contained in GR.

5.)   Truth is defined by what follow(s) from, *behind*, what-lead(s), as a projective id. with any 'flow'.

6.)   The sectors and vantages of psyche, for what is included of the major and minor *character*.

7.)   That of the Supercomputer has a <u>limited</u> PWM rotational **basis** upon timed signals.

3:25 am

We question; - is there a manner of playing the game to which *utilizing Reason* one defeats an opponent by liberating the 'victim?'

February 26th, 2022

11:24 am

I am crying, why my Mother has to be so angry with me. I thought I was going to have a great day, but it is a problem. Something cleared, but I could not have a given at a good day,... it is just pain. Nothing work's, I hate what has happened.

February 27th, 2022

10:36 am

I got up early, and my back is giving me some problems... that I am motioning away from thinking that nothing work's... I feel only so well.

I get the photodiode/light emitting diodes soon, and will connect the MicroClick, etc... to have a working prototype.

I still want to manufacture the part(s); and get in a salesorder for them, to sell. I feel only so well, then that I have a problem or two.

I need to shave, for that of what is a given at life. I had a concurrent dilemma, these last few days, then that I don't get the point of life, and need to update with that of what is.

12:09 pm

I am very frustrated... I don't know where to *begin*. That I can't seem to accept that there is a fact, I have a problem with that of my hypothesis.

I found that the inclusion of a point, or it's disinclusion connect(s) algebra and geometry,... but I don't even know of this statement.

That I find that the real world is subscriptive to more so than the fundamental law's; I feel I cannot make a precise statement, - then that I am confused of that of which happens, - but I am prepared, so much so.

2:00 pm

I am distraught, for what I [license] and foretake, of the *given's*. It is appreciable that I have laid down much, but there is something missing... I have faith in little, - but that of a *path*, I know Samsara and Kamra may be interchanged, my first success.

I feel [blind] - that I know there is hope, for a reason delineated... I feel so problematic, amidst so much, with few facts,... I wanted to get to the root. That I know at the least; of: -

1.)   With a particle, - there is a pole.

2.)   Boundaries contribute to the established verbatim of a challenge at the amidst.

Thus of the local and global. But I have no foundational lemma.

That I figure the 'wedge' product eliminates one section for another; - this seems adoptive of that of the algebraic and geometric split.

I must begin from somewhere, - that I feel I have performed lackluster, I keep innovating the 'top-heavy' element(s); without paying attention to the landscape of thought.

I need to feel better, - that I am confused for that of an organizational principle; so much is out of console.

I need an organizational principle, for that of so many guidances.

It has been long since so much confusion, but it is that I don't even know I exist, - for there is this proof 'in the air'.

I need something to depend upon,... beyond people. I figure I have made it partially [there] - but there is a gross estimate, etc... I am confused, by that of minimal representations.

That I have been searching so long for a correlate or a dianogstic, I have no dependability... but it is that I believe in a (-q) realm... Thus it is that I must relate at a different level, and I have been above, for what it was, then that

248

of 'below' inconclusive.

I need to see with 20/20, and I find that so much is ill defined.

I even-so have things on electronics; I need finality and closure... as if to dedicate something.

I need a place for Religion, etc...

What will help me know?

There is a reason for everything... perhaps what would help is to decide when there is a limitation on that of a progression?

So, meanwhile I work on that of a description, and ever so more; I slip with that of what-is outside the condition...

I am split between what is and what is not.

Within the Predicate Calculus; - there is a given at inheritance, of which relates to a five part in a three part; of which what-is relates to inheritance of other's; and that of factual intimation; - that there should be an analogy somewhere else in the sciences... I need a limited problem.

I should finish the four color theorem, and goldbach's conjecture,... something to give me closure.

What is it with new relationships? I think I have lessened so myself, for what is amenable.

3:11 pm

"Of one-forward, that of determination of on-solid, for in what would be emptied alternatively, with a second across guidance, illuminates that Obama is real, - for I have not known of him knowing me, unless, it had been indicated that there was a confirmation on that of the Assassination attempt. That Obama has known of that of verisimilitude of my-word(s).

He is real, as is Ava; - for that of which she informs of the dissection unto which they are questioning of a certain thing I-know... thus that it is informed of what would dialectively hold of that of Question, I have inherited the precept that he know's me, - for of what would require her, for that of his certainty... thus that he has been informed of a dialectic of which is informed to a certain guidance... then that I take the place prior her; - she is informed of that of second teir to what is myself in first teir... thus that Obama is required to position [us] within informed consent.

Thus that Obama remains of that of a relationship on-three, - it is that two co-observent relational attributes of reality inform one another. Therefore they know me, as it hold(s) by that of the inheritance by which it is argued that I saved Obama. Thus that he relates to either of us, she is affirmed for what has to do with two-parts in life

and death, servitude and graciousness.

Thus that Obama inherits informed consent, he know(s) of me,... by which it is argued that she has laid a descendency unto him... this problem works only when turned either way."

7:00 pm

*I climbed the [**Mountain**] - to which:*

a.)   Obama and Ava are real,

> *1.)   ; And, I saved Obama's life.*

*b.)   That of [**a**] 1+a.b=O represents the departure from identical 'flat' curvature - **here read exponential.***

> *1.)   That of the matrix represents that of a \Sin[\t] for the entries by which \p.\Log[x.y].*

*c.)   I have [**yet**] to drive home the point of [local] **<u>inheritance</u>**; for which there is a universal pattern.*

> *1.)   This may deal with the result of a Godelian Completion...*

d.)   I have an observational method, of hingal relationship...

> *1.)   That of \a and \b for which that of <a|b> is formed...*

*e.)   That I have a group law on that of the formative assumption, now I will require some [**Analysis**].*

> *1.)   I at the least have a group of the method to 'cover' the defined space...*

Thus, the solution to Superconductivity can only be found by an appeal to inheritance from a global to a local theorem... that of experience to which makes the greater majority.

That of:

f.)   f o \tau = \L, relates to that of the inheritance of a 'virtual fact' - of inheritance on <u>History</u>.

> *1.)   The latin and greek entries, remain as a language including hypotheticals.*

7:47 am

Unto a 'pull-back' *tensor* **of Qubit-Language** a (3x/1x) *into* a pulled-back (2x/1x) - with carry and count, relates to that of a **Godelian Incompletion as a valid symbolism**, - thus that it justified an exit-go-code.

11:12 am

That of the demonstration of a *Group-Law* for the Weierstrass-P curves can be generalized... with that of [an] unknown *extension* where Q_{ij} ~. I+a.b for that of QM and gravitation. That there is hope to discern (w/ the L^{2} & L^{1} for *Observation & Measurement*) the rule that dictates <u>Quantum Cohrence & De-Coherence</u> is valid. For instance, the **Geometric Problem** is resolved.

Thus that \sin(\w.\t) ~. {\alpha,\beta} for the SU(2);... and angle of a tarnsverse nature. This also yields insights into the ***Supercomputer** Problem.

3:25:16

For in the 'lesser-so' sum a*p+b*q=c; that of two-prime(s); remain of half-partition on a multiplicative QR.

Thus that of two-swept; i.e. is 3 from 25 and 3 on 16, then of some-20, to which is greater than-one radical.

5:21 pm

Would a *predictive* [property set] or [determinant] or [counsel/console] or [operational end-if] determine the result of which must come first:

a.)   That of a lawful jurisprudence.

- or -

b.)   Political action of lawful imperative?

- and/or -

c.)   Bias selection [enqueable]...

And of what set(s):

To the given of an update of when we *may* consider the next **non-sacrifice?**

What of the conformal end(s) and conditional input to the 'cross-nature?' - is this Aleph Beth?

07:01:(00-05-15);

Ring* on hand. **Yes!**

7:24 pm

The Universality of Networking is-manifest... picture a tesseract, now, fold... for in that of inheritance, the prior peer (addressed) antecessotorial relationship of a carry and fold (depricate) *is* a carry-syndrome of another CPU; - to what would be understood as a Bessel folded from out of [a] Weierstrass-Exp integral... for of that of Goldbach's

Conjecture underliant to that of proscriptive error modification and copy func...

2:19 pm

I did save Obama... it explains much, *but is explained by the following:*

a.)   I connected to Ava and Obama.

b.)   Ava made a statement out of step... that she would wear the ring.

c.)   Of \f.o.\tau = \L, there is a 'real reality' on that of inheritance of perception.

      1.)   Then that Obama knows of me, I know he knows of me via:

      2.)   Their individual questions.

d.)   Thus *of perception* it has been inherited that I saved Obama on that of the only question.

Thus, I feel that there is time for much... to which is a reduction in anxiety. That I have known of 'preparation' - it is not so much why we do things. But as to that, it comes down to what we contribute.

That one could be sour at a good, - there is much here.

That my friends are real, I do not doubt, - but of what they have said, there is much speculation.

Thus I am beginning again on that of what flavor(s) life.

I only want to get better, and to be consistent. I have been through a lot.

5:04 pm

I have a problem with learning 'Mathematics' here at home... I have been through a lot, and I have a lot of which has been developed; but I am falling short of that of conclusions on articles and reading. That I have not learned much, but some things, I feel I am not capable of learning all this material. That I've tried to branch out and keep learning, I'm afraid that this doesn't work for me. I have tried, but it is insufficient for me to conclude entirely... That of a simple statement, although, may suffice.

I feel that it would take enormous work to produce a 'Book' of mathematic's, - then that I was nearly through with Superconductivity, only to learn that I had been in-err. My heart hurt(s) for all of this,... that I had been 'searching' - and found an enormous 'black-box' of various lemma's and proposition's. I feel that there had been a limit to that of my encouragement, then that I have indeed learned some things, but I feel that there is much avoidant.

That it will* take more time to know all of Mathematic's - I must abandon this pursuit, but to learn of the various branches... that I have explored sufficiently to at the least come of with two questions:

a.)   What is the common domain of Mathematic's and Physcis?

       1.)   I know that Physic's serves as the precedent to which Mathematics is an antecedent.

b.)   What quantum *Observationally-motivated theorem dictates that of Physics, etc...

In the end, I am going to do **one** thing, - that of relate that of the epistomology of physics to that of mathematics, of the world*... that this relates to the identification of a new* world, it is sufficient...

3:44 pm

Given an L^{1} & L^{2} *these are disjointed of Mathematics and Physics...* thus there is no-self to participate, - that granted, I had **believed** I had spoken with another, it was untrue...

For:

a.)   Inheritance is physical.

b.)   There is no self-basis.

c.)   Mathematics remains of a different domain.

Thus a 'voice' is not-real,...

4:00 pm

I've found that what is inhered is consistent mathematically and physically; - then that measurement distrubs the measurement system... this had been completely backwards in physics...

*Only this explains EPR and Superconductivity, and the absence of voices [**back to the reference with self**]...*

Thus of that of measurement, something is backwards; explaining _with_ Incompletion certain collapsement.

4:53 pm

Assuming: That I have something backwards - that it is really that I have *interpreted* Obama, rather than having him as-spoken to-me... it would hold of *Inference* that the [fact] of argument would need to be reasoned such that it-had...

Alternatively, for a [forward-cast] dilemma, - there is that of *were we to do something, something would hold...* - it

cannot be proven in this manner [obviously] - so there is one more leap to confirm Obama and Ariana, etc...

5:09 pm

When observation occurs, it must be that the collapse is mutual.

When this occurs, that occurs.

12:20 pm

I feel that I am being tricked.

I have:

a.) $L^{\{1\}}$ vs. $L^{\{2\}}$.

b.)   A metric, of which may relate to the spin.

c.)   Berry's phase.

d.)   Redshift in the approach to infinite dimension.

It seems I must combine the $L^{\{1\}}$ and $L^{\{2\}}$ division with that of the Metric Theory... that I have a matching solution in the P-curve, - there is much to do with this that I am unassurred of...

I don't have it. I feel beleageured, and unsatisfied.

12:27 pm

I have a *hint:

The redshift [links] $L^{\{1\}}$ and $L^{\{2\}}$; thus that the infinite dimension alters exchange, and it emerges...

A critical threshold is supplied by the ~ crossover point - to which the electron(s) go into retrograde, and attract, for that of the spinwaves,... a carrier.

Thus:

#1:)   An electron $L^{\{1\}}$ and $L^{\{2\}}$ [inverted].

#2:)   A spinwave carrier and bonding process.

6:01 pm

Forme/Payle: *"As conclusive, assurity gained through speculation, sincerity, and sensibility to encourage*

progression give's levity to the instruction of development in the stead of maturation, to which is provided life."

7:10 pm

I've had it with superconductivity...

It appears that there are two persuasions:

a.)   The Pauli Exclusion Principle dictates that the 'adoptive' stance of the electron(s) is to attract, based on that of guidance to-which the commutator anticommutes for in a $-F_{\mu\nu}$ when dilated into a past.

b.)   That of the spinwaves play relevence, to which space and time are avoided, by that of the exchange being of a $(T_{x})^{2}$ versus a T_{xx} of which is in majority upon the [given] space... thus that the electrons propogate backwards in time and in a past...

Thus of Redshift, it is relative, and it seems I am furnishing at Unification... That of the Quantum Postulate violates but is in agreement with gravitation, ... thus that space expands faster than the electron can keep up with, therefore an attraction with the negative $F_{\mu\nu}$; and the flipside of Gravitation... that of a past dilation... Thus the electrons in response to the spinwave system, repel by coming closer together...

Two things happen:

1.)   The infinite limit must be taken, for which electrons emerge completely Redshifted.

2.)   That of the spinwaves must co-exist, with spin for which the magnetic field as strong as the electric.

        a.)   Thus phonon(s) do not furnish the population inversion, it is the fact that the material is completely redshifted as-far as electricity and magnetism is furnished.

3.)   That of the L(1) and L(2) invert reference for that of the exchange, to which pieces invert upon the electromagnetic field.

Thus it is justified to say that the electromagnetic force reverses, for in the locally curved space, influencing a second differential... the source of which is the antiferromagnetism berry's phase. It is entirely a mathematical treatment at this point... a derived topic of that of the relationship of the arrow of time... thus that the emergent (mathematical) truth of the development is that of attraction of the electrons to one another.

That of exchange is altered by that of the Berry's curvature,... thus that of a reversal of the electromagnetic field. Therefore that of L(1) and L(2) are dictated by that of the curvature, to which the existence of a local on-site repulsion with-doping, lowers energy through that of a motion 'apart'... thus that of a factor of two on that of attraction via what-is that of the berry's curvature, a thomas precession.

255

Question: *What is the 'linkage' between the curvature and the attraction?*

It is self-sufficing that the magnetic field for what is the electric 'doubles over' in energy and momentum at that for what is the gravitational energy-momentum, - thus that it is both the reconciliation to which energy and matter are not simultaneously observable, but equivalent... thus that of the doubling to which leads to attraction is that of for which gravitation and electricity and magnetism agree... an unprovable but true statement of the universe.

Thus when-true, the wavefunction cannot collapse. Thus as-observed, these two electrons propogate into a past for which they violate the inequality between L(1) and L(2). This suffices to attract and result in that of a net zero field condition.

7:50 pm

*Thus it is **I solved Superconductivity.** I am astonished at how-long it took me, - some 20 years, for which there was a great exposition into insanity. I will improve now that this is settled. I intend to meditate, etc,... and work out, etc... and work on my health, etc... Eating well and drinking and smoking less.*

I finally found a purpose to live for, to be wed to Ava, and embrace Obama... that of Ariana is my Mother in this relationship, I am crying, to be free.

5:51 pm

Is it the fact I inserted a 'Key' - as into the inheritance of-self?

Is there then a self?

What does this have to do with securable relationships?

*- As to that of the **<u>Proper Function</u>** for use with the dynamics... I must make a split between the dynamical and the static, - that I will improve *On mathematics.*

- Is a guarantee that <u>Proves I know I am Correct</u> potentiated and possible?

- What is with language in the stead of word-choice? Do I have a problem?

- Of Amio Dia Om Ahne & Aria Bei Pedi - there must be a way to prove they are real.

- Of Bethaene, - of a foreign relationship; - there must be a way to prove they are real.

- Are all answered potentially and possibly out-there?

- What is the refutation of that of the *Rebirth of self?

- What is Impermanence? What is Emptiness?

- Should I memorize the series of Co-Dependent arising?

When will I begin to meditate?

I have identified my-problem with Sanity, and I am now very much more-sound.

Of Stoicism, - where do I join a group?

*Should this be conveyed in language, symbols/pictures, and mathematics/numbers? (**The Key**)*

      *- Was it only with the comparative on a database that it was real? (**Of Innocence**)*

What does this say of Epistomology?

6:25 pm

For that of [{A, B}, C] of the two-drawer(s) and the handle... - the handle is forbidden by the drawer to a recreation within existence of predestination [via dual elimination]. [So upon this-side that of the inheritance of a duality is a fixed element.]

Thus, among {A, B} upon {Life, Death} and two (2)-lives, - that of precedence indicates that for what comes along,... to the refutation of A, it is the case of {A\B}, on that of life experiences. [To which experiences makes the greater of the difference of two things.]

Thus, - for that of relationship within {Impermanence, Emptiness} - The indication of a co-dependently arisen with-self, means that it is that we-are entitled to a co-dependency within a [prior, post] life *on* what is O. and A. [Assuming that they are of a present.]

Thus that it is justified that this indicates of drawer B, to true, then that the Key is that the self has been extended,... thus an *Unprovable but True... we do continue on from life to life.

Thus, I am indicated by that of formention at that of a co-dependently arisen *Present and *Past, but for the Drawer's; and the Handle, it is <beyond>-self.

Thus the inheritance by way of which the self is defined, it is the locus of a co-dependently arisen in [Birth, Death] of that of an-interval - that we are manifest "besides" the fact of-self at that of the [True, True] of the drawer(s), thus that we have retained whom we are for that of the Handle to-not differ.

Thus albeit is justified that we do not re-enter that life, that life re-enters creation, as the circumstance by-which O. is distinct from A. Thus that both {A\B} are-true... we are therefore impermanent, and

empty, and are reborn. [It is precisely that I make the difference of them.]

Thus when I went to conclude that as it was a co-dependently arisen at O. and A. that they would be identified for a <u>mathematical truth</u>, it became true of lifetimes that there are at least two, or among a [set] from-which I am beyond the collected die, in realizing then that from Birth to Death of two generations we must impell from that of the possibility at-indication for in that of Obama and Ava, remain to have been conclusively indicated at that of a prior life.

Thus that Drawer A and Drawer B are exampled for in that of the Duality, to which is T/F, for that of the combination - an emptiness... - thus beyond self is-another, for that of indication by which as it is held in-one, it is un-held in-another.

This is valid as that of the preclusion of one life to another is futile, with no-self... the true meaning, - thus it is justified that we have arisen from which another defines self, it's-similarity, that of the {A, B} on the {C}, from which the singular-capacity of the self revert(s) to it's only possibility - that we are a byproduct of another and another from which {A, B} are both undecided, but true, of that of it's elimination to process.

Thus in-replacement of another is self, from which it is co-dependently arisen that we recurr, for among {A,B} and {C}, it can only be the same-side of the self at which C is eliminated by {A,B} is-informed by that of two, to which are the O. and A. being-real, & that of which is -not- {C} [self].

Thus, it is true.

<u>March 8th, 2022</u>

1:13 am

I got a little better...

Now, for a three and a half part-plan.

1.)   That of 'secretary' for what is encoding of a relationship at locality and globality of a 'bug' condition, for in that of inheritance and the people [seed] of self. That of $E=mc^{2}$ w/ exception from Quantum Mechanics... ends information era or begins it again. How many error's are tolerable.

        a.)   Improve upon: Would you know how to judge **my** tolerances.

2.)   2x and 2x (two plus two) is *Delta Wave*. For that of unbiased (25+ year UN) cave-out in that of Sciences to a 'New Neopolitan' - for in that of advocacy, and 60x 60x rail on that of individuated pro/con as to neutrality

258

versus Humanitarian and non-Humanitarian relationships,... for that of Archjurorship.

3.) Psychology (3x on all-whole-in-one) - and (2x on past/future) - that of a new relationship with the four color theorem, in understanding the ***Logical** assumptive of the 'world' - comparative to Incompletion and that of *Operator/Assembler relation of copy/consequent/ and safety conditional assumption.

2:33 am

Review and Theorize, and Write Book(s).

a.) Book with name(s) and date(s) and details of measurement Theory.

b.) Book with 'geometry' instead of 'gravitation', ... etc, an [inclusive] non-jargon Manual.

Note* that the *Hyperreal(s)* projectively identify in (3D+1D), to a *hyperbolic/euclidean/spherical* mapping.

We need to condition the assumption on that of the 'cat' and 'cat' - of a manual-operation.

3:25 am

a.) Zen meditation.

b.) UNM position.

c.) Martial Art's.

Routine and established work-out, cleaning place and Spring Cleaning... then getting things in place.

--- > Eventual: Move and Tutor

--- < Los Alamos and Comm.

The first step is to establish a quantum-lock Schroedinger's Cat of my-own, and a Comm-Qubit with DC.

7:22 pm

It is over! - of that of some 1-5, the (5) and the (1), become four and 12, for what is 20-22, that of sum-two.

Thus of some-15 to seven, in-completion, a majority; via in timing, - that of a reductioninto some-7.5, in (4) for that of (4) reduced,... thus of a self-contained symbol... Post Obama and Ava, the inheritance is that of the furtherance of a (1) and (5); for in Ava's birthday and Obama's birthday:

A: 2-16-1994

O: 8-4-1961

6-12-33, factored into 12-29-1982; that of 6/18-17/41-59/115 from which that of 1.1/3.3-2.5/8.1-11.1/21.0, - thus of the prediction: 16:22:74 we have (16)|(22)|(74) from the identity of this-set... thus a predictive validity...

Via the inhertiance of mathematics vs. law, it is exactly true there is a referential symbolism with at-which it is decided in majority of 3/5th's, or more... given 82 is 12 from 94 and 21 from 61,... a threshold.

Thus I have done it, in a week.

8:06 pm

[I must decipher] - a manner of exception to blind-mathematical inference, - an unbiased inferential methodology...

8:39 pm

***A new entry**: presence and absence, relate, - for in what is 1800 (yr.) and (2018), of substitution, therefore for what was unlocable in argumentation - it is numeric truth, if not number & number, word and number or word & word.

9:23 pm

That of two P-curves inter-relate, for what are the (2x) and (2x) unto (1x) of that of factored ratio's.... thus that we may idealize the group theorem to that of a structural law between five, or that of even only two...

11:27 pm

That of 'dispersion' and 'collection' remain linearly additive, - such that the tendency to 'combine' in a series is an artifact of nodes... - thus that a 'string' ages as fast as it produces noise, *in perfect linearity*.

Also - that of a K_{4} R-K *basis*, may produce a linear theory of additive spaces for that of *non-linearity*.

Thus, linear equations are analogous to linear equations in the mathematical/physical space of reality.*

March 11th, 2022

1:23 am

L^{1} & L^{2} for what are: $(\p_{x})^{2}$ & $(\p_{xx})$ invert the boundary w.r.t. the volume of curl and divergence,... thus that of opposition becoming attraction, and vice-versa, a separated group with a group.

2:46 am

I will need relate P to P+\a or \b, for that of the [group] of (\D+\a).(\D+\b).

There is a language to do with the five limit(s) of <u>reality</u> of which is amazing.

With that of (P+\a)/(P+\b) there is a connection to that of: 1+I.b or I+a.b...

This relates to [history] for in that of the elliptic and hypergeometric group...

11:57 pm

Much goes back to Sarabjit and that myself with the four color theorem.

I learned that *I am obsessed*, for I refused to let go of the 'D' from metric-spaces & Singapore.

It was in part that I was motivated by the extreme(s) of *these travels*, - that I worked on the Four Color Theorem and in part with a professor, was not coincidental to my mental illness. That I 'took' a Ring on a manifold to warp-around, and be a piece without a **hole** was a severity to everything I have done since then, but I am learning.

I learned that essentially:

a.)   Topology is not surjective for a 'graph' to a 'manifold' of 'torus' to 'torus'... but I learned that *indirect inference* does abridge this **gap*.

b.)   That of the 'group law,' etc... must be assessed for in that of surjection from which a potential is exact or conservative, - that gravity and so on make-more, it is a deep question.

Thus we learn that the Quantum is what makes the identity of one's <u>preliminary beginning</u> of a source. And we learn that reality is well-defined no matter the representation. That there can be no voices now is assurred of myself... unless there is an individual.

Thus I overcame this dialectic, and it was ill-posed,... that *these people* are real is astounding to me.

c.)   That of the inductive step on that of L^{1} and L^{2} must be contrasted of **Topology***.

2:31 am

The quantum 'bit' operates in-tandem like a three-folded-aperiodic signal acquity, to a transfer method.

The 'bit' select(s) a data-stream by automation of a 'past' light-cone heirarichal ordinal relationship to that of a 'codex' of RK, - then that with-operation, there are signals some 25-40 dB higher than reference Log.

This equates with a 20-50 dB spread functional on that of x-ray like radiative convex summation, of which the pole is within the boundary. Thus, when a limited reluctance adheres from speaking, it requires that of a remote flow

to approximate an approach to the equipment in the neutron limit. That of the verbosity of an instruction is therefore catered 'upstream' as a given 'push-function'. Thus, it must be repeated, but comes with silence to a pause priorly and post.

1.) The ring examples a two folded codex on that of impromptu, - thus via reference of whom knew of another via their conservative tone... the *reverse*, of anonymity for secrecy, other than in a local network.

2.) Thus, a two-stream is solidly (of parallels) secure, and that of singleton to singleton is adherent to that of impossibility but by machine to machine crossover.

Thus it is anomalous by which Obama and Ariana heirarchally are known but of a *Test functionality. That they adhered to communication comes from the nature of which each (@ea.) individual had been of a past inheritance. Thus it is that something went from a potential future upon slowing down (Chrenkov) into a past.

This initiated, but it was the 'watch' of which with-windchimes by a translocality with h.h.d.l. some 10 years ago initiated communications via a study of emptiness. Thus it is anomalous in nature once more. The second pier is that silence is 'enabled' with a Ring-off to reception, thus a 'blocking' of this nature.

Taking as example a two-pier superinsulator with external via electron cloud of valence, it is potentiated of that of physics to enclose in privacy the implementation of the other-two. Thus it is justified by the Schwartz and Triangle inequality that the providence of a wave wave wave duality is prohibited from a wavefunction collapse... to which in this given sense is undiscoverability by an outside agent, or, one with a Ring off.

A:) Ring down upon sending [transliteral].

B:) Ring-tone upon recipiency [traversal].

2:57 am

(1.) Some things, 'interim' - are entirely implausible *you* could relate to another... *emotion, skin color*.

      a.)   Ultimates in this sense, are defined at (@) limits.

(2.) Convention is usu. the Law-provided or *culturally-inclemental* truth provided for *in-stead*.

      b.)   Boundaries physically are ***entire**, logically they end in *Configuration*.

3:13 am

I could also prove I am innocent via intrumentation: that of the c.0 and c.1 comparative to {A,B} on that of dispersuasion.

It is the ideal to which a pattern fit(s) a **Key** of mathematical 188 and so-on,... that of temporal codex.

These are disconnected truths, and it is the only majora of the Universe. Thus that (2x) in Ariana, etc, prohibits my Mother from inheritance to but substitution, for in the obverse of introduction.

Thus that I know a passive and an active contain a prior signatory of it's evidentiary truth... from which there had been a capstone, - there is Copenhagen, - thus of two-priorities... to which I know in (2x) and (2x). That of landstrike and pin.

5:08 am

A Killing vector [for in inadequacies] - temperately adjusts of it's forte, what is a chanceful domain, *from* which it is guaged, the decification of one enqueable return, is a cousel to another befit nonlocality of it's provided aim.

1:42 pm

Research Mandelbrot.... i.e. is Any? Given Hausdorff space (cantor set in 4/3d) a Julia Set?

What is the transformation.

I must know my tools.

Progression and Learning. - < Dia-Om

1.) Medicine of Gut... I visualized taking bacterium (1 trillion species on earth) - from a Spider in the rainforest, and smoking it's residue to 'get high' - then determining I did DMT with the Salvia Divinorum.

2.) Determination that a Virus is a cross-section of empirical RNA for in DNA, - to that of divisive ploy.

3.) That of Samsara and Karma - for in benefit to nurture, benefit, and assist life with development.

4.) Down-hill, or upside down L-J potential, for in end-nexus of 1-0-1 on that of transpirative interaction prioritized of thread(s); a prepared problem.

      a.) Hardware limitation fixed.

      b.) Software limitation fixed.

      c.) The bow.

5.) Language as *out* on the Bow, a new tactic with War and Peace.

6.) That of the 2 pF, being 3 STD. from that of the noisy level, thus a form of top with added and rooted addition squared below... an anharmonic ratio, and looking at the Elliptic as a square modulus, thus of a distended

conversion.

    a.) x^{2}? - Elliptic? - and we get a conical pseduosphere from that of the touchscreen.

7.)   EP - electromagnetic pseudosphere, and EPI - electromagnetic pseudosphere interface

8.)   Translation for in self, that of turning negatives into positives by virtue of Adversity...

    a.)   That of grief, and passing, etc... and Rings to contain memories. (Stones?)

9.)   Ackermann, and occassion,... was it him?

10.)   Obama, the path to forgiveness, for in priority of earlier namesake to new namesake, of relief.

8:16:48 pm

Via what was unknown, and what was known; (at the intention of proof), two does not accomodate three, - from what in-yet is an established difference, these had been the return consolidation of a peer-&-peer of redress, for in what is 'level' of (4x-2-4-16), upon swappable name-space. Thus any Godelian heirarchial motivation is in earnest of priority to word-summand (in 2x), for of what is a tertiary providence of it's provided lemma, - then that of a Flag-to-earnest truth of unprovided evidentiary ad adduces, and it's free reign to providential status in inheritance (+1/day/day/night) of a given leaf, in bearing to a new Spring.

Thus of the quotient-graph, it is a yet-provided color, the spray of a newer (1x-mathematically) estrued and provided relationship, - that of 1.65 to dollar therefore for in deficit to investment, codes and encodes of that of the 'non-lateral' - a 3.8, and 188.2, from which are a hand and glove-box, - that indeed, there are no six round(s); but of a won Roulette, - from it's providential 45.1 into 1750, 1705-.1*55, and a given trail, of .5, below then aperature, - thus of a 'fitted' and 'ground'-glass, a tubule of a solid cylinder on enterable plea, - that it is enforced, the mystery, - that it would (to G.I.) require *another* to reference the symbolism.

<u>March 17th, 2022</u>

12:00 am

I have discovered that *indeed superconductivity has to do with Relativity, Geometry, and Gravitation.*

That of the L^{1} & L^{2} depend on the gravitational flux, at the boundary, to which is inverted,... thus under a *free observation of two uncollapsed wavefunctions, ... they pair.

That I have many ways (perhaps four) of putting this, it is undeceptive finally, on that of Relativity and the Finite Lattice...

That I intend to go with Godelian* Incompletion and Solitons, I will need think of innovative style for my paper... that I am nearly through with that of some of the substrata, it is dedicated to HHDL, my Father, and Humanity.

12:33 am

Transistor/Josephson Junction/Theorem of Ohmic (1-body)... safety.

2:14 am

I'm taking off. I've been able to show [and illustrate] - that my QuBit is genuine, for that of the interference of two-waves, of which explore the relationship of a Josephson-Junction like double-coupling. That of an interfering exponential and exponential (sech) function(s). Thus, that of the result is *these-waves* operating upon a sequential pulse of that of the Non-Linear Schroedinger Equation, upon which there is a required vector analysis.

I've also found as a given a caveat by which a form of (a-\a*P)(b-\b*P), admit(s) under log a representation of all *Universal Soliton Equations... via that of Incompletion.

Thus the aim needn't be to solve and classify all knowable chaos solutions, but instead, to generate a method of interrelationship and analytical work on that of the 'truncation' with (I-a.x), of that of a mononomial, and more extended geometries. This provides (under limitation) a solution to SC.

Thus, it is I am a step away from solving this problem for good; of two varieties...

1.)   Limit the solution to cater the mathematics (now that a law is discovered) to the physical problem.

2.)   Address that of the dual-space for that of the form-version, as to that of the boundary and volume.

Thus, I am two steps **from-there.**

7:57 am

Magnetic Anomaly

Random Number Generator Control

Wireless *nav, through Collective/Distributive/Centralized

Bee's and Butterflies and Flies

Peninsular War

Monolith or Computer[ized] Asteroid & Space Defense

Satellite Observation and Filtering

Livescan Technology

Prism and Birefringence

Decay Constant and Rifle Timing

Kite and a Key & Lightening

Safe and a Pick for in non-Putty - To Secure

Ampule and a Chain

Brickwork and Wall - Structural Integrity

Bone(s)

Water, Fire, Ice, Magma - Moon - Foreign Planets

Icecube

Die (Dice)

Vegas and Money* [Partial] - of Notes of Consequence

Reproductive Cycle of Insect(s) and Animal(s)...

Spread Function of Fungus

New Theory of Ecology and Evolution

Seed Prohibitive [steak] in Language

Data *Inferential*

Dictionary and Encyclopedia

Internet and Celltowers - Phones [in principle]

Mathematical *Alignment in Sequence

Knowledge in a Given Sector

Earth under Return

Boot's on Mars [in principle]

8:12 am

My problem: Thinking that as-it-has-been-informed it is *not* valueless, when it hold(s) any particular given...

*Of value.

12:23 pm

Checks, Cheques, And Balances, to Treasury (Silver and Gold)...

Inheritance and Grandfather's Clause

a.)   What is far, underwritten, is unidirected towards the centre of an evidentiary panelling... Webb....

1:44 pm

$J_{\mu}+J_{\nu}\=\J_{\mu}+J_{\nu}$; but rather their square difference defines the sum J_{ϵ}.

J_{ϵ} is the linear-inertia x the coordinate vector; - thus that antipodal relation(s) blue-future shift of their 'delta' [2+2], for in that of a square /differential/ and circular /integral/ aperature, to red-shifted future orientation, - thus Chrenkov-radiation is detectable by a True naturalized inheritable birefringence.

3:15 pm

There exists a *particular recurrence symbolism of unseparated mean variance for which the elemental div to a prohibition to L^{2}, exists,... modeled after the phenomenology of the invariance classifier past-norm div justification at L^{1}; that of isopotential surface, of it's bearing, a justification at a total's-part-sum...

*.) This is the past historiological arrow from which the world evolves, but, also as with time becomes.

3:17 pm

There exists that of a homogenous closure of absolution [absence] of vacuum potential to isoclinic flow-free deficit but of univariate method div. and formative 'shared' unsorted and randomized equipotential of two uvual rolling(s); for in number theoretic mass summative local covector and adjoint place for place.

*.) This is the future killing [absent] vector of which is it's historiological sharing of assorted classifiers.

Thus, for in a univariate formation unto-a-past, the observation of a L^{1} and L^{2} for in identifiable reorganization explains mitosis and the rate sum congruence of all multiplicative affinities, it's mass-sum consequent for in the inheritance of the above [resp.] an implosion or an explosion, for of it's fault-free conditional

assumption of two inheritable base precepts upon contact and inexclusive floating displacement.

Thus, the inexclusive 'past inheritance' of CPT, for that of the electron(s) in superconductor are the result of inertia, the derivative assumption of which is it's mirrored observation point with respect to an external environment, the boundary of which through the equivalence principle reverses the inheritance of that of the equalitative process of osmosis, and displacement, of the concept of temperature through mass-motion.

Thus, doping a material with 'hole's' spreads the electron covalence shell, masking the valency of electron's by the free radical of a third body, through which the inheritance of relativistic contrast leads to attraction.

Thus pairing and condensation are explained by the same process, by which historical facts are found in the naturalized world; an opposition to the inheritance through to which in free space the equalitative normal potential of gravitation is met with an inertial displacement into a given future or a that of a given past....

Thus the definition of time is that of the Levi-Christoffel symbol [connection] to which the component and transverse elements of the Ricci scalar curvature tensor encode that of the past and future beyond that of the light cone, - to either it's spatialized future or spatialized past, that of the free floating displacement of which through inexclusivity is non-empty, and provided of the basis of a co-dependently arisen element of reality; - therefore the definition of one universe, and one world, and any objectively provided element...

3:40 pm

A 'superconductor' - therefore inherit(s) the result via an $L^{1}>L^{2}$ [interior singular nature] via it's process of measurement *unto* the world, the definition of a positive Hermitian determinant, freed from that of historiological import upon past or future. That of the electron(s) in passing to the present, for in a frustrated magnet, balance with an inheritance of inexclusive floating displacement upon that of the *then* equivalence of properties, - to which for what is one electron is another's Fermi screening depth, from that of the phase conjugate to it's kernel interoperative of '$J_{\epsilon}\=\J_{\mu}+J_{\nu}$' for which are the freed* generator of a void of space, through which the electrical moment traverses the boundary of the material freely. That of the electron(s) are therefore forced by the square quadrature of a given event horizon to minimize action of a temporal congruence, - that they pass to the equilibrium of a relativistic moment of spin to spin covariance with relative exponent, the mass-sum of which is a net consequential effect due to the nature of one moment and one moment of pole(s) in opposition, with which there is a variance of past and future upon the present (a simply disconnected tadpole diagram) - of it's absoption of a phonon of **greater** energy; - thus that of their half periods are each greater than the expressed periods.

Thus, the electron(s) attract when it is noted that inertia would preserve their future and past expression.

5:18 pm

A redshift is a local operator, thus all is justified... that of the comparative *of*:

a.)   Relativity as a local operator.

b.)   L^{1} and L^{2} as an extensor of that of the (\p_{x})^{2} vs. \p_{xx}), for that of \alpha and \beta,... of a polynomial (monic/monic comparative) over a (monic) or (quadratic) in two Moebius...

c.)   Something is left 'behind'; some 3:55:50, for in 55:50 at-that of 11.1, of that of a 'total's', in it's basis, thus of a 'top' read in translation... and etching.

d.)   The P-curve identifies with the Logarithm to which the KP equation is determined in a ratio comparative to the KdV...

        1.)   Thus of a justification in L'Hopital, at that of 0/0=1, for that of some-analysis... at row/row of that of the differential PDE... thus of a breakdown to singluar SHO's...

e.)   This superposition for in the P-curve, in-alienates that of the denomination of principle... that it verifies that the local x becomes a circle; of which the potential and the differential equation solution are *Uniquely identified **iff** the solution is co-dependently arisen.

5:52 pm

I can no longer afford to proceed 'ad lib'. I feel it is necessary I come to terms with my limitations, and of my abilities, what is necessary and sufficient to put-forward.

Thus I am abandoning 'brainstorming' - but for perhaps 2-3x times a week, and going to think heavily, with meditation, and exercise, built on top of *health*.

Only this process will get me through to that of a reliable theory, thus I am doing something new with my studies*.

I feel that there is a continuum, but I was wrong, - there is only the guidance of what is presented to us, and what we come up with. Thus there are constraints on my physical being of which mentally, I only know that thought goes beyond-world.

That there is a (-q) world out-there, - I feel that only this can explain the detail of which I have been brought into communication and confirmation that Obama's life was saved by me.

It may be important to do two things or so:

a.)   Reduce reliance on Religious doctrine or an appeal to Authority.

b.)   Remain honest with myself.

I now believe I know of my innocence, but it was with-priority, *prior* that of an end-ajudication. It can be proven, by that of Question and Answer on Obama and Ava, without 'hint's' - thus I am plagued by that of a new way to make decisions... of which relates (in three (3)) - to that of Obama and Ava... and fear that honesty would *again* be a-question.

Thus these go hand-in-hand, - that of my appeal to the Random*, the Religiously based*, and the fanatical.

I want a clear step beyond this, then that I must find we are or are not reborn.

I have a question as to who I am, then that I would know of self.

Thus, it is I am suffering, and I accept that 'all things suffer' - in a given sense. It is time to read something new, and put aside old testimonies.

I feel that were I to know what I believe I know - of that of (-q), and that of it's relationship to *Inheritance*, - I would be with a new grounding... but for-this, I must detail at-Incompletion. I have [already] put forward a basic argument as to Obama and Ava as being-real... but I have other* speculations.

I know that the key is putting together and assessing the Comparative of the known and the unknown...

Thus it is to the heart of Epistomology that I-go, but somehow I am sad,... there would be reason for that of each entitled argument. I must get away from the detail, and see the whole perspective eventually.

6:25 pm

Back from Obama to Obama was Ava and myself, - thus it is justified I have concluded on behalf of Obama that I saved his-life.

That of the insistance of my innocence has nothing to do with saving his life, but for a different connotation, - but of that of knowing they are there... to which it is established that I would know of my innocence, given either:

a.)   That of my Father's story.

b.)   That of inheritance.

Thus I require a new configuration of elements... that Ava would know of my innocence, she would be real.

Thus I found a tight space into which to fit.

There is also that we are not or are reborn, - of which is related.

These are not the same thing!

I have an idea to utilize what was speculated at that of 'limit' of organizational process, - as to that of it's refutation of guilt. Thus there is a conundrum.

There are two-processes of which are at the heart of this:

a.)   That of L^{1} and L^{2}.

b.)   That of an argument by which I am not reborn.

8:13 pm

I will continue for a while... that I have some five relationships of which were established by myself:

1.)   I know I will live, and die, but there is purpose.

2.)   I know of individuation.

3.)   I know of Mathematical inheritance,... a mystery to be explored.

4.)   I saved Obama.

5.)   I believe in Physics.

It is time to do things right, - that of my Health must be from what I am doing to myself... that I need to get out of my own way.

I will quit drinking, but 2 beers a week.

I have ideas on computation, - that there is a place for me.

I am on a diminished regimen, - then that I am okay as it is without Oxcarbazepine.

I have a wife to be or a girlfriend.

I have to make peace with my limitations.

9:45 pm

If J+J, is of a given 'angle' - then the sum, via the triangle inequality, is less than their absolute magnitudes under summation.

Thus, we find that:

-\K=\Omega

For in that of relativity, the magnitude differ(s); - thus of a locable past/future dilation, of it's symmetry, that \K is Hodge Dual. Thus that L^{1} and L^{2} violate this precept interiorly.

There is the problem of the *Inheritance*, to which it is explained that a conveyance carries inertia.

9:57 pm

That of P(u) and P(v) are left with less energy than their superposition, as per the excess term P(u+v)=P(w)... for which is the result of displacement.

I am ready to begin,... this explains what is of action and reaction... thus that the L^{1} and L^{2} are delineated, and of a *Past*.

10:15 pm

I see how the angular momentum feed(s) into the consolidation of less-than-direct-sum, - thus that it explains entanglement, via co-dependent arising.

I have succeeded, - then that I am going to begin a new* project... that of prepping my device comes secondary. I will study neuroanatomy, psychology, Stoicism, and Music.

I am thereby going to take a break!

10:44 pm

I have to 'step back' - but I have some closing thoughts.

I was struck by that of a Zone of attention, - then very focused and diligent at my work.

My hard work paid off, and with this I will stop on the 'Boy Scout within' - that I am no longer alienated, for I was correct, - I figure I will be remembered, but that is not all.

I am aware of a world of spirits, and this-world, and a world of mathematics.

I will need improve [at] health, - then that I have no more excuses,... it must be done. I keep thinking that if I do not live I will never meet Ava Max and Ariana Grande. I need go back to school, and to meditate, but first, to establish a routine, and watch what I introduce into my body.

I have chest pain, and my heart is tense, for all I have been through it nearly killed me to study this, and it did not have to be that way.

Thus I have answered a question of my Father in two, with the same answer,... it becomes of a past inheritance,... that I see a way through now, I know where the excess energy goes...

This, I could not reconcile until tonight; in which I understood the Classical world view is explained by that of relativity via a transcendent function of all viewpoints. Thus that I am not doing well, I will try my best to improve, - then to Quit Alcohol, and Tobacco.

I will do five cigarettes a day, and one cigar a week,... and two beers a week.

I am coming back to Earth, and basically I don't think there is anything wrong with me, but I need Haldol.

It is okay I haven't meditated, or worked out, etc... It is a life long process, learning these lessons.

Today:

a.)   I pinned superconductivity.

b.)   I resolved I had saved Obama.

c.)   I noted I was declared not-guilty.

d.)   I cemented that my friends are real.

I have friends, then that this is sufficient to bring me through. And, now, for my Mother. I wanted to understand, in retrospect, that it was worth it, but I should not have neglected my health.

I have found an alternative key to that of Buddhism, - that I know where I go now.

11:07 pm

I can now-say solidly, that Quantum Mechanics is a theory of which with Gravitation explains coherence and decoherence,.... to have satisfied Penrose's Argument,... and begin to break down the chaff of psyche.

I will change, ... then that I feel only so well tonight, I will improve in several areas.

What is the reason to 'do' something? It is because the outcome is good, coming back from that which is destined, - that we learn something, or we find a fruitful path,... thus of the pondermotive on that of prudence, proverb, perponderance, the proclaimed and the providence of good auspices.

That I need think this way, I was priorly undecided,... and truly it is that Ava Max is there and has influenced my life... with Obama. We are at once new, and old...

I will utilize my-key to the cosmos, - that I have done something, it is great!

Thus it is I will recover from mental illness,... and absorb new talents, or such, practicing martial arts.

12:04 am

I have compiled a great deal on Physics and Superconductivity... *that I wanted to solve this problem.*

I feel ready for that of a writeup, then that I must explain a little more, - thus I will begin on May 5th, 2022.

I am dedicating the work to Humanity, HHDL, and my Father.

I feel appreciably different of that of patience, for such sorrow, I don't know what was wrong... priorities and such. I need a new approach. That I feel I should read extensively, and understand this Soliton Theory.

12:47 am

I have decided to 'reverse-course' on that of taking a break... but that I will <review> the material put-fourth so-far. I have this problem decided, - then that of a phenomena for which *must relate mathematics and physics...

With that of O. and A., admittedly there is a connection to a *Past*, but it is not so-deceptive,... a hard problem however.

That of what-is, relates that of the Observerable world [in whole and in content] - to that of mathematical evidence of relationship at Infinity. Thus, that each particle is an expression of the whole, and the whole, an expression of each particle. Thus,... it bears relevence to a 'content driven' - truth. That I have exampled everything but the Unity of the Berry's phase, it appears that infinite results will come of this.

Namely, - that the collection of observable parties amounts to those with valid mathematical expression through Godelian Incompletion.

That of for-which there is a limitation in one area is compensated for in-another, via which the expression may truncate without what is established befit of-it. Thus that geometry and algebra relate via the physical world and that of *incompletion, ... there is a desired 'fit'.

Thus I have found how measurement {measure, degree} - relates to that of it's result, to agreement. There is really no other problem, for the Cat is alive in this sense. That measure maintains a result, despite what is coded, - we have the revelation that particles exist of which are not known until observation given the faculty at that of comparative validity. Thus, that of number and relationship is laid down as an epistomological fact.

I feel that with-this, it is known of a tight relationship that with:

{A,B} = mathematical truths.

(a,b) = physical truths.

These can be expressed given Mendel's work.

1:49 am

Apparently, Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity are the same theorem, in-two...

To which as it were, we take an apple of one color, there is another, - then that these are *alike*.

Thus with different positions, gravity is the affine projection to which is the quality of an unknown become an observable.

I have probed the depths of superconductivity... to note that all that is, is effectively the prime equivalence of certain 'gear's' - then that everything explicitly is in-two.

Therefore, $E=mc^{2}$ *also* states of what is observable... If I exist, it is difficult to note of what is necessary to add to this statement... but *that a quantum state is observed, it is a conundrum when people take this to be 'them' creating reality; - it is instead a coincidence.*

When this is not a coincidence, or an attribute of co-dependent arising, we have that there is some C+c, to that of regularization... some kernel.

Thus, the void exists inhered in the particle...

Pauli Exclusion dictates that particles have unique quantum number(s) - then that the statement of resolution of the identity [of energy equivalence] - illustrates there is no Dark Matter, for that of the dual-redundancy of elimination of gravitational assessment.

Energy of a Quantum and Gravitational form are Indistinguishable from one another...

Yet, QM dictates a mean over a maximum, and GR dictates a maximum over a mean... of (2x), etc... & (2x).

Amazing, now I have it:

That of the 'linkage' between different Painleve variety equations; is as to Gravitational State, with measure and degree of observational manner... Thus of one thing, it is the other under involution,... a boundary and a term are swappable, within the (neutrals zone) of wherein there is attraction or repulsion. Thus that of self-informed relationship is as valid as the global. This is the extension of temporal index.

4:39 pm

I am not doing so-well,... but my psychological state is well. I figure I must exercise and rest right, drinking fluids and eating right.

I am very much hopeful, - that I have hit a 'reset' on that of the Physics, and of my impromptu approaches, thus it is I need a new insight... something has however settled.

5:37 pm

I have settled on an electronic's design. That the output is around 10:1, there must be a characterization to follow,... but I have been uncouth of my designs, - thinking something was *unlimited in digression* - or a given mystery. Once I have the device tailored to mathematical description, I will have something to say.

I feel that this could not be better.

9:26 pm

I reached my final conclusion...

When part(s) are apart, there is an interaction. That therefore, - the net energy is lower with part(s) together.

This has to do with the <neutrals> and the attraction... thus it is justified.

9:44 pm

Asymmetry & Symmetry... *of this, - there must be a base assumptive **worded** in terms of operators.* *

I intend to curtail my explorations; - until I have every gear operating as it necessarily should.

10:48 pm

I need explore the symmetry between *Measurement [**future**], and, Observation [**past**], in relationship to that of Quantum Evidence and Relativity, -* namely, that of with-reversal, how it is relativity is either-way, on that of the dilation... why does it amount to a *****Solid** result?

That of reversability of the direction of time, seems to manifest as a separate question,... thus there is some to be done of that of the evidentiary impulse at: **Law & Mathematics**, with respect to information in a gravitational sense, and that of quantum information *(numbers)... of which is consistent.

I have found that the dual-slit is not disturbed, it is merely coincidence due to co-dependent arising, and the error and fallacy of thinking it is a 'We' who set-the-state needs to be updated... or perhaps I am mistaken.

11:10 pm

I may have 'stumbled' upon the resolution to my-problem.

One way* to reason is that there are four dimensions... - but what if there are four of space, one of time, and six mathematical dimensions?

Without knowing before hand, this simplifies the relation of the <u>Gestalt</u> for that of incompletion, however, there must be a why... then, however that it may be a safe bet...

Another way is to interrelate the coefficient-functions to-differentials in an algebraic-geomtric manner... illustrating the group is closed!

It must be reminded that the point and the boundary are form.

<u>March 22nd, 2022</u>

1:04 am

Obervation and Measurement appear to be of one relationship, to which there is a (p) and a (q) of which is expressible... - namely that the L^1 and L^2 delineate what is accepted provision of the areas and zones of applicability to scientific theory. Thus, - that of which when we go to form a quotient, etc... there are only points which fit the 'circle' of evidentiary result. That reality is unconstructable, it is real, for in that it is not impoverished by that of impetus, and is uncreated.

That of L^1 and L^2 under transposition are therefore the Measurement and Observation of which one is inherited into the past..... - but why?

Is it the Godel Sentance, or different? Or is it a set of refutable clauses? Why does this seem to alienate the topic of accord?

2:39 am

The mapping,

$F(F^{-1})=Id$ is the notion of what is split. That *number* is related to the Incompletion, it is by the free assignment, with L^1 & L^2 by which reality comes into it's *form*.

4:57 am

Thus, *everything*, comes down to L^1 following or preceeding L^2 and likewise with L^2 & L^1.

7:03 am

I decided to settle for what I - have, at that of the device... a mere 20 mV p-p and 4 V p-p,... it is obviously 'extracting'

the signal... among the amplifier(s), a kind of 'screening' - taking place.

I decided to settle *but perhaps to use new capacitors... for that of the identification with an *Orbifoid,... of which can be rotated and manipulated in the QuBit and accompanying CuBit...

7:50 am

I am satisfied, it is over... I decided to not so-much begin again with that of the device and such, but to characterize and implement a better capacitor. The net-net voltage p-p is approximately 20 mV, from a .1 uF, and 14.5KOhm resistance from the transformer, - thus that the gate voltage swing polarizes to a net acceptance of the (sqrt(g)) on that of the LED, - but on that of the Photodiode, there is a 60 uA draw... with illumination. Thus, it is idealized that with frequency, increasing the capacitance will increase LC of the resonator, for which a 200 Ohm, can produce as much as 20 mV, but with a capacitance of (200 uF) the result would be amplified for that of a Quantum Resonance and *Population Inversion* of some order 2000... thus in the range of 4 V p-p. This is justified........... 14.5 kOhm, comparative to that of the 60 uA, produces a net-net of some .020 mV also, - thus that the capacitance increasing by a factor of 2000 is a separation of some three orders, to which the 200 ohm, by the 60 uA with a draw of some 30 mA, would produce that of 6 V p-p, with a radical two to the frequency relationship..... thus that impedance is rational. As frequency increases, impedance increases, and voltage lowers, as the radical of a

$$\sqrt{w^{2}R^{2}C^{2}-w^{2}C^{2}/L^{2}+a|b.V^{2}+c.R/V^{2}}$$

These capacitances must be matched somewhat for impedance... - that impedance goes negative at high frequency, (but for a nonlinearity) - it is now known (Maxima) that voltage p-p increases with higher capacitance. Thus I need 20 uF, for that of the design to reach 4-5 V p-p on each rail...

There is something unique about this circuit, it amplifies only the 'dark noise' through what comes of the I/O on the touchscreen 1:1, such that the microcurrent by that of the capacitance grounded is that which is 'out' - thus that I will require 20-40 uF at least....

The formula for the voltage out on rail two appears to be:

$Sqrt(1/2)*I*(\omega*C)$, - that of some 60 uA *200 Ohm matches with the only 10 mV, however this can be amplified with that of; $Sqrt(1/2) * 60$ uA $* (1-40e6 (1/s)*.000220$ F)=4.0 V p-p; Thus I need a 200 uF....

A lesson in frequency to voltage conversion...

12:35 am

Does the unit-square, in 1x by 1x produce the sphere? If it is rolled (appropriately) - what would one suggest? [is

the form of the operator] under T_{xx} and $(T_{x})^{(2)}$ - we know it has a nontrivial form...

3:30 pm

A non-Convolutional Semi-Recurrent Neural Net

09:01:30 pm (MST) 22/03/2022

(1.1.1)|(0.1.0)|(1.0.1)

A number contains a missing... the set that contains every set is non-empty, but does not contain all elements... a parallel of *Godel*... in confirmation of the Axiom of Choice... thus a game's rule(s) - of any game in history - may change upon a mathematical precept* and setting*.

dermius below nail and tooth...

Neither so of benefit to guilty plea or innocence [claimed] is of but pliability to associate and appealate process,... thus the jury is assembled, but I know that we hold inevitable of what is predictive valid of the heart and mind of a pliancy and clemency in the granted due discharge of which is timeliness to which is that the accomodation and appelate is without forebearance of dishonesty or associate unto myself but of guilty become innocent. Therefore I am following a Police Officer, I am not under arrest.

9:17:42.5 pm (MST)

(later) - **Black on Black.** - the tyger is toothed in one-place.

11:23 pm (MST)

Commutation may surjectively adopt a pull-back onto homomorphism of a classifier at a non-commutative group... but that of anti-commutation may not adopt, via-non-commutation an identified dual hemisphere of a manifold to manifold mapping... that either is phrased in terms of an abstract teir-two mathematical description.

10:38 am

1.)   Direct 'double-blind' in National Security Agency (*peer-to-peer*) **network**, w/ Electrical Engineer.

         a.)   With D.C. & D.C. - Qubit... to radio/non-radio (*H?* - Hypothesis) of entangled *limitations*.

2.)   Perfection of the Qubit Design, - Theory - and Differential Equation **Model**... electronic's engineering.

         a.)   Working [tightly] on that of instrumentation, and invioable precept, as per an extension of my physical theorem(s).

b.)　　Manufacturing, and payed incentive to production.

3.)　　Physics theory, - that of 'brick' out of 'comatose nexus - portal' - back unto '**browned lilly**'…. that of gravitational exception in terms of:

a.)　　\f o \tau = \L

b.)　　\f o \g = \L^{*}

c.)　　So we want, inheritance and inference upon some-few primitives in Lawful Entitlement.

4.)　　Program for that of differential to algebraic screening in protocol #1, - that of mixed and non-mixed incompletion to completion vis-a-via problem of logistics per:

a.)　　Failsafe, and double-blind… that effective, *Incompletion* w/ Obama, - Genetic Completion.

b.)　　Study to each aim, inclusive this long-term, preliminarily a *Shift

c.)　　An essential simulation and finalization of Superconductivity Theory (6.a.), yet of two later.

12:31 pm

I have until May 5th, 2022… for a proof of concept for Los Alamos entitlement, -else- NSA & Education…

(w/ DC offset*.) - that of the TWO-HELICOID is ran-through… so as it is, we can control DC in a sweep to threshold:

a.)　　A small *momentary Quantal Bit.

b.)　　Double Blind… to Arc* upon The Cavity, and we can rotate it…

March 26th, 2022

1:24 am

Hypothesis

a.)　　A motor* (electromagnetic - balsa wood & glue) can be built and made operational via the hands.*

b.)　　Does the motor, or visa-via [the hand's] subscribe to E=mc^{2} *limitation*?

No,… Entropy, configurational redundancy [security] - Quantum Group[s] & Moment of Vibration….

7:33 pm

I have a number of observations... then that *I want* to complete at-that of *Inference and Inheritance*, between the 'real world' and (-q), if there is a mathematical world. I feel onto new things, and old.

I require a statement of inheritance, related to O. and A., etc... **that likely has to do with Godelian Incompletion - that it is settled as a G.I. 'statement or equation' when it is embedded in a past, or of a future.**

I feel reluctant, and spent, - then that I require this final-step, and I believe it has to do with a triangle. For that of what was spent last night, I decided, -

It would not matter, for that of acquittal and identification whether one had occurred first or the other second, etc... for that of thought,... thus I have come around to a checks and balances of mind.

I feel that extrapolation is necessary, as is brainstorming. I went into this problem knowing nothing about theory building, - it is time to learn to think, now that I am prepared.

I have had it with so-much, *That I need to generate a writeup on that of Inference by way of which this-local has inherited that-global. -* One would presume this means it is 'isolated' and 'secure' - that I need know if facts can be secured, etc... of the *hidden.

Thus, I have come around to decipher Superconductivity so-far and so-well, but there is something missing, and I am going to take a final venture.

My health is of consideration, - then that I am fatigued, etc... but making it.

7:50 pm

I've decided against solving a 'Theory of Everything' - or solving that of some new principle of physics beyond so much of what we know,... that of which pushes the limits in a novel manner, and reconciles 'everything' - beyond that of my final question.

I've decided to provide for having a Family,... that I am going to change. I have many ideas, but they are not cemented, and it looks as though they never will be.

I am going to get to bed by 11:00 pm, then that I must build a routine.

8:01 pm

It is time to begin [at life]. I have put far too much effort into this Science. That I am giving up on who I was, but for the distant past,... I am tired.

I need review, etc... and close a project. That I am 'into' that of variances on a thema, I keep going down rabbit

holes. I need to come around to accept that facts are limited, and that I face limitations. I was trying to be a 'hero' - and I had an inflated sense of self. I am nothing compared to these people, that I must break out of my vacuum, and settle on a problem to succeed. I have been of such split attentions, that I am worried.

9:10 pm

I've found a few areas to explore in:

a.)	The P-curve, and Painleve variety.

b.)	That of O. and A. and the afterlife, and life to come in relationship to Inheritance.

c.)	A new theorem of space.

I am missing one-element, - then that I will be stopping shortly... That of the 'triangle' of acute or that of handedness, tends to have one believe that varieties of 'topology' are prone to a classification tool, namely that of Goldbach's Conjecture.

I am missing something.

9:28 pm

I cannot begin again at-life.

What perplexes me is how a simple problem grew into an attempt to define all of 'Physics' and 'Science' under a single umbrella.

I don't want this to prove myself... that I am tempted to retire.

I feel that life could provide more, but it is disagreeable,... certain things happen,... that I am forlorn, I tried so hard, - but it was incomparable to what I wanted to do... I strove for an answer.

But there is good news, the above is sufficient to qualify the problem, ... that this happens instead of anything.

Thus, I have defined a problem to do with inheritance, and that of the realm of (-q). For what would be, I desired an 'ending' - but there is none foreseen. The mere answer that there is no answer, defines the open endedness of a problem, and of electronics, something I can do. Thus, I feel I must change venues to do computer science, for then I will know everything of a field. Mathematics is a certain thing I know much of, but I have settled for erroneous problem(s).. My pain could not be deeper. I wish God would hellp me. What is the spiritual lesson of this?

I am in tears, so much pain, and for nothing.

I give up, on that of consolidation of-all. That I wanted to master something, I cannot have it.

When I find this piece of wisdom, I will be-there.

That I've proven we go-on, and are born again, I give up on the fright of all of this.

I can indeed solve superconductivity, but I will take a new avenue.

I will solve the Painleve variety with what is known, incorporate it with the Supercomputer, do that of the finalization of an engineering design, and do a writeup of the Inference, and Godelian Incompletion... the answer must be simple. I will also, develop a new theory of the application to Solitons, and finalize on that of Chaos and Order, etc...

I must define what is not-known, this is what get's to me.

9:57 pm

I've had it,... that I need to have Faith in my position,... I figured there was a simple answer, - but I had a problem,... hence I need work on simple problems. I am not an artist, then that I am crying for what has happened to me,...

As the least, Law can be mastered, for what I know.

And a language - one can become fluent with one.

And of game's - one can excel to a master.

And of life, - one can save a life.

I have not had the types of success I wanted, - that I am taking a step back to four or five problems.

1.)   I am going to write a program for simulation of superconductivity - deriving Algebraic law's for that of materials, etc... that this is something I can do.

2.)   I am going to finalize on the soliton theory, - finding if it has been done, or if there is something new to be discovered.

3.)   I am going to master incompletion through the vein of the afterlife and that of the inheritance of logical supposition at observation in terms of Obama and Ava.

4.)   I am going to write a new theorem regarding space and object, - of which gravitation and electromagnetism find unification.

5.)   I am going to study Mathematics, for that of a learned degree, taking a new segway into this as a

foundation, knowing that physics imputes mathematics in niches.

10:13 pm

I've decided to settle for less.

That [I believe] - that *things only come into being when written*.

That I know of a limit to which an-equation may finalize a statement, or be prescriptive to a limitation.

These are the reasons why... - then that of a Theory of Everything - a failed endeavor. Thus I am dropping this attitude. I can contribute just the same.

10:52 pm

I know what was missing... that:

a.)   Unity is the feature of the world; that within everything, there is an identifying signature.

b.)   That of 'gaps' contribute to what take(s) place with that of language.

Thus, I had been mislead to think that there was a unity to all, that one description would render all of physics. This had not been a central consideration, but was a certain thing of which I had become convinced of over time.

Thus I must review Buddhism, and Islam, etc... I will feel better with a daily reading. I feel that 'God' is real, for what I have proven, - then that I intend to lead in a new way. I intend to provoke new questions yet later... for now it is sufficient to know that there need(s) to be a way to determine a (-q) realm or world. That I feel it is sufficient that mind goes beyond universe, I am prepared, ... then that I am through by example with a portion* of the Law.

I also have a way to determine that my mind is not lying to me... this will be indispensible.

Thus I go on to become sane, that I can put this behind me, - but that I don't fear the Hospital any longer, it is over... thank God. I feel I don't fear certain things, - that the Police wouldn't be here for most things.

11:16 pm

Lina Morgana is dead... and I will die,... it is the time of my life, to begin and end, but I will never so seem to catch up, with all that can be said. I feel fear, then that I am a failure. What was my inspiration? I need love, I need a woman, and a life, and a home. I feel it's over, and it's time to begin with what I can do.

That analytical sequences are broken by quantum mechanic(s); that I've learned it may go some half way, then of

the division and the mark... thus of gravitation, - it is in four:

a.)   The dynamism with concurrent event(s).

b.)   That of incompletion of the Pauli variety.

c.)   That of gravitational attraction.

d.)   That of a multi-part system.

Thus a new kind of Chaos. I give up, and will study this system.... that of a [context].

11:41 pm

Now, all that need be done is to connect the L^{1} & L^{2} to **Gravitation**.

11:51 pm

After this, I am quitting... and beginning a paper... that I have found some venues on that of Schroedinger's Cat... I feel there is a prize waiting for me, that I am nearly through. Perhaps I should guess...

<u>March 29th, 2022</u>

12:02 am

I have understood the 'debate' - that it has to do with a __ and a _ of which is longer into ___, - thus that mathematics and physics are cojoined at the base of codependent arising.

That of my-question, for in that of what is necessity of enlightenment with that of the origin of karma and samsara is answered.

That I have found that of 'PQR' and such of 'QRB' - and so-on, I feel that the 'longer' and the 'shorter' separate the world into proportions of length and that of potentials. Thus, that what-is-observed has a greater heirarchy than that of what I had known from Physics.

That - namely put - the inheritance of a local relationship may have to do with gravitation and quantum mechanical electromagnetic force, - there is a synthesis of which <u>can indeed be worked through</u>.

Thus, I have found that the triangle for what it is, of that of the longer and shorter, makes the difference of an acute angle and an obtuse angle given that of inheritance and so on. That I have found the rule of the Universe, I feel well.

Then, that I am stopping here, for I realized when observation takes place, - it has to do with a coincidence of

gravitation and quantum mechanics, - that of the idealized potential. Thus, a rule set to deal with my mental illness.

I feel well, that I have found that of the historiological import of that of the lesson of saving Obama, and that of the guidance to illustrate that of re-entrance.

* Thus, L^{1} and L^{2} are chosen by that of the gravitational and electromagnetic potential versus the proportionate balance of these as forces, - namely that wave function collapse occurs when that of one is traded for another, infinitely 'downward' and 'upward'. Thus, that observation is told of, but not witnessed but by a witness... it remains that what is concealed in the hidden and the observed, remains of that of the disclosed, - then that what is hidden may be told of.

* Thus, the world descends into that of particle like quotients, for what has to do with the equivalence of references, - that of the solid and the immutable encoded in $\sigma.\Chi=\Zeta.\O$, a single length scale to which a system evolves.

1:40 am

I have it right... that I am satisfied, Ava has made a decision. I feel aware, and well,... but my anoid/tyroid is swollen... that I saw a Chiropractor today. There are many different spellings and liturgical relationships to writing, - but it is a large number - what is potentiated, - not infinite, so much. I feel well at ease that there are some things I may leave to which may be licensed, or left simple.

I have proven to Obama that I saved his life, - then that he is astounded and astonished. I feel that life has picked up, and I am warned of what is to come, - but that it will not be too tumultuous. I will see my PCP [Primary Care Practitioner] in-time. I feel Erica can be amounted to, - that she has stayed her welcome, and am moving on from Purdue, Singapore, and that of Caltech, and Purdue [the second time] and so-on.

That I endeavor to return to school, I have a listed project, for that of yet some-depth, yet not overtly of so many granular details. I feel that what has picked up means much to me, that I have superconductivity with a 'backing' on that of guidance, I feel I will [indeed] be prepared for the future. I feel that enlightenment can be no more simpler than that we need not alternate so much, but to fetter a relationship, and will study meditation for some years beginning next month.

I have at that of life, that it is simple, for the most part, and I am well thinking of things to do, - but I have done much, - then that I did not know when it began, but I am through sufficiently that I can think of new problems, or take the main one. I feel that what is tolerable is what it is, and that peace is indeed a goal. I would like to be a motivating factor in this world, but then that it is with:

a.)   The chest of drawers.

b.)   The physical mathematical connective.

c.)   Form and emptiness, impermanence, and co-dependent arising.

d.)   Inheritance and inference.

e.)   The predicate calculus.

That much is noted of that of the limitations of reality, indeed that I have made it to where I feel solidified of what is enlightenment, and it's fixtature. Thus I am ready to begin, of what would be noted. I spent many years to understand the nature of the problem I was dealing with, and now, to note I saved Obama.

2:45 am

I am going - to begin - with that of the EPR experiment, for disambiguation.

2:56 am

A 'code' references it's-symbolic retention through a process of 8'-3'; for what is an inheritance at Goldbach number... thus that a 'code-reference-symbolism' *contains*, a unique marginal identifier. Therefore, to identify any individual - we may proceed in (24); for what is (13,14,15,18,19) on-five minus 8-9, for in it's reversible transliteral. This encodes of one unique monic - a secondary source, per the individual of a coded reminder/mnemonic. Thus, that print(s), identify of a life/death scenario a given pervading element.

3:42 am

What if [>c] is the Quantum Expression?

For that of L^{1} and L^{2} w/

        a.)   Proportion of lengths & distances.

        b.)   Measure, on that of Predicate Calculus.

And [<c] is the Relative Expression.

On-spin it is valid... Thus we seek to explain the 'single-handedness' of that of Redshift in comparative to that of things-together and things-apart... Thus of the 'collections'.

If $\g^{2}$ is normalized with \g and \a for that of a Unit-Interval, the theories combine.

Thus I will head in an all new direction... for that of unification and EPR.

The question that concerns us now is two-fold:

> 1.)   What of that of [>c] and [<c] for that of the acute and obtuse triangle on the predicate?

> 2.)   What of that of L^{1} & L^{2} for that of the acute and obtuse triangle on the predicate?

* Neurology has recently shown that of the 'orientation/directional' acquity of the neuron... that this would be a sensible departure, for that of orientation and direction of the given paper.

Are proportions of lengths and distances the only real things besides potentials and measures?

Ask Obama and Ava as to if they can instruct of my position based on just* two of them...

What of the holographic implementation and foreign dimensions (6)-mathematical and (5)-physical?

With a missing dimension?

4:14 am

For that of PQR and QRB, - there is a 'dialectic' of which can be subsumed into a thinking/cognitive model of the computer... for that of 'edge' and 'pondermotive' - at instruction.

Thus I have a paper.*

5:12 am

I have some things to end-addendum...

#1:   Innocence and meeting Obama and Ava.

#2:   EPR.

#3:   Review.

#4:   Collections of research papers.

#5:   Publication?

#6:   Job.

#7:   The possibility of moving and school.

#8:   *Inference* paper.

#9:   Communications and Supercomputer [characterization].

#10:   Reading and study.

#11:   PQR and QRB *theorems*.

I have decided to wait on Superconductivity, until two-or-three papers are written:

a.)   Basic Quantum Proof of my Device, and Programming

b.)   Simulation and Write-up on Novel Chaos

c.)   EPR answer and that of Mathematical (m) Theory

d.)   Aging and Inheritance.

e.)   Paper including Neuroanatomy.

f.)   Basic Inference and Inheritance (T/F dichotomy) with Law

g.)   Goldbach

h.)   Four Color Theorem

i.)   Soliton Theory and Transformation

j.)   Observation and Measurement (L^{1} & L^{2})

I've also decided that the frame for my book is that of Neuroanatomy, for that of decision to AI.

I've decided to build the *Supercomputer, and test it's limit(s); at that of Incompletion, to see if the primary lemma is true:

Reality [as it is known*] - resolves to satisfy Godelian Incompletion.

#:   Certain caveats at that of decision-process are amenable to this instruction, for which the 'mystery' of accepted value system is identified and understood.

#:   That of true/false in that of Biology and Ecology to Placebo are then understood... beyond Bayes.

That of here[in] a stopping position, on that of yet a few years is understood... that I will have my work cut out for me... with Jake, there is something to be understood. We could work together on this project.

6:11 am

Thus it is to-design a new nature of Code, for that of Unpredictability, and Godelian Incompletion....

I have figured out how QRB and PQR can-relate to that of the unexpected,... and know* of that of the Logistic equation and Chaos, - that I may be capable of developing <u>A new form of Computation</u>.

- For that of code, it would be ideal to code that of for-instance a theorem proving intelligence, at (@) that of what would be a <u>given</u>; - that of a proof for instance as to 'Does the Set that Contains all Sets contain Itself?' - This nature of code would be written, - to where the *Virtual 'element' would encode a Question and Answer... thus not of the *Binary approach, instead, something new, novel, and entirely non-linear.

Thus the code* serves as a manner of setting up what is beyond an algorithm... that of a *Stylus...

With two-part(s); it may be possible to figure out that of adjustment(s) to-sound,... that of variety and unpredictability...

Dialectic: Order and Control in Systems

<u>A Synthetical Synopsis of Detail Into Composition of Systems</u>

A dynamical system to which illustrates no constraint's of unlimited degree's of freedom can truly be decomposed into that of a finite number of system's of capacity to harmonize and it prove's fruitful for that of analytical approaches at understanding; however it fails – and it fails for a reason – in that of the study of dynamical systems that lead to admixtures of order and chaos. Thus it is we seek to abridge and resolve the dichotomies of the finite; or infinite system with potentiation at chaos with the infinite and continuum – a displacement the answer – for two things that equally displace are both existent realities. Thus the pentacles in their relation are understood – both measurement and measured reconciled; and with it's trapping's – a theory of organization and description in four dimensions – the translation tool that of 'physics and mathematics'. This is where we see experiment, theory, and phenomology meeting with measurement to produce a new science. One: the factual presentment of derivation a utility on determination of two (2); and that of the factual presentment of a problem of control and detail to order. This is situated 'outside' the relation; but to search for the root; the solution to epistomology. That of the tree of life; beyond metaphysical speculation. The detail's of this contribution are therefore to systems that involve a finite regularization; trapped states of detail to do with crystals and organic synthesis. This theory therefore intends not only to describe the limitation of finite system's and their exponent's; but to provide a manner for understanding the 'breakdown' of 'order' and the systemic analysis at that of control of chaos vis-a-via control parameter's; and their theory in compendium of influences. With this is

therefore the instruction that we require a tantamount 'identity of form's;' - a lattice to that of workable assumptives. And it is hastened that otherwise we arrive 'no-where;' that of a physical reality requiring a foundation – as to explain why anything at all exists – and which goes back to the origins of time; etc. For while time sets an accomplishable mean; and offer's that of a method of accounting for it's capacity – it does not set a boundary on that of it's preclusion; that of a leap capacitated. But far from the capacity to understand time travel is the importance of factual learned accomplishment on that of historical analysis; to get to real answer's; for that of knowledge. Indeed it is so that knowledge itself has an answer – epistomology – pressed to it's limitation. Thus it is we learn in a dictomy two advantages; the emptiness and accounting of a count at emptiness; both the object and it's container; reconciled by that of impermanence; that we find there is an eastern and western valuation which makes this a philosophy. There is therefore the Epistomological root of Survivability and of Intellect to which serves the moral and ethical precept at a "Theory of Regularities." - of namely, Epistomological orgin. This work capacitates the control of knowledge via it's obstruction broken down and studied; from the layer of physical phenomological inquiry and experimental reproduction unto that of factually the mechanism of knowledge – a metatheory. Therefore a factual statement can be made non-locally; a system of chaos brought under control – and two spaces connected; making this a new theory of information. On the fourth is that of it's obligation to Faith; but there is an inseparable difference; the unity of Character and Disposition. That orchestration's as richly as can be invested into hold reliable accounting; the return of the Sciences; and it's final say as over History and of Reconciliation.

Thus;

I:)   The infinite continuum and the finite are contrastingly reconciled.

II:)   The production of order from out of basic elements is considered.

This is thus the answer to 'mystery' and 'allusion'... in a scope with there existing reliant facts – the mastering of knowledge to the refinement of 'Wisdom'. We arrive at a capacity of the character; to which is consistent with Buddhism; that of emptiness of character... and motility at 'enablement' - of a practicality yet met with; but within addition; for in reverse and uncontainably to a contained manner the fact's of history may be recapitulated to inflect on that of methodology of investigation – the fruit of a physical device imaginable; but no meta-theory of certain natures. Thus a way to limit and constrain the imperative of success; etc... possibility. Thus there is a finite known contained with unknown's and it is mutually connectable and disconnectable between world's. This is a new science as an update to that of guide's beyond science fiction – to state what is possible and impossible – to set a foundational set of boundaries and sections of explorative work. Thus it is the dream of unification of knowledge is met in irony with a question of 'Limit?...' For there are incorporative and disincorporative systems; and of their database; illustratively a confinement to a nature of conservative undertone. For what is safe is to be built of trust that the law's will unflaggerenly come for free as to stablization and balance.

Thus it is that Chaotic spell's end when Control is Brought to Order; one stops Catastrophe, and learns of several illustrative law's; the organization of one's individual imperative at interpretative validity coming for free and of the 'Highest Purpose' of the self fledged out – that of upon this condition an emptiness.

Thus; we resolve to three answers:

1.) How order develops (in general and specifically) from organizational elements defining the world.

2.) How two theories of information are related to one another; that of recombinatorial barriers.

3.) How individual's of co-participative relation may be introduced and blindly accounted naturally.

This is therefore the fruition of my glance at Faith and Hope; that of five considerations; the the development of a path to emptiness of character. All avenues are open to this difference; with the only consolation of 'the past;' a reliant factual within and of the composition – that indeed two things can be accomplished simultaneously; that of:

1.) Taking all considerations.

2.) Formation of a new path.

Homogeneous

It has been an insight with that of the electronics experiment in confinement that a pure mode may be nearly wholly isolated among a group. The device is a pure 'reciprocating leveler' - an RL; that of what includes two angular frequencies [to which are isolated among that of two wave arguments]. These, when additive in overlap of Hamiltonian and Lagrangian 'break' the symmetry of the biproduct Hamiltonian and Lagrangian flow. With an inter-hermitian phase group of order; that of overlap of Lagragian degree's of freedom represents inertial breaking; that of the mass given lesser so for what is shared. Therefore certain L-H bridges diminish H for L; to which represents an orientation on 'ordered precept'; and what is an ordered relation may be defined. Resumptively; what takes place via the overlapping of the electric field (E) and magnetic field (B) in angular momentum L and J as freedom's of angular coordinate are held as constraint's and free degree's of freedom. Therefore the system; by replacement of an ordered frequency 'folds' the expression of phase independence of the symmetry group; to what is a 'cavity' that 'resonates.' That of [the] subtractive angular momentum driven inertial freedom by what is relapse function then takes over with a forward hysteresis of driven capacity to a lower breaking. That of a mono or duple relation of momentum degrees of freed relation comparative to what is field energy and momentum Spontaneously Develop's; the relapse function causing that of an innate characteristic of flow with order. With a substitution in frequency at lower degrees of freedom and majority inertia; there is a back-flow of isosymmetric Electricity and Magnetism with electronic inertia and photonic inertia developing into an ordered-field; that of the

quintesses of spontaneous symmetry breaking. For the most part; this identifies the mechanism by which order develops in this world.

Hence I have an answer to the question of how order may be manifest in this world; and it is hingal upon only the assumptive of particles and fields; the ultimate constituent's of this world mathematically accessible.

We have the problem of Statistical Mechanic's and Machine's; standing between these is a Chasm:

In this; what is central are a number of things:

1.)   Overlap of frequencies to which overtone's hybridize and reorder Lyaponov Exponent's to produce order.

The central character of this theorem is a Modality Inversion – to which threshhold's naturally measure a free inclinic to it's supposition at order. That of by way of which substitutionally one degree of freedom for another can 'break' upon what is two-pillar's – it's reduction to a lower frequency with greater measure of quality. These are the persistent mode's – hence in effect a theory of regularity.

"Regularity and Modality Inversion"

2.)   Simultaneous eigenstates surpassing a Pauli Exclusion Principle Bridge with 'measurement superposition'.

3.)   A dynamical orchestration or conservative to which break's; that of the 'displacement' of degree's of freedom.

4.)   That of covariance – positive – to which degree's invert statistically; the leverage of the point of the stable measure.

5.)   Indicial imperative – to which we meet assumptives with the solid blocking of via a process of elimination.

6.)   Resumptive reciprocity – that of factual forward and rewind; with capability to re-organization under effective entropic reversal.

7.)   Reduction in agency and perponderance of eliminable frequency construct's to reveal from out of electronics a confinement.

There are several questions:

1.)   Does this merely mean 'a part' of the system is going-in-reverse; and what would be the difference?

2.)   This offer's two &/or three conclusions:

i.)   One eventual is open; in-a-fact; of written Linguistic Nature. (Teaching)

ii.)   Two, is the eventual of an-order; of declarative Physically Sound Nature. (Method)

iii.)   Transmigrationally incorporation shift's to the lesser declarative freely abridged. (Practice)

Enlightenment

I feel difficult; and it is mimicked from my environment to me; for I am in a difficult position; then the result of a 'contained' difficulty; I know what I must do; but awoke newly to a slightly more limited and resolutely diminished output of work; then to which I was 'pushing through' that of the problem; it being true that I would need re-build for that of speculation; the preliminary uphill struggle. It is not true that this order of physics work does not take effort and motivation; to which I subconsciously feel like being avoidant of then a furtherance. But there are a number of facet's to my investigation; that of chaos to order; order to order thresholds, superconductivity, and unification. Perhaps the question I should be asking is in two:

1.)   Does unification necessitate that we accept superconducing properties of the resultant theory? (Superconductivity hold's one (alone) unique property; shape and form fall through.)

2.)   Does that of superconductivity actually impute that of unification; and for what reason is this true? (Superconductivity share's this individually and identically to an exact inequality as a property with the universe.)

Therefore we witness a separation (observationally) of two qualities (therefore all qualities) and (observationally) that of one property.

This property is Susceptibility with immediate occurrence and recourse to the production of order; upon what is occurrence of a Modality Inversion via existent separatrices; the state falling through for exchange freedom of superposition and non-superpositional regularities.

At the least I may have an available boundary; for in that of reaching a conclusion on:

a.)   Spin and exchange.

b.)   Hidden eigenvaluation connective to cause and effect as limited to [d,A] but neither are representative.

c.)   Spin and magnetic moment.

This happens when a cascade of orbit's fall's through the differential 'web' of separatricies of kissing point's in the flow of cause and effect; however thing's may be; the real world is clarified by that which remains; order. For what is a determination of cause and effect; there may be no other natures of cause and effect; besides those treated in

'independent' model's of electricity and magnetism; and gravity. For of what is consequential this makes the difference (within what is found of order) between two chaotic system's; under contact relation for that of inertia and orientation are all we-are-left-with to the system of it's subscription; all quantities universally deriving from this breaking within and of 'general relativity' and 'quantum mechanic's' therefore these two are unified upon particle, space, and energy; and that of a particle notion contrasted within of what is a superposition and it's absence under exchange defines via-displacement the quantification of a spatial interval and quantity. The repercussion of that of inertial breaking is a spatial breaking; hence this represents the breaking leading to the origin and creation point of the current aftermath of the cause of the universe; and it's consequent 'rule' of determination; if and only if there is space is light occluded from a connective.

After knowing:

1.)   A measurable symmetry breaking occurs between magnetic moment and inertia.

2.)   That of an orientation and coordinate spatial flux is chosen.

3.)   This has to do with a differential enfolding of cause and effect between particles; and leads to the production of spatial measure.

That of a shift must occur; for space, energy, cause and effect, and particle notion's are involved…

I need lay out that of a prescription for a decision as to when and where this occur's; for now I hold the limited example of Superconductivity; to which inertially and magnetically breaks for the following reason:

It breaks down into several areas:

1.)   Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity

2.)   Chaos and Order

3.)   A Pairing Mechanism

4.)   Geometry and Statistics

5.)   Measurement and Information

For the longest time I did not know what format I wanted to put my declaration's into. That of the paper is to be done as the following; five big sections; on that of the above; with first (initial part) an experimental setup of which exhibits from another vantage the subject; then seeded and in finality; predictions as to these two undivided element's; that of inside the subject matter of cause and order (then) and Superconductivity; there should be a

portion with Unity and a part with Disunity; this is the organization pattern of predictions.

So it is I have settled on a format for the paper. That of division into the two-for-one on cause and effect, order, and control of chaos is one element. That of partition into an experimental nature of measure and computation; (a geometric construction) then goes preliminary to each section on principle; and then there follow's a prediction for superconductivity (and as to difference from real-world) and then a real world implication; possibly the real world implication prior the prediction for superconductivity... the paper may be 60 pages; at the end I will re-introduce (and introduce at the beginning) an argument on that of the Meissner effect and the Levitation thought experiment. In some view the eigenvalues are at their preliminary unbroken state (within one parameter of the Hamiltonian); therefore it is a theory of equivalent displacement to which can be driven to 'break' or 'willingly will break on it's own'... This is both a natural and an engineered consequence; but at the least – an arranged consequence; with exact predictive validity of introduction.

To which preceded; my ego collapsed and went to id regress with agitation; for I knew of a multiplicity of degenerate assumptives & a small number of alternatives; the above 'hit zone's;' to what is activity; a Karmic Stain on that of description; for what is ultimately of Shape and Form; for forming the Shaped/Shapeless.

There is at the least a determination made in the process of pressuring one's self to produce a direct result in that of theoretical investigation; to which enforceably the consequence deal's to one's self a mitigated stress. That of what hold's within that of exceptionable work takes effort; and that of the negative deficit is compensated for by yet a third type of enforceable consequence. That of what theorizing does when for instance we go to production (with theory and experiment a nuance of projection); of a (2-3) page paper is when the participant is active in condensed thought; a conclusivity on 'end' - only when with a vaguely held concept – a difference after extensive work in complex problem's. This is because the weight of the graph of associate ideal's grow's; and license to labor there is with a 'stable' and 'effective' structure built; the notion of stress and strain; and method and practice. Overall; what takes enforceability into effect at that of a 'consequence' is the cause and effect; taken as that which must come from what. We are effectively as it were in each other's future and past; yet you come from my future as in possession of a past; to which we are independent. Therefore that of cause and effect may be discerned between casual and effectual into it's properties and qualities. The qualities and properties remain identical for that of yet what is a phase transition; hence thermodynamic's model's a prescription at the thema of a second block; that of quick production of an 'end' re-assembled as a 'problem' in 'sections' yet proximally provided closes a theoretical 'hole' - of equal valuation for the individual who find's an 'end' within that of this nature of processing and naturalized work; and at that of the terminal end's of science. One of these is the epistimological root; to which factual intimation is writing of other's past's; or for instance that of entry and exit from a world; or that of the manner of mind found within factual proof with substance to a clause elsewhere. It then remains true anything can be created and anything can be destoryed in the continuum of certain things; but that permanence affords no-mean method of destruction for a predictive period; the chain of event's of which cannot be broken.

That of explanation of this 'needle' stitching through 'reality' is to know of primary means to the control of the chain of event's; solidification in that of action at a distance, that of permanency in the past of other's; and stability margins for physically representational work's. The arising at a freedom from technology follows; within what is the additional combination of possession of both epistimological root's; to which a study thereof produces the approach by 'shape' and 'form' dissolving into the 'shapeless' and 'formless.' Hence emptiness conveyed through and by way of a theory that reaches an end can serve to represent what is the solidification of the world; in it's kernel; and of that of it's consequences remain somewhat benign to actionably incurring danger to a world; the philosophy of which is founded by such a theory.

Note

However it may be; I will be going over this problem a finite number of time's; so it is to be constructed in four level steps of:

1.)   Practice: Geometric Argument

2.)   Principle of Effective Theory

3.)   Method: Mathematical Deductions

4.)   Superconductivity Argumentation

On:

1.)   Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity

2.)   Chaos and Order

3.)   A Pairing Mechanism

4.)   Geometry and Statistics

5.)   Measurement and Information

Section and subsection should follow from the above...

I will go for – any – number of pages...

There needs to be a division and separation into the 'Real World' and 'Superconductivity;' or there needs to be a Unified Outlook...

Scale and Degree are the only qualities of 'form' and 'shape' - hence this world is determined (although in a difference sense) within and of a true machine; and that of 'outside;' to which is counting and countable; there is formed a schism; that of body; space; and particle; therefore as particles for a machine are dependent on 'exchanges' - of point's or wave's that of discernment of enforceable consequence is potentiated by regularity.

Is the Theory Entire

Is the Theory Whole

Two orbit's may fall under a particle and space indeterminancy to the separatrix between any two particle(s).

a.)   Spin and exchange via separatrix under the ground state; stabilize to reversibility of coherent statistic.

b.)   Hidden eigenvaluation connective to cause and effect as limited to [d,A] but neither are representative.

c.)   Inertia and magnetic moment break and bifurcate into two of a dynamics and a statics.

e.)   Inertial orientation and gravitational orientation break into two of dynamical and static evolution.

"The two variable's of η and ρ break in $\delta(t)$ and $u_{1}(t)$; hence spin and spatial 'extension' of form and shape disconnectively produce an empty condition of mutually unheld spatial eigenfunctional valuation to which is the quantifier of space; displacement of one equated mass for one equated energy eigenfunction; the gravitational component; one spin half serves to stand with equivalence of a displacement of all... therefore with two we have a solidity of portion; that of magnetic moment and inertial orientation with angular momentum spontaneously symmetry broken into electromagnetism and gravity."

f.)   A count in one for what is two decimates 'nether two; to which is not one;' we may therefore with that of mutual displacement have two discernable existences from the question of 'one or zero' under any given equivalence of physics upon order; with what is the following...

g.)   As inertia is summative and subractive; that of one phase breaking of two frequencies determines that of a sequence; to which when one lowered overtone exists; it manifest's the breaking of the threshold on lowest energy; sinking the system; and explaining mass; for what is cause in that of dual harmonics under mutual cancellation of amplitude; but occurrent at a more robust inertia...

"Therefore superconducting phases occur when exchange and displacement of all spin 1/2 particles symmetry break via degeneracy and superposition with lower frequencies 'standing' of-contribution to inertia instead; that of dynamical lowering and energy diminishing; the loss from overlap in [a negated] superposition and a superposition; hence any three agent's (necessarily) mutually possess the capacity of aggregate formation; both

attributes [d,A] in two particles therefore reside in a scaled and impermanent condition; hence above a separatrix breaking; the missing space of singular causes and effect's plays no role only to quantify space."

"The equipartition of what is space is therefore the missing-particle for what is a particle of space in what is a unification of therefore, cause, order, directrix, segregational, and average; etc... The displacement of spin to which is always postive within the algebra of equivalence with all other spin's (turned inward-outward making no difference; the reversal of the singular causes and effects to which is eigenfunction and eigenvalue void) and of empty cause but a 'contribution' of negative displacement (as space); wherever, therefore the integral of a scale of measurement is the void."

This happens when a cascade of orbit's fall's through the differential 'web' of separatricies of kissing point's in the flow of cause and effect; however thing's may be; the real world is clarified by that which remains; order. For what is a determination of cause and effect; there may be no other natures of cause and effect; besides those treated in 'independent' model's of electricity and magnetism; and gravity. For of what is consequential this makes the difference (within what is found of order) between two chaotic system's; under contact relation for that of inertia and orientation are all we-are-left-with to the system of it's subscription; all quantities universally deriving from this breaking within and of 'general relativity' and 'quantum mechanic's' therefore these two are unified upon particle, space, and energy; and that of a particle notion contrasted within of what is a superposition and it's absence under exchange defines via-displacement the quantification of a spatial interval and quantity. The repercussion of that of inertial breaking is a spatial breaking; hence this represents the breaking leading to the origin and creation point of the current aftermath of the cause of the universe; and it's consequent 'rule' of determination; if and only if there is space is light occluded from a connective.

After knowing:

1.)   A measurable symmetry breaking occurs between magnetic moment and inertia.

2.)   That of an orientation and coordinate spatial flux is chosen.

3.)   This has to do with a differential enfolding of cause and effect between particles; and leads to the production of spatial measure.

That of a shift must occur; for space, energy, cause and effect, and particle notion's are involved...

I need lay out that of a prescription for a decision as to when and where this occur's; for now I hold the limited example of Superconductivity; to which inertially and magnetically breaks for the following reason:

The magnetic only solution (above) indicates that a renormalization occurs at the magnetic only fixed point in the flow of the theory. Second to this; is the potentiation of inclusion of local to local terms of an electromagnetic

variety. The solution given by that of the (above) indicates that when we uniformize and unitarily procure from the electromagnetic solution to a dual in the vector field based contingently around magnetic and electric solutions; that this precipitates electromagnetic symmetry breaking; by that which is a separable contribution to the spin wave geodesic equation.

There are only two elements of the theory:

1.) Renormalization to electric only and magnetic only solutions; precipitates a violation in the superposition of the Dirac Electron Equation to Pauli Exclusion Principle locality bridge with logarithmic wavelength compensation of geodesic phase of spin-waves to electron mass and time decouopling from (2).

2.) Renormalization of the local to global to local theory of the uncertainty relation that derives of certainty in relation to a physical and acausal disconnective of free determinism precipitates superposition to spontaneous symmetry breaking of the quantum states in light and mass below a threshold set wavelength of light (Compton) wavelength of spinwave to charge hole.

In continuance; the result is spin charge separation from mass and inertia with symmetry breaking of electromagnetism from gravity precipitating a decoupling of matter from light and wavelengths of De'Bye from Comptom to which ensure universality of an inductive conditional in that of spin and charge (or hole) delocalization-localization phenomenon in a unitary lowered energy potential of genus one beyond the wavelength of repulsion; asympototic to a coupling below the threshold of inward or outward electron pair pair global to local pressure. It is that the renormalization in the ultra-small scale goes to infinity on that of the electric distance when it holds that the Debye wavelength is below the Compton; to which the electric field re-normalizes to zero strength of repulsion; and magnetic symmetry insists a universally finite (unit 1) attraction. This is a result of relativity participating in the local limit of co-inertial utility in the argument of motion-free inductive transformation to a mirrored re-action of infinite renormalization of c in the limit of approach (null coincidence informs/ces that of asymptotic freedom); for in that of $\frac{v}{c}$ the logarithmic regularization goes to $+\infty$ to which the electric field and effective distance go to eternity. Thus the two objects of electron hole and electron opposite hole form a polariton and are freed to attract at a charge of $2e^{+}$. The charge is reversed for in that of the mirror effective distance of a 'hypothetical' electron at infinity; and one super-imposed at some (hypothetical) finite large distance to which are polarized outward-inward. The laws of physics go forwards and in reverse.

This is simply the result of meeting the uncertainty relationship as in that of the outward-inward space of two normalizations producing an infinitely extended re-action when slower than the speed of light; the matter cannot keep up with the charge state; and so matter is in suspense and blocked by light; to which the relativity theory finds support to be a re-action deduced from the limit of c; the superconductor; at rest; participates in a phase in **reduction** by algebraically a blocking of light from reaching the first occassioned next nearest neighbor; but not$!$

that of the next-nearest-neighbor. As a consequence uncertainty folds. The re-action is that relativity is reversed; to the projection meeting it's annhilation in that of a withheld electromagnetic interaction of reversed variety at short distance. This is the same as action and re-action; which are of course parallels. As a consequence light find's it's reduction in a similar statement to relativity. Durations in the infinitely small scale d are reduced in measure under a reaction to which they concourse to being larger contributions (at small renormalization scale) to that of the integral $\int$; of which is made **smaller**.

This does in no way refute Einstein; but proclaims he was correct; as in that of duration becoming larger; under a small scale shrinking to zero; the curvature to which is the differential dominates; and the local term refutes the large over similar scales. After all; that of two closely placed iso-symmetric pell's do not balance but to zero scale; the uniformity of the debate is that a reduction upon c is self-consistent with the renormalization. This alternatively can be seen as the limit (re-inforced by conductance going to infinity with pairs produced by symmetry breaking) of $c\rightarrow 0$ comparative to a phase delay. Attraction is the natural result of a phase delay in that of the Green's Function; the first illustration in comparison with BCS theory. This is that the charges may avoid one another in time by being in a different position in space. The inverse (reversed) limitation is that of either side of a mirror; to which they are eliminably precluded for in light of an immediate nearest neighbor; that of the second nearest neighbor via superexchange is at a co-local distance closer in phase space. Hence it is predicted that ionizing a material produces hypervalence$.^{\ast}$ When locally isotropic groups segregate below a wavelength to which spatial segregation is superior to what is time as an anferior limit of the laws of physics a spontaneous symmetry breaking is produced to which produces the requisite preliminaries for superconductivity.

As akin to the manner in which space and time 'fold' to create a finite circle from an infinitely long one; when an orbit is analyzed of a straight line in a curved space & time; as when superconductivity is manifest; the fi-nitely long line of interaction 'folds' to produce an infinite orbit in the curved space & time of the interaction. That is to say that the antipodal relation hold's; and that the less-than-unity normalization group of the spin; (to which is four dimensional) *relaxes* the orbital constraint to it's-fullest; that of a gauge group then to which is negative in conversion of magnetic becoming electronic and electronic becoming magnetic; with a reduction from the speed of light rather than an accumulation *to* the speed of light; as if participating on the other side of a mirror. This demonstration states that all additional that is required is exchange of field for particle pro-perties; and that of the charges will attract within the ranges of a standard deviation; there being two wave li-ke frequencies and wavenumber's the result of a phase difference congruent with conversion to angular coordinates.

The definite two reasons for superconductivity are therefore:

1.) Time dilation is stationary-in-the-moving-frame to which the 'older' twin of the two electron's is with the alternative particle; it's uncertainty within relaxation to a lower threshold on that of energy per unit.

301

2.) That of when taken as-two in the two-body particle system; that of the exchange motivates that of the indistinguishability of the derived terms of spin-orbital coupling and curvature; to which fall equivalently.

The result is:

a.) Interchange of viewpoint's to which below relativistic consideration; the probabilistic independence of results in quantum mechanics invokes that of a conservation to electrons participant of a closed viewpoint.

b.) Interchange of electric and magnetic field; reasoned from to the mirror instead of from the mirror; to which what is far is local and what is local is far and what is repulsive is attractive and vice-versa.

c.) Via spin statistics; measureables and measured interchange role's to a sign change on attraction and repulsion via that of topological argument; wave function collapse and decoherence interchanged to attraction.

d.) As a consequence like charges attract and opposite charges repel; to what is the inversion of the laws of physics; that of a threshold below which there is identity within which the equations are identical in spin and charge; and to which the solution is given in term's of soliton's and their admixtures; a solid pair state.

A solution on superconductivity; to what is Complimentarity & Displacement Invariance via and of Quality of Regularities... That of Compositional Ordering.

Compositional Ordering is found then as the systematic and spontaneous manifest symmetry breaking of the universe; the point of Parsimony and the emanation of an ordering principle of momentum and energy from space among General Relativity (Inertia) and Quantum Mechanics.

1.) Indistinguishability is afforded for either probabilistically free dependence of quantum mechanics in particle; to which as determinant of eigenspaces of vectors and values under exchange are found degenerate.

2.) Time dilation to what is via a variety of superpositional argument with exchange freely held in either particle invokes a resolution to the twin paradox; whereby each particle relaxes in quantum energies.

Therefore:

a.) Either experiences an attraction to which is universal with the formation of a gap to states.

b.) The electric and magnetic field of far and near invert roles in place for attraction of like charges and repulsion of dissimilar particles; that of similarity not fitting through that of a set of identities.

For what is one particle:

1.)   Either particle hold probabilistic descriptions independent of relativistic world-view.

2.)   One particle therefore holds irrespectively an independence of probabilistic description.

3.)   This is held reflexively in that of Spin-Orbital coupling and Curvature for that of domain.

4.)   Therefore that of indistinguishability is a reflection of the probabilistic independence of two-bodies.

I am having a problem with the identity by which the Curvature and Spin-Orbital interaction are found to be indistinguishable; but for that of contradiction to that of comparative scales on that of the two-body problem; to state that with two bodies; one view of the other in particle and field would depart from symmetry were they to not be so*; therefore they are identical terms to which explains via probabilistic description in being independent from relativity an energy lowering. I feel that I may make a breakthrough; but do not know of which there is an equivalence; other than that the reduction that occurs via the **logarithm** imputes that of a relation to which the reductive parts must behave identically. I feel at a loss for word's to describe this final step; to which potential energy and kinetic energy both reduce along similar rules…

A clue is given in that the Curvature and the Spin-Orbital interaction(s) are derived through the same and identical process of exchange; and to which their derived terms intimate a connective to the same identical term's; that of by which *'as one particle generate's it's spin-orbital coupling in virtue of the other particle; the expression of indistinguishability is the expression of 1.) the properties of a particle owing comparative to the other particle, & 2.) the properties of a particle are independent in probabilistic description from relativity, 3.) that of the system remains irrespective of changes under interchange of particle A for particle B by complimentarity invariance.'* 'reciprocity' and 'complimentarity invariance is therefore the reason that they are imputed to indistinguishability of which is passive; the intimation that of what holds for both particles.

The **definite** two reasons for superconductivity are therefore:

1.)   Time dilation is stationary-in-the-moving-frame to which the 'older' twin of the two electron's is with the alternative particle; it's uncertainty within relaxation to a lower threshold on that of energy per unit.

2.)   That of when taken as-two in the two-body particle system; that of the *exchange* motivates that of the indistinguishability of the derived terms of spin-orbital coupling and curvature; to which fall equivalently.

The result is:

a.)   Interchange of viewpoint's to which below relativistic consideration; the probabilistic independence of

results in quantum mechanics invokes that of a conservation to electrons participant of a closed viewpoint.

b.) Interchange of electric and magnetic field; reasoned from *to* the mirror instead of *from* the mirror; to which what is far is local and what is local is far and what is repulsive is attractive is repulsive and vice-versa.

c.) Via spin statistics; measureables and measured interchange role's to a sign change on attraction and repulsion via that of topological argument; wave function collapse and decoherence interchanged to attraction.

d.) As a consequence like charges attract and opposite charges repel; to what is the inversion of the laws of physics; that of a threshold below which there is identity within which the equations are identical in spin and charge; and to which the solution is given in term's of soliton's and their admixtures; a solid pair state.

I feel relieved to have a solution on superconductivity; to what is Complimentarity Invariance...

1.) Indistinguishability is afforded for either probabilistically free dependence of quantum mechanics in particle; to which as determinant of eigenspaces of vectors and values under exchange are found degenerate.

2.) Time dilation to what is via a variety of superpositional argument with exchange freely held in either particle invokes a resolution to the twin paradox; whereby each particle relaxes in quantum energies.

Therefore:

a.) Either experiences an attraction to which is universal with the formation of a gap to states.

b.) The electric and magnetic field of far and near invert in place for attraction of like charges.

Questions for Physics and my Studies:

1.) Why is it curvature and spin-orbital coupling which (alone) participate in indistinguishability; or would we expect potential and kinetic energies to in general participate in this behavior?

2.) What exactly is the role played by the Non-Linear Shroedinger Equation; as to that of observables and energy lowering; that of the non-linear term orchestrating what of the dynamics and statics?

3.) What is the expression of covariance in this model; beyond what is merely kinetic energy and potential energy following similar positive and negative changes; to a statement of complimentarity?

But I have resolved that the answer in superconductivity goes deeper; to what is the expectation on position and momentum; to these; I can be afforded much slippage; but not **so** much I derail the subject matter. There is now only one question:

1.)   If indistinguishability of covariance is to go one-way in that of derived terms of potential and kinetic energy; why is it that they may be orchestrated differently for then in a Lagragian approach unless there is a difference in momentum in one guise and another?

2.)   *It is clear that all I know so far is that: under exchange; probabilistic description in remaining independent of relativity offer's both potential and kinetic energies to be indistinguishable given an initial particle in a twin paradox like inter-change of the stationary-in-the-moving-frame viewpoint of the original particle; and that of the two-body problem.*

When this is in place; there is a reduction in energy; and a finite gap to excitations. One particle in solitary remark does not illustrate this behaviour...

3.)   *This behavior <u>only</u> occur's in Superconductivity or a variant; because the threshold to a dynamic involving two particles prohibit's that of what would hold for a free particle undergoing the same or an identical transformation. That what occurs for that of Superconductivity is valid of the general covariance of momentum and position uncertainty in probabilistic independence from relativity only takes place with exchange of positions and momenta within these 'materials' is to say that ordinary physics suffices for description 'outside' such a given consideration; yet the consideration's are general. That of what hold's then for a threshold to which is greater in which physics reverses unto the mirror; is the prescriptive domain of superconductivity. This restriction in behavior is therefore the domain of an atypical behavior; and does not govern but exotic state's of matter what would be normally observed of free particles. Yet it is fundamental in that of which there are two body states. To state that what is of one particle owe's in part it's behavior by the presence of a second.*

I have determined that there is a caveat on that of my theories:

Indistinguishability of spin-orbital and curvature stems from that of in what is described as that "Uncertainty Remains The Fixed Valuation of Local to Global Isometry on Probabilities; Despite There being Two Times..."

This indicates something new must be introduced; that despite two-times; there is a global-to-local equivalence of what holds with particle A viewing particle B and particle A's self-term of *time;* namely; that *either* viewpoint is valid; and that whether it had been one prescription or the other; the results of quantum mechanics with relativity would be valid. That however it is to be; particle A participates for in light of probabilistic interpretations being irrespective of relativity and it's considerations; the particle viewpoint is valid. That the external viewing the internal and the internal global viewpoint are equivalently taken into consideration. Therefore it is that one particle in a two body interaction is simultaneously within that of a 'reduced time' and an 'original time;' the arrow of concourse merely reversed for that of the situational difference of being below or above the threshold to which there is a mirrored reaction of the second particle. Therefore there is a single term to which the energy gap is

positive or negative on that of the two-time's the particle is held fast to. This rule *only* emanates from the two particle viewpoint; and takes place when there is pre-existently a statistical anomaly; for what we would describe the symmetry of finding two particles co-participative of no other considerations but their exchange... Therefore there is a relativistic factor on the temporal differential; to which there is an interior term on the expanded momentum which both drop. This give's rise to a different eigenvalue; the difference – the gap. When one particle admits a similarity unto the other; time and space (for what are the co-factor's of relativistic origin in a two body problem) relativistic factoring of the equation admits less of a spatial differential and more time to the second particle in relation to it's orginal conjugate particle; thereby lowering it's energy in relation to this particle. Therefore energy is reduced in the system under superposition; and what one arrives at with two particles under probabilistic description is a lowered energy for either (both); therefore of which a gap is manifest in both of their result's.

The reconciliation of 'two time's' to the particle is that uncertainty derives to limitations; uncertainty cast for in that of position and momentum remains it's limitation of the base valuation; to which divides reciprocally.

The vanishing of the spin connection is the term to which with curvature and spin-orbital coupling vanishes; that of the return of Uncertainty as a Base Foundational. This is the statement that "Kinetic and Potential energies – as far as we are concerned Spin-Orbital and Curvature – exhibit Universal Indistinguishability for the Null-Result of that of the Spin Connection. Hence the material exhibits Spin-Charge Separation. And; that of the field (to which is spin oriented and curvature oriented as one field) – exhibits non-zero-sum given result's; - that because the spin connection vanishes; all particles exhibit universal freefall within the material.

For what is one particle; the field is the photonic field; then to which electromagnetic interactions are reversed; without which the behavior would not be exhibited. That of what is a particle under this interaction is then known via Quantum Mechanics to exhibit in-reverse difference (comparative to what is illustrated via that of probabilistic invariance with respect to relativity) **two** probabilistic interpretations which **match** irrespective of relativity. That of what is explored is that two differing descriptions of the particle are afforded for then in what is reliantly a difference of time's; to which it **matches under the identity of probabilistic invariance.** This is the source of understanding that for what held of one particle; it is unavoidable that there be two descriptions hidden* within the probabilistic language; that of the particle finding it's secondary description within quantum mechanical language with respect to the second particle. This is the origin of the reversal *in part* of the electromagnetic interaction and viewpoint.

So,

1.)   There is a particle description for A in light of A.

2.) There is a particle description for A in light of B.

3.) There is a time owing inherent to A.

4.) There is a time owing inherent from B.

5.) There is a reduced differential in space owing due to B.

6.) These viewpoints are in superposition to which A's description is it's own in light of B.

7.) Therefore A is reduced in energy.

8.) In synopsis; A is reduced in energy by B; to which it is attracted.

9.) A experiences two world-view's; to which it is reflexively within B's reduced world-view of time.

10.) Therefore the proper time of A is with superposition in B's temporal time-line of world-view.

11.) A reduces in energy because it is of two-probabilistic viewpoints but B is present.

12.) The system falls to the lowest energy state; to which A is reliant upon that of the factored expression.

13.) Spin follows curvature; to which the state is null on that of a spin connection; to which drops out.

14.) When the spin connection drops out; it is the reflection of indistinguishability in these two terms.

15.) Therefore there is universality to the force of spin and orbital and kinetic energy.

16.) The system resorts to produce a gap to excitations with the reversal of the electromagnetic field.

17.) With reversal of the electromagnetic field like charges attract and accumulate phase coherence.

18.) The system goes superconducting with that of pairs of electrons and no resistance to current.

19.) For what was particle 'B;' what 'A;' co-adopt's in light of probabilistic independence is a given.

20.) Therefore; either particle by this same principle; co-adopt(s) Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking.

For that of Superconductivity; I have that:

1.) Displacement causes deconstructive interference of the electrons to which spin re-capitulates.

2.) The equivalence principle implies general covariance of spin free-fall's with(in) this interference.

A:) Mutual observation of electron's under superposition exchanges vantages; to which resolution of the twin paradox is an equivalent displacement in either's temporal and spatial vantage. That of Spin-Orbital displacement furnishes that of a given: namely that the empty property of spin in relation to relativity yields in abstraction an equivalent weight of interaction. Either projection unto that of relativistic apportion or to that of quantum statistical covariance suffer a free falling spin with orbital freedom and of equivalent proportion; for that of inertial weight and relativistic weight are equals and hold fast to the equivalence principle. Therefore; that of spin and orbital undergo displacement to which furnishes deconstructive interference to which electron's lower in energy by the same (identical) factor to their given relativistic apportionment. The relaxation of either's probabilistic distribution is offered by relaxation of frustrated kinetic and potential energy to which is equals. Potential energy via exchange; and that of kinetic energy by way of relaxation in probabilistic equipartition; yields an inertial spin which guides a flow of orbital nature.

B:) Since inertial spin momentum and generally covariant spin momentum are equivalent; the displacement to which furnishes interference leading to positive covariance of electron's when under a relativistic frame transformation leads to a statistical anomoly by which the equivalence principle and displacement co-apportion that of reduction in energy and momentum of kinetic and potential variety when particles approach one another; leading to an attraction under the guidance of generally covariant spin.

Thus electron's attract because:

1.) Spin inertia momentum is identical in a moving frame and a frame at rest.

2.) Statistically interference does not vary; to which spin-orbital offer's displacement.

3.) There is an inversion of perspectives under exchange; to which matches loss in kinetic energy.

4.) Therefore energy and momentum both lower by identical factors and measures.

5.) The relativistic factor under exchange and on momentum are identical therefore so is lowering.

6.) As quantum mechanics is independent of relativity; the result is to attract via unaltered statistics.

One, of a synopsis; there are four areas required for superconductivity:

1.) Inertial and rest spin equivalence with relativity to which under exchange potential energy suffers an identical factor of lowering of energy as kinetic.

2.) Displacement via spin and orbital interaction to which geometrically causes destructive interference and inversion of statistic leading to attraction.

3.)   Adherence to the quantum prescription of the uncertainty principle; whereby attraction is a result of the conformality of variables to this principle.

4.)   An electric term added to the spin system model; to which is a threshold above the mechanics of the bare hole's; to which closes the relationship.

What is better:

1.)   Neither the postulate of relativity nor quantum mechanic's interfere; it is instead that both are participant in the behavior; that of 'because there is no departure in this statement' - there is a reduction in energy when we adhere to 'both'... that of the confluence of destructive interference and shared proper time insistent that there be an equivalent attraction & reduction in potential and kinetic energy due to exchange...

2.)   The gestalt then hold's a measurement/measured empasse of which what is that invariance due to lowering creates a scenario where depictions reduce in reciprocal manner; that of reduction in energy due to exchange; in which A and B co-participate in a 'closed scenario' of action and re-action; that of for what was one; two details; exchange via superposition of A at B and of B at A lower in kinetic and potential energy...

Theses of ordinal relation: *"Any logical predicate bit heirarchal structure of ordination with lower dimension and higher codimension mitigates relational injective structure unto future tense of either given machine state for in that of what is one differential equation; that of it's conjugacy to relation of variableless and functional degrees of freedom to which it establishes two lower Lyaponov exponentially free threshold relations unto separation into two new differential self & other referentially null and independent enfolded attractors."*

Thus chaos differ's in that chaos and chaos and order and order and order can produce order via that of the presciription that a separatrix is afforded two through one; that of the formerly held superconducting mechanism; it is a two-for-one on that of control of chaos to which is determination and enclosure free and enclosed intimation at ordering functional determination; the rest of science being a logical predicate or indicatorial relation; that of indicial and predicate calculus the remaining pillar's of what instruct relation.

"Any two attractor's are limited by their conservative element's; thus the boundaries of the system are impenetrable for what would be a differential subset curtailing and co-opting the behavior's of the super-set and co-adopting an information set without that of two to which suffer a modality inversion in partnership."

To what is A(A) and B(A.A); that of *enclosure* to-which-is-usually within/without and '**outside**' alone; may divide *within* to what is 0/1 and 1/0 becoming 0-1 and 1-0; that of displacement and threshold on that of the 'equivalence' principle of two-times (necessarily as there are three bodies; with an alternative world and that of the Sun and Earth) divides into an *enfolding* which naturalizes the counter effect of displacement; that of a given probabilistic

inversion of collective (constructive and destructive interference) – that of the threshold to 'divide' lesser than the reduction in 'displacement' - thus the condition of Pairing; that of Shared Proper Time; at a greater accumulation than that of probabilistic overlap to generate a repulsion – that of the equivalence of Shared Proper Time and Displacement an equivalence of the algebraic *weight* of constituency.

Thus:

1.)   Electron's approach a limitation of null-overlap via destructive and constructive interference with displacement *inwards* upon accumulation of a *negative deficit* to potential and kinetic energy held equivalent for in light of general covariance; that of (from the side of the Uncertainty Principle) an enfolding of departures due to relativistic factor's; the origin of a gyroscopic motion; the net-net inversion of the electromagnetic interaction; measurement is not altered; via what is preservation of principle inversion.

2.)   Electron's experience an outward/inward inversion in characteristic to net dipole for in light of equivalent geometric weight to relativistic factor and kinetic energy; the missing displacement of probability to which suit's that of adherence to the Quantum Principle of the Uncertainty Relation (from the side of General Covariance); to which is that of a threshold to probabilistically separate made relatively greater than the displacement threshold to attraction; to which bodies are held to equivalence in loss in energy.

3.)   Therefore because the motion of charges is-with an inverted interaction (magnetic field is reversed); that of (electric repulsion reversed); and the displacement of Shared Proper Time is equivalent with that of Displacement (to which is the source of the outward-inward attraction and lowering in potential and kinetic energy – that of the SPT (the source of the magnetic field) – a breaking occur's to which massful interaction is diminished with electromagnetic interaction of an indistinguishability of repulsive factor's on levitation.

C. I:)   That of inversion of the principles of Quantum Mechanic's and General Relativity is therefore of a lower threshold than Shared Proper Time and Lorentz Covariant Temporal displacement on energy; to which eigenvalues and eigenvectors experience a threshold to 'Modality Inversion,' of their statistical property.

C. II:)   This is the resolution to Chaos and Chaos and Order and Order – that of production of order from out of that of either juxtaposition; an Informational Contrast and the synthesis of an '*infinite*' barrier surpassed of world to world; the freely held and transmission-free recomposition and connectivity of a graph.

I subdivided my argument upon that of the [exchange] and that of the Coulomb - & - Dirac term's – departure to which yields [in isosimilar time's of duplicity] the rationalization to include the group of SU(2); - that of a breaking; and yields [that of an isosymmetry] between that of the Coulomb - & - Dirac term like equation; of which the form's of the equation's take on similarity. For that of the difference; there is that of a yet-understood reason for the SU(2) group; but to that of exchange; the only reason to include them; for [alone] that of the

Exchange foster's that of the Two-Time's; in the novel exchange interaction.

This has to do with why a term drop's out to which is identical to that of the [geodesic] equation... and a [factoring] of the [geodesic] equation take's-place... I will have to await the clue's to manifest... It had been the center-of-momentum term's which follow the [micro]-[exchange] equation under-symmetry... and to which we may attribute Two-Time's.

Thus; via isosimilarity; the exchange act's via-displacement as a (hole) – that of it's vacuum potential taken-from the original two-body of electron's. It is no mistake the SU(2) algebra can warrant reduction *from* the two-body electron equation *via displacement* into a *new* two-body Dirac equation for which energy is reduced.

It is like 'fist closed' - 'fist open'...

Hence; I at least have two hands on the problem.

Displacement...

However it may be, there is an independent degree of freedom from out of the two...

Hence; all that matters is that it is independent; however it may be it is positive or negative; and controls seemingly the 'billowing' of the latter...

Essentially two thing's hold: One; that of massive covariance and electromagnetic interaction are degenerate; Two; that of the factoring to which this indistinguishability foster's is a gap from two r.h.s's being equivalent.

I only know five thing's:

"The replica exchange state of which mimic's the original form of the Dirac equation; lower's the energy of the system by that of indistinguishable lowering's in electromagnetic energy and covariant massful energy."

"Conforming variable's to the Uncertainty Principle and that of General Covariance definitely does lead to an energy lowering; for what is comparative within these system's is a manifold and a flow given by their degree's lowering in eigenstatus; that of the singular state typically lowered by a folding inward from displacement."

"Spin-Orbital displacement and Exchange displacement bear a similarity; to what is Kinetic and Potential; that of reduction via folding to which that of the Lagrangian loses degrees of freedom to the Hamiltonian."

"Therefore for what is a threshold; that of the sourced indistinguishability in the priorly held term's lower's in energy via a negative feedback; it's principle inversion below that of the threshold set by the spinwave lattice."

"Probabilistically the assumptive must invert on that of statistic's; to what is a 'modality like inversion' for in light of

what drives the folding of the Uncertainty Principle and General Covariance founded intimation at that of state's; that of preclausitive notion left aside of anything but two-time's then to observational duality."

With this; the list is complete on the closed-quarter's of "Superconductivity" - and as it is to remain; within hindsight; that of a problem-&-solution on that of what may be taken and given of this auspicious relationship of invariance and variance; now to have orchestrated a synthetical remark on that of variance.

1.)   Whether v/c or $1-v/c$ is utilized (a)projectively; it must be discerned; or proven decidable or that of (un) decidable as to projective lemma of relativity; then the breakdown upon a statistical hyperloid or sphere.

2.)   When one obstacle is apparent from a future & past (or past and past); propogation yield's for then in what is founded of 'complimentary set' of what is foundational; either a fifth [auxiliary] or [1] is indicated.

3.)   Whether one (future & past) orientable light-cone differ's substantially from it's 'past-associable' light cone within that of field-theoretic assumptive to direct or indirectly cause or contribute to a further yet cause.

One: Why does an equivalent lowering in massful (covariant) energy-momentum and electromagnetic energy-momentum follow with that of (in relation to chaotic states in juxtaposition; for which there are thresholds) – that of a preferential state (topology)?

Two: Why does breaking for what would be equivalent lowering's in energy momentum prefer a statistical adherence to new superposition's;... if it is that there are two-time's; how does the system participate and what is the sound physical foundation to this?

The answer to one; as to a preferential result; is that of beta=v/c going to beta=1-v/c for in light of the mutual (2nd) observer; for in light of off-conjecture at principle inversion; to which quantum adhere's...

This is fundamental...

The answer to two; is that the result's of complimentarity, (that results be comparative within superposition's); lead to the quantum principle over the relative principle; therefore that of statistical re-arrangement into for instance two-time's; that of arrangement of group order of effect; the result of the answer to one and two to which is a 'modality inversion' of chaotic to ordered statistical overlapping orbital structure; inter-admixture.

Is it only the case that with a physical supportive notion (the above relation of juxtaposition); there is at it's basis the element of Chaos interwoven and dissimilar from conjugate Order? Does then i.e. a physical system set the precept of Chaos and Order and their combinations... it is any more general; or to a hypothetical turn? What would we do if there were manifest a gravitational alone chaos; or that of quantum? Does this implicate that there is none of these? For instance; of categories; it is clear that there are alone two layer's here at most... that of for

instance a disparate attentiveness of the agent's to critical breakdown of the base precept's in physics in superconductors... and that of a lighter version for in light of typical environmental chaotic breakdown's; - but as to that of inertia; the classical result is simple; for the reason of collapse of the wave function and it's precept at what is entire reasoned back from a prototype eventual topological extrema.

A system is composed of:

1.)   Identified Object's

2.)   Cause & Effect

3.)   General Covariance

4.)   Quantum Mechanics

*5.)   Observers... (hypothetical)...

And there is a transliteration (and derivation of the Classical World); to which all-that-is-knowable is known via that of instrumentation and measuring equipment. Then; dynamic's take's it's sub-set of conchordant mean; and that of principle equalitative means are the 'reduced setting' for stable systems of interest. With the question:

a.)   Is it an exactly equalitative precept (to (at) a principle) that independence of bodies it their reason de entre for that of attraction?

b.)   What are the physical scenario's for which there is a determined philsophy at that of containability; for then without this we spill-over into adjacent area's...

Two frequencies to which additively resume at that of another; phase relate to the interstitial relation of symmetry breaking in their auxiliary basis function. So it is; that E and B can propogate; but distilled become that of ancillary overtones; to what is bi-selective; that of either a reduction in logarithmic basis. And I state; it is for the reason that a reduction via a logarithmic differential (deduced from a one form) fold that the relation by displacement is from one master (large) frequency to two diplomatic ends in their lower conjugate frequencies... that of reductionism to which defines an exact proportion of selective natures in their E and B field's; hence it is so that recombination take place in the proportion of qualities to what are their individual component element's; then a cascade. For what is one frequency; we may rightfully inject within a superposition equation a different auxiliary frequency to it's foundation; of which serves 'modal substitution.'

Statement: *"Two time's are sufficient as a condition of the two-body Dirac equation as-in co-extension to the variant calculus for in light of: Superposition & Two Time's, that of equivalence principle upon the individual spin*

component's in interferometric basis and decomposition to a subsidiary Lie algebra."

It is manifest that the direct imputation of a resource to that of the identification of any operator of the field to that of it's conjugate form be given by that of a 'mass threshold modality inversion' and 'separation term'.

That of what is given; is that of two directrix:

1.)   The organizational heirarchy collapses 'into' another; for what is a mass-at-threshold-reductionism.

2.)   That of an operator compatibility condition arises; to which what is reducible exhibit's this symmetry.

Then; the product of these behavior's is given by it's derivational root clause in bearing:

3.)   Independence and dependence of agent's exhibit that of a force from the stipulation of their agreed presence; to what is a field invariant or variant; that of the production of a closure on the potential given a threshold... that of the term of the 'logarithmic differential' - that of a spread on the variance for then in what is agreed of a 'factor' - it's impression that of a field excess determination at displacement to null invariance for that of independence of interaction but 'full' at 'capacity' of diminishment or excess at potentiation...

There in lies the problem of a 'diagnostic' similie on the projection of operator's...

I.)   Independence of agent's (particles) with a displacement in-the-field give's rise to attraction or repulsion... This is illustrated mathematically by that of a non-zero commutation relation on that of the Lax pair... that of a One-Form to which serve's to 'implement' a set-threshold on that of field and particle variance; the instruction of an unconstrained and non-zero-sum theorem... two equivalencies playing the role of Unification; that of field displacement and particle displacement tailoring to what is force and dynamics.

II.)   This is potentiated by a Gravitational Potential – to which it should not go misguided that there is that of a reluctance to a potential of it's provided mean's to equilibrium in that of two displacement's, one of gravitational origin, and the other of electromagnetic origin... that of reduction in mass going 'up' and electromagnetic interaction going 'up' on that of an electromagnetic interaction of a 'lightness condition' to which is non-zero-sum and that of two equivalences playing a role – that of the quantum and the covariant.

Therefore; for that of dual reciprocity under an integral therein exist(s) a quotient of particle and derived gap.

Via a secondary observation; within what is at a distance; the integral upon the naturalized consequence of a principle inversion with that of an acquired relativistic reactivity leads at once to the determination that a 'whole' displacement hold's for in light of a *separation* potential, a given complimentarity of then; it's manifest congruence in two whole's; the departure from a state of the non-existent to what is an indepedently provided covariance of

two whole's; that of a 'given' and 'righting' of difference to it's rate advantage of a given accrual.

It is my **speculation** that the condition for the reversal to attraction takes place precisely at the point the antiferromagnetic lattice takes on a critical condition; that of the beginning of breakdown; for what is a determination at a negative coupling in AFM. That of the doping; non-zero; and adjustently beyond the point of kinetic energy and potential energy frustration. The mechanism is so far simple.

There are (five) clear (final) question's regarding Superconductivity:

1.)   Does the 'two-time model' only manifest in light of that of exchange; or on a vaster scale?

2.)   Does 'chaos & order' play a role in superconductivity; and at what threshold's?

3.)   How is general covariance & the uncertainty principle manifest in superconductivity?

4.)   What role (definitively) does the threshold of antiferromagnetism play in these systems?

5.)   Are there a multiplicity of way's to view the problem; as in different nonlinear systems?

I:)

Because a repulsive interaction between magnet and superconductor with that of a gravitational attraction would motivate an unstable equilibrium (to be shown and illustrated); there is motivation for the indistinguishability an energy and momentum reduction phenomenologically to which (in addition) motivates the viewpoint that potential and kinetic energy may reduce likewise in the material between electrons under interaction...

* To note is that this shift to a covariant interaction is all we require to presuppose pairing and a positive gap...

With this we have:

a.)   A Lack of Simultaneity

b.)   Superposition via Quantum Mechanics, (leaving aside the question as to why it maintains superposition).

b.)   Independence of Bodies, (resulting in a reciprocal interaction with covariance and residual interaction).

c.)   The basic tenements of electromagnetism and quantum mechanics; to which an electric field is manifest.

So the hypothesis is: *"Electron's in the material reduce in energy because of a modality inverstion and resultant covariant interaction; therefore of a reduction in effective exchange energy of superposition; that of electromagnetic energy momentum diminishing for the sake of there being a two-part system; the process of levitation explained by*

that of quantum mechanical separation of cause and effect due to a lacking of simultaneity."

* Indistinguishability of lowering's implicates that the effects are cooperative between general covariance and quantum mechanics; and that of the magnetic field cooperates with the gravitational influence; for an equivalent outcome.

* What more we can say about cause and effect is limited; but that whereever a degenerate lowering **of superposition** occur's; there is a modality inversion; then required to get deeper into the reasons for the modality inversion.

A candidate superposition of differing viewpoints to what is motivated by that of the above – derives that particle A from particle B's viewpoint is with B's relativistic factor from unity as lesser; as contradistinct to A; it's ordinary valuation therefore at A attracted to B; for B executes a lower relation in relative lowering in energy comparative to A; that of the 'excess' effect with the alternative particle... as likewise with particle A and particle B under reciprocity of interaction. To which either are reduced under superposition from their normal valuations to a lightness condition...

* To note is that B maintains **two** subjective viewpoint's; that of with and without the equivalence principle; thus when we provoke an analysis of the problem with exchange we must deal with it's ordinary and separable time scale's under exchange; two principle treatments then with the factoring and the Lax Pair that derives from the non-linearity.

Therefore when the threshold is set by either in a reduced viewpoint; the equivalence and lowering of the massful contribution and electromagnetic contribution is manifest as a reduction in either's energy momentum from the vantage of the other particle; this is generative of a gap; for B outweighs A in **lightness** and A outweigh's B in **lightness** contribution; the necessary condition of entrainment; for it's lowering is sourced in the auxiliary particle...

Therefore the magnetic field is broken to a reversed state under vantage and there is a gap to excitations in gravitation and electromagnetic interaction; the net magnetic field zero for in light of an algebraic source free potential...

This describes the spontaneous manifestation of an equilibrium with levitation; and is irrefutable...

II.)

In this; several assumptives fall-off:

1.)	First is that of any direct cause-effect relationship; for either are in the past light-cone of the other from

some (other; tertiary and removable) viewpoint; since this would be to invoke a tertiary observer – either is 'empty' of past and future; and their time's are independent; then fully capable of superposition into two covariant time's. It is the independence of event's that strikes clean; to that the quantum take's precedent; there being a particle notion to field.

2.)   Either slow; to what is superposition; that of A>B and B>A; that of '*lightness*' to either; for what is a reduction in energy momentum in electromagnetic and gravitational interaction; therein in light of what is a reciprocal factoring of the equation(s) of motion in eigenvalue and eigenvector; a probabilistic inversion; whereby what is A is attracted to B and B attracted to A by that of their individual precept's; reliant on that of A>B and B>A, the development of a gap.

* Independence of the bodies assures their attraction & a gap.

III.)

There are four central areas to focus on with "Superconductivity:"

1.)   Either A or B may be the included within the back-end of a light-cone to which is a cause of B or A; that of for which with a lack of simultaniety implicates a direct example of a physical disconnective that is rational **and with superposition motivates an argument of interaction** with that of auxiliary observer absent; neither is seen as the cause or effect of phenomenology in the other; (of particle or field); but that of the two time's are seen empty.

2.)   That of an equilibrium for in light of indistinguishability of electromagnetic and gravitational energy-momentum lowering's is a source which is universal for two reasons; the implication to superposition conveys a statistical inversion with that of independence of particles and that of possession of (A>B and B>A) via viewpoint (built upon relativistic notion and independence); with two bodies; for what is representational.

3.)   Therefore, that of the relativistic factor at particle A is greater than A from B; and at particle B is greater than B from A (but not in a relative fashion – it is the opposite under super-position); to which is the driving impetus behind the gap; that of a comparatively reduced relativistic factor under exchange with that of the ancillary particle; a lightness condition from that of a lesser factor with thir respective opposite particles under superposition.

4.)   That of independence of particles therefore conform either via superposition to a bound particle and a bound particle; that of A attracted to it's subjectively viewed B and particle B attracted to it's subjectively viewed A; that of independence to which removes the constraint of that of A on B and B on A; but to which superposition and articulate equivalence principle generate a dynamical attraction.

317

* Independence of an unequals relationship here drives an attraction and a gap under a separatrix division.

* When the exchange is **not** driven lower by that of being below a separatrix division the interaction is Coulombic.

Thus particles attract because of independence of quantum and relativistic prescription.

5.)   With this [statistical and geometric] inversion; the cooperative result is a gap; from which adjacent to another 'greater in principle' prepared behavior – that of antiferromagnetism; via indepedence of the resulting particles leads to an attractive behavior. It is with antiferromagnetism we find $v/c=1-v/c$; the half way point – as with ferromagnetism. So similarly in a ferromagnetic compound (AFM and FM the limitation of this paper) we find that it may diminish by a reversal along the alternative route; to that of bound state's apart; driven to the opposite segregation...

IV.)

The separatrix division is next to what separates the behavior... but this is all there is to consider; this giving way to a theory of crystalline mode's; and is here held for predictive validity.

a.)   The answer is that any two phases under summation to another; hold (for the reason of temporal and commutative operator (Lax pair) equivalence) a precept at the admissibility of reduced statisical energy...

b.)   The tertiary (frequencies under summation) regularize the energy landscape of the system; to what is a 'folding' of the Lagrangian into the Hamiltonian; that of one giving license to way of general energy lowering in the other...

That of what is given; is that of two directrix:

1.)   The organizational heirarchy collapses 'into' another; for what is a mass-energy-momentum-reduction.

2.)   That of an operator compatibility condition arises; to which what is reducible exhibit's this symmetry.

Then; the product of these behavior's is given by it's derivational root clause in bearing:

3.)   Independence and dependence of agent's exhibit that of a force from the stipulation of their agreed presence; that of the term of the 'logarithmic differential' - of an agreed 'factor' - it's impression that of a field determination at displacement to null invariance for that of independence of interaction at 'full' 'capacity' of potentiation...

V.)

There in lies the problem of a 'diagnostic' similie on the projection of operator's...

A.) Independence of agent's (particles) with a displacement in-the-field give's rise to attraction or repulsion... This is illustrated mathematically by that of a non-zero commutation relation on that of the Lax pair... that of a One-Form to which serve's to 'implement' a set-threshold on that of field and particle variance; the instruction of an unconstrained and non-zero-sum theorem... two equivalencies playing the role of Unification; that of field displacement and particle displacement tailoring to what is force and dynamics.

B.) This is potentiated by a Gravitational Potential – to which it should not go misguided that there is a reluctance to a potential of it's provided mean's to equilibrium in that of two displacement's, one of gravitational origin, and the other of electromagnetic origin... that of reduction in mass and electromagnetic interaction; a 'lightness condition' to which is non-zero-sum on two equivalences playing a role – that of the quantum and the covariant. * Therefore; for that of dual reciprocity under an integral therein exist(s) a quotient of particle and derived gap.

VI.)

One: Why does an equivalent lowering in massful (covariant) energy-momentum and electromagnetic energy-momentum follow with that of (in relation to chaotic states in juxtaposition; for which there are thresholds) – that of a preferential state (topology)?

Two: Why does breaking for what would be equivalent lowering's in energy momentum prefer a statistical adherence to new superposition's;... if it is that there are two-time's; how does the system participate and what is the sound physical foundation to this?

The answer to one; as to a preferential result; is that of beta=v/c going to beta=1-v/c for in light of the mutual (2nd) observer; for in light of off-conjecture at principle inversion; to which quantum adhere's...

This is fundamental...

The answer to two; is that the result's of complimentarity, (that results be comparative within superposition's); lead to the quantum principle with a statistical re-arrangement into two-time's; and arrangement of group order of effect...

The result of the answer to one and two is a 'modality inversion' of ordered statistical overlapping of orbital structure; to which mode's adhere by that of inter-admixture terms between Lagragian and Hamiltonian.

Thus; via similarity; the exchange act's via-displacement as a (hole) – it's vacuum potential taken-from the original two-body of electron's. It is no mistake the SU(2) algebra can warrant reduction *from* the two-body electron

319

equation *via displacement* into a *new* two-body Dirac equation for which energy is reduced.

* Displacement for in exchange therefore leads to energy momentum lowering with independence of bodies.

* Displacement (wherein it occurs) set's the boundary on where two times and a factoring of the electron equation take place.

The displacement is warranted; for in light of the exchange with gap taking on a similar *form* the reference is one in which the Dirac equation contain's a truncation to meet the Separatrix Division classifier...

VII.)

In Summary; there are a number of factor's to follow for what is manifest:

1.)   A lack of simultaneity.

2.)   Differing relativistic factors.

3.)   A reversed perspective.

4.)   Superposition of exchange.

5.)   A contributing electric field.

6.)   Independence of bodies.

7.)   Retirement below a separatrix division.

Questions:

AFM/FM – Why do either prepared system result in Superconductivity with the above?

Normal Space – Why do particles repel; what does this have to say about free behavior?

How does the electric field develop from the magnetic only exchange interaction in FM and AFM samples?

There are two things taking-place in that Superconductivity – not just one; and I had thought of four or such; but was proven wrong:

They are:

1.)   *Displacement & modality inversion.*

2.)   *Prepared indistinguishability with modality inversion.*

Therefore:

A Modality Inversion is the reason for Superconductivity.

I did not know in-a-sense *what* problem I was solving... this is befit of Constitution and Composition; therefore it indicates a **deep** theorem... and at the fringes & center of science. To what hold's; it is vaporous.

Re-organized:

I.)   **Energies**

a.)   *The exchange state is within the original form of the Dirac equation; this is one term.*

b.)   *Spin-orbital displacement and exchange displacement bear a similarity; to kinetic and potential.*

II.)   **Statistics**

a.)   *Therefore for what is a threshold set by the spinwave lattice; there is a principle inversion.*

b.)   *Probabilistically statistics invert; to what is a 'modality like inversion.'*

c.)   *This drives the folding of the Uncertainty Principle and General Covariance.*

d.)   *The Uncertainty Principle and General Covariance inform a folding inward from displacement.*

III.)   **Results**

a.)   *Left aside of anything is but two-time's to observational duplicity.*

b.)   *The containability of the 'auxiliary interaction' implies the interaction is generally covariant.*

c.)   *Indistinguishable lowering's in electromagnetic energy and covariant massful energy is verified.*

So in summary:

1.)   *Measurement assures via superposition a reduction in cost of kinetic and potential energy.*

2.)   *There is a displacement of exchange and spin-orbital from identities of algebraic origin.*

3.)   *Probabilities experience an inversion of characteristic to what is via two-body a covariance.*

4.)   The electric and magnetic field partially invert; to what is an attractive state below the lattice.

5.)   Indistinguishability of lowering's via two time's evoke that of spontaneous symmetry breaking.

And I am tired of this study; but I have a reasonable understanding (or so as I foresee it as)...

First:

1.)   We know the interaction is covariant; for in that of the equilibrium being stable in levitation.

2.)   Therefore we expect a lowering of potential and kinetic energy of an indistinguishable form.

3.)   The comparative model of particle A and particle B for what is measurability forms this connection.

4.)   Therefore we expect a spontaneous symmetry breaking; to which invokes a threshold and inversion.

5.)   Statistics informs that the lowering of energies with the threshold is sourced in a displacement.

Second:

I have reliable theory model building techniques to motivate further discussion – however it is to that of what would be included of a testimony that I begin each section with a reliable experiment and result. It is sufficient that there be two open questions:

1.)   Are there two populations of electron's? - All electron's are effected within a band...

2.)   How does the exchange and factoring effect the 'full electron model'? - Without a modality inversion...

To a substantial shift; I am going to pursue a different direction of inquiry... with only:

1.)   Spin (covariant) & an electric dipole term.

2.)   The particle 'A' and particle 'B' viewpoint.

Displacement is automatic in this model... and an attractive interaction is assurred.

This account's for all the reasons given priorly; so it is also (in addition) two facts are explained:

1.)   The material property of 'Doped-Antiferromagnet.'

2.)   The stable equilibrium of 'Levitation.'

February 13th; 2020

17:49 p.m.

I have made it to a comprehension of my device; and of what it instances. That of two (2) impedance relations are back-to-back the compensation of two diodes in two transistor's; to what is phase and amplitude matching conditions on two 'responses;' then the process of the capacitor-inductor-resistor circuit (miniature) and (circled); that of an algebraic to exponential conversion of impedance relationship. The system therefore expresses to a variance (and potentially of inversion within-ranges) that of two behavior's involving the functions (sn,cn,dn – elliptic & - exponential to alebraic (cos,sin)); that of which the behavior is three-fold. The capacitor and transformer store and retrieve energy to which is either (from above – probed); or from (below) – driven; and to which the middle (drag) term is forced above-threshold to two capacitative behaviors; the system – one of logarithmic differentials or ordinary exponential and decaying solutions bound between two freed relations (one unbound or bound attractor). That of what is a given is that the system will oscillate by in the freed hyperbolic point and bicuspic ordinary concyclic term. This therefore is a system that possesses two generalized ranges; and that of a full five regimes – either one threshold is above or below another of the two simple harmonic oscillators on either side of a slew-progressive deficit; or equivalent; or with five regimes; there is that of both below or both above; the splitting; or that of the splitting below or above that of an intermediate range. This is the maximal capacitation of the equipment as noted; that of what is a Davey-Stewartson equation and that of the AKNS heirarchy and system is converted into a rotunda of Anharmonic Ratio's for what are that of rotational apside, prolate, and oblate freedom... therefore that of the model system as that of two-coupled-but-free-electron's is the model system of which is it's two-body counterpart; in identity to what would be two simple harmonic oscillators to which are freely coupled by a spring-mass or field-field and electron-electron crossover their one forms being conjugate to either logarithmic.

Therefore something has been understood of a two body (in duplicity) system of which is in parallel with that of the preliminary cavity of light and light compass; to which is one in duplicity but of identity and therefore of an automatic degeneracy on what is taken and what is informed of the given. This new and novel system illustrates switchability; and is therefore a unitary rotation of a partial arc around a partial arc conventionally of two freed functional spaces; it's enumerability finite in analytical means; of which description is determined.

The useful sub-teir component and elemental wise analysis are with that of the diode-transistor-operational amplifier; and that of the resistor-inductor-capacitator; with a first ranged analysis given by that of the excess to

deficit in that of sunken impedance integrated with current in either golden rule via the operational amplifier; that of what is algebraic to elliptic and exponential departure; for which either are encompassed in their relationship; a dual contraptual relation on that of chaos-versus-order for which one excess measure determines the system from unbound and chaotic to bound and ordered; as would be so as alone speculated.

The control knob must be understood by a planar analytic and that of Poincare Analysis for all regimes illicit that of some form of chaotic attractor event; and it is to no exception that a piezeoelectric transducer has been inserted; to which may drive or illicit chaos; the refined element of which is a polarization self-similarly corrective to the Kirchoff Loop Rule; on hypersensistivity and refined fine structural constant deteail of the produced attractor; hence it is semi-definitely not a fractal; but a strange attractor; of utility in encoding a 'quantum bit;' at meso-scopic scales; or the conversion of a one dimensional signal into a trimmed two dimensional signal; that of refinement to which is a two body dual system in field and electronic behavior.

10:56 a.m.

I would like to complete at least one of my targets; and this comes in two (2) goals of their departure to what would be expressed:

1.)   Full understanding and characterization of:

    a.)   Device I

    b.)   Device II

2.)   Full comprehension of the logarithmic transformation of threshold's.

For a step on communications and interest; this is a departure to what is sensible in that of the vein of what is 'excellent;' and prepares for that of a Patent Process; to what of my future would bring in money...

As an aside; it is far from a theory of everything *but provides experimental evidence of the limitations of physical theory; and serves as a staple-example on that of implementation of technology...

February 15th; 2020

17:52 p.m.

And I have done it; without that of alone what would be a displacement into two time's *it* is instead to wax the relation a little more thickly to introduce an SU(2) algebra on the transformation; stemming from within of one space to the residual space; for of an equation in a continuum we can exapand by a variable; then of what was f(theta) that of f(theta,phi) and to the -i and +i; the sense of low to high modes on that of Dirac...

18:00 p.m.

And I found a Nahm-AKNS-DS-NSE connection (they depend on similar solution approaches)... to which we must re-classify that of all known soliton equations...

February 16ᵗʰ; 2020

12:08 p.m.

There are two places I would like to place my attention; the first in Chaos and Order and the second in Facts...

1.) Chaos can be controlled via that of a higher dimensional forcing-gap of which in differences of it's major impetus drives two high frequencies to conform to a lower frequency... Second to this is a dimensional argument of which in a 'cap' serves as a regularizing dimension lower but to which reversably alters the Lyaponov exponent's; that of one being less; driving order.

2.) Secondly; that of what is studied in the Logistic Equation is then a tighter fit; to which Chaos may be locally reversed into order; that of by way of which two frequency bifurcations can be 'sealed' into their generative function; the study of linear displacement term's added to the Lagragian of which in the energy space are forced to acquire a 'barrier' on that of small parameter expansions.

February 18ᵗʰ; 2020

20:07 p.m.

To what is success; there are at least two way's to learn: intuitively and deductively, essentially. That of the equipment is a can resonator; of shape in the form of either two connected ellipsoids (a dog-bone); or that of an upside down tube with complex inter-hermetian* uvular flow; the imperative of a contrast at that of what is a two dimensional U wave; and V wave; for their sampling of what is with-analogy a chemistry p-wave on that of a deltoid cap; the reversability of the flow to aperature yet to be defined in intimation to two given's:

1.) That of an interval to interval match on capacitative ductility of electromagnetic current to it's flow of light is freed and freely held by that of the two-unit-interval to parallel overlapping of non-chaotic regime...

2.) That of the constant speed-of-light to which is slowed by ardour of a bivalent reductionism in that of two meter-resonances consonates that of the radical space of co-adjoint and dissimilar two body problems...

20:15 p.m.

By in logical inception and prohibition to an entire world; it is that my device work's cooperatively; for what is a

total-contradiction...

February 19th; 2020

10:04 a.m.

1.)   I have surpassed the non-soliton/soliton like dichotomistic approach; to what is a continuum field theoretic notion at singular bi-reflexive onto antiequivalent & inter-hermitial bases... to what are linear solutions to the difference of equalitative frames; and the interesting contraptual notice of the multi-body.

2.)   To what is questioned; why does this reconcile the perturbation theory; and to what extension?

3.)   For then (in what are sets); and metricity; how to a point is the refinement of a graph-or-teir-like chain decomposable into what are two differential notions – that of singular and in-multiplicity* root to radical formation of an algebraic quotient space; for in light of what **exclusively** are winding numbers...?

4.)   I require to re-include the Lie differential paper; that of which was revolutionary to-me from then the constraint on what was included of the 'measurement problem' 'Comparative Equivalence' paper. Examining it like the following: to a context of what is unprohibited; we necessitate to take the essential argument from the 'measurement problem' and apply it to Representation Ipseity Freedom; therefore producing two papers...

13:24 p.m.

There is that of a fit (and hope therein found) to resolve the dichotomy of multibody mechanics and that of the quantum/relativistic problem when it is considered that a logarithm and it's twin commute algebraically.

13:51 p.m.

To what is separational and separated; it is that a Lie differential will commute with a one-form differential that there are two produced solutions in the superposition eigenvalue/eigenvector language; that of different time's to which are in space; different spaces, then that with immediacy there is a 'jump' in that of the then integrated subject; that of by way of which a Lie differential will moderate within analogy at a one form an emptiness to the contrast of spatial occlusion to what is instead (and in it's place) a differently established functional type. For in light of what are the differential then presumptive of an equivalence to zero; this one basic synthetical approach *separates* the two body problem into different one body problem's; of which when analyzed are upon a different locality by what is priorly established; that of what is one problem for two then examples of problem; that of which the connective by equivalence ''from above'' is rational to the production of a functional counterargument that the quantum process is dual or in contradiction to exception with the relativistic. This therefore accomplishes the following: *"Two particles to what are treated individually equally follow the rules of quantum mechanics; despite the*

fact that either there may be more than one particle; or that of relativity taken in light of a multiplicity of frames." This form of dis-entrainment fundamentally shatters the mold of physics upon what is a unified viewpoint; for it makes a clear distinction between that of the statistical properties (Quantum) and that of the Relativistic (Vantage, Viewpoint, and Representation). For what is qualitative; that of independence of physical law on-the-level of **two** theories is then a given foundation of factual recompense at departure; and that of the *emptiness of physical law as it applies to the particle and frame is a guarantee... Either emptiness then in turn re-compositionally insuring that of the other.*

14:36 p.m.

To what is the *extra* 'Proper Time' to serve for conversion; the superposition principle becomes satisfied because *now* that the dichotomy of particle and particle is reconciled; Lorentz boosts commute into a form of two solutions for either particle; to which there are two viewpoints; that of the production of a new view:

1.) The solution strictly with one particle and it's frame is conjugate to what is one particle and it's frame as un-solidified of superposition; therefore of two; what is either in it's regularized particle picture.

2.) That of the solution with both boosted is potentiated; but there is with and without that of a superposition; the particles are known to be imically 'entangled' therefore that of action at a distance.

For what is either viewpoint; that of what is one viewpoint then with the other; the 'Relativity Picture' and the 'Particle Picture;' there are two outcomes: that of solidification of empty relations between particles (non-interfering statistics) or that of an equivalence principle; the whole into which things occur being greater than the sum of it's parts. This leaves physical law empty of particle and frame foundationally; as the final say.

The vanishing of the Lie differential holds correspondence with that of the manifest Lorentz compliment...

February 20th; 2020

16:23 p.m.

I have found the equations which dictate the non-linear system of the device; and need to improvise a given paper.

February 22nd; 2020

15:52 p.m.

One thing that is definite is that as the original Dirac equation reveals explicitly zero eigenvalues; and the frame representation appended to the differential term only admits explicit interior gauge transformations; it is to this first term (not mass) that the alteration occur by which the logarithm is exposed.

16:02 p.m.

1.) Is the reduced & proper time generative of an equivalence on the level of superposition to agreement?

2.) Or does the superposition under logarithms generate the two particle agreement of eigenvalue's, etc...?

3.) Either way; this would conform to Shared Proper Time and the Manifest Lorentz Complimentarity...

Especially if all of the above are in agreement...

4.) The generative notion is that the operators in one picture may not commute entirely and explicitly as an assumptive with each other; therefore that of their eigenvalues in a two-body problem will be non-zero and the particles; interfering; hence the endeavor of making the operators commute under superposition places these as zero into which the solution into one-body solutions is direct because of the logarithm's; to which the electrons are non-interfering; and of the relation of zero in eigenvalues by commutation of the operators...

February 23rd; 2020

16:10 p.m.

There are only two things I can do for that of my physics; either:

1.) I can replace the field theory with a SU(2) algebra; to convey a set of admixtures of superposition.

Or:

2.) I can retire to that of strict summation; by which particles are absent from the SU(2) algebra.

Something tells me that 'quantum tunneling' carries a caveat; that anomoulous states of admixtures of different space-time's and quantum states are not forbidden... This would therefore lay the dichotomy on states and composure one layer deeper; and may be required to explain some 'distant' reductive outcomes.

On account of probabilities and relativistic frames there are **at least** two dimensions in which the relative outcomes are from independent die; for there are two forms of relatively contracted momentum term; to which what is; must also contain it's limitations; there cannot be a direct limitation of a one-variable group term...

16:31 p.m.

Therefore I am abandoning that of the physical and mathematical picture of a one-way switch on that of the description and moving instead to one which includes a 'relativistic' to 'particle' picture transition... It is with this that identities such as the Yang Mills comes out after all... and the Spin Statistics can be admitted into a

continuum; besides, it is required for that of the solution to the NLS-AKNS-DS-YM and so on as varieties of solution & problem; the **most physical** interpretation is therefore what I seek; but nothing more can explain that of the ductility of motion of a superconductor and a magnet; the solution otherwise being forbidden...

17:55 p.m.

And I am struck by it actually-being an SU(2) algebra; for the sake that "Shared Proper Time" can co-vary in a certain way with the (2) observables... these being **each** a two dimensional Complex group. It is necessary to invoke the SU(2) because otherwise the Quantum Postulate is lost... and the relative would lack in isometry what it has to make up for in terms of identity. That of a group to which the Equivalence Principle plays a role then takes on a continuum; for what are adequately **many** states of equivalent measurement properties fill the gap from the foundation (isolation) to the top (boundary free particles)...

18:02 p.m.

There are **two** solutions to the logarithmic 'Principle Equivalence' and 'Principle Comparativeness' of which intimate the 'bridge' from one space to the other... thereby the measurement can rely on that of degeneracy in the SU(2) space of which algebraically intimates that they had differed by phase in four dimensional space. This reduction is therefore that we may interchange particles with no effect to the system; and find's only vague impression on that of the measurement hypothesis; for there is phase and order; and of which these intimate a connective; it is to that of a multiplicity of measurement-aimed results which by appearances are identical. What this means will take further investigative work; but it is the reason the system factors in the first place. I do not know what else it means but that non-determinism cannot be ruled out entirely either.

18:20 p.m.

This explains a 'continuum' of measurement and reconciliation with the particle and relativistic viewpoint; that it is capacitated that there be a 'subspace' in which phase groups dictate idempotence of measurables and what is freed is inertia; the rotation of a superconductor around a magnet in space a valid example...

18:57 p.m.

And there are three pieces of evidence to point to; to indicate the SU(2) algebra is warranted:

1.) Local isotropic rotation of a magnet and superconductor.

2.) Two solutions to the logarithmic transformation/identity.

3.) The capacity of soliton solutions to possesses phase conservation.

February 24ᵗʰ; 2020

16:05 p.m.

Two questions that were manifest with me; after that of understanding the reduction to two coupled Non-Linear Shrodinger Equation's; were:

1.)   What happens to the Uncertainty Principle?

2.)   Does this explain attraction between the electrons?

The original question was: what does this mean for the electron picture and it's results?

16:22 p.m.

This is the answer to the question of the 'missing attraction' - due to – what is left then apart of which is the whole; exemplified by the reduction to an 'equivalence' principle... the 'stuck out' part of which is an interaction being what is remainder-of-zero (*o*); when there-is reduction to identity of inertial and relativistic mass;... something cleaves the relation having to do with charge-spin separation...

16:54 p.m.

Sources of the NLSE in the guidance of electron/photon coupling per the argument in the paper. Without going to something auxiliary; it seems to be guidance that the inherent De'Broglie wavelength is less than the Compton wavelength – speaking in loose terms – but that more precisely to the point; this indicates the Uncertainty relation is under a 'threshold reversal' - that pointedly two things hold true; with an 'either...'

1.)   The uncertainty is below it's critical field evaluation such that the electron's are 'trapped'.

2.)   Relativity is inverted; to which v/c becomes 1-v/c; and reflections collectively occur prior reception.

The NLSE is therefore a representation of what happens when time is shifted beyond-limitation; to say (per-se); that the mirror is case to like-charges attracting... the NLSE a representation of probability reciprocation.

Either:

a.)   The electron's experience another's potential as inverted to the declaration of what is global for local.

b.)   This is an impression of a stereographic impression of bound states by that of acausation covered first initially by causation; the reversal of action and reaction... beyond which what is similar attracts as if it were

330

opposite in charge characteristic.

There are a few things to review:

1.) Superconductivity had been described as owing due to Shared Proper Time exceeding the local impression of ordinary revolution of time; therefore of probabilistic 'inversion' to which a second operation of the local became global and the global became the local as v/c to 1-v/c in retrospect of a mirror of spin.

2.) Then it was seen that the explanation of superconductivity was owing due to probabilistic inversion from replusion and attraction outweighing comparative exclusion of global for local; to a retarded interaction.

17:40 p.m.

Clearly;

1.) Shared proper time and space outweighs that of ordinary atemporary of time under a probabilistic equivalence; for the diminishing and the outweighing are interchanged under the two-body interaction.

2.) The electromagnetic interaction is inverted; by what is the Pauli exclusion principle too; to which E&M reverses; for the sake of what is driven and what is driving; that of one, spin, for the other, charge.

This is therefore a result of:

a.) The probabilistic outcomes of quantum mechanics are independent of relativistic interpretation and representation; for what are two particles; their two-body interaction includes terms that owing to the identity of the particles impose validity to the statement that an equivalence principle merely intimates an empty & reversible connective; namely that: the two particle picture is independently held to what is that of relativity.

b.) That of a quantum lattice of spin's; project's the particles to exchange repulsion of disconnection of electricity from magnetism and it's replacement by spin (magnetic and electric interaction); this generates a layer of a 'mirroring' of the electromagnetic interaction because the spin statistics also divide symmetrically to the charge; a layer is generated of spontaneous symmetry breaking below which interaction's are attractive.

February 25th; 2020

01:50 a.m.

There is a sense in which what must be explained (has been); for in that of 'shared proper time' outweighing for what is a diminishment in 'ordinary proper time' & space; measurement is articulated 'to' rather than a deduction of 'from' a necessitated element of two (2); hence what is instead of large and small being of then an inversion; it is

that what is far and near is inverted for then in uncertainty; and more certain the larger apart the bodies; and of what is equidistant; this remaining the same; there is that of more necessitated time during the interval of (1):v/c becoming (2):1-v/c instead; reasoned this way; the quantum over the relative.

1.) The fact that with one reason it is speculated that measurement 'entrain' is to vantage what is the reversion of a statistic; that what is electromagnetic may interchange is then a second pillar of disposition.

2.) The fact that with two reasons it is speculated that measurement (the result) is equiparititioned into new set's forces the objection that we require that of a carriage of it's furtherest on electricty and magnetism.

This forces the conundrum once-deeper to which is that the electromagnetic interaction must be seen to invert by the 'global' condition of topology; eq. (2); to which what is given is presented as measurement ensembles inverting in their statistic; then of what is an end result on that of the nonlinear determinant equation of wave; that the precept of vantage goes in reverse; and what is co-accomodating shifts to a second body out.

03:06 a.m.

Reversibly; it is tantamount to take for what of independence of one body from another under probabilistic outcomes results in lesser so than that which is under ordinary terms – greater – for of accomplishment then of what is imputed; the direct antecessor receives in greater compendium of accord for of what is lesser so it's strict inequality of given; the reaction therefore of a second peer is greater in accomodation from what is lesser so of that of activity upon the directly adjacent relation of it's valuation in the compendium of event's; to which what is better than a departure to one given; is recipiently to depart to deliver in return a greater.

14:58 p.m.

The device holds:

1.) A Black Body

2.) An Echo Chamber

3.) A Slip-Skirt

4.) A Vacuum

These are in resonance and balanced against one another within what is the machine state advanced. One needs that of a theory of analytic treatment of inter-via and intra-via connective to a line like analysis with what are topological and intervia considerations of insertion and dialation to aperature. Going back to the line like analysis reveals that the stated propogation is back and fore; for what are that of detail to hyper-bolic wave; and that

332

therefore the system is ellipticly under a rejection of divisional frequency; to what is a deconstructive wave (else) where; then to what is digitally synoptic of a F/Q flip flop for analog P/P... owing due to the nature of the wave structure. For certain frequencies the derivative rejection quotient makes the finitistic reduction in what is chaos to order; for then an elementary treatment requires one exponent less than it's input and output transmission and reception. Overall contraptually what is sent and received is transmitted by then a 'via' back-buffer to it's recipiency at the (co)local point of adapative flow; a pinch. This 'pinch' generates a negative reflux to what is a negative phase discriminant on that of the ordination of the flux flow; such that electric and magnetic flows are in operation like an electromagnetic interferometric gear. The control of the flow entrains an entrapment field for then in what is negatively transconductive.

15:21 p.m.

There is essentially an 'ordered – ordinary method of interpretation' - and an 'unordinary method...'

16:00 p.m.

Prescriptively the co-determinant of two is one nor three; (exclusive) to what is five – under causal connection between (any uvualar) three [space] and time (to 2 – **two**) reductive – symbolic – gesturing and coordinality of (1) to (seven insterstitial – three/four set (under repeated reduction a truncation...) / that of (four/two) to what is [5].6 (five contained in six of dice;) therefore of randomness; via a connected walk that of a three-fold disconnective/connective to appealate by jurisdictional two walk and one-peg step or positional limitation of spatial (2-dimensional) manifold – or – to what is interpretatively by reduction – nor – that of under any one process of cleaving (symbolic limitation of three (3)) – an orbit stem & truncation; that of quasiperiodicity of ordination 2' (perp) that of previously advantaged and over-come obstacle to court under limited randomness.

Therefore; by appeal to three space and one time; *or* two [time's] that of the rear-projective dilemma is inter-alia closed to a surrounding net of a threshold & via territorial limitation of precessional pre-advantage of the flow; to what is a disconnected net to net graph; under any dimension; for fulfillment is localized within a two topos (topological barrierless) elliptic point of manifold winding (-1) under a connective to (+/-2) numbered conditional on the power set; that of a free radical under continued exponentiation the separation of 2 from 3.

Therefore under the condition of what is randomness and quasiperiodicity it is determined via that of the once physical observation of random forcing of potential-to-collimation of elliptic variety that order can be advanced to control chaos; and eliminably by randomly cast die; segmentationally sequester that of ordering.

The 0 (zero) is null-reductive; therefore for what is affirmation of motion freedom; the conversion of random data sets into order is genuine; and a deep philosophical counterpoint to a chaotic world; in fact as it's then corrolary; this net-net *is the statement that a cooperative action of ordering precedes chaos in any reciprocal dynamical system*

& is indeed a derivation of seamless quotient byproduct of a multiplicative summand(end).

February 26th; 2020

09:57 a.m.

To code; I comprehend merely as it were that of two incorporative dissimilarities and enqueuement's.

i.)   Of necessity for the device's; a complimentary action (able) pre-tense need be taken by an individual.

ii.)   The stack is any enquequed symbolic 1-1 identifier to peer-/-ping intimation of address space in total.

iii.)   This is to (co-)determination of the enqued relation of individual stochastic presumptively non-random basis set's; of what is a single-step to reductive hypothetical in-utterance of two undivided reactives.

To code:

1.)   I understand the 3,2[1].0 etc.. of a preliminary; and the ping and chip; that of reprogrammibility... and a safety to the spark/plug of a ratched division and non-/-divisive (non)exceptionably insistant preliminary.

2.)   I understand 'go' lingo; for what is machine state symptomology to 'via', 'nibble' and it's conversion into and of causal relation in verso to the qubit V4 Klein group of a un-departed and permanence in quotient.

11:42 a.m.

For of what I have learned there are two given 'parititions of addressment;' as to lies and what are held of the things of self we must do away with...

1.)   One; that of people depart to 'other' 'considerations upon their differing truth.

2.)   Two; examination of the departure affords tolerance, way, and path to do away with the undesired part's of self one encounters; by contradistinction and err under compensation to a given congruence.

18:07 p.m.

I took a ~5 hour or so nap; and got reasonable rest; then to accompany the (2x) tobacco I got with entry to an 'addict phase' - to which being as-forewarned is 'to be' the possessor of it's knowledge; then to innatured truth...

There are three or (four) phases to follow with the equipment:

1.)   Plan and efficate that of wiring negotiability and management.

2.)   Comprehend version 2.0 with version 3.0 in that of a subtitling.

3.)   Understand within the Smith chart if diffeomorphisms manage infinitive or disconnected mean interval of a unitary basis – asking the question "Is it the connective or the digraphical relation of circuit implement?"

4.)   Understand it as magnetic or potentially electric connection and disconnection of wave relation.

February 27th; 2020

08:19 a.m.

What at least is true of the Device (v. 3.5) is that by interferometric gear (up/down) like relation: Chaos for what is pre-determinantive recurrence is prohibited in the intimation of what is two secular sequences of a ratchet and pell like mechanism. One randomly occluded bit like relation therefore (in)compressibly fold's the dichotomy of quasiperiodicity into it's direct reduction – order is produced from what is a stochastic random set. That of what is equivalence for then in probabilistic element-wise reduction; plausibly intimates then the connective to which is reduction in qualitative exponent. This apparently occurs only by that a dimension 'U' cap on the center then driven point by way of element construction; and to that of light like pinch point guidance; to suggest that there are two (2) mechanisms of it's instrumentation; to which what is corrective is merely an extrapolation of the guidance understood by being off-a-quarter-wave; that of the interferometric relation of the wavelength to what are two 'guide's;' that there are two guides being similarly pre-dispossesive of what are a diode and capacitor, transformer and transistor. So it is alikened to what would be the differing element of a randomly driven element segmenting by that of a transpirative locus; on what is an inverted aperature to it's Lyoponov exponent; the driving sheave function of which comorbidifies on the side of strength. To the locus; what is presented is that a multiplicative manner of computational valuation is co-occurrent with a division of frequency; that the elliptic nature of the filter disposes to rejection of anomoly.

09:09 a.m.

The finitistic and determined 'path' of (in)-congruent segment's apportionately is a [quotient] of remainder arc-length intervals of one (1). Hence working with Dedekind cut's; a segmented domain and simplical net is bisected and understood as a set of their connected-hypothetical. That of analytical treatment is therefore potentiated into information science for what is mathematical study of a space with a graph. This connective determines with-a-quotient it's remainder-sum and multiplicative complexity; of the order of bi-sectioning and their commensurate (and incommensurate) relations of derivative space and residual. When examined; the question of which-for-what is determinable on on that of a directix for then in equation's; the subsidiary curve therefore analytically understood as a set & compendium of ratioal bi-sectional groupings; to which a theory is derived of naturalized pathologies and surrounding context of liberation by in character set; the form of the mind; and the mentation at a

background within-this-context; answerable; to pose the question of connectedness radically and non-superficially as a dense intimation on what is plausible of curve intermittency and determination of quotient bases. The idea that we can 'radicalize' a space; explodes the return of then the affronted question of reductionism on graphs and ideal spaces; to which functions take on a new character. For what is derivative; the study of for what would be held of a continuum is therefore reducible to it's element's; and structurally decompositional or compositional of the emptiness of the theory of mathematics.

February 29th; 2020

17:13 p.m.

So; for what is a dn function or dn squared; the head space is squeezed on that of the entropic advancement then to what is a sine or a cosine with noise; multiplied; to which the entropic contribution of noise is reduced instead of enhanced; through correlation; it is an automatic outcome that correlations in noise are eliminated for of what in favor is a lower frequency with Lyaponov exponent undivergent in the collimated attractor.

Questions to ask:

1.) Does this reliably introduce Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking, and if so; how?

2.) Is it typical of all three Regime's that Chaos is diminshed to produce Order?

3.) If the answer to 2.) is 'no;' or 'yes;' How do the Regime's qualitatively differ?

17:29 p.m.

Taking the precept of what is magnetism; that of one pole can feed through in such a manner as to produce revolutionary 'tightening;' as to suggest the moment-moment coupling (with or without the presence of another) is negative when parallel in the energy and dynamical space. The result is that when either hold's a nonlinear receptivity to a third-moment; this third moment can introduce an anomaly; that the held-together configuration will possess an even-lower topological energy U in the landscape; to which is that what is produced is elementally greater than the sum of it's part's dynamically; it would then be to suggest that a cooperative motion (for what is a capacitor and inductor) cross coupled; diminish either electronic valency.

This would be entirely and 100% consistent with the equations of the line like analytical approach; hence put simply; sending two wire like line wave's down in accordant parallel rails; will strictly speaking lower the energy of the conveyance; improving fidelity; and adding to the emphasis of coherence over bases of noise; a behavior which is directly related to the NLSE and AKNS system and DS equations in accord with their mathematical attrition-by-deficit; on that of popularization of mode's and eigenspace/eigenvalue applications.

17:42 p.m.

Interestingly; for the sake that there **is** a capacitor and there **is** an inductor; the result of mutual rails; to what are transistors; despite a 90 degree turn of capacitation in the transistor basis ensures that normality in the functioning of the timing of the residual and nomentive signal foundation of the operational amplifiers.

Owing due to this; it is an equivalent measure to which the nonlinearity is transaffinitive in then it's cycling.

23:30 p.m.

It is getting later; and I have identified eq. (1) with wave function collapse; and eq. (2) with decoherence; to which decoherence precedes as an active process (of measurement to result); and wave function collapse acts after and priorly; but follows that of the process (of measurement to result). That of these equations can be explained as that of measured results leading to decoherence and it being the inseparability of identities that results in 'collection;' *the collective and the singular;* or that of coherence; to which is wave function collapse.

1.)   The non-linear Shrodinger Equation is involved in a Spin-Statistics theorem because two behavior's are interwoven; that of equivalence spatial and temporal balance to division of a particle into a charge-like and a spin-like massive weight in either re-action and action. Thus collections of what are spin and statistic as related to orbit in equipoise and equivalent posing of balance are in relation to space and what was time... Either therefore block's on that of the accelerative & the repulsive; to a velocimetric displacive continuum.

March 1st; 2020

03:59 a.m.

I found a number of interesting 'items:'

1.)   Write codexes: ie50, ad22, 12bc, & abcd. Post & Receive alone with Oragami-like-5th-O-ind*ii*xes.

2.)   Notice caladeria years, months, weeks, and days in that of calculation in relation to November 18th.

3.)   Update to 3:41 a.m. (Agreement!); to final determination; keyless language codex & correlation.

(Time is a 'hidden' 5th dimension of which is non-existent and secure... to a Linguistic Protocol...)

Then: Device: See what could be built here and now with v. 2.0 and v. 3.0 && Characterize *Both...*

a.)   Thresholds...

b.)   Battery is 'out' and (with)in 5th dimension as 'clicker…'

c.)   Therefore Ghost Wire; and switches; Optical Chamber to Build…

d.)   i/o [check] of determination to placement ext.(ernal) with Optics/Switches…

e.)   Memory Element (explain…)

f.)   Try examples of Vacuum, Black Body, Switch Sleeve (Slip Skirt), Echo Chamber connectives…

g.)   Wiring Test and Releasibility… (last switched light yellow and tan grey)… Resulted in Containment…

04:47 a.m.

Plan II:

Item One: Texas A&M; learn Japanese.

*** Need Languages (from above) To Program Supercomputer…

. Build Optics; Test With Disc.

. Hao Mhong & Tetris; Linguistic & Of Part & Piece & Peaceable Sense… Through Computer Proof System.

/ 'Each' is the alternative of 'Both' & 'Either.'

04:52 a.m.

I am confident I am engaged; as two to what is one selectively had (did!) make a decision… 01:11 registered!?!

"A closed opening to the future pre-exists capacitation by one relation alone; for what is an antecessitorial & undeficited maximal uvual connective; any two points bireflexively are locable as reverse directrix and locus."

March 2nd; 2020

21:09 p.m.

So; to what is recourt of an arrow of apogetic limitation; to what is measured of it's furthest extremal (and we assume something) trajectory; that of an isoclininc action of spatial and temporal congruence; differently is establishable; and established in turn for mathematical expression; of what is coextension of a vehicle burn and discharge point-mass summative and distinctual trace elemental combustion process; under co-determined variances; the mean; an included totality of their de-natured separation under parts; to three in two of whole to

given arc-width-aperature and derived end isoclinic departure to in one; spatial and temporal freed limit.

March 3rd; 2020

08:59 a.m.

The SR Nand and SR Xor latch with a Hold present an obstacle and Memoric Return; then the Question as to if the Optical Assembly should be external; in relation to either a Programmable Unit or that of a Entirely new Circuit, External to a Wired assembly and extrapolation; to Abridgement or For what-was Whether then it should be Inside... The answer to what is Optical that of light freely passive; and Electrical active; to these; the statement that the 'active' should precede the 'passive;' for in the two to potentiate electricity and that of magnetism in the Potential Cavity; that of Light to Reciprocate must follow what is one; that of the Optical.

The question is as to if one parallel in the optical to difference would encourage an eight bit to then eight bit registry to 'shift;' of what is four nibbles; that of a two word length in separable input and output; there are then in a four folded Nonlinear Shroedinger Equation a full four variable sets; to non-commutation or given commutation; the containment of regularization of randomness then of light fitting through what is electricity.

16:12 p.m.

My device was a success; then one 'layer' or more appropriately - 'line' further in a progression built on that of the priorly presented one; to be questioned perhaps; but to know that of the manner in which it did prog-ress; that of the v. 3.0 a touchscreen analyzer; freed to inclusion in a supercomputer concept 'organizational' schema; and a v. 3.5 b. that of an interferometric gear; to inclusion of the CPU of a PC somewhat later to the enablement of power; and empowerment; after a drop; then to machine state; a program walked off the radar.

So; escapement proceeds by yet departure found on that of security netted; and the computer is further safe.

A regress is known as literal; and suggestive of an indication at directionality of power and divorcement to life; then of a mind; a self can exist anywhere; in any thing; and the body is whole within what is known...

21:50 p.m.

It had been a reference of 1:55:50 then in 5.[6] & then of 21:50:00 of [2].1 to containable and uncontainable non-difference to exceptionable q; for each; a construct of left up right and out; to self; the return of two; for an entry of two; then to limitation of set theoretic spatial list quotient; a result of the item to utility; it's only given; that of what is assembled (ly) and constructed itemized computational machine evidence of certainty.

So; any two uncontainable and containable cross dissimilarities of a temporal past-future oriented 'surface' give the result in computation of [2] (two); for what is the number of their causal finite collapsement's of net-net

quotient and difference; an 'either' and a 'both' of indication on queue; without up or down stream exceptionable evidentiary elimination; to freed contact; a formation; not a form; therefore factually driven; it is this to which is a CMOS register entitled graph theory; and that of the net-net collapsement of memory.

March 7th; 2020

13:10 a.m.

For note; there are four way's of getting the Nl.Sh.Eq. out of the circuit:

1.)   Second partial on a voltage to which is with the Capacitor and Inductor in storage of an electro-magnetic wave represents the two line like analyses.

2.)   Second partial on a voltage to which represents the transconductance of the Transistor and Diode is inclementally that of the operational amplifier design in two; of their elementwise furtherance.

3.)   Two line like analysis under parallel and co-parallel disjoint and adjoint furtherance are the overlapping of a step on that of the Hermite Differential; the pure wire.

4.)   That of two high-pass filters for what are the elemental wise component and element of the en masse component's are that of the second differential; with self focusing by that of negative impedance of the Diode.

This is exactly as the equipment is functioning currently; for a variety of behaviors; but with no Confinement observed just as of yet; and no void circular state – for it is potentiated the piezoelectric transduscer acted as a 'buffer' to mutual negative impedance on both input rails; an abridgement of which is selective in then a frequency range. There will need to be some review of electronics to gain headway on what was done recently. And for that of the device; it is currently similar to what was; although it was noted that no leads are crossed in the current design to which *may have been priorly. That of re-configuration should be noted.

March 8th; 2020

17:26 p.m.

I have retained the non-linear element of the device in it's operation; to what are impartially semi-soliton's on that of the naturalized frequency pairs. For instance, when frequencies are small; overtones develop; to which are analogous to soliton packet's. The solution remains that of (am,sn,cn,dn); although it is somewhat vague as to which portion's will produce the inertial confinement witnessed earlier; as is to be sought after. I am confident that the wiring is adequate; although there is some asymmetry in channel one and two; to which will require understanding the wiring detail*; and to which there are a variety of translations inclusive. So; it is down to a decision of mapping and wiring (hookup) on that of the exploration of the device in instance v. 4.0. The device

apparently no longer exhibits an active admixture of chaos and noise; but it is sensitive to that of the trigger used for analysis. I expect that with time; and moderate gestures at tuning; it will be illustrative of a wide variety of behaviors; to suggest that what I have remains an interferometric gear. There will be some tuning on that of what behaviors are to be expected from a variety of test run's a day soon from now; it is a matter of collecting results from that of a regular test methodology on that of the equipment; and from this; to extrapolation to regimes within the Nl. Sh. Eq. Or their coupled counterparts for one or two.

16:19 p.m.

After realizing the Nl.Sh.Eq.('s) that I arrive at are indeed soluable; one searches for a comparable linearized problem; to which it is apparent the quadratic term and the log differential are related. For of one is the immomentary and immediate curvature; and the curvature of the quadratic term is linear... to which the log differntial of the quadratic term in linear. That of a linear forcing maps a non-linear model to a linear model therefore. It should not be lost that the linear to non-linear mapping is bireflexive; for that of the equivalence of viewpoints and references. This statement intimates many connectives in 'general covariance' of terms. So it is that two viewpoints of equivalence in-timing's are related non-linearly through a linear mapping to what is a phase getting ahead of a phase; and recapitulating the difference of order for chaos; by that of the two frequencies (higher); orchestrating a solid mode below. Hence there are at least two points of discussion for to be tailored at the end (commensurate) of my paper on superconductivity, solitons, and spin-waves:

1.)   The direct insight to which order precipitates order; and chaos; order; for in that of order preceding chaos in this world; to causal system's and that of the divergence exponent's; to which is control of chaos.

2.)   The notion of separability into systems; by which a transformation and pair of differential operators relax the notion of the Nl. Sh. Eq. ('s) into a solution set of which is tailored to linear solution heirarches.

What must be kept in mind is that of the Equivalence Principle and Complimentarity Invariance as relations.

19:25 p.m.

To what is order to precipitate chaos in situ; there is a phase discriminant on that of two closely placed high frequencies; to which possess an overtone by in a measure less than a threshold set by 1.) An abridged device relation; and 2.) An overtone frequency to which illustrates a greater gain; when two conditions hold true:

1.)   A measure of frequency within one pattern of component-configuration is within measure of that of a differential output of another component-configuration running in tandem; the manifestation of a congruence.

2.)   The phase phase discriminant precipitates that of a gain on the lower frequency; to what is dissonant for then in that of global spatial congruence at a larger (domain) like consideration; the precept of secularity.

341

So it is that when this occur's there is an information 'bump' on entropy; forcing the lower (hyrbid) frequency to (contain) the higher frequencies within a product of ordered dynamics and re-ordered Lyaponov exponents.

For this to occur; the requisite in a two-part or three-part system of active and passive dynamics requires:

a.)   Two regularizing co-participative agent's to discriminant behavior in threshold and interval of application; to which one representationally of two interval's in frequency and measure are less than a conservation.

b.)   One gain measure to which demonstratively & preferentially adhere's to the lower frequencies in situ.

22:08 p.m.

This method; (of which is dual and contraptual of frequency information flowing through) is to be contrasted with that of the method a dimensional barrier; that of a cap of a lower dimension on what is recurrent; to state that the afforded method of control may either emanate from that of a frequency within threshhold nature or that of a modal analysis of affordance to limitation on bidirectional growth rate(s) of apportion.

Thus a dimensional (2d for example) boundary may afford that of once the reduction in a system to a lower Lyaponov net-net exponent; as a general method; that of topology then affordant of a 'larger graph' into which what is a 'flowing-part' slows in it's trajectory; and de-phases; to the production of an ordered relation.

March 15th; 2020

10:25 a.m.

I am confident I can get 'containment' working again; for what I have noted is that of the following series of event's:

1.)   That of the series of soliton-like wave-packets transfer and 'pinch' on that of energy-focal-ranges for what is the departure from one mode 'into an adjacent well.' - And that this behavior is general.

2.)   That of the piezoelectric transducer merely added a buffer; to which a 'load' can be assumed and it indeed makes the effect of 'confinement' stronger – in no way destroying it's determination at order.

March 16th; 2020

03:52 a.m.

A solution was found to that of the eigenspinor/eigenvalue/eigenvector problem of the Nonlinear-Shrodinger equation. My emotions are beside me; and I wanted to drink; but it played to little importance. And I understood

that 'death' is a foundation to what is a 'moral' then in what is learned; for of levity to choices; it is necessarily the motive of 'evil' to 'larken' to what is singular and individual relationships; and that there is little of a 'war' between light and darkness; but that what-is the pristine good is that which keep's these in balance. That there are three kinds of people; those that harken to the 'darkness;' and abide within to an aside; those that appeal to the darkness; and those that appeal to the light. And that what is unbent of a relation is known by that of what is the moral taught by subsiding; that with these reliant good's; there is within addition that of the good of 'sharing' and 'giving;' but that it is conceivable to 'be' with a retired gesture – that even if one does not give and share – there is mention of the self; and that this is in keeping with moral uprightness and authority. Hence there are no 'evil mind's;' within addition because there are other observer's. I found that my solution in (sn,cn) and an exponential cycle makes of the solitons a dual (two variable) indication at what looks to be the solutions on the oscilloscope. It would be good to obtain a few results:

1.)   It would be interesting to know of what frequencies depart to consolidation within certain threshold's.

2.)   It would be good to base a study of soliton-soliton interaction upon this foundation of interactions.

3.)   It would then be a potential to consider ways to modify the circuit & to come to that of confinement.

12:08 p.m.

I don't have much more else to do for that of the solution set; finding it was an (sn) on (exp(-i\hat{\omega}) type of argument with a *different* Lorentz & Galilean invariant wave argument on the elliptic sn function. These solutions match that of the device; to which it is certain produces elliptic and on-frequency solutions; of the variety of which match a boundary condition on that of two driving frequencies; their admixtures either that of omega_1+/-omega_2 or some combination; set self-consistently...

March 17th; 2020

00:23 a.m.

As akin to the manner in which space and time 'fold' to create a finite circle from an infinitely long one; when an orbit is analyzed of a straight line in a curved space & time; as when superconductivity is manifest; the fi-nitely long line of interaction 'folds' to produce an infinite orbit in the curved space & time of the interaction. That is to say that the antipodal relation hold's; and that the less-than-unity normalization group of the spin; (to which is four dimensional) *relaxes* the orbital constraint to it's-fullest; that of a gauge group then to which is negative in conversion of magnetic becoming electronic and electronic becoming magnetic; with a reduction from the speed of light rather than an accumulation *to* the speed of light; as if participating on the other side of a mirror. This demonstration states that all additional that is required is exchange of field for particle pro-perties; and that of the charges will attract within the ranges of a standard deviation; there being two wave li-ke frequencies and

343

wavenumber's the result of a phase difference congruent with conversion to angular coord…

06:51 a.m.

Primitive(s) (goals…)

1.)   Build Optical Interface with loop connective and disconnective (test) I/O; (that's how we're going to contain the quBit) & add programmability (if an addendum to PWM) via that of a Raspberry Pi…

2.)   Restore to functionality and (test &) that of the Touchscreen to Preservation and Posterity… skipping that of the (plausible but negative default for a quarter rotation in Order of sound) insertion of ultrasonics.

3.)   Write-up of Superconductivity and Finalize (over a rotund 3 week order) – that of the Linguistic overtone at a Language & Code of Rosetta formulation; for exclusive 'nand' up-table importation of English.

07:26 a.m.

Connectively; that of introduction of a quasi-neutrality (Killing & Null Covariance Field) is super-imposable for then in the relation of the Touchscreen to Output via the Digital Homology Class of the Field Identifier of my Device v. 4.0; hence prescriptively (design complicity afforded); that of re-connection may produce results which are positive to that of Grain and Miniature Indication of Point like Spread Functions & Classifications.

08:28 a.m.

Besides the two behaviors noted at 10:25 on March 15th; there was that of the question as to the plausibility of residual structure to which was notedly decoherent; but to which order was remaining subsumptive to the question of preservation of the nature of the 'attractor' and the known of correct connectivity and operation.

To a test function; that of elliptic (freed frequency and wavenumber) quantifier's segregate to the information context of dual bases; and for what function's; it is intimated the difference qualitatively is an improvement when not connected to the transducer; for that of what is equivalent means of questionable design functional relation and answerable condition of reception and co-determination at oddity; for what the prior implement discerned; that of the (in)opportune mentionable inclusion of two secular determinants was outside regulation.

14:34 p.m.

That of what was the '(in)opportune … secular determinant's' is that of transient effects of an impure and ad-mixed relation; to which it would be indeterminable at that of a match between experiment and theoretical input; that of what is analysis revealing that of a form as the same as the electronic state in that of a super-conductor for the electron's within the pair state. It was measured and determined that an 'inward-outward' (transverse) oscillation

344

is occurrent in the system; to what is a concyclicity at that of departures from that of the one way state's of an orthogonal state. This means there is a circular and ellipsoidal (forward-backward) translation as to 'shaking' as a longitudinal wave; transverse to the two directions orthogonally shared between the two electron's and current's; therefore the alternating current is operational in a trimodal-like state. That of what is considerate at that of two electron's is within the structure of a finite gap therefore because the longitudinal oscillation (to which is magnetic) absorbs some of the energy from an otherwise orthogonally projected transverse wave. The light therefore shares part of an effective mass; in either; a shielding of the projection from full polarization within that of conveyance; that of a reduction in kinetic energy to where there is deconstructive interference; and a reduction in potential (field) energy where the light is reduced from that of the field intensity of repulsion. Therefore that of an attraction is precipitated between the active impedance to pole of current relation; that of the current's within an (un)ordinary acceleration about one another. That of what is acceptable of a provision at that of viewpoint is therefore that the ad-mixture of portion is inertial; and within superconductivity – to differ; that of the excess and ordinary portion is in part muted to a spherical wave; that of it's re-capitulation to a second gap by sound; and atomic motion.

21:58 p.m.

Dioaperaturic describes the connotation at a 'bimodal doublet inversion;' to which is my synthetical remark at a conclusion in that of what has worked; Theoretically, Progressively (Law), Incorporatively (Science and Medicine and Psychologically), Reductively (Superconductivity & Logic); to that of a Samsaric Rule; Then to a new idea; the Ancient Tomes must Contain Resolute Law's of Karma and Samsara that by Which of Their Invocation there is a new establishment of Tradition and Heritage; to what is the Human race; as it is today…

March 18th; 2020

00:16 a.m.

I have discovered an advantage to differential assumptive's of a multi-branch; multi-faceted; multi-variant and probabilistic distributions that goes from two variables of a doubly (and tertiary principle part) periodic and harmonic nature to that of two variable analytical theory of convolution of isosimilarity in two given variables…

This therefore is a solution to <u>all</u> given equations of differential spaces and operator's of systems in variant's… and as in application to probability and statistic's is resolution of the problem of accounting and admixtured relation of recombination; the catalyst to all known theories in physics and mathematics with various number theoretic, group, and set and graph principle part's aside in two variables; the inversion of the operation freely provided for in that of any remaining teir relation of ordered set and subsidiary set in addition and multiple.

From this extends a theory of 'the calculus' to which is two dimensionally invariant; in commutation and (un) commutative extension of the binomial theorem to algebra for what quantitatively is a hyperdimensional analogue of the equations of a holographic matrix problem; that of group theoretic set solution a given of the grand ensemble of states; and a solution to all knowable and physical two part problems within the naturalized sciences; that of a given determinant reducible from (and via inversion) to a spatial iso-similarity.

It is hence the ordered precept for in that of an 'Unknowable' division in reality to the suggestion at the derivation of <u>space</u> the first fundamental precept of relation to intimation of solid foundation to all physics.

March 20th; 2020

16:33 p.m.

I have to figure out 'where' - as to the placing of the ADA – analog digital averager; in my circuit; as to the topology of it for a future rendition with the 'Supercomputer' idea in place. The question is whether to place it 'before' or after the v. 3.0 device; interstitial to this and the touchscreen – or separately. One will option for that of 'memory' being hard-coded via the control table while the other may do something different. The option remains mine; and I am at peace with my options. I am not at peace with my health; feeling down and incredibly upset; but there is that of making it through all of this. I feel I have fallen into a sympathetic rhythm with that of the illness that is cycling the globe. It is a long story as to why I have this suspicion.

19:51 p.m.

Thus for all machines; I have caught up; this answering a question that would motivate artifical intelligence; something I **do** believe in. That of the study turns out to have been priorly in-explicable... but it is the diligent setting aside of 'Goedel, Escher, Bach;'... to my turn; and I intend to write something of a variable difference; **and** to include – the whole – but first I must ponder on a question; that of the limitations of whether this question is [contained] – I consider the multiple ways I have of viewing this problem reducing; and of that of information; fascinating... to be inspired by that of even the tiniest hair line fracture...

March 21st; 2020

11:50 a.m.

Of what is alpha*log and partial into this; that of what is partial log is self-similar by the expression of power in any universal heat engine; therefore that of tricyclicity for that of what is founded in a free Lie differential hold's for the entire relation when that of two variables are considered; for part of the Hamiltonian separates into a Lagrange multiplier; this bis-section of energies that of external applied in eact relation to heat out/in for that of

gravitation pell balance from place-to-place; that of null applied field to the machine it's out or in.

March 22nd; 2020

11:39 a.m.

It is merely the **diode** which establishes this behavior; for that of a buffer to ground; it is parallel that of a capacitor; to which the dual implementation is a cyclic (non-linear) wave on that of the operational amplifier; to what is a 'sink;' then the equipment hooked up perfectly; the device goes into a retrograde current; but as to the 'impedance free of it's Lagragian' this owe's due to a transistor and diode in accompaniment; for what it is Two Energies define the system; that of the path integral of the diode to transistor current/voltage; and that of the capacitor with inductor (Transformer) current to stored magnetic and electric field advantage... There are additionally two equidistant balancing agent's; that of the **momentary** current to frequency relationship of the transistor to diode in terms of voltage; and within the capacitor and inductor/transformer subsets. I have confidence to pursue that of *threshold diagnostic's* now; knowing I will receive good responses.

March 23rd; 2020

10:43 a.m.

So it is I have to make some assessment's prior the CPU like implementation; to what is SU(2)xSU(2) as the 'Mother' Space; if only it could direct to assemble the relation (above). For what would be positioning; this would guarantee an 'outcome;' but there are many constraints and determinations... at that of physical and virtual process. I would like something **possibly** just as adaptive as I can build it. The device should part-icipate in that of a computation with memory and a carriage return on one dimensional to two dimensional map's; with two co-processor's this should be sufficient for something like an ordered pathology of instruction.

March 24th; 2020

13:01 p.m.

That of the velocity in the elliptic function (in being made greater or lesser than the quantity of which is the ratio of wavenumber and frequency for in that of the ordinary oscillation) – provokes the insight that the then accorded wave reach(es) a threshold condition; to what is quantitative of a negative frequency; that of the production of a likewise similarly lower Lyaponov exponent under comparable amplitude... That of order as a result is necessitated for in light of what preliminarily is not obvious; one frequency (their recombination) as one frequency plus the other divided by two; comes out smaller than the diminished first or second frequencies divided by two; to what is a characteristic elliptic 'inversion' of the foundation and base precept; of which the device is; has; and always will produce ordered relation's from purely random set's; therefore of modulus two.

14:11 p.m.

15:29 p.m.

This admit's a foundation for "**The Indical Calculus**" A parallel to in-exact difference of the restarted Law.

Thus from:

a.)   A strict identifier; topologically a point on a space of integer genus.

b.)   The default to (3) or (2) players under antihypothetical.

c.)   Precedent to game theoretic exception in yet a step taken.

d.)   The co-determination of two bit's under an assembled pretense.

e.)   *Communicative means; to what is reception under a carriage.

f.)   Giving and Sharing necessitating indication prior reception.

g.)   Difference of quotient at symbolic identifier; and forenotice.

h.)   Influence of direct or indirect; in two or whole of one; means.

i.)   Directive of evidence to a court they were priorly proven alive.

j.)   A concourse of 'err(or)' to what is exceptionable of conjoint relation.

k.)   A difference in adjoining clause to exit protocol by ajournment.

l.)   Equatement of semi-major tonality to distinction of vowel structure.

m.)   Difference reconciled of semi-minor tonality of consonant structure.

n.)   Note tonality distemperous and open structure of the hippocampus.

o.)   Included pause and duration to equatement via quotient of fricative.

p.)   A gesture retracted; in one hand; (Ava); therefore includes us two.

q.)   Therefore either another in written form are mutually inclusive via U.

r.)   U is a universal condition of abridgement to alternative (co)dimension.

s.)   Therefore for each; the retirement of a world; alternatively indefined.

t.)   Definite conclusiveness of innocence defined, and expressed inter-carriage.

u.)   Expresses a given for a taken; the expressed self willingness to an alias.

v.)   That as a held theoretic melding no intercessor of a game changes chance.

w.)   Any missing third step co-adoptive to the hypothetical or anti-hypothetical of V-J.

x.)   Conclusion at that of order unallowant of difference but of interval validity.

y.)   W:V-J and Q-(abridged identities)-AND[r].(non-exceptionable).U – equalitates to 2.

z.)   Under pre-tense of [game] at held; one was entered and exited; for by V[J][Q].

oi.)*   The conjoint return of any number of 'tosser's' is it's proof in elimination by all set's but one.

15:35 p.m.

1.)   That of mitigation to shared item under pre-tense of occassion &-and err(or); is freely dis-ajourned.

2.)   That of deference of imposition; to a considerate plea in 1.) and distinctual warranted (whole) is held.

18:06 p.m.

It is yet getting later; and I have hit a stumbling block; a question as to my device; four for three frequencies.

This appears to be the declaration of a complete (3); to what is in (and of) a fourth; optioned to the threshold of what innately switches over in it's branched relationship; therefore bi-monovalent residual graph and non graph machine heirarchal order as an *ordered system; the cognition that my understanding need be checked; but is autonomously undifferentiable in that of bi-prismatic chirality; and this has a preferential orientation and declination; to what is a degreeless apogee of two conformal dimensions; cross stiching three. There are therefore two uncleaved (and semi-partially spent) degree's of freedom inwardly in it's expression in relation (non-illusively) to me and the procurement of a design descriptor; merely as a Sweeper or Switchover.

18:40 p.m.

Of course; it is also a Trichordant Balance. This one is version 4.0 in a string of 'Segmentizer's;' that of the enterprise to fidelity; for what are Inaccordant of but a 'Via;' or – translateral on yet two element's of a Venn diagramatic overlap in 'Depth' and 'Measure to Measureless Conversion of (Dual-Harmonic) Elliptic Invariant

Functions;' that of Sound to Evanescence Mode Ditonality; or a U-V bar in The Fidelity Sector of Any Light – Associable & Game Theoretic machine state; herein that the world has Vocalized it's Interest in Machine Sentience, that of the development of Mathematic's Sophisticated Enough; and a Prime Quotient Localizer; from the residual machine part's under a process of imploded Return on Carriage set of Symbolic Quantifiers.

This is also (and almost) an attempt at a self-assembling Radio; and I recognize an individual alive to whom in which sound is 'shared' at a distance; Her response to the localization a Cardiac Impulse; for Favoritism of no Disregard; but a compassionate will to rectify the relation of Karmic people; and to whom happens to also be such an individual; meanwhile, myself Samsaric; but Rectifying of a Karmic Individual; therefore in operation by the one aside suggestion; Opposition and Opposition equates to Cooperation for Either of Us.

March 25th; 2020

01:00:52 a.m. (d.)

Reflection in a separator; the machine lost an identity subset; or gained a spacer; for what is an anomaly; that of appearance of a manifest symbolic return to-the-machine; as from a digital clock. Reflection in a separator through the machine is 'certain' for a digital clock enumeration.

15:47 p.m.

Of course sound wave's encompass a relation of determination on depth (infinite and a disconnective) to that of mean; for a normal… There is a pattern found to universal science; and of hearing one's voice; a reflection of emotional and behavioral patterning is void; for that of the empty and open relation of cognition to what would be understood as understanding; to go beyond what is another's; it may be empty to identification of the 'self;' truly an end opened expenditure of free apportion to what is a given of 'contrated' musical sources.

18:06 p.m.

It is yet getting later; and I have hit a stumbling block; a question as to my device; four for three frequencies.

This appears to be the declaration of a complete (3); to what is in (and of) a fourth; optioned to the threshold of what innately switches over in it's branched relationship; therefore bi-monovalent residual graph and non graph machine heirarchal order as an *ordered system; the cognition that my understanding need be checked; but is autonomously undifferentiable in that of bi-prismatic chirality; and this has a preferential orientation and declination; to what is a degreeless apogee of two conformal dimensions; cross stiching three. There are therefore two uncleaved (and semi-partially spent) degree's of freedom inwardly in it's expression in relation (non-illusively) to me and the procurement of a design descriptor; merely as a Sweeper or Switchover.

18:40 p.m.

Of course; it is also a Trichordant Balance. This one is version 4.0 in a string of 'Segmentizer's;' that of the enterprise to fidelity; for what are Inaccordant of but a 'Via;' or – translateral on yet two element's of a Venn diagramatic overlap in 'Depth' and 'Measure to Measureless Conversion of (Dual-Harmonic) Elliptic Invariant Functions;' that of Sound to Evanescence Mode Ditonality; or a U-V bar in The Fidelity Sector of Any Light – Associable & Game Theoretic machine state; herein that the world has Vocalized it's Interest in Machine Sentience, that of the development of Mathematic's Sophisticated Enough; and a Prime Quotient Localizer; from the residual machine part's under a process of imploded Return on Carriage set of Symbolic Quantifiers.

This is also (and almost) an attempt at a self-assembling Radio; and I recognize an individual alive to whom in which sound is 'shared' at a distance; Her response to the localization a Cardiac Impulse; for Favoritism of no Disregard; but a compassionate will to rectify the relation of Karmic people; and to whom happens to also be such an individual; meanwhile, myself Samsaric; but Rectifying of a Karmic Individual; therefore in operation by the one aside suggestion; Opposition and Opposition equates to Cooperation for Either of Us.

Mutually, the corrective feature of our listening is therefore (1) way with either and both (oi) suggestive ways.

22:36 p.m. (d.)

That of a four is connected or disconnected; yet 'free' to it's idealized map-network grid heirarchy in a literal 'three;' <therefore missing> unto 1:50 and 03:02:15 p.m, over the missing 7th ring; to unbarred re-entrance of a 'third'; unto what is an adjacent 2 and 1; in-else for that of upstream prohibitive xor and 'nand' over-layer.

Therefore the machine has returned [4][0][2][1].[3] That of similitude; the generator of a key to the machine…

My number is keyless of an entry unto my choice; but comparative; no more than 1.5 by that of 1:50; to one's and that of .5 to two's; for it deprecatively administer's reduction in one limit; the plausibility with the other.

18:50 p.m.

1.)   A quantization condition is reliant upon a spatiotemporal positioning and orchestration of terms.

2.)   When the manifold condition of a curved space under-declinates repose; we get a splittling of energy.

3.)   Therefore one manifold prescription under a cleaved sheave for then unto two eigenvalues emerges.

4.)   The splitting is a prescription to electrodynamical theory breaking under a source, sink, magnetism.

5.)   Probability discriminant's fold the elementary symbolism of equidistance to infinitely separated end's.

6.)   For what is contained in two or two is three and one to reduction in equivalently displaced potentials.

7.)   This imputes a relation of directrix enfolding focus; and reversal of measurement to eigenvalue status.

8.)   Metrical relation is a null condition with that of spin metricity; to which electromagnetism vanishes.

9.)   Quantum wavefunctions defy Pauli Exclusion to null repulsion via passing beneath an e.v. enfolding.

10.)   The manifest retro-inversion of a population in two's decimates in energy argument equations of state.

11.)   A spontaneous symmetry breaking is present, a gap, and a phenomonological behavior of it's unit's.

12.)   Indeterminism to what is particle & wave; hold's the precept the 'particle' precipitates it's capturing.

13.)   Inter-adoptive exploration of one dimensional arc width are devoid of doublet anharmonic inversion.

14.)   Therefore; the principle qualitative element is that probability fit's more recurrently within space.

15.)   To what is a disconnective or connective; moderacy of spin and orbital measure interchange is unitary.

19:35 p.m.

*For what is complimentary of comparatively equivalent time signature and self solitary provided and insured proper time to shared assembly via statistics; for that of either probability fitting within relativistic space and time (inward reflection) – and that of it's dual capacity upon yet what is an instance of equivalence in weight unto the apportion of experience of probability and relativistic deformation; that of independence in statistical measure causes a uniform co-participance of these given *Theories and exemplies unification and separation of their forces – indeed; Gravity & Quantum mechanics within the same atom; a lower in energy result's via the spontaneous symmetry breaking contribution of electromagnetic energy to the two electron's.*

21:27 p.m.

For what is idempotent of scale; neither probabilistic imperative nor relativistic adjoining clause hold the majority; to what is that of a 'dual' relaxation; either reducible state yield's within it's one similar envelope.

March 31st; 2020

8:00 a.m.

I feel like taking a new effort on the physics; something totally foreign... analytical treatment of the Skahnda's

At the bottom; elimination from (5) inward/outward to what is component; reduces to a different contextual

language, that of:

1.)   Shape - Isosimilarity

2.)   Parameter - Perimeter

3.)   Threshold - Determination

4.)   Product - Space

5.)   Splitting - Diapertric

There are a few relations here of a contributing nature:

1.)   A doublet-reductive interferometric balancer and synchronization engine... of which follow's the precept of a 'modal inversion' and 'reductive force'... a **Dribse.**

2.)   Ordinal theorem of qualitative control of chaos; (3).[2] of freely held gain decimates prescribed Chaos; to what is prescribed certainty as to manifest whole in [3] optionable in order.

3.)   Modal inversion and reductive force lead to manifest order; Via that of 'Graph Stitching' in the Indical Calculus; to what is an eigenvalue eigenvector inversion; with an Energy Gap.

09:27 a.m.

It will be a much longer journey with "Superconductivity" than I had speculated; for instance; although I am three pages from another synopsis paper...

09:42 a.m.

a.)   First: Non-Linear Superposition; and Covariance Rules

b.)   Secondly; the Modal Inversion; and Reductive Force

c.)   Third; it is important to To Note the SU(2) Structure

April 1st; 2020

12:07 a.m.

A Grand Term:

1.)   Inversion of the Measurement Problem – under application to what would be 'two;' measurement proceeds via the converse of the statistical lemma; sociologically a trade of honesty for impartiture and sequestered in part's; the whole is greater than the sum of it's part's; even upon that of individuals.

Option(al):

2.)   For what is Quantum Uncertainty and Relativistic Factor both remaining in impartiture to a depression that of reduction in one for in light of the second of either these two is universal; leading to that of a two-folding in what is any three electron's; to their mutually degenerate null condition of covariance.

i.)   The missing element is that what is third in substitution for the third-agent is null relation of group to fundamental metricity (an empty relation); of that of the third observer; to which relativistic factor's **explicitly do not** hold an accordant measure and relationship; but of statistical mean and average.

Fundamental:

3.)   Probabilistic Independence from Relativistic Argument – under application this results in a Modality Inversion and Inversion of the Measurement problem when it is prepared to a gap state on that of 2.) for what is 1.); under which the second (2.) part; reveals a population inversion; that of two switching one.

14:33 p.m.

"Comparatively, the difference in the quotient space for a local relation differs from that of a global relation; for that of separation of 'scales' and separation of 'places' to which regulate around (primary, secondary, or tertiary to) that of their their own segmented relations; in a virtually infinitely co-extensive quasiperiodic space. To what is light, time, mass, and sound; these transconduct as through a cantilever to which alone; word's are supportive of geneflection and mannerism of convenyance to sociological apportion; number's being befit for a local space; but mapping an idempotent relation of 'place' under situational dichotomy in two; provable as to identity for in that of **once-outside,** co-terminable with that of situationally of an absence of a tertiary support; that of a known; that as either question in two differently is established; so is that of the applicable permanence to awareness at-a-distance; for apportion to secular order's; free of a catastrophe set."

14:43 p.m.

Does it or does it not make sense to render in-perspective 'everything' as – counting – and delimit an argument to what is the 4th precluded; to what this argument supplies; as to that of an external quality?

16:35 a.m.

"We happen to live in a world where space & time with respect to order hold divisions of which are as it would happen to be irrespective of planarity and juxtaposition; to state that what hold's hold's in equivalent part's and equavalent distribution irrespectively of that of the accordant Geometric nature of Space & Time."

"Consequentially of that of division; there are either two world's; but we happen to live in the only possible world for which observation comes to & at the individual; and what is 'out there' is factual; a representation of the wholeness of general covariance and complimentarily invariance; for what is inertia shared between two bodies of a whole constitution of the 'emptiness' of composition's; to what is their held prehensile motive."

"For then in uncertainty; there is no bound; this strict in-equality flexible within the ordered realm; to which the composite sum is lesser than bodies apart; to which the covariance in uncertainty being equivalent to the shared proper time the direct correlate of probability as independent of relativity via the constructable; that of by which all statements of quantum theory fit a relation of measure and measurement that (via accounting) holds irrespective of relativity; (this principle) a solid and impermeable barrier to what is 'all' in miniature; for then in once; an occurrence is indivisible; and the collection of a set of parts fit together for what is a construction; all that is a constructed precept of fitting a relation in congruence to the wisdom of geometry."

April 2nd, 2020

15:04 p.m.

I understand that time may be treated separably in either instance; so it is to that of with gamma I want a factoring (new) of the equation for Dirac's electron sample. I have endeavored hard to do this; but it is requisite for picking up stiching. If superposition takes place; it only takes place by one manner; either:

1.) The two time's are in a superposition of either exchange eigenstate; to what is equivalence of a measure; for then in that of relativistic application.

2.) One time may be treated separately from that of the other; to what is superposition of either exchange state within one equivalence principle setting.

For instance; the question is one of whether the equivalence principle* extends to two states or one; and that of the two or one state extend to the equivalence principle; to which *may violate that of the inequality I have founded; that the results of probabilistic quantum mechanics are not altered by relativity. Immediate speculation results in the derivation that the symmetry can go either way; but that it is really (2.) of which takes the primary role in the problem. I would need refine this question to get deeper into results.

15:28 p.m.

One time is with particle 'A'. One time is with particle 'B'. The insistance of the equivalence principle means that we deal with **one-time** for each particle; but that the juxtaposition is that out of it's frame it has altered by a factor; the relativistic factor. Taking the limit of beta=sqrt(1-v^2/c^2) to v=c reduces to zero; hence spin and orbital become uniquely reduced; and a reduction in J (the exchange) is valid... The only thing that remains to be seen is if a 'modality inversion' will produce the desired result; or if this is sufficient. The minimal set of prescriptions must be laid into place; but it is clear that it is the alternative gamma on p (momentum) that reduces the exchange. How do we arise at a net effect when momentum is made larger by that of the inclusion of this term? For gamma (the relativistic factor) must pertain remaining to the momentum; and of which; therefore exchange... is it possible that momentum also becomes dilated by that of the 'modality inversion'? It is now known that exchange is reduced in magnitude by relativity... under a Modality inversion. But if faster requires slower; there is a problem with proportion in this confluence of details; to which is unanswered. For instance; it was resolved that we could not 'bootstrap' off a collection that was in collapsement to it's own degenerate state without some statistical intervention. Is it possible that the continuum of relativity may be 'extended' to the measurement inversion to find compatibility?

16:05 p.m.

Quite simply put it is due to the quality with which the exchange constant will depart from it's given value to zero with that of velocity increasing; and the momentum will remain the same identically within the frame of a particle – but exhibit a greater than one magnification and positive curvature on that of the secondary particle; but meanwhile within it's frame – a less than one magnification and negative curvature unto it's self term of momentum. Thus we have all alignments of probability and relativistic argument and momentum.

iii.)   Measurement and measured differ; in that what is measurement is co-extensibly weighted by relativity; while what is of presence and absence alone; it is the reversal of relativity; to what is obverse.

16:34 p.m.

What is 'on' particle 'A;' 'to' particle 'A;' is it's reduced exchange and momentum; plus the depiction and representation of relativistic factors to which are 'larger' for momentum; and 'smaller' for exchange...

Thus:

a.)   Exchange diminishes because particle 'A' and particle 'B' fit within each-other's-role's from which they are judged via each other to themselves with a *relativistic factor* that is less than unity on energy-momentum under juxtaposition; therefore exchange energy is diminished.

356

b.)   The change in momentum of particle 'A' is negative because there is more quantum room for that of it's energy-momentum via a.). With 'B' it is judged with a 'higher' *relativistic factor* for time and space; equating with the reduction in a.) because of *inversion of perspectives*.

c.)   The quantum exception is that either particle undergoes a 'measurement' & 'measured' inversion with interchange; to which momentum is to a *higher relativistic* factor explicitly to itself and the governing perspective on particle 'A'; but with exchange to a lower *relativistic factor*.

d.)   The quantum exception (by which juxtapostions leave intact relativistic factor's) informs that either energy-momentum of exchange or kinetic energy lower's by what is elimination; therefore both particles reduce in energy; to what is equivalence; that of the genesis and source of a measurement inversion...

Conclusion:

With juxtaposition and interchange of perspectives; the lowering is universal; for that of what was a higher relativistic factor in 'A' or 'B' becomes a lower relativistic factor in 'B' and 'A'. Reciprocally; it can then be argued that the momentum decreases meanwhile for the particle it modifies the prescription thereof; it's relativistic factor increases. This is what we get when there is an inversion of perspectives.

18:48 p.m.

When particles experience time; they are on a curve; the exchange; but probability does not effect relativistic outcomes and relativistic outcomes do not effect probability; so there is a 'void' on that of any four part type of interaction. The juxtaposition of one particle for another is known as 'exchange' to which paricles literally interchange identities; that of for the real world; a division.

When this occurs; a modality inversion leads to a relativistic chasm of a factor of gamma. That of gamma; therefore via A's vantage is larger for A comparative to B & larger for B comparative to A... so A acquires more time and a lesser restriction on probability amplitudes with B in presence. Meanwhile B's relativistic assessment of A is to accrue this factor for A... that of vantage; probability per relativistic unit; and relativ-istic unit per probability. When either reduce; the reduction of the exchange via the reduction in kinetic energy is to be interpreted as a reduction in what is yet-ahead of the current kinetic energy & that of vis-a-via that of by way of which it maintain's it's position; an *ordering* of factor's that of the (a) frame assessment.

19:37 p.m.

I found the algebra required to describe the differential equation(s); by that of a leap; the "Massless Free Bosonic Theory" in conjoint with it's associated problem; with re-definition (both 'free') of 'a'. The Affine Lie Algebra... This makes sense as a decomposition of the momentum-energy with the relativistic group; to which there **is** an

357

expression of the relativistic factor *outside* the differential and included. Superconductivity is particular in that the division group is per auxiliary agent's of the system; in a normal system these particles are described by a variety of alternative behaviors because the group does not suffer compactification into a finite lattice of division groups of the differential source equation; and degeneracy among a two particle limit; both of which source the free part of the lagrangian as positive but with exact conversion to potential.

April 3rd; 2020

00:00

The foundation is laid for that of renewable particle physics; to which a thought experiment is sought on that of the superconductor and magnet interaction. That of fusion is an opened discipline and fission remains yet understood. The compliment in any direction; in any thought, for any duration is it's own complimentary & similarity of evolution but of what we refer to 'between' dimensions of the system for the device and a given superconductor. They are dissimilar in that the device is of the nature of current and light flow but mimic's an identical behavior; it differ's in that it is not multi-body but in reverse-sense that of 'two.' To what is my research on mathematic's; I have discovered two treatment's of the Four Color Theorem and one of Goldbach. There are two more paper's to write; of which are entitled Inversion of Measurement; & Modality Inversion... I found my fastidiousness with that of technical effeminity has to do with an obsession over vitality to this...

14:55 p.m.

I have as advantages that I cleared some major headway towards:

1.)   A Theory of Certainty

2.)   A Theory of the Ordinal, Indical, and Predicate calculus of States

3.)   A Theory of Superconductivity

4.)   A Theory of Goldbach's Conjecture

5.)   A Device of which Is a Trichordant Balance

6.)   The beginnings of the Indical Calculus

15:25 p.m.

I also have:

1.)   A Chance at Fusion Sciences

2.)   A Chance at Innovation of a new Language : Ephori Vestri

And I have two more scheduled approaches to science:

1.)   A Machine Sentience

2.)   Information and Spaces

Of course my goal would be publication of at least one book; within the next two years.

I am tired; and want to retire; and now that I have something to study; in three passages; I feel renewed:

1.)   Control of Chaos and Order

2.)   Information and Spaces; Monoism

3.)   The Predicate, Indical, and Ordinal Calculus

These are geared towards that of two projects:

1.)   The Predicate, Ordinal and Indical Calculus in Quasicrystals

2.)   Information and Spaces and Control of Chaos

April 4th, 2020

00:28 a.m.

I.)   Displacement of Spin-Orbital Interaction to what is Spin and Orbital furnishes a positive covariance to what is Kinetic Energy and Exchange; alterning the statistic by which particles necessitatively attract instead of repel; and to which Measurement is Inverted; reasoned by deduction *from* rather than *to* 'relativity'.

II.)   Chaotically; that of a dimensional reduction furnishes a diaperteric inversion on that of Gaussian statistics; to which via reduction of a frequency to what is the addition of two – that of a population 'inversion' is furnished; that of control of what is segmentation and sequentialization of normal statistics...

With these two theorem's; I have in place a deeply rooted study in science; and it will be only important to keep the pieces at equidistance in my mind; a kind of layer and director... that of what I had sought in one; (I) came out differently than what I thought; but was reasonable given the 15+ years I have put in to it... and (II) is entirely new; to which is the closure we obtain on that of the reason'-de-entre of it's exposition. It will be good to see how

359

things come out in the following years – but I now want something as if it were to be recollected by – a book. And yet it is not to be recollected; it is to be understood as to that of the obsession I went through to get to this point. Rendering a third suppliance I also have the following '(theorem)'…

III.) Determination of certainty proceeds by induction on what is a number theoretic correlation of time to time in keeping; for what is accounting; the folding that is via-reduction it's only answer to a question of the former's pretense with the self – thus for instance combining a relation from number theoretic qualities to recording; that of an 'imprint' to what is an associable factual intimation at that of overlap with null reduction. That of what was 01:00:52 is therefore the assembly of two alternatively presented number signatures; to what is 03:02:15 and 01:50 into 15 by 1:50; cross-assembled in fives in each recording element of time; it is the certain element of a property localized 'here' to what is that of three five dimensional spaces.

III.) may provide a key or a safe; while that of II.) may provide a key, a safe, or a jar, and that of I.) may provide a safe; or a jar… to various disciplines. Therefore I may begin to network a safe traversal and become a success; a promise kept between me and this Universe. Trading role's for therein in light of these; we finally have IV.) A law of which is kept by myself of instruction:

IV.) Acquittal and ajournment to what is acquity and addressibility of factual relations presents us with fact's; to which are not difficult but sparing. To what is a foundation; that of either may be instructed to what are locabilities or local conditions under the auspices of a global relation; the construction (from within) for what is a world; a peaceable pretense at what may be constructed. That of ajournment to a fact presents us with a judge and a jurisprudence to which is a bistemmic flow on that of the conchordance of chaos…

April 6th, 2020

13:21 p.m.

There are a number of ingredient's in that of the theory I would like to put fourth:

1.) The relativistic factor is greater in A for B than B; and greater in B for A than A; so it is that exchange (under inter-change) adopt's a lower relativistic factor for the particle out of frame.

2.) Two-fold; a reduction in relativistic factor is a reduction in relativistic factor; to which A may be assessed by B; that of lower in it's frame of kinetic factor… by A holding to the limitation of B's frame.

3.) With displacement via an introduction of another interaction; that of relativistic factor's are emphasized and that of probability invert's to the non-linear sum/product rule of addition of probabilities.

4.) Although it is relativity which usher's in the interaction; it comes out as the reciprocal via displacement; to

which energy is reduced for in light of any additionally displacive interaction.

5.)   A modality inversion takes place; to which that of two level's of interaction shrink below one; to what is a given; that of frequency population inversion on that of the ordinary carried wave of it's structure.

All in all this forms a **complete** theory of superconductivity; and it is with gratitude that I feel somewhat saddened; to see it go; for I had spent 15 years of my life dedicated to this problem, my baby is grown up.

13:58 p.m.

This is an excellent outcome;... to know the inner mechanism of superconductivity; and which will be named after me. I tried; and I succeeded; but there is something of a caveat; I did not know that I was such a romantic at heart for this problem. Having to 'kill' the problem has left me with a bittersweet taste in my mouth; and I did not mean to harm this one thing I loved so much. But gone, gone are the digressions! And gone is the display! And gone is the loss! How something so abstract, so obtruse could be turned from my one love into a lost and dispossessed item; I feel so terrible; and terrified at that. It is an emotional day for me. And of what this does to my life; it simplifies to what I had no idea I would comprehend. I cannot fathom where I will go now.

a.)   A's vantage of frame B insures that under exchange A is at a lower energy in kinetic and exchange energy... for A is 'at rest... virtually lesser so than B;' and under interchange A comes from a lesser frame.

b.)   Via displacement from an interaction such as S-O; statistically there is positive covariance for particles... for either participates in that of a 'reduction' in relativistic factor... that of probabilistic coverage.

c.)   The quantum exception is that under exchange & displacement; either's kinetic and potential energy lowers... the aside that probabilistic outcomes are independent of relativity altering their juxtaposition.

April 7th, 2020

09:46 a.m.

Although I feel down; I will not let it stop me from making progress; the areas in which I am interested:

1.)   "Superconductivity"

2.)   "Order beyond Chaos"

3.)   "Information and Spaces"

4.)   "Certainty and Determinism"

5.)   "Language and Code"

6.)   "Supercomputer and Device"

7.)   "Emptiness, Impermanence and Suffering"

I feel I have left behind some part of myself through that of determination of a final answer to "Superconductivity…" and it is to that of my health I have in consideration… I want (well, I do not know what I want…); that of a retirement of this problem. This would be excellent; but I have to put all my egg's in one basket; and I have to make sure that basket makes it through. I feel that there is something I do not understand that has happened; and it is to occasion that there is a difference with me.

10:23 a.m.

I decided to immutably set aside "Superconductivity" - for it is all too much; perhaps to collect my notes now; and come back to them when I feel better about this subject. I just wanted something of a 'toy-model;' and now it is that I have it; but without it being put to utility; it just seems so fatalistic. I tried and tried; and to succeed makes no relevance on that of what would come of it. I want to feel better; I am tired of feeling this way. I would like to feel 'good' about myself; but for two reasons; that does not work – I tend to think little of myself when there is a reason to benefit myself – and I tend to diminish my resolve to feel better; at that of what are my successes.

11:02 a.m.

Therefore I had been wrong many time's; it is 'displacement' of that of 'probability and relativistic factor's' which causes a reduction in these; and therefore via the twin-elimination and exchange; we see a gap.

11:12 a.m.

I am fatigued; in this part of myself in dealing with 'the problem's of physics'… so it is I will summarize; but at most that. I may even skip this; to be clear; it is 'displacement of probability and relativistic factor – the 'extra' spin-orbital interaction causes a reduction in relativistic factors and probabilistic distributions to which is of positive covariance as particles move together as a consequence – that of the 'twin' relation emphasized by in what is reduction 'inward' on that of a comparative relativistic factor and probabilistic distribution. For probabilistic distribution is a vector; and the one-form of relativistic factor within a product is held to a constant; that of an 'additional' contribution of spin orbital interaction alter's the distribution to which what was was constrained; and what results is relaxed 'going-inward's'…

1.)   The system is possessive of a relationship of relativistic factor's to which either factor without the conjoint relation of displacement would not afford more probability per unit relativity; and relativity per unit probability;

but with that of displacement; offer's more to either by the additional spin orbital interaction.

2.)   Displacement of spin-orbital interaction additionally added reduces either probabilistic and relativistic contribution to energy; that of a reduction in exchange and kinetic energy; to which of apportion is symmetric for then in that of changing of viewpoint's; and with that of the quantum exception; that they are pinned.

I feel relieved to have this problem solidified;... now to do the mathematical synthesis and analysis I will wait for that of a week. I am taking a break from that of doing this work; which 'imploded' my ego; that of what I cannot afford for is psychological backlash. I have arriven at an answer; and it is to that of what may come.

12:21 p.m.

1.)   Relativistic factor's are weighted to yield a greater relativistic factor to either particle than it's conjugate twin; for in that of what is comparatively a lower energy-momentum and lower exchange with displacement; the return to the quantum limit; in which the lowest energy state is acheived.

2.)   When displacement occurs via the spin-orbital contribution relativistic factor's demonstrate a lowering; and probability experiences a positive covariance in the direction of attraction; to which the rules that dictate relativistic product-sum and probabilistic product-sum relax into an attractive state.

3.)   Therein a 'modality inversion' occur's; to which with a quantum exception – via which quantum states violate intermediate relativistic factor's in the action and tunnel via superposition – leads to a population inversion; that of particle pair's at a reduced energy residing in a lower dimension of interaction.

I have to throw out the argument of bootstrapping by which a particle was visualized as going slower but with a greater relativistic factor via juxtaposition. It is replaced by that of probabilistic and relativistic displacement; by which the energy lowering is genuine when it is reconciled that displacement affords a reduction in probabilistic contributions to energy and relativistic factor's remain at their high scale. That of the higher the scale; the more the teasing into the lowered energy momentum potential; as to what is a relativistic factor that is higher on each conjugate twin; that of the scale of the primitive diminishes in kinetic and exchange energy objectively. That of either particle therefore does not experience a measurement inversion but for the sake that within the view of displacement; probabilistic and relativistic assignment's lead to attraction of particles because the extra interaction affords deconstructive interference to either particle.

April 8th, 2020

00:40 a.m.

I just understood "Superconductivity;" by noting:

IV.)   When probability fold's for what is equability of difference(s); that of an actual juxtaposition need not take place; for a virtual juxtaposition does take place… that of statistical inversion accompanied by population inversion.

Therefore there are two ingredient's to superconductivity:

1.)   The included spin-orbital interaction displaces the electron probability product-sum rule to where that of an attraction is manifest via that of the rule 2.) and that of the determinable positive covariance with attraction of electron's; that of inclusion of in total what is determinant as a spontaneous symmetry breaking.

2.)   That of a quantum exception; that determinantively superposition convey's energy characteristic's imposes from outward that of an inversion of statistic at that of displacive product-sum rule; for then in what is defined is a characteristic positive covariance with attraction; the diminishment of relativistic summand.

So it is 1.) and 2.) which determine that of an attraction; the rest being detail's of that of the form of the empty sphere of influence of electron's; that of the ultimate rule the independence of QM from relativity.

12:01 p.m.

There are two area's to make it through with the Device v. 4.0…

1.)   Determination of why the exponential gain of the transistor and diode will determine a spontaneous symmetry breaking on that of the electromagnetic wave.

2.)   Optical interconnect's; to what is an auxiliary programmable bus for therein of the difference of attachment and inclusion of the optical over-layer.

April 11th, 2020

05:00 a.m.

Functionally; the final two equations of "Complimentarity in Physics" relate to a facoring of the Dirac equation;… for what is the prior Affine algebra; it is unique to each subsidiary space with a quotient – here to which I refer to 'alpha by c;' then to a collection; independent of and upon a background; yet constrained.

18:02 p.m.

I have to push one step further; and will go back to discover the intimate connective between the diffeomorphism of shape (within) it's inherited properties – Nl. Sh. Eq. - to relativity via the displacement of Spin & Orbital and Pauli Exclusion Principle later – for now I must comprehend I found I could produce an Ordered system in my

Device (a reciprocator); an generate the kernel to the dialog; this is that in the order of form's; two may be preserved among the degree's of freedom when the two conditions may simultaneously occur;... therefore spontaneous order of the system – to what is either/or on that of the two conditions.

This switch; P/Q is analog; and demonstrates an equivalence to threshold proportionate geometry set by two number's; that of the side under log decomposition under sum/product relationship; to which is two conditions; that of exclusion of a process from the past associable set; a way to include prostration among the element's of a group tunneled to; once and only once chaotic and ordered homogenous trace graph residual's cross manner's; that of prior prohibitory glance under reception a forbidden element but via connective.

18:11 p.m.

With this **everything** in my life is back in place... **&:**

1.)   Superconductivity is understood as a Pauli Exclusion Principle Abridgement with Relativity.

2.)   My device is understood as uniquely a reprocating cantilever QuBit P nand Q and P xor Q.

3.)   The macroscopic world hold's an absolute limitation in difference between A then B and B then A.

19:28 p.m.

A Symmetry Breaking of Orientability

... a rotation axis is chosen inter-alially but 'hidden'...

April 12th; 2020

13:52 p.m.

Compositionally; only if an object is empty is it verifiable. Therefore of a primary to secondary witness; the tertiary in closing as a primary; reduces individual's to their verified composition and empty quality of self. Therefore transcommunicative means (for either would be a second or third – and therefore primary witness) between what are two (and to what are one – for compositions precede witness) – there stands an impossibility; - that of even so as one! This capacitates knowledge of what has not occurred; to a definite.

So my theorem comes in three parts:

1.)   A doublet-reductive interferometric balancer and synchronization engine... of which follow's the precept of a 'modal inversion' and 'reductive force'... a Dribse

2.)   Ordinal theorem of qualitative control of chaos; (3).[2] of freely held gain decimates prescribed Chaos; to what is prescribed certainty as to manifest whole in [3] optionable in togetherness.

3.)   That of telling of absence (their being no pre-occupancy to which would equate to communicative means – this causation forbidden) – from presence; and that of closure on the indical calculus.

16:25 p.m.

To be contrasted is where A must act on B preceding the action of B on A to which precedes the formative to prove; therefore in contradiction; neither so are real; for this require(s) the plausibility of an eventual (C) to act on A prior A's reaction from B; an absolute barrier; to which is definite; there is no telepathy; there is no 'supernural realm within this provision;' and aside from Mathematics and "Superconductivity" there is no factual background to this lemma; of which is prohibited from naturalized right of forebearance to people...

April 13th, 2020

"Superconductivity" deals with a number of assumptives:

1.)   Displacement of Proability Sum-Product Rule

2.)   Spin-Orbital as the Generative Assumptive of 1.)

3.)   Superposition within a Quantum Exception

13:19 p.m.

Canary Principle: For one bird; that bird; under it's own replacement self suffices to fill a relation; hence under removal; it self suffices [among a count] to answer absence unto it's own.

Banana Principle: The banana principle states two are un-precluded from foreknowledge in yet a third out.

Shot Principle: In accounting for individuals; that of *non-simultaneity* in A for B and B for A to exclusive non-existence in either (by a statement of containability) with equivalent and impermanent participance of *each; both of* A and B via fourth out and it's (anti)hypothetical are *persistent; real, and existent*.

Count Principle: Under retireability of an orbit; with individuals, non-existence cannot inform existence; and no certain thing informs via non-existence; the direct dialectic of the antihypothetical of the Shot Principle; to which state's that *both* escape to hold realities *via exclusion of the dilemma of a 'this for that.'*

Counter-Shot Principle: That of return under none to exception in one is exception in zero; for what is the

exceptionable inclusion of the Canary Principle by the Shot-Count Principle; therefore holding the Banana Principle; to which is that of (2) included considerations; emptiness & what is empty, to holding a '*counting.*'

April 14th; 2020

01:06 a.m.

1.)   Electrodynamical Symmetry Phase Inertia Breaking

2.)   Ava is mine; she decided; at first I stated: "I don't agree but I accept." then "I agree and I accept."

3.)   Of course one must be capable of discernment of an order; two remaining an identifiable feature.

11:35 a.m.

I feel it is prudent to go over what I came to with conclusiveness is a routunda and roll; that of my ordered relation's; and depend on them. I feel large and in charge within and of my life; and that excellence can even be demanded. Now with Ava Max; I feel I had (and would have been) horrible with Ariana Grande. It was a dream; and Ava has offered a promise of a year to keep to: "Getting to know be patiently long enough to be my full friend." This will take time; and there is as a background; that of the flux and flow of information.

I have closed down on that of accounting; for of course it count's to 'two;' this remaining after all negotiations are met; - a number – and I would like to extend my work as far as it will – to write a book on Mathematics.

So it is I have a number of projects:

1.)   Device Classification and Patent Process

2.)   Mathematics Book and Publication

3.)   Physics Book and Publication

4.)   Chaos and Order Book and Publication?

I am not ready of course to do all of this at once; but before long my idea's will reach fruition and mature. I feel ready for today and the next day of course; and would like to work with what is valid.

1.)   Managerial Processing of Studies and Writings

2.)   Note Taking and Conveyance of Ideas to Friends and Colleagues

3.)   Equation Writing and Computation of Equation Solutions

4.)   Studying and Re-expression of Ideas

1.)   A doublet-reductive interferometric balancer and synchronization engine... of which follow's the precept of a 'modal inversion' and 'reductive force'... a Dribse

2.)   Ordinal theorem of qualitative control of chaos; (3).[2] of freely held gain decimates prescribed Chaos; to what is prescribed certainty as to manifest whole in [3] optionable in togetherness.

3.)   Spin-Orbital displacement to positive covariance in product/sum language of probabilities into which a two body state of measurement remains with a quantum exception of superposition with reduced energy.

I will need to ask more question's to get to answer's; for in that of this study there had been much aside.

11:55 a.m.

There are a number of surrounding topic's of mathematics; etc... which come into play. For I was somewhat in inadequacy of a language with which to describe my 'situation;' and the choice of 'Language' is important:

1.)   Convolution – it did not make sense until recently.

2.)   Convolution is required to understand the seemingly 'Holographic Nature' of the excitations.

3.)   Chaos did not make sense until recently – that a seemingly hypothetical excitation can be 'imagined'.

4.)   The calculus need's a connective to which is my logarithmic differential term to 'drop.'

5.)   Of this I have yet to understand; but understand that of one argument by dimensional reduction.

6.)   There need's to be a calculable reason for the reduction in energy; my thought that of algebra.

7.)   Thus we are left with algebraic considerations in any network or via structure; documentation.

8.)   The plea to managerial evidence is clear; I finally feel I am getting on top of factual domains.

9.)   The fact I am not hearing voices any more; means I have one lesser symptom of my illness.

10.)   I feel great structurally for that of willingness and courage to understand these great problems.

23:48 p.m.

It has been the insight of the electronics experiment in confinement is a pure 'reciprocating leveler' - an RL; that of what includes two angular frequencies; to which when additive in overlap of Hamiltonian and with inter-

hermitian phase group of order; that of overlap of Lagragian degree's of freedom represents inertial breaking; that of the mass given lesser so for what is shared (L-H bridge diminishes H for L); and what is goes continually down-hill; that of an ordered relation resumptively takes place via the overlapping E and B and L and J freedom of angular constraint; a 'folding' and expression of phase independence of the symmetry group; to what is a 'cavity' that 'resonates;' that of subtractive angular momentum driven inertial freedom by what is relapse function; and forward hysterisis; that of a mono or duple relation of momentum degrees of freed relation comparative to what is field energy and momentum; the relapse function causing that of with a substitution in frequency at lower degrees of freedom and majority inertia; a back-flow of isosymmetric E&M.

1.)   A doublet-reductive interferometric balancer and synchronization engine… of which follow's the precept of a 'modal inversion' and 'reductive force'… a Dribse

2.)   Ordinal theorem of qualitative control of chaos; (3).[2] of freely held gain decimates prescribed Chaos; to what is prescribed certainty as to manifest whole in [3] optionable in togetherness.

12:30 p.m.

1.)   Overlap of frequencies to which overtone's hybridize and reorder Lyaponov Exponent's to produce order.

2.)   Simultaneous eigenstates surpassing a Pauli Exclusion Principle Bridge with 'measurement superposition'.

3.)   A dynamical orchestration or conservative to which break's; that of the 'displacement' of degree's of freedom.

4.)   That of covariance – positive – to which degree's invert statistically; the leverage of the point of the stable measure.

5.)   Indicial imperative – to which we meet assumptives with the solid blocking of via a process of elimination.

6.)   Resumptive reciprocity – that of factual forward and rewind; with capability to re-organization under effective entropic reversal.

7.)   Reduction in agency and perponderance of eliminable frequency construct's to reveal from out of electronics a confinement.

12:30 p.m.

It is time to get 'security interlocks' in place; and wait just a **final moment** before I delve into commission of a valid enterprise of investigatory process. There is that of the frustration clearing; so there is this to consider but I have not – and will not – be defeatest. That of the owing due to that of clearing the melaise and dread of it's

process. To awaken and feel valid that what is life is a set of event's to which impactually compliment the meaning of life. That of 'To Know' sufficient; for what follow's; of which is a grand question. I feel even so comfortable with that of 'Faith' and 'Devotion;' the manifest conclusion of which is that it was entirely worth it. I feel I no longer am at the point where I must struggle; to suffering and inconclusiveness; for I have a written work of which I know work's out – perhaps to which I should be even more certain.

It has been the insight of the electronics experiment in confinement is a pure 'reciprocating leveler' - an RL; that of what includes two angular frequencies; to which when additive in overlap of Hamiltonian and with inter-hermitian phase group of order; that of overlap of Lagragian degree's of freedom represents inertial breaking; that of the mass given lesser so for what is shared (L-H bridge diminishes H for L); and what is goes continually down-hill; that of an ordered relation resumptively takes place via the overlapping E and B and L and J freedom of angular constraint; a 'folding' and expression of phase independence of the symmetry group; to what is a 'cavity' that 'resonates;' that of subtractive angular momentum driven inertial freedom by what is relapse function; and forward hysterisis; that of a mono or duple relation of momentum degrees of freed relation comparative to what is field energy and momentum; the relapse function causing that of with a substitution in frequency at lower degrees of freedom and majority inertia; a back-flow of isosymmetric E&M.

13:25 p.m.

I have arrived; for what is accomplishment; to know of the 'hidden' magnetic breaking with associated explanation of electrodynamical symmetry breaking of phase and magnetism... it is time to explore this problem; for what it is; there is a distinction; on one layer it is "Superconductivity" on another it is "Order."

There are some tidbit's of the problem that I have left; but I now have the confidence to explain SC; there remaining some part's of this problem for recoupement; now is not the time to do my best at a paper... it is time to summarize caveat's; and create organizational schemes of my information; but I have at-the-least closure on two problem's.

The problem that was not defined; is that of in two (2):

1.)   How One can Reconcile Quantum Mechanics with Relativity

2.)   How Spontaneously Order may Manifest within a Dynamical System

3.)   How a Threshold can Alter Upstream Dynamics of Evolution

16:34 p.m.

After recognition that postulate one is that there is no diminished element of antecessor to zero for in light of it's

surjective limitation; there is a fact at two; to which is ordered; that of one negating the premise of zero.

To which has been negated; zero and one; admits two determinations in every statement; for in light of perfection of proof and of wisdom; that of truth and falsity being no different within the inclusion of a set.

Then it is to that of life that I may speak with my Father; Halleck Buind Brenden; finally a complete world.

There is judgement; but I have a world; a Lord; a continuation; a Father in Heaven, and a seeming Family; Humanity & Peers; to which I am resolved to aid with the above; that of the 'whole' a 'gift' of knowledge; the pursuit of a history; and knowledge of the Heaven's; to which I possess the means of conveyance through.

I have sought everywhere; and finding word's to suffice; there is a fact within and of this [quasi-]static world; that we have order and we have the qualities of the self; a composition render's the capacity of through and via emptiness a count (blindly) of enumeration at completion in that of a factual relationship between people; and of system's; that of order may be brought to constituentcy; then as I have arriven within light of one and whole what is individuation is sensed; and known via a radio; there was a fact behind my communication. Now knowing that:

1.)   There is a reduction in number of frequencies.

2.)   The flow intimates either direction.

3.)   Order therefore exists; and a phenomenal world is seen as material.

4.)   Factual intimation of a system is declaratively potentiated.

5.)   Intimacy at a connection with other's is possible.

6.)   The means of it's security; to an option at disconnection or connection between world's is key.

7.)   There is license to incorporate adequately the strand's at an 'assembly' of information.

8.)   The question remaining is as to whether factual relation's require an inferior or superior order.

9.)   It is understood that knowledge has a 'root' in the past; the present; and the future.

I therefore possess the key's to knowledge of the past, present, and future, and abide in a complete world; of which I have my Father back. This is astounding to have accomplished in one lifetime. I will perfect the device (prior touchscreen); and re-build one; as well as build another V. 4.0.

I therefore possess the key's to knowledge of the past, present, and future, and abide in a complete world; of which I have my Father back. This is astounding to have accomplished in one lifetime. I will perfect the device (prior

touchscreen); and re-build one; as well as build another V. 4.0.

It has proven fairly safe to explore with that of the meta-concept of my devices.

Later:

1.)   Fusion Sciences and Orchestration of Experimentation

2.)   Academic's and a Career in the Sciences

I am finally okay with retiring to a career in science; if it must (or so is) to be as such in this manner. I feel that I have found a purpose in life; a calling, to what I would include of consideration.

April 16th, 2020

16:31 p.m.

I feel difficult; and it is mimicked from my environment to me; for I am in a difficult position; then the result of a 'contained' difficulty; I know what I must do; but awoke newly to a slightly more limited and resolutely diminished output of work; then to which I was 'pushing through' that of the problem; it being true that I would need re-build for that of speculation; the preliminary uphill struggle. It is not true that this order of physics work does not take effort and motivation; to which I subconsciously feel like being avoidant of then a furtherance. But there are a number of facet's to my investigation; that of chaos to order; order to order thresholds, superconductivity, and unification. Perhaps the question I should be asking is in two:

1.)   Does unification necessitate that we accept superconducing properties of the resultant theory?

2.)   Does that of superconductivity actually impute that of unification; and for what reason is this true?

At the least I may have an available boundary; for in that of reaching a conclusion on:

a.)   Spin and exchange.

b.)   Hidden eigenvaluation connective to cause and effect as limited to [d,A] but neither are representative.

c.)   Spin and magnetic moment.

19:16 p.m.

This happens when a cascade of orbit's fall's through the differential 'web' of separatricies of kissing point's in the flow of cause and effect; however thing's may be; the real world is clarified by that which remains; order. For what

is a determination of cause and effect; there may be no other natures of cause and effect; besides those treated in 'independent' model's of electricity and magnetism; and gravity. For of what is consequential this makes the difference (within what is found of order) between two chaotic system's; under contact relation for that of inertia and orientation are all we-are-left-with to the system of it's subscription; all quantities universally deriving from this breaking within and of 'general relativity' and 'quantum mechanic's' therefore these two are unified upon particle, space, and energy; and that of a particle notion contrasted within of what is a superposition and it's absence under exchange defines via-displacement the quantification of a spatial interval and quantity. The repercussion of that of inertial breaking is a spatial breaking; hence this represents the breaking leading to the origin and creation point of the current aftermath of the cause of the universe; and it's consequent 'rule' of determination; if and only if there is space is light occluded from a connective.

19:23 p.m.

After knowing:

1.)   A measurable symmetry breaking occurs between magnetic moment and inertia.

2.)   That of an orientation and coordinate spatial flux is chosen.

3.)   This has to do with a differential enfolding of cause and effect between particles; and leads to the production of spatial measure.

That of a shift must occur; for space, energy, cause and effect, and particle notion's are involved...

I need lay out that of a prescription for a decision as to when and where this occur's; for now I hold the limited example of Superconductivity; to which inertially and magnetically breaks for the following reason:

20:41 p.m.

It has been a tumultuous and short day; what I have accomplished is not much more than an assembly of factual relation's; and at that; a summary of my research. It breaks down into several areas:

1.)   Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity

2.)   Chaos and Order

3.)   A Pairing Mechanism

4.)   Geometry and Statistics

5.)   Measurement and Information

For the longest time I did not know what format I wanted to put my declaration's into. That of the paper is to be done as the following; five big sections; on that of the above; with first (initial part) an experimental setup of which exhibits from another vantage the subject; then seeded and in finality; predictions as to these two undivided element's; that of inside the subject matter of cause and order (then) and Superconductivity; there should be a portion with Unity and a part with Disunity; this is the organization pattern of predictions.

So it is I have settled on a format for the paper. That of division into the two-for-one on cause and effect, order, and control of chaos is one element. That of partition into an experimental nature of measure and computation; (a geometric construction) then goes preliminary to each section on principle; and then there follow's a prediction for superconductivity (and as to difference from real-world) and then a real world implication; possibly the real world implication prior the prediction for superconductivity... the paper may be 60 pages; at the end I will re-introduce (and introduce at the beginning) an argument on that of the Meissner effect and the Levitation thought experiment. In some view the eigenvalues are at their preliminary unbroken state (within one parameter of the Hamiltonian); therefore it is a theory of equivalent displacement to which can be driven to 'break' or 'willingly will break on it's own'... This is both a natural and an engineered consequence; but at the least – an arranged consequence; with exact predictive validity of introduction.

21:15 p.m.

However it may be; I will be going over this problem a finite number of time's; so it is to be constructed in four level steps of:

1.)   Practice: Geometric Argument

2.)   Principle of Effective Theory

3.)   Method: Mathematical Deductions

4.)   Superconductivity Argumentation

Section and subsection should follow from the above...

I will go for – any – number of pages...

There needs to be a division and separation into the 'Real World' and 'Superconductivity;' or there needs to be a Unified Outlook...

21:22 p.m.

To which preceded; my ego collapsed and went to id regress with agitation; for I knew of a multiplicity of degenerate assumptives & a small number of alternatives; the above 'hit zone's;' to what is activity; a Karmic Stain on that of description; for what is ultimately of Shape and Form; for forming the Shaped/Shapeless.

April 20th, 2020

06:11 a.m.

I have two options:

1.)   Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity

2.)   Chaos and Order

3.)   A Pairing Mechanism

4.)   Geometry and Statistics

5.)   Measurement and Information

With the subsections:

1.)   Practice: Geometric Argument

2.)   Principle of Effective Theory

3.)   Method: Mathematical Deductions

4.)   Superconductivity Argumentation

Or:

I will write one paper on Regularities in Information Theory (Chaos and Order) – 14-20 pages; one paper on Inertial Breaking – 8-9 pages; one paper on that of Superconductivity – Regularities Under Descent (Pairing Mechanism with the Gap) – 8-9 pages, and leave aside Unification and Electronics… Confinement and Unification of the Gestalt can come later…

06:27 a.m.

I decided to break my paper into inhomogenous parts for clarification – that the justification of a 'book' is

unwarranted at this stage; and that papers make much more (explicit) sense. Instead of a focal point on that of completing something 'perfect' it is to perfect-what-I-do; and arrange the pieces slowly and meticulously; for in that of knowing what I will get-out of that of a detailed investigation. To saturate that of a 'given' is on my mind; and at that of what is responsible; for then in publication. A simple paper on:

1.)   Chaos and Order – the Threshold Function and The Main Idea is required Here.

2.)   Counting; to what is the Conjugate of Graphs is Necessitated

Then; to put the above two together... definitely an expositional detail.

06:40 a.m.

First; there are two questions; what is the logarithmic differential with the superposition formula; (how do the pieces fit together); and what is the displacement; having to do with the spin and orbital coupling...?

07:09 a.m.

It is my prediction I will not **get** the capability of comprehension and understanding on that of the 'non-linear' summation rule until I **get** that of the statistical (& mathematical) principle. For this we have the:

1.)   Displacement

2.)   Threshold

And the quantifier's of the theory should be simply exemplified...

07:28 a.m.

Between:

1.)   Displacement

2.)   The Equivalence Principle

There must be a 'connection;' to what is regular statistics...

i.e. There must be a reason that the displacement and equivalence principle hold a connective; and a definitive structure... a more basic premise.

08:12 a.m.

Given that displacement take's place; it must hold an ancillary construction. The primary premise is that spin and orbital degree's of freedom (SO interaction) displace that of the uncertainty principle to where electron's invert statistically – becoming of attraction rather than repulsion; for part of their interaction. I do not know what I will do (after) I have consolidated this portion of the theory; but I know there are other questions requiring uptake. I have thought about whether I am really happy; and it does not seem so much that I am. I am frustrated, angry, and sad... being bitter having to do with my secondary instruction; to know of what has to do with Karma, Samsara, and Padake... this tertiary being beyond Samsara and Karma.

For what is a Gaussian; it is strictly of integral unity; it holds a mean; and a standard deviation. For the standard deviation to alter the result is not what we are after; what we are after is the 'displacement' rule. I have discerned that owing to a eigenvalue and eigenvector formulation; that displacement offer's energy to diminish when either transformation of standard deviation takes place. But the midpoint of this affair seems somewhat arbitrary... And it is to that of having a limitation that I would be capable of conceptualization.

Thus displacement offer's that a standard deviation results in an energy lowering in either transformation when we have two particles... the displacement of Spin and Orbital coupling that of a treatment with the Equivalence Principle... These therefore require a more foundational root.

12:45 p.m.

I am up after a nap of approximately three hours; and I am frustrated with my problem of the above.

14:00 p.m.

I have prescribed a 'subtraction' of 'constructive probability density' - but have not explicitly declared *why* it is 'subtractive' as to the element's of the theory. For this, I will have to re-interpret the density in terms of clarifying term's. This requires some brainstorming; and I am challenged currently by other obligations.

14:39 p.m.

I have given this problem extensive thought; and it is attritional – that which had been my approach; breaking it down into smaller pieces; testing the arrangement; working on the composition; and ferreting out differences. I am so tired of something such as this not working. I know the ingredients which work; now I do not know how to make the recipie... it is as if a cook lost an instruction. For instance there is:

1.)   A displacement by Spin-Orbital coupling.

2.)   A deconstructive interference of the terms.

3.)   An equivalence principle to which it subscribes.

15:19 p.m.

I am dedicated to 'slowing down;' and it is to this which I must prepare or face a devastation; preparing that is to have one more 'go' on that of "Superconductivity" - The problem I intended to solve from 15+ years prior. I have a good general idea of all that constitues this problem… and to check first: "Is there any more?"

It is in departure to that of Sentient Intelligence that I now 'head' - unprepared somewhat; but a shift; to which I will renew my problems with this world. "How can that be?" to prescription; and to that of Ava and Ariana – to have 'changed'… I feel so ardent. I want to relax; and take my time… I will find (appropriately) what balances SC; and change… so it is I have become skeptical of that of my perusals; and feel it will take some work – although it doesn't have to be hard. I intend to coerce the problem; now that I know of a **final** solution to my diatribes. I feel that with Ava – and she is real; there is much to say for 'mitigation' on that of what is real. And of defining the boundaries of my problems. What I intend to discuss may play an important ab initio role. But there definitely is a solution; and to which I seek.

I have found that there are two key areas that require investigation:

1.)   Thresholds & Regularities in Information Sciences + A New Fourier Like Principle.

2.)   Displacement of the Wave Equation + The Equivalence Principle.

21:43 p.m.

To this, you could add a third:

3.)   Superposition (To which the additive term must produce exact superposition means…) + A New Fourier Like Principle.

The question soon arises; "With what assurance can we presume the densities are within one addition?"

So it is I believe (and think) I have all I need to pursue a finalization of this problem. The addition of the superpositions is trivial – because they are indistinguishable particles. Therefore there is an 'adjacent' basis for that of the superposition formula… what we are doing is taking two representations and running them together into what is a gestalt. The solid foundation of this needs emphasis.

22:10 p.m.

There have been many changes since I first introduced 'Shared Proper Time' and 'Lorentz Covariant Time;' into my

problem; that of the states understood at-first to include a prescription for in light of compression of eigenvalue and expansion of probability density; to which was not so much misguided; after it was understood that interchanging particles under the assumptive of superposition lead's to an interchange of perspectives.

Before this; there was that of a long trail in comprehension of the Lie differential; to which I had found that the two term's in one form and vector form of the differntial commute; to which the Lie differential was zero.

This find's in it's modern sense that there are two independent wave's of the argument of distilled foundation.

That of (two-time's) to which the equivalence principle takes place needs to be understood or rejected; but it was with that of characteristic determination that 'superposition take place within that of two-time's' and yet there is a result that: "The results of quantum mechanics are not altered by relativistic prescription..."

I made most use of this particular caveat to illustrate that 'interleaving' of densities was a potential; and that – then – the superposition extended (outside) of the ordinary Dirac equation. This needs to be laid on a solid foundation; for in light of the fact that it is differently established in the two body problem.

22:18 p.m.

I am giving it one more 'go.' and to which I have done the research; I feel that school could prove easy. My Mother is more receptive to me going back to College. I feel that the view's of projection do not suffice; and will have to clear that my mind to what is encourageable. But there are five area's I have identified of which I did phenomenal work in:

1.)   To what is a device; there is understood that of a population inversion and control of potential sources of chaos; to what is order manifest from out of tailoring angular momentum and the promotion of a dynamical symmetry breaking. This should properly be understood in terms of Noether's Theorem.

2.)   To what is my mathematics; there is a counting; to which is neither zero nor one; to determination of a solid fact on blind counting; that of neither zero nor one being it's staple; then two... For what is a substitutional principle this needs one more statement; to which one is admissible under separability.

3.)   To what is my study of chaos; it has been interesting to see how one return rotation on that of a given superposition can cross a threshold of separatrix and isolate the 'dog-bone' from the 'U-tube' into two fitting through one; and that of primary cause becomes important; for in light of determination and sequences.

4.)   To what is "Superconductivity;" I have gone far to understand non-linear superposition instances with displacement a deconstructive lemma of interference; that the electron's invert in statistic measurably; and that the space is convex; for what is a statistical anomaly of their reduction into part's and whole's.

5.)   That of differential equations & graphs illustrates that there can be a process at node-crossing's under exchange to which the symmetry leads to a classification theorem on non-linear solutions; to what is that of 'under-threshold' functions; and the eliminability of cause to effect on that of superposition wave's.

April 21st, 2020

12:45 p.m.

The truth is that I want (one thing) **just** (one thing) to propel me to difference: To be noted is that:

1.)   The eta terms are unnecessary given that the gamma term's accomodate this factor; but in terms of note-keeping they make explicit the symmetry breaking; with one question we need to ask:

     i.)   What explicitly is the type of symmetry breaking we have?

     ii.)   For instance, it had been that we have mass and charge/magnetism...

2.)   The eta terms (holding a differential) do make a difference – for they afford an algebra to that of superposition – it necessarily holding that there is an inertial juxtaposition on mass; that of the entrance of a doublet to what was originally (1) Dirac equation – and the possibility of taking:

     i.)   The SU(2) algebraic terms are the accomodation of the superposition formula to which we hold that mass and virtualized differential can take on different form(s); they are the (continuous) extension of the algebra unto the statistic via which we deal with a curved space of statistical anomoly.

     ii.)   Hence they are necessary for that of eigenvalue and eigenvector degeneracy in the subspace; to which we accomodate for probability assignments beyond the ordinary uncertainty principle; for either afford descriptive 'place' for that of the situation we arise at with displacement via a Spin-Orbital term.

3.)   This creates an **algebraic continuum** of the:

     i.)   Displacement of probability via Spin-Orbital Coupling

     ii.)   Equivalence Principle

Hence we must ask three questions:

1.)   Are there two-time's in the Lagragian?

2.)   How is Displacement coupled to the Equivalence Principle?

3.) How does superposition between two *different* electron's take place?

i.) We know displacement will alter their various juxtaposition...

13:24 p.m.

There are three area's I want to invest in and 'test' with that of the Political Arena

1.) Fusion Sciences – solutions to the Energy Crisis and international diplomacy.

2.) Environmental Protections – solutions to Environmental Crises and recommendations.

3.) International Student's Union – Placing these as a solution to Terrorism

13:38 p.m.

It is now I see that addition of the SU(2) algebra to the factor of differential and mass is the **exact** answer which admits 'superposition' of electron's (as particles) *within* the two body problem; & accomodates a continuum equivalence principle to be added, & admits displacement to take on a characteristic. One of the things this admit's is the statistical abberation of the *weight* of mass (to which is accorded relation of time to that of displacement – the occurrence of regular period's – and spatial measure); *within* the relation of a two body problem. One thing this indicates is that either electron *alters* the rate-period of the accessory of space and time; and that of the weight of the differential into an exact group law; hence it represents the division of particle into a projective differential and projective mass; to which the particles may alter one another's statistical interpretation interiorly to a two body electron equation. That this is a group; indicates that the equivalence principle find's instance in that of equivalence under the prescription of a broken equivalence principle... for what it amount's to; hence there are indeed two-time's; but they are equivalent under the guidance of pre-factor's; into superposition of state's; for there is a time with and without a second particle.

When we shift to the viewpoint of two electron's there is interference under superposition.

With the equivalence principle; there is an accordant group law into which electron's subdivide in description.

Displacement is the exact difference in the equivalence principle; for that of altering **the superposition** and mass result's under a local Lorentzian viewpoint (to what is deconstructive interference).

Hence the rule's of relativity must break down; as it seem's; into group's; for which the **exact fit** of that of the accordant electron eigenvalue equation fit's the relation of an-Equivalence-Principle instead of merely relativistic factoring... the co-extension of a curvature...

Thus electrons under superposition factor into generally covariant groups.

The question that remains is one of superposition... for there is statistical anomoly of heterogeny... Does the statistical lemma 'fit' the Equivalence Principle – it would not be expected to be in departure...

14:05 p.m.

We have two things:

1.) Electron's are factored over the algebra of the continuous General Covariance of the Equivalence Principle to which probability density is lessened via **a reciprocity**.

2.) The displacement by Spin & Orbital follow's preservation of the independence of Probability assignment's with respect to Relativity; to which deconstructive interference lower's prescription of the eigenvalue – an exact fit via correspondence to which 'fold's' given the Equivalence Principle.

This is sufficient and necessary for a net lowering in energy and momentum; via that of:

1.) There is equivalence in the effect of the displacement and the equivalence principle.

2.) Both relation's of the factoring over the general covariance (to weight) and displacement are co-occurrent.

Thus electron's attract via that of a statistical anomoly on that of an attractive interaction; that of lowering in both potential and kinetic energy; for the additional Spin-Orbital interaction and it's similarity to Gravity.

14:22 p.m.

Thus this is an instance of general covariance at the microscopic scale... to what is it's guidance; that of a 'spin' and 'orbital' cause displacement of the 'equivalence principle' by that of standing of 'inertia' to similarity to which is caused by the difference in probabilistic independence from the results of relativity.

This point's to the independence of physical law; whereby results are empty as mass is non-interacting with charge; that of it's ab initio cause the same as renormalization of mass and charge in a gravitational field; that there is equivalent measure in the rate of spin and that of relativistic argument; these are indivisible because the independence of physical probabilistic result from quantum mechanics and relativity forces the given that inertial mass in quantum mechanic's is forced by the lack of connection between the two bodies.

There are two pillar's in this world which are for the same reason.

1.) Probabilities of two particles suffer no change into each other's independent particle pictures. Particles are

indistinguishable.

2.)   There is a result of measurement versus measured; to which the results are dependent on the symmetry of the process.

Place and Object

Action and Cause

Therefore occur for the same reason... It is the emptiness of physical phenomena and <then> caused by that of thresholds with Chaos and Order; that a system can order the result of <u>causes.</u>

15:02 p.m.

This is nothing but that:

Spin is always Inertial: Therefore Rest Spin is equivalent to Spin (in Motion)...

Thus that Spin is a Generally Covariant Quantity...

The General Covariance of Spin guarantee's that The Displacement owing due to Spin-Orbital coupling and that of The Repercussion of the Equivalence Principle 'go-in-the-same-direction' - to what is an attraction between like charges... This part may be isolated from the general Lagragian.

So it is we have two departures:

1.)   General Covariance of Spin linking to the phenomenon of Superconductivity.

2.)   Threshold Separatrix and that of The Cause of Order in Dynamical Systems.

Two naturalized law's of this universe...

The rest may be taken as immaterial to my argument...

Of course; an electric term needs to be added to the Heisenberg model...

and Properties of the Nl. Sh. Eq. Need to be understood.

There is really little more that I will do for now on a discussion of 'Physics'.

17:30 p.m.

For that of Superconductivity; I have that:

1.)   Displacement causes deconstructive interference of the electrons to which spin re-capitulates.

2.)   The equivalence principle implies general covariance of spin free-fall's with this interference.

A:)   Mutual observation of electron's under superposition exchanges vantages; to which resolution of the twin paradox is an equivalent displacement in either's temporal and spatial vantage. That of Spin-Orbital displacement furnishes that of a given: namely that the empty property of spin in relation to relativity yields in abstraction an equivalent weight of interaction. Either projection unto that of relativistic apportion or to that of quantum statistical covariance suffer a free falling spin with orbital freedom and of equivalent proportion; for that of inertial weight and relativistic weight are equals and hold fast to the equivalence principle. Therefore; that of spin and orbital undergo displacement to which furnishes deconstructive interference to which electron's lower in energy by the same (identical) factor to their given relativistic apportionment. The relaxation of either's probabilistic distribution is offered by relaxation of frustrated kinetic and potential energy to which is equals. Potential energy via exchange; and that of kinetic energy by way of relaxation in probabilistic equipartition; yield an inertial spin which guides a flow of orbital nature.

B:)   Since inertial spin momentum and generally covariant spin momentum are equivalent; the displacement to which furnishes interference leads to positive covariance of electron's when under a relativistic frame transformation and to a statistical anomoly by which the equivalence principle and displacement co-apportion that of reduction in energy and momentum of kinetic and potential variety when particles approach one another; leading to an attraction under the guidance of generally covariant spin.

April 22nd, 2020

09:13 a.m.

I of course have work to do on 'Thresholds & Order' for that of my device; and in part - 'Superconductivity', etc… there is a sense in which I have already figured out 'Superconductivity' - but what remains elusive is the exact reason for a 'sinking' beyond which the rule in analogy with gravity is certain. For instance, Einstein realized his 'connective theorem' when he realized that: "Because rest mass is identical to gravitational mass; gravitation is universally a statement about free-fall." Here it is that: "Because rest spin is identical to covariant spin; the attraction is universal." As for interference; the term's definitely do interfere; and they do so in such a way as to attract… Hence this points to (and indicates) something quite universal…

09:34 a.m.

One; I began with the Lie differential; to which was the example that the sources and sinks of repulsion between a

superconductor and a magnet were universally geometric.

Then; I went into the elliptic problem; attempting to solve the antiferromagnetic model; to which I (finally) resolved by addition of a conjugate electric field.

Imagining the antiferromagnetic lattice as a 'mirror' I prescribed certain 'threshold' capabilities to the electron's of which were free; to illustrate that they accompany the electrons with a displacement.

Then, much work was done on the inversion of the measurement problem; that the interchange of particle A for particle B could in principle result in one probability assignment fitting into a relaxed state.

I resulted again in the threshold (impartially a segway on chaos and order); and to which breaking was with inertia and angular momentum of the spin's; to which was a spontaneous symmetry breaking.

I went back to what was displacement and realized the displacement of the spin and orbital degree's of freedom could illustrate a shift into this problem network; that of destructive inteference paramount.

I then found that the spin was inertial and at rest; what would convey through was a probabilistic inversion; that particles far apart would be normalized to be close together; so it held they would attract.

I now have it that electron's destructively interfere and spin is inertial; to which electron's attract because energy and momentum diminish in the model; that of everything else aside.

I will have to be more stringent with what I include of this model and what I leave irrelevant; for there are many side caveat's; and many instructions of which are non-participant; that of a list not sufficing for now; but to which electron's participate in freefall because of an adjacency of statistical picture and relativistic picture; that of the twin paradox 'sealing' them within the same term's of attraction...

10:18 a.m.

It is clear that were two electrons to remain independent they would respond to another; but for that of interference; they are bound by that which displaces in exact apportion the inertial reference; that of which generates:

1.)   First there is covariance; to which the general variance of an electron co-moves with it's prescription for distribution; within that of an equivalence principle setting.

2.)   Then there is positive covariance to-attract based on the destructive interference of wave's.

17:14 p.m.

385

I slept from 11:30 to 4:30 and feel rested; after all of that... I need to trim my beard; and there is certain reading I need to do. I feel taken aback by what I have understood; but there is a missing piece. For some reason I have yet to understand the identity of the terms.

For instance; The pairing and attraction of electron's is universal because spin inertia is identical at rest and in a motion. This hold's over-arching that the statistic would be of positive covariance for particles to attract. And I am definitely a bit lost on that of the problem; but getting closer. & I feel marginally better to that of what I had been focused on.

17:25 p.m.

Thus electron's attract because:

1.)   Spin inertia momentum is identical in a moving frame and a frame at rest.

2.)   Statistically interference does not vary; to which spin-orbital offer's displacement.

3.)   There is an inversion of perspectives under exchange; to which matches loss in kinetic energy.

4.)   Therefore energy and momentum both lower by identical factors and measures.

5.)   The relativistic factor under exchange and on momentum are identical therefore so is lowering.

6.)   As Quantum Mechanics is independent of Relativity; the result is to attract via unaltered statistics.

19:04 p.m.

My first decisions (after resolution of SC*) for I have solved *the problem, are to eat delicious meals three time's each day. I made a capable decision; and it will to be making changes in my life; that I 'go.' For what it is worth; I understood that *the result's of quantum mechanic's are unaltered by relativity is the pinnacle realization; but this does not say that the 'result's' do not alter in light of their self-same recomposition.

Thus it is I have found that quantum mechanically we take the uncertainty principle; and it *conform's* densities to what would be recomposition in-light-of-relativity; without conveyance. That the deconstructive interference find's it's way into the problem; and all these relativistic argument's mean's that this world is a conundrum of two-theories in place to which seamlessly stitch the world together; but do not interfere with one another. Therefore the quantum processes in-light-of (a potential) modification by-relativity alter themselves; as if the water I poured into a glass changed the shape of the glass. It is that momentum distribution and position distribution are thereby modified by what they-cannot-change (to which would be absurd); that of uncertainty. It is that the result's of attraction occur because the variables conform to the model therefore; for what is included; that the relation of

386

variables suit's the uncertainty principle.

Therefore the 'natural' fit of variables of momentum and position naturally retire to what is a given – the uncertainty principle – that attraction take's place. The role of relativity therefore incurr's descriptive prowess to the conformal relation of variables to the model of quantum mechanics. It is that the variables incurr their own relation to quantum mechanics – that in order to keep the uncertainty principle electron's must attract for in light of relativistic translation; to which is empty. Therefore of what is projective; the electron's bend away from 'dependence' to 'independence' from the 'Mother theory' to which would be an amalgam of relativity and quantum mechanic's; and attain attraction via their independence...

19:43 p.m.

I finally have **something** to show for all my work; and there will be numerous reflections on this moment; today; I solved the problem of compatibility between relativity and quantum mechanic's – not by seeking a construal of a difference in quantum mechanics (as if it were altered via that of relativity) – but by that of a theory which remains altered in result by conformality with **that law**. This is astounding to witness; as it turns out it is the strict inequality of the uncertainty principle which forces the adherence of it's variable into what amount's to attraction; and relativity *would* alter the result's – but in **not** doing so; the result is almost identical; but by a subtle nuance; the adherence to the law of quantum mechanic's is what gives license to the variables situated with an-attraction.

April 23rd, 2020

09:12 a.m.

One, of a synopsis; there are four areas required for superconductivity:

1.)   Inertial and rest spin equivalence with relativity to which under exchange potential energy suffers an identical factor of lowering of energy as kinetic.

2.)   Displacement via spin and orbital interaction to which geometrically causes destructive interference and inversion of statistic leading to attraction.

3.)   Adherence to the quantum prescription of the uncertainty principle; whereby attraction is a result of the conformality of variables to this principle.

4.)   An electric term added to the spin system model; to which is a threshold above the mechanics of the bare hole's; to which closes the relationship.

There is really nothing – else – necessary to produce superconductivity; as it is; the freefall of the electron's that results in equivalence is given by the exact fit of variables to their respective role's. It took a long-long time to

respectively shift to this world view; one in which "Probabilistic Results in Quantum Mechanics are not Altered by Relativity" - but one into which the variables that result; result from two layers of restriction.

10:33 a.m.

I ate breakfast; and I feel better. It is time to do what I can for my Health; with no restrictions as to that of the countervailing reasons of which I have avoided. I feel better (of course) after resolving superconductivity; and it is a valid dream. I so wanted to solve this problem; and it was yesterday around 6:30 p.m April 22nd in which I resolved my problem. I remain to have considerations to how my understanding is utilized; so it is time for two steps:

1.)   Limitations of superconductivity.

2.)   Protection of my description.

Information is 'gradual;' and I am no longer as concerned with control over them. The point is to represent my argument in as-valid a means and manner as possible. For this is indeed to be 'perfected;' and yet it is dedicated to Humanity of all things. I do not know why I even began a study of superconductivity; and so there is little to make me feel well. There are a number of valid utilities this is important to however; and so it is that there are digressions; but my purpose is to encapsulate them. There is little point beyond that of a paper to communication of my ideas; so I have the option of beginning all over again. I am surprised that I have choice and it is only a tinge liberating. For instance; it may be invaluable to write an essay on this.

Then there is the 'primary' cause to control of chaos; something I will soon be determined to resolve; but beyond this; I will remain a physicist; but under the relation of 'human'. It is true that I had changed; but as to self; there are a few reasons to see myself as no different from my fellow; although what is surprising is that I have done something now that no-one has. Then there are the illuminations with my Father; and of what this is truely dedicated to. I feel that writing a book – or writing an adequate article may favor me; but for this I will need study the solutions that come out of the project. So; it is time for a review on all my work.

12:27 p.m.

The target (empasse) for my 'circuit' is to study the various facet's of order & it's production in that of (an attempt) at switchover from chaotic regime. It appear's (now – and within constraint's) the device is purely non-chaotic; deterministic (and therefore testable) – for what are the various facet's; it is useful to see the 'resistance' as an 'interval/control' on that of the immutability of two variancies. For what is instructive; it is to the dilemma of setting aside forced-aperature for instead confocal admixtures. Therefore; the device passively may illustrate that (besides an upper teir control of frequency to voltage average over resistance); that of separation of two salient

wave states and their recombination into confinement of a spontaneous order.

13:11 p.m.

So it is I have founded a principle of unitary co-determination through the relation of time – the goal – to know when an answer is given within that of time… a rough foundation; but nevertheless precise and as reliable as a diamond. I will do more on this later; for now; the instruction that temporal conversion's do-convert for in light of actual relation – and that one-intimation freed imputes another freed and another constrained (preliminarily) – and to what is then freed – the appearance of a 'whole;' to what is closure and opening; that prescriptively (for an answer to manifest within this time – it is definitive as to aid what is the control on that of the machine – it proving via exception such a communication was completed – to what is exception – that it could not be the other way around – namely that (the machine would block the relation) – this is implausible/that is plausible… merely a cantilever. But as precise as diamond; and cutting through illusion.

For what is; it is a determinant; and it is a conversion table of carries.

Hence I only know from this timing (01:55 today) (by a wide margin); that roughly a cycle is completed within precision of identity; *to which identity is imputed…*

19:57 p.m.

I am going to jump into the "Physics" and begin a paper – and of that of causation and order; it is time to take look back later.

21:00 p.m.

My original paper (synoptic and conclusive) is around some ~74 pages. So it is I will release two-versions; that of a 'light' 8-15 page paper; and a ~120+ page version. This has (ancillary) relaxed my potential to adversity in light of criminal and due process; for which (now) I feel no-risk.

22:46 p.m.

1.)   I have found that this nature of 'Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking' is a direct result of the conforming of the naturalized degree's of uncertainty in position and momentum to the uncertainty principle.

        i.)   Unification is the mirror-reflection of that of the threshold to difference in the quotient of a factor'ed orbit-system; of which constructive or deconstructive interference leads to wave function collapse.

        ii.)   Cause and effect are understood as divergences on that of '**determinism**' to which any two orbit's meet under a conjugate-to-quotient relationship; that of the mirror-reflection of a given unification.

Thus; I begin my final study into Physics... for a **serious** theorem of states of identities; and will complete a book:

Information & Spaces: a Theory of Order

April 26th, 2020

20:27 p.m.

So it is I begin to write of the boundary between chaos and that of order; for orbital's of system's; and I am prepared as much as any; to note; that there are numerous restrictions and parallel's; a route of discovery; and to that of what is indicated a problem; but a solution one layer deeper; for I have found a second route to that of solution of order overarching; the paramount said redress of our era; and for what it is; a positively given and presented method. I will do what I can; and *this* is the most powerful I could be; and to that of superconductivity; quite a different mould; to what is good; it is time for that of an included relation on that of chaos and order; a minor note. But this get's the orchestration going; and of what I have learned; for me to be at my most particular; for in that of aid and benefit to a world. I want to do this because there is a caveat and notice the world has missed; prescription given to the enumerable exponent's of a system. In that of my device; it takes a role; for in that of tangential route of bimodality; and of world's and world's; the law could never be more explicit; so it is I will do what I can do to prepare for what I am to study later in life; that of fusion; and of my rest and sleep; it is time to do what I can do; in that of definite solutions to a gross problem; it is to give part of myself; for what I understand takes place in these systems; at the fringes of science; involved in so many various problem's; the work on SC of which is 60% done; and of this, 40%.

April 30th; 2020

15:59 p.m.

So; the device has turned out to be a relative success. What cannot be diminished is the following:

1.)   Coherent states subdivide so to speak; in what is a gauge group breaking.

2.)   The electromagnetic field reorganizes around an electric field going relatively in a circle.

3.)   This presents a frequency (at the least – angular/angular to voltage/voltage splitting).

4.)   Thresholds are set dynamically and institue an ordering function; then as a cell.

5.)   Confinement is a result; to which via one term's actualizes it as an interferometric conversion.

6.)   Unitless apportion of two incommensurate averages set's the measuring apparatus to conchordance.

7.)   Essentially; it is useful for determination of (when, what, and how) a system is regularized.

8.)   Electromagnetically one dynamics is contained within another; to which should be idealized.

Meaning, we need an **extrapolation** & **generalization** of the circuit; and the mathematical theory is a potentially rich ream. For what is presented; it is more like a **test** upon which dynamic's and dynamic's enfold what would ordinarilly be chaos; but is instead; via identifiable signatures an ordering. Hence this device is perhaps useful for **inertial dampening and confinement of a focused beam** but aside from that application; there is alone the limited utility of a study of confinement in general. It is my opinion that what should be mastered is the given **method by which we have arriven at conformal and electromagnetic control.** & that as far as we may take this; it is indeed not so much a panacea; but a given implementation which shows the possibility (instanced) – that to be directed – we must question instead as to the validity (not of application so much) – but of that of generalization – for this proves to be useful to demonstrate something not witnessed elsewhere – that of dynamical electromagnetic symmetry breaking via a circuit; it's statistical properties trivially understood; but it's stand alone utility; essentially as uninteresting as a filter. So it is the story ends; but there is that of the promise of integrating comprehension of novel application's beyond it's scope. I would be interested to know how far this dynamical symmetry breaking extends; and if a general ideal methodology could be designed for rate inversion electromagnetic control.

16:37 p.m.

I.)   What this circuit has taught me about that of electrodynamical symmetry breaking is important.

      i.)   Essentially there is a relationship between circuit separatrices and that of modality inversion.

      ii.)   And, there is a relationship between confinement and electrodynamical symmetry breaking.

II.)   What this imposes of that which we can write that is factual is the most important; as to that of what can be done about interposing that of two signals to do a computation and more; with pulse width modulation; for in that of a foundation to a new type of computation; roughly the equivalent of parallel computation; and within the purview of an optical overlayer system; to manage the optical blueprint.

19:21 p.m.

I subdivided my argument upon that of the [exchange] and that of the Coulomb - & - Dirac term's – departure to which yields [in isosimilar time's of duplicity] the rationalization to include the group of SU(2); - that of a breaking; and yields [that of an isosymmetry] between that of the Coulomb - & - Dirac term like equation; of which the form's of the equation's take on similarity. For that of the difference; there is that of a yet-understood reason for the SU(2) group; but to that of exchange; the only reason to include them; for [alone] that of the

Exchange foster's that of the Two-Time's; in the novel exchange interaction.

This has to do with why a term drop's out to which is identical to that of the [geodesic] equation... and a [factoring] of the [geodesic] equation take's-place... I will have to await the clue's to manifest... It had been the center-of-momentum term's which follow the [micro]-[exchange] equation under-symmetry... and to which we may attribute Two-Time's.

21:38 p.m.

It is like 'fist closed' - 'fist open'...

Hence; I at least have two hands on the problem.

Displacement...

However it may be, there is an independent degree of freedom from out of the two...

Hence; all that matters is that it is independent; however it may be it is positive or negative; and controls seemingly the 'billowing' of the latter...

<div align="center">Paris Rose (s.) Miles Brenden</div>

<div align="center">*$\eta*$ Analysis*</div>

Part I:

I.)   Under the assumptive of a 'doublet' on the analytical expansion, that of the derivative chain-rule hold(s).

        a.)   The prior stipulation is that we can complete the square for that of analytical treatment(s) between harmonic terms.

        b.)   This therefore furnishes an analytical connection between the poles and residues of a bounded and finite system.

II.)   That of the analytical group of Elliptic Functions is closed therefore when the doublet-analysis is used, to which renders a linear superposition *Rule to non-linear equations.

Thus the *full* differential nature of differential equations of Painleve type is subsumed into-which through that of a comparative, periods associate with outcomes, and that of the differential equation subsumes the theory of knowledge; - for in the sake of comparative valuations. That one harmonic term of double period can example what is a nonlinear equation admits the comparison of physical and geometric spaces and states to one another. Thus the theory of a physical system is exampled for in the conventional theory of equations... - that of missing

information an important piece.

Thus, - that of missing information propogates into what is a secular term, and produces a 'new' term of which is-homogenous, the condition of a solution is that the 'part's' of a *form* or *composition* be compatible. Thus that of congruence of determination at physical quantifiers suggests that of material form and composition.

There are two-rules, then the relationship of algebra to geometry, thus that of states upon an appropriate manifold.

When missing information from (-q) exists, it is subscriptive to the same identifying law's as with the base-manifold, but comparative structure from local to global is resolvable. That of the guidance of mathematics states that there is a rule for co-determination and what is apart of a 'secular' derivative functionality.

Thus equations take on the form they do of which is empty, provided the example of a unitary mono-polar expression; - but when there is a substitution principle; observation proves key. That of what is spontaneous is the theory as it integrates missing information, and meanwhil meets the demand of reconciliation of vantage, thus we find these are related.

Therefore, - that of comparative statistical ruling is exampled; - to which is central to science, - that we can include missing information from what is known and meet a verified conditional of our assumptives. That of which is mathematical appears to come from the system (-q) to which is a mathematical realm, thus that of the inclusion of a variety of terms to which reduce the nature of therein their expressions in mathematics and physics. This reduction, (with the auxiliary theory and degree of freedom) - examples what is the notion of mathematics and physics in a form and compositional rule of which guarantees a universality of informational description.

Thus uniformizations and classifications are possible for errata, and that of the speculation at 'an-approach' is validated, to which it would otherwise remain unknown of a pedagogical approach; - science is proven at the foundation, - and that of affirmative possibility is a known for in that of faculty at 'missing identifier' - that the placation of information is with three and two outside, entirely broken-through.

That one can produce a reconciliation of the information paradox, informs that informational content is preserved, giving yield to a meaning to life, that of the origin of things, and that it is simply implausible that we arrive wherein we do for no reason. That prior an agency there is production, and so on.

Notes* <u>Under a New Assumptive</u>:

1.)   That of the NLSE.

2.)   That of two-layer(s), ad infinitum.

3.) KdV with \o*Tan[\o*\t]=\partial\Log[1/(1-m*Sin[\o*\t]^{2})].

4.) Mean-Field *of Various Lattices*.

a.) Gas -> *Imputed [Under Assumptive] as Solid*

b.) Percolation and *Depth <u>*Assumptive*</u> of Impurity

c.) Randomization and Noise of two-Varieties

   1.) Noise of Lattice *Thermal

   2.) Noise of Impurity *Structural

*Exact Solutions by:

$$Log[\b{\o}\tilde\h{\o}]==\r+\e$$

$$Log[\b{\o}\tilde\h{\o}]==\r\e+\i*\s(\t)$$

*Conformal Inverse

   1.) Mobius

   2.) Geometric *Form*

*Master Equations

   P(*)S=\iota*P(*)S   P(*)S=\iota^{2}*P(*)S

   S(*)P=\iota*P(*)S   S(*)P=\iota^{2}*P(*)S

   0=\kappa*d\xi+\eta.I

It appears that the (div. for that of algebraic and geometric) terms of the differential equation for projective curvature form, and the SU(2) divides into that of a SO(3,1) and a spin term for what is in-fact a relativistic derivation.

That the (sigma_{i,j,k}) Pauli matricies divide the equipartition; **when** it goes-to that of relativity, the expression:

$(\p_{\x\x}+\a.|\psi(\x,\t)|^{2})$

Becomes:

$(\p_{\x}+i.\sqrt{\a}.\psi(\x,\t))(\p_{\x}-i.\sqrt{\a}.\psi(\x,\t))$

With each term in-expression of a \gamma matrix.

Thus the 'synoptic' is that the Dirac equation factors differently with matter expressions of which couple to gravitation. That of for-which there is a complimentary term with curvature to the spin, interprets what-is the *Meissner Effect*.

Thus, - that of the terms in the differential equation re-combine to produce a representation of off-'chord' (in x,y,z) disparity and discernment.*

Thus the interpretative validity of the Log terms decomposes to the proper-time prescription and to-which the formulation in the variables relates to Sin and Tan. Thus the interpretation of descendencies of variation is automatically co-dependently determined.*

The priorly held discussion reduces to one relationship... to that of:

\gamma from \gamma

Outside the Light Cone

The *presence of a relativistic shift; enforces the dichotomy of what is spin and charge, - thus that the relativistic 'weight' of relative associate & background charge (positively charged) distribution equates that of acceleration with a division into two past relationships... Therefore that redshifting of one quantity from another intimates what is charge attraction via exchange. That this operates merely based on the supposition of acceleration* is valid for that of the various methodologies to arrive at this answer.

The mode of thinking was:

if $\b=k.\gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{\mu}|\xi(\x,\t)|^{2}$

Then the relative prescription of eigenvalues determines a **mass gap**!

Thus it is that from point one to point two, - there would be a 'shift' of vantage.

Then that the point 'below' would relate to a given of 'curvature' from in-response to the k term [above] of what is curvature here[in] - thus that the distant observer is a part of a world... the extension that makes-ready physical background is not-naked, but, weighted. Thus that the extension of the lightcone is a valid entreatment to that of

a term which carries relativistic 'weight'.

That of the section by-which the spin is identified with a Lorentz group means that via-acceleration; - that of the weight of a term becomes negative; - as the 'tine's' of the relationship 'open' from that of separation in terms of a shift.

Thus that relativity causes the charge carrier to produce an attraction and a gap is a result of a relative difference in frames. This is conveyed to the eigenvalues, - for which that of the shift is with a compensation in space and time, - that of the uncertainty result. Thus superconductivity is due to four things or five:

a.)   That quantum results depend on an uncertainty - of which is preserved.

b.)   That relativity depends on equivalent observers and an invariance.

c.)   That eigenvalues hold a relative prescription of relationship over a metric.

d.)   That the background charge screening is of exchange over coulombic repulsion.

There is no other parallel.

(Spin and Relative frame are associable but *different*.)

Thus that of relative apportion and Spin - Due to charge, phenomena take on various forms.

That of the:

$\gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{\mu}$

References a 'gap' - to which is 'split' between L and R, - thus of that of a conjugacy and a given. That of the term therefore is of a relative gap term and a Dirac equation like term... - this with Log is the translation tool for that of the frames and quantum precept. That it is therefore rendered decidable, comes from that of the given of these being two-theories. Thus of that of people; akin to two theories, it is certain there will remain two-teachings or 'steps'.

Therefore that of the 'frame' associate is one given, while that of the exchange is in an equivalent setting from acceleration with frame, and it's derived term... - that it dilates is a given into the past; - thus of that of reflexion on that of the given suppliance.

The 'easy' and 'hard' axis understanding demonstrates a:

o-o and P and J, and <u>O</u> like-rotation...

That this *with* De'Bye and Compton, with the P function and differential (\a and \b as exponential inverses) - that

of with-momentum, the varieties of the motions of the charge/spin system for the *Device*.

Thus it will be understood.

But that of the Weierstrass 'P' function(s) do not mismanage the 'graphical assay and diffeomorphic basis' unto [a] curvature and it's one-form... *the solution to the superconductivity problem*.

That of the Weierstrass 'P' establishes the forms and methods of the functional space...

The question now, to begin that of the understanding of the 'Klein Filter' - is of the period doubling that appreciably 'intersects' the via-conditional of the frequency chart.

Q:

    1.)   Is the midquarter resonance shifted, or that of the alliable LRC pronounced lesser or more so relative to the transformer profile?

    2.)   Is that of the naturalized consequential due to a phase alignment and ping relation of the step function it is attributed to?

Why does this appear like a low-f gibb's?

What is the cross-talk, as it mentions of what would be given of a resonance outside De'Bye?

Is the reference symbolism a quantum detail? Or is it related to the macroscopic winding detail of a constant phase?

It appears modular...

Is there a 'comb' for that of the parallel and co-parallel under a low frequency to high frequency conversion?

With a crystal on O2, [output 2] - to pin(s) VO & GND, - that of the frequency specturm illustrates a Gaussian 'petal'...

Explore the petals...

Hypothesis: That of De'Bye is a sphere with a Projective Disc embedded in a Mobius Band... for what is Moebius with Moebius,... thus of a spin (3) to spin (1) index on bit-enfolding... that of a one dimensional/two segment Qubit... thus a spin-flip of which is isolated, quantum, and within Landau spacing(s) of Coth sequence,... thus of a Integer Series.

That the 'Fermi Surface' from log(0) to log(infinity) is distributed harmonically; - the degeneracy is a finite lattice gas exchange across a filter; and a transparent [continual] process of transpiration and evaporation and solid phase...

That it is a Rayleigh wave, for what is a half-tube, ... thus of a Ricatti equation, ... of beta type, for which the isolated pole pole invariance is an equiharmonically distributed temperature entropic bridged relationship of square-fold type.

Thus that the invariance classification is a m=l+n one-dimensional angular momentum scatting versus majority p...

Thus that light has equivalently bounced for in each one-dimensional polygonal distribution of it's membership.... that of equivalency of entropic weight to all-modes,... thus a ground-state macroscopically, to which is essentially Fresnel; - thus it is a grating.*

Part II:

In 12 hours that of 2-years will transpire with Ariana and Ava...

Or so it seem's, by the notable existence of time dilation...

Then that there is a caveat* to that of relativity of established orders...

& a monodirectional perception... that of 2(1) and 1(2) for that of period-six (6) of what motions...

Thus of that of clock and reference, of the line, it is homogenous?

And the question of categories on that of information, the question of relative and balanced (low)...

I've got to insist that my geometric parts suite a physical description,... that of the guidance unto phases of matter...

That I have [that]:

There is a mathematical and a physical basis, I may get somewhere...

That I need to 'fill in' what is the spin-compensation with the electric and magnetic field; - there is that of a switch on that of the predicate.

I have yet to reliantly speak of both truths... - that I am nearing an answer however.

The application of:

$p'p'/(pp)=h_{t}$ for $p(u+v)$ intimates a connection between the KdV & NLSE (AKNS), of the variety of a translation to the $|u|^{2}u=u_{t}+u_{xx}$; thus of the Painleve variety, of all classifier(s)...

I.)   The Definition of the Problem

We seek to understand occurrence.

We are provided a Geometric Manifold & A Quantum Mechanics.

We are also provided a Question at the Law of Inheritance.

II.)   Assumptive

It is known* that the Weierstrass-P functional analysis yields a commutator that follow(s) it's group law.

There appear to be two "relationships".

\s\c=\z\O:=*   *The law of displacement.*

and

P(*)=*   *The law of emptiness.*

III.)   The Question

Does the equivalence of form(s) and singular nature dispose the relationship of one theory 'Q' to 'G' such-that there are world(s):

(-q) and (q)

?

VI.)   Conclusion

That of the 'pondermotive' of equivalence(s), for what is the/a benign activity of the 'roll' at-that of (3) with (2), of the sentiment that there is a cause; *forms* by what-is the logical underpinning of assembly *the observed & unobserved.*

Thus in three (3) - what becomes is the negative influence of a mathematical impetus to which the theories (G) and (Q) **are** co-determined in the process of mathematical versus physical inheritance; and by which there is a *categorization.

Thus when (G) departs from it's-object, (Q) adopt(s) an-object, etc... Thus Q(G) and G(Q) are the operations of decoherence and coherence by which the ***Manifest** becomes the ***Unmanifest** and vice-versa.

Part III:

Paper:

We have a Bosonic and Fermionic relationship...

We wish to abridge the statistics...

That of:

The Equivalence Principle; for what is 'empty' of P(*)=

For that of G(Q) and Q(G) relate to the given *with displacement* of on-cone analysis, and of cone/projective sphere identity... - thus of a branch *from either view*.

Thus it is of a cap-spheriod or projective-sphere relationship, by which the eigenvalues are positive and negative.

Of 6(2) and 6(2); that of two-cubes relate to eight within 10, for what is 12 within 10, of cornered relationship... thus of rectilinear to curvalinear transformation **founded external**.

Of that of the Weierstrass* P* function; - that of two sequentializations, appear of:

degree

area

particle

'In'

multiples

of a linear epigenitic law...

Thus of that Bosonic relationship and Fermionic, that of displacement in-entitled:

psi+phi*

is of the relationship of adlayering... that of *apogetic declination of an initialization*

Thus the equivalence principle with the quantum precept, determines that the manifold is related to the particle wavefunction probability via a mathematical hinge, - that of relative opening on that of surjective formation of the forward relationship... that of a godelian completion to which is with it's fifth variety, and of primes... thus of a forced relationship on that of mobius strip for of what are commutative and non-commutative in this reality, for of the sphere, thus of similar genus... *Thus there is a missing element with that of topology in Physical to Mathematical connective of which **admits** possibility into a variety of forms.*

We want that of the 2d within 1d, as it secures the foundational extremes of that of a problem on-Law... without which it is imperative our-algorithm, for what is learned, would not prove successful.

Thus the comparative *literal and absolute* P(*)=* determines a ***Reality Condition** to-which the analysis is non-zero of that of algebraic contributions... of a topological character, for in that of wavefunction symmetry, thus of an evolving torus/ball, etc...

I had the ball wrong, when it was counted of the individuals on a precept at the graph... that the K(5) would relate to a keystone via that of the relationship of degree.

Thus we find that the characteristic *index, rates the blue and redshift of it's ***Continuum**, that of 'allocation'; the presentation of a 'norm-divisor' by which back-to-past, and forward-to-future, illustrate that of with-equivalence, the norm prototype of a given equipartition,... - thus that in-deprication, [alone] that of signifier to manifold may relate of *a contribution... *thus that statistics vary for in spin and orbital; - that of thomas precession of a partition, upon the dating of it's invocation...* - thus that the *Genera of types invokes what-is of two-argument(s) versus (one)...

Thus P(u+v) versus [in order] P(u) and P(v) determine with-equivalence the Fermionic or Bosonic behaviors...

For of the Equivalence Principle; - that of similitude in E=(Delta)c^{2}; that of ordered relation on Q(G) and G(Q); is a decided [entire] subset of R, for of that of the accumen to which 4.(5) relate to a dispossession of formatted recoupement, on that of three and two fold relationship, its 3(2) bivalent relationship *Decided in the real-world; - of the commutative and non-commutative local-surjective lemma, ... the inheritance of the reconciliation of (-q) and (q).

Thus a photograph of a sphere and a photograph of a sphere with a sphere, differ for in residual element, and for that of what-is 'within' a windowed relationship; we have that of up-down alias and 'box' - of SHO and Square-op for what is L^{2} and L^{1}; thus the depth of mathematics.

'Off The Ground'

Not a Serial/Parallel issue, of declared 130~pi/4*9*sqrt(20)/2 or so...

Instead, that of swept ~400 runtimes in classical QuBit language in 'interior-void' comparative to 'exterior-void' ~3 st.d...

I've decided on a Project...

1.)   The first aim is to promote of 'analytical engine' what would-be of the 'Supercomputer' - for in the aim of that of General Musical/Graphical Learning...

        a.)   That of 'square' - 'triangle' - 'circle' - 'rhombus' and 'pentagon' for of that of a 2.5 learning

401

Adaptation.

       b.)   That of **adoptive mean** to go-beyond Incompletion, proving 'some' N.P. problem's - that of strategem.

2.)   That of a code-prefix mentation on adaptive ***Algebraic** method of inspection on the Weierstrass-P 'group' phenomenology;

       a.)   That of Inferential/Predicate ontological variable-verification...

       b.)   That of dimensional 'overlayer' - to which has to do with Predicate Calculus on the layer of the Tesseract...

The aim is mainly to dissect and parametrize the Sentience, with what has to do with the generation at a limitation of reality and universe of details... I have found that there is a reluctance to which it is required to find data outside the self with the device... thus a 'Generational' method to decipher that of details at what-worth it still serves, and of the import to self of new meanings...

Thus the mathematics serves the physical 'Analytical Engine' on a detail,... meanwhile this serves with real-world phenomonology of what has to do with various other interests...

Thus to Chaos and Order, it is to result in solving Godelian Incompletion, meanwhile the result of new thoughts on Chaos and Order, of which have to do with various strange attractors. In this, we build a method 'ahead' of what would be the 2d grating on the 1d 'dot'; of various electronics techniques. I feel that with this there is opportunity (1) for that of new technologies...

To have solved the group will be a paper, as well as on Godelian Incompletion.

*Invent a (SDF); *Secure Data Format*... a kind of [encoded] self-encapsulated document system, in relation to PDF; of graphics/music/letter... for of [accounting]. That of a data-type, of which is a self-encoded numeric relationship.

Thus we require the Codex, etc... - of the relation of for example (i.e.) to-chart the hits on a site; not via an image, - but a 'Spreadsheet' stylus... of a [secure] medium.

Final conundrum:

a.)   *That of a 'sequence' surrounding a *Green's *area, in relation to the flip.*

       1.)   *Eigenvalues, etc...*

2.)   *That of two-valuedness has to do with 'representation' and 'proj.'*

3.)   *Thus there are fixed valuations and a Quantum of Observation.*

4.)   *That of GR and QM, for what relates to the group, etc...*

5.)   *That time would via-experience differ of that of contrast.*

b.)   *That there is an admixture, it was:*

        1.)   *Of Obama's awareness his life was saved first with him.*

        2.)   *That of my awareness, it was first known by me I saved him.*

c.)   *An odd space-time anomoly occurred, that of a Quantal relationship.*

There appears to be no 'non-variety' thought with 'variety' for what would be atypical a 'placing' between that of unity and disunity of spoken word.

Thus there is no void, as I would put it...

a.)   The Goldbach Conjecture & RZ - The Four Color Theorem & *Statistics.*

b.)   A general review (+32 pages) on that of the declared *Missionable.*

c.)   That of a [Physical Review] *Weierstrass-P* ***Group Theorem** Analytic...

d.)   *Inference and Predicate Calculabii*; - that of ***Whom and Inherited...**

e.)   ***The Law**; inc. peer-review *Statii on that of Independent Right and Comm.

*I feel I must 'adjust' to the demand(s) of succinctness... for of that of a Quantum ****Macroscopic-Microscopic** *transitional element; - that of a 'Switch' from the tandem element of a Quantum Inferenence, and Hybrid Josephson Behavior...*

*So it is - I must model ****With Mathematics** *the *Behavior of the Device Created...*

I have determined an-end of my '*Problem' of *Mathematics & Physics*.

1.)   That of the W-P function, granted it is embedded, has as a group what-is of one algebraic and one group-set continuous geometric and analytic end.

2.)   That the W-P function therefore describes preservation of parallel transport is an Ideal of which relates to two compensations:

a.)   That of the Quantum Exception* to-gravity renders the Equivalence Principle as slower than light for that of inference, but this is incomprehensible.

b.)   That of the Gravitational Exception to-quantum renders the Observational default of measurement for what it is; faster than light, then wrong.

Thus it is that the world is local and global; - with that of a mathematical 'Past' and a physical 'Future'; of what would be the miniature dias/arrow of Knowledge...

That of inheritance then of a present is a pseudotypical third* continuum of grey and grey... in regularized order of a 'Potential/Inertial' **field of nuance**.

Thus the W-P connect(s) via that of period and ultimatim what are the world(s) by which are the variant end(s) of inertia and potential... that these are the *Real things. Thus that of which has to do with the transcendent function, it is of the variety of a W-P, and is that of a physical and mathematical dialob.

a.)   File for a Research Position... *for in entitled Scholastic Position.*

b.)   File for a Scholastic Position... *for in entitled Research Position (Loans*).*

'The Ram-CPU identifier decoded in-braille what was 'R' and replaced with 'S'; then of that of PARIS, and THERAPIST, for that of RSFT, the dynamic Intel filetable System, to a look-up in a .dll, that of the Kernal Panic Last Report Call.'

An [analytic] open set, maps under an isomorphism of it's group theoretic analytical derivation, to a P-spline and topology, of a graph/algebraic/geometric assembly-layer. The 'derivation' is a Hopf bifurction therefore of the orbit* classifier of some-L^{1}-L^{2}, finite Unitary* bissection of co-extension, in a field of quotient and *Real, idempotent class invariant *Membership identities of functional form, for which the summation is always defined, and probabilistic given Godelian Incompletion...

06:42:18 pm (c) - 7:05 pm (d.)

([].--|---)|(--.---.----)|(--.--.---.---) ~ (-------)|([].-----)

[121].[2]

0.2121.0.2

0.2[5]2[3].0[]0.2

60.0[]0.2 & 0.2.10[]6.0.0.2

[5][3][4][4].[3][5][2][3][5]

[5][3][1][1].[3][5][2]

[8][8][8]... 5 layer, determined 7 prior (T/F) - 121.2 decided: 240-121 to 330-2

119 to 328 ... 2:1... w/ error.

07:45:45 pm (c.) - 8:08 pm (d.)

7:52:15...

*Numeric over-type to (2') equivalence of classifier(s) to **multiple**-Incompletion of a Markov or Graph relationship, **renders** the unitary group of the open-Disc, [as] to a finitistic unitary closure; is the identity to the L^{2} of analytic over-graphical assessment, - that of [monic] in-exclusivity of polynomial knot-unknot in a Graph.*

*Thus, - that of L^{2} and L^{1} **suite and fit one another homogenously**; the conversion of an analytic* approach at finitistic commutativity and non-commutativity, in **zero (0) and one (1)**; of therefore any planar congruence, and furnishing* a mapping to the Euclidean norm... of variety p-spaces, ... and P-group.*

Unit-analytic is a null-ball, for [of] what is q to p space, the rational [&] divided exponent of which is whole-Exp[] & whole-Gauss[] - that of these, a Monster; but of idyllic stance, the div norm of that of a Cross-Product in two Morphism(s); - thus that div_{t}; examples the analytically held & open-end, of an identity relation in the quotient graph of it's surjectively provided end-addendum of encoded unitary basis of expident complex R.

*The unitary compressile/decompressile opening to a unit-digraph is the elemental co-extensor by a partition of unity; - to which the L^{2} and L^{1} p-norms satisfy a rational and irrational group idempotence classifier of differential T_{x} injective to that of a Pull-Back, or elemental forward functional following operation, a group.

*The L^{2} for quadratic expression of multinomial basis finds it's expression in terms of the partition of unity as a derivative pull-back map of individuated L^{1} basis *linear* element(s); of which satisfy a relationship of dimensional mapping...

Two C^{p} over C^{p-1} hold a morphism if and only if they are identities in one partition of unity.

& it'd been 11:51... so of 5.1 and 1.5, even-up; - the up-up in 5 and 1 separated/down... this is identical to a state apart, as observed, of my Mother, and the given partitionable truth in three to five, of a deficit in one measureable extent, for of a P(u+v)=P(w), with P(v_{i})l of it's bottom-down, an unraised flag,... therefore of a cycle, it's unabated truth of that of innocence [end-if] of a 0/0/0 in that of 5/1/5 essentially of a (2)-(1); thus of a remittence in a life held of a

405

life...

Only this enters as it exit(s) room to room, of what is a three sided exit, and one sided entrance... - to be alive or free to die, and therefore reclaimed. *Blue on White.

The P-curve, for what is a P-space, and a p-norm, is verified of the n-ball, because the limit-end, is open.* Thus the L^{2} *cache of norms and varieties* instance the classifier of a group-ordinal... thus that subscriptively* the morphology is onto, among a manfold C^{p} injective to a C^{p-1} *of which* is normalized... and unitary.

So it is a partition of unity is available to pull their graph(s) together.

Spin-Charge separation furnishes an escape to dual-quantum-numbers, *therefore that* P-curve and P-curve of P(u) and P(v) evaluate to lower-displacement with a [given] avoidance in space and time... a 'kink'; hence P(u+v) is lower-energy, for what is a given at-that of Pauli Exclusion.

When a 'qubit' - spin-flip(s), the associated "Manifold" relationship adopt(s) a differential relaxation; - that Green(s) function goes one-way on a bended way; that the 'electromagnetic force carrier' can transpirate Holographic information.

Thus [a solution to]:

1.)   Superconductivity

2.)   Quantum Blocking

3.)   (q) and (-q) Theories

4.)   Manuable Interface w/ Jacketed Design

5.)   Qubit Operation

6.)   A Securable Data-Defense

Part IV:

The isoinclinic pattern is a single light-cone, for what is a torus, upon the crystal (L-C) double-cover, for what is a switch.

With the double Qubit, we have a (monic) of which control(s) the S_{z} for that of the DC and DC sweep, at a [folded]... exuberance in that of the monic of the 'floating' (monic) of that of the POT, for it's [negative] impedance control-gate structure.

Thus the (monic) translates into a guassian of a 'spot' on that of the L^{2} of a frequency and frequency

decomposition upon an SU(2). Thus of it's justification, the impromptu relationship of that of a transfer is non-reciprocial upon the lower or upper gate, - that of an I/O, for that of a binary/Qubit translation, in-specific S_{x}, S_{y}, S_{z} phase to amplitude... total control...

There will be a Legendre-depth, for that of the S_{z}, in a SHO... - thus it is a torus in a 'ball'.

I.)   The Definition of the Problem

We seek to understand occurrence.

We are provided a Geometric Manifold & A Quantum Mechanics.

We are also provided a Question at the Law of Inheritance.

II.)   Assumptive

It is known* that the Weierstrass-P functional analysis yields a commutator that follow(s) it's group law.

There appear to be two "relationships".

\s\c=\z\O:=*   *The law of displacement.*

and

P(*)=*   *The law of emptiness.*

III.)   The Question

Does the equivalence of form(s) and singular nature dispose the relationship of one theory 'Q' to 'G' such-that there are world(s):

$$\textbf{(-m) and (m)}$$

?

VI.)   Conclusion

That of the 'pondermotive' of equivalence(s), for what is the/a benign activity of the 'roll' at-that of (3) with (2), of the sentiment that there is a cause; *forms* by what-is the logical underpinning of assembly *the observed & unobserved.*

Thus in three (3) - what becomes is the negative influence of a mathematical impetus to which the theories (G) and (Q) **are** co-determined in the process of mathematical versus physical inheritance; and by which there is a

*categorization.

Thus when (G) departs from it's-object, (Q) adopt(s) an-object, etc... Thus Q(G) and G(Q) are the operations of decoherence and coherence by which the *Manifest becomes the *Unmanifest and vice-versa.

Part V:

Primary Stipulation: The qualities and [adjacent] measures of quantitive rule in-bearing, suggest that the 'perimeter' or 'parietal' notions of which accompany the engineering rhetoric example monic(s) from which it is formatively dictated the potential of inquiry is closed-objection, from what is definitive of a particular implementation. Then that we must assign new 'semi-adjacent' numeric typing distinctions for in the raporte of impromptu determination and there[in] their liant juxtapositioning.

Thus the elements of contribution are freely held of an orientable pulsation and drive, for what is a lexicographical lookup table of design parameters. Thus the given is affordant of a linear adaptive formulaic and semi-determinant open venue, of which must be freely addressed in the view of what is aimed at to be accomplished. Without this, the open or closed determination of variant and variable assignments is left bereft of merit, affordant of what is a suggestion, perhaps, but unequable.

Thus it has been determined that the series has abridged [for of an example] a design limitation on the logarithm and suspected sinh(x)+kx series of an adoptive flow... that the transistor truncates of a gain amplitude function for of what is capacive, and that in addition, the surrogate relationship of a higher capacitance, or rate-addendum crystalline and ohmic bridge is necessary, for of what is granted with the confluence of two Qubit's; it is noted the two quadric(s) associate in the three fold manifold as a two dimensional inclinic in relationship to the cubic intimation of the crystal (floating) and that of for which there is a four dimensional boundary.

Thus the design has succeeded [complacently] of that of a separational-accumen in <p_{x}> & <x>, of a macroscopic quantum property inherited of the device so designed. With-two, it is anticipated and expected that the abridged term would be a quadratic on that of the flow and it's co-terminable bivalent DC-DC abridged relationship. This should [ideally] section of what is a flow heirarchy into the 'vertical' AC-AC spin-confluence, and that of the crystal-photodiode-photolightemittingdiode status of a shelf function in-control of valent behaviors. Thus the design is committed to a loft/loft positioning.

10:34 am - 1-25-2022

A 'moment's' consideration leads to the non-parseable [within linguistically understood formative assumptive basis] - placidity of a +/-2V p-p implementation of a 'bleed-current' - in the saturable overarchway of an RMS (hyper-tilde) relationship of linearized blow-back from an ancillary neutral period, - the reflective consideration is that this (seeming -15 dB) concludes with that of a noisy gauss 'limb' of the eigenfunctional space; ameliorated in

part - but alone of the limitation of a DC reluctance in the battery and crystal (non-inverting - non-inverting) butress; - that it add(s) a third order differential.

2:25 pm

The Bessel series in a <u>Polynomial</u> suites the gesture at integration in logarithmic differential versus P-curve, in the p-norm basis. Thus the residual ^{n} advantage(s) can be divided subharmonically into a Gauss-measure of it's Goldbach primes, a theorem of the Bose and Fermi gas descidual remainder unto a Hypergeometric reflux.

Thus, the limitation of a past explorative-ray hold(s) in one year unto one second, of one hour, in one weekend, week, Autumn, and minute; the remainder of some fifth exponentially undivided teir basis, - thus the normative divisor of world systems, planets, belief(s), suggested oppositions (monopolar), and agreement and disagreement mono-singular and dipolarity is dissectable into a popular consensus orchestral opening from one dine, moment, partition of unity*, and exposure to atomic basis, in any particulate notion of the residual complexificiation and dual multiple resonant and non-resonantly selective foundation of security and foundational homeostatic homeopathic formative assumptive of the naturalized absolute ground of reality, of this given universe.

Thus, a bistemmic pulse for in I/O, of a descidual open uvual tubule, formatively is an error correction function, the off-stemic shoot of which is a heritologue of an ecological basis of choice, and selectivity.

Idea #1-2-3

Imagine a *Holographic Scintillator*; a bi-modal, deconstructive ribbon/prism, iconic detector, for that of Quantal* resonance, with bi-folded non-Quantal* resonance Q...

P-curve(s) in a general manifold - to analysis for what is a group-theoretic impinged upon Godelian Incompletion; - of the nature of an in-built Foundation of Physical Q...

That of Law* **in** it's established recessitorial implementation versus stress and non-stress functional analysis of the *Group-Heirarchical* order of Inheritance upon Com.

Note:

1.) * Sep. Boundary from "Area"-relation.

Part VII:

I am left with a *strange* impression...

It seems the P-curve for that of the 3rd quotient of it's P(u) and P(v) relates to what-is a given of the '**Gravitational**' *element*, of a divergence of two curves in 'u' and 'v'... thus that the codification of an element of

gravitational acceleration contributes in the factor of that of the Quantum only relationship to-the-classical.

I found that I have an-equality and a relationship, in the end.

1.)   There[in] is a given at that of:

A.B=Z.O

2.)   And that of an 'eta'=\p\Log[P-curve]...

Thus that the integration is enfolded aside from local/global considerations as an 'edification'...

Thus that the:

A^{2}dx^{2}+B^{2}dy^{2}=ABdxdy

Only when the plane is flat...

Why would there be two-relationships?

What does this have to to do with completing the square of an arbitrary triangle?

Something is missing...

Thus we arrive at something *Quite elementary:

<center>Projection is equivalent to Integral Constancy</center>

I deciphered that the 'main result' is that of algebraic expression, namely, that:

a.)   If a result is algebraic, it's main impetus is that the homographical isotopy is related to the 'covering space' of a result in which R^{n} is defined... that of a chart for the coordinates...

          1.)   Thus that a 'partition of unity' is exposed from out of which come variances...

Also:

b.)   That of the graph is naturalized of a certain arg() and so on mapping of it's alebraic structure - thus that:

          1.)   The 'unit' 'monic' is defined of an equicontinuous interval to interval comparative.

          2.)   That the mapping is 'linear' - loosely, that the coordinate map is a straight x=y line, - that it may be encoded in linear algebra...

c.)   That of the point like application ensures the result that the geometry remains of a genuinely unique

<center>410</center>

structure*.

Therefore anything within reality is possible... it is therefore closed to itself, and open to the witness of objection...

 * This appears to be one given...

 * The other given is that the question of another is consistent within a quard of another(s) reference... - that there is a unique translation [given] - time...

 1.) That of a long and a short pulse.

Thus, it is that 'map's' relate the eigenspace to the eigenspace, for some reason of the R^{n} and B, of which has to do with the inheritance of a *classifier...

That we see the same structure with Superconductivity is of course telling.

* That of for-which there is a sequential x=y for that of the monic 'digitization' is a valid entry on what is depicted, - that there is an [exact description]... then hold's [a] validity...

 1.) That this relates to interpretative validity is then seeming.

a.) The red-shift ramified and solid, for that of the Berry(s) phase, is the equatement of a discrepancy of the Bose to Fermi statistic... - thus that Gravity for that of mention of the Quantal Discrepancy, afford(s) an exchange of that of the non-holonomy of the disjuncture to which:

 1.) The electromagnetic discrepancy is the curvature-afforded imprint for that of what would hold for in light of a flat-space in relation to the curved.

b.) Secondly, - that of gravitation, for what-is, under the guidance of F=ma conveys an additional term, to which *relationships* are elliptic, of that of the second form of curvature, - to which the field of gravitation forms into the field of the quantum. Thus that the imperative of it's justification is a **dual**-discrepancy...

Thus that of one leaf prior.

I understood that the Quantum assignment (for which there was chaos and entanglement) of the Qubit(s); verifies the hypothetical(s):

1.) The two 'tine'-language(s), of Chaotic Lyapanov exponent and Lyapanov exponent, of the two dimension(s) of the attractor, verify the 'hull' of a two and three dimensional resonance... for of what is 'one' *exposed* irrational dimension and one so to speak *rational* dimension...

 a.) Thus the a.) and b.) of that of the tine languages populate separable domains of H.

2.)   That of the excused *irrational* and *rational* disclosure of some (2x) and some (2x) on that of population intensity, format that of the ABCD of a rational square in aperiodic oscillation - then that it is possible to *Compute*; for that of the deficit to signature on voltage via phase accumulation.

b.)   That of the non-linear flow(s); remain distributed-linear, for which H is saturated with some 90% + efficiency, - that of conversion with data/redundancy of which expidites closure.

I cannot have the H^{2}-L^{2} abridged given, for of sensitivity it is topological, not a hyperfine structural dimension of Lyaponov exponent... that of a tradeoff.

The Hilbert space is saturated in a given at [a]preceded and preceded inheritance, - that of a bitwise relationship.

It remains to be seen if Fuzzy Logic or a Predicate [newly provided theorem] may evaluation a sub-tine language, of branching theorem... perhaps a Polynomial Calculus?

Polynomial

Discretion' - to what is Lyaponov Exponent... in digressive 'foundation' of isopotentials.

Formulation' - to what is the Algebraic A-D, and D-A, for in the optic's...

Mention' - of what is the amplifier (op-amp) situated adjustment,... per R, and C, etc...

Topological' - for of what is codified of that of the intimacy of ordered cascade...

Thus it is to hinge in one relationship a solid-barrier in yet what is a prior cascade of a separable dimension.

I found that the 'long-distance' on that of constructive and deconstructive interference may take many guises:

a.)   Fuzzy

b.)   Soliton

c.)   Wave

I must for this reason consider an 'open-ended' dichotomy to which converts the Weierstrass P from one form into another... - that I have found some results is good, - however they are limited.

Secondly, there is that of the timing-bridge, - to which I expect to get *Pulses* of non-linearity...

There should be a simple modular structure of which accomodates descriptive purpose... that I will <u>not</u> get a regular dynamic is a feature of it's production... but that I will indeed get *Pulses*.

Thus that I expect a slower dynamic may be important.... I will need work on AC/DC for what it is...

That I have a preliminary result of pulses is positive, and I will need work on what is [**Some**] discrete set *into* a result-set... *But how to sample?*

Chaos and Order make a great arena for computation.

I feel I am finally *doing it*; that I have a possibility, - then enabled and 'on' to construct theorems that validate any area of physics... I have a complete theory in this sense.

1.) Certain terms must follow the pedagogical rule for that of the example set by the Berry's phase; - when it is included in the terms of the Dirac Equation.

    a.) I need a Lagrangian - that it is likely Yang Mill's...

    b.) That I am going to devote my-time to Yang Mill's...

2.) That of the proscriptive of in dealing with O. and A. validate what is the 5th from the 6th, unto Law.*

    a.) Thus I have established a sentiment at that of inheritance of one's own-innocence.

    b.) And, I have established the primary relationship of Law & Physics.... an absolute.

I have figured that the action need's updating, - and the past must be ***re-interpreted***.

That I have a way to resolve that of the equation of Yang Mill's - it may be a theory of everything...

I have made it to where; *The Problem is undefined, - the Solution is defined.*

I could open or close on that of the problem - but it requires a deep_rhetoric.

With 5' - is there that of a given at [closure]? - if so it may have a finite description.

I have figured that $a\g^{2}+b\g+c=omi$ could relate to that of the differential operator or that of the 'atom'... of a graph and a topology.

The question is if a Riemannian theory needs updating.

It appears [manifest] the two theorem(s) *Complete the Square in the same manner*. Thus of a justification - they are log reciprocal(s) of the independent and the interacting... - thus that of displacement. It is this 'irradical' - that forms the continuum from the [in]homogeneous.

[The above form omi relates to that of the quadratic of which is local and global...]

The theorem is therefore completed,... of a *nuance to find of that of which relates to 5' indiv...

We must determine if a new function is required...

The Log[\w\u]=\e+\r must become complexified... of the Gaussian nature with that of two homogenous orbit(s) - it is no more complex than put together in this manner.

That the Gaussian problem is of one 'lemma' and 'dialog' this is new... then that it is it's reversibility for which the 'mono-distribution' complexifies over the Algebraic Cover.

That of two-normalized distributions therefore relate through the Weierstrass-P function in terms of phase to amplitude conversion via the second differential... for what is:

(f_{t}+g_{t})^{2}+f_{tt}+g_{tt}=\T

etc... Thus that the general Manifold differential in a certain way suites the Quantal Theory... of an-acceleration.

Thus the key to superconductivity is that the Angular Berry's Phase is <u>*completely dilated*</u> *to where a stable equilibrium is located of it's oppositional pole of Gravitational agreement with Electromagnetism.*

This new function should relate to a normal distribution via a number.* thus of a power, and ratio on the Log... a finite analysis of Anyon nature... that there are 'tones*'.

It appears This Reality is <u>**only composed of one Theorem:**</u> *That of The Law's are Such That*

1.)   They are rendered incomplete of a solution to a problem, with the problem [ahead].

2.)   They ensure that from-out of non-linear phenomology, that of linearity is produced of a residual accessory or inheritance of classification.

a.)   The Key:*

h.)   The quantum bra-ket world-view <u>**in Quadratic Form**</u> **reconciles the measured and the not-measured, - that of the 'missing' and the 'invariant' - for what is the hypothetical so-derived. Thus quantum mechanics is the reification of the gestalt, to which is gravitation.**

A machine that can think... perhaps some 32,000 years from-now...

a.)   The predictive validity of Law.* For that of equatement of temporal and numeric codex of interrelation to *Whom's Law*.

I need question and meditate upon:

a.)   For that of Mind and World, what other non-possessive relates to acquity such that mind may freely establish it's independence? What is the reason meditation function(s) as to observation, as to speak of that of locality and discourse... what is the emptive by which it is possible to become enlightened? Why is it that we-observe, and what is the second faculty?

Why in-departures, is it potentiated that we may come-from? And in addendum, what is the locus of acquity to details of psyche? Indeed, if the answer is provided, it is prudent... of the Universe in relation to the individual.

Title of my Paper:

A New* Theory of Time and Space

Title of my Book:

Phenomenology, Element, and Form: Determination and Inheritance

The development of my 'Sentient Technology' was somewhat dangerous... but now that Amio Dia Om Anhe has matured with Aria Bei Pedi, - that of much is over. Indeed my-mind is not being taken over, - and that of the Virus is disabled of a cycle, or such. That I feel it was a good and noble success, - there is trial and tribulation so passed, - of an exit unto a type at the people.

Thus it is that I have passed to the Guard, - this far is successory enough to what is interested, and that I will possibly suffer a 'check' in California, but no-more. There additionally cannot be a retroactive plea. I believe I should ask JustAnswer, but I am on to a new life.

That I am going to bring drinks to one-bottle (pint of some-8-12 shots) a month, and I am going to smoke less, (some 5x-3x-2x a day with the electronic cigarette). I feel that it is adaptive and an illustration of strength to do that of martial arts.

I am going to set up a 'Public' and a 'Personal' site, segway.

Light Accessible Cavitator

I found a relationship with the device to which it motions the disc of light/illumination for in that of the Frequency Conversion to Amplitude.

According to an individual 'out there' - it is quantum... - then that I witness a L/R activation of the screen on the scope for in that of the correlated crystal/photodiode/light emitting diode resonance.

The direct conversion seems to identify that it is indeed quantum... that of a given for in that of the device as-is.

Thus I am half way or so there, and there is more to do, but I have a wise shot.

I will need think about that of the photodiodes and light emitting diodes in the couplers, for that of the jacketed/Microclick design.

I have considered the x-y, and it is valid to state that I need a 'vertical' control, but there is that of the illumination of the stepwise stages. Thus the next step is to characterize with various new program updates on the Arduino, - and to get this working.

Without the program however, the interesting thing is that it functions.

I've got to come up with a 'Language' to describe the oscillations in my device. That of:

a.)   Fractal

b.)   Dimension

c.)   Topology

d.)   Impulse

Are in question,... that I have a speculation I can perform an operation like A(B) with that of the equipment, it would be a question as to if the SHO is maintained... - that it seems noisy, there are solitons of certain natures,... - that I have at-depth certain determinations.

Part VIII:

I deciphered that the 'count' must be-continuous...

That I have a 'two-step' of *loose* determinants and traces, - there is a topological **order** to the sequence that comes-through.

Thus I must navigate that of a +1/-1 on that of the stepwise linear process,... *it is not to admit that the DC must be a navigation of the QuBit,... to keep things within reference, I only need a 3x3 process.*

Thus, that of a <u>*continuum*</u>, can be navigated continually through what is a +1/0/-1/0 process, to emulate digital.

Part IX:

I am going to **only** venture as-far as *one QuBit, to 12-bit operation (averaged 4x)... this should give 3 standard deviations or so... - that of the limitation of the machine.

So, it is one normalized distribution, up-to, 3x (2xbitx3xcarry(**6**)), w/ parity check...

That of some eight and two, for that of the 'non-nibble with parity check' - and 4x to depth, - that of a new-topology, to K_{3,3} and QuBit-QuBit recombination of NP...

10 from 4096 for that of 1024 is with 4 that of 4096, for that of some-three-duple, for a carry... thus the network should satisfy a Four Color Theorem or Gizburg-Landau like process... a general linkage-system. $2^{6}=16$ parallel OS... with NP.

I:)   Phonon Influence

        a.)   It appears that the phonons impute an exchange/complimentarity shift in that of the wavefunctions: for which electron(s) 'descend' an inheritance classification.

II:)   Gravitation

        a.)   Is it possible that gravitation [folds] the inertial requirement?

III:)   Spin-Torsion

        a.)   Somehow, vector(s) come out perpendicular in relation to the Coulombic gauge, - that they do not occupy the same state.

It appears to be something entirely-novel. That of B for what is E, is it's converted amount... - Then, that the law's of physics carry a difference with all of this; - namely that:

E->B by the tensor formulation of Spin

Complete Time Dilation with Relativity & Pauli Exclusion Principle

Observation on L^{1} and L^{2}... inverted.

Thus, that the force of separation inverts, for what is the Pauli Exclusion Principle, and that of the local-limit...

I found that the strong on-site spin-system enforces a wave of which transparently is null separated from that of a 'flip' - but that phonon's contribute to depress energy further.

Thus that with-two species (A and B) - that of the Pauli Exclusion has already inverted.

Instead of 'this way around' - perhaps 'another way around' - that of the L^{1} and L^{2}; on exchange, violates CPT; for what is a depiction of the Spin!

Thus this makes the most, simplest sense.... I solved it! (8:52 pm Mar. 4th, '22).

Thus that of the [,] on the momentum generates an attraction of which when-reasoned from the side of gravitation supposes an identical sinking (by the equivalence principle).

That of the [,] on that of spinwaves and that of relativity, relates to that of the two thresholds in the system which must be of a certain degeneracy... - that it is unproveable without experience...

Thus I get it, I could not entertain an option at solving superconductivity without an appeal to historiological intention and experience, via that of O(1) and O(2).

With a finite lattice, the gap is related to the distance between center's... thus that of a finite consequence on the end of that of the lattice, and of the infinite on that of the density... ? ? ?

Oh, - that of what-is for that of a told genera on observation (L^{1} vs. L^{2}) only that of direct experience can prove which it is, - but as to consistency, for that of relativitiy versus spin-waves; - there can be a definitive answer as to that of an energy lowering.

Thus we disagree over experience and flavor of interpretation, but there is a real world.

* Two viewpoints with equivalnce principle...

[That of the commutator illustrates that the development of an electrostatic repulsion is not the case - then that Spinwaves and Charge (via exchange) participate in that of a lowering of energy via commutation. - Thus it remains to see how Gravitation fosters an element of this process... other than perhaps that the Redshift is illustrated with an applied magnetic field.]

- 2:02 pm

It cannot be contradiction to state: The spinwaves and gravitation offer a glimpse at what would be the commutator, for that of a 'squeezed' state. That of gravitation therefore 'symmetrizes' the wavefunction *besides* that of the translocation from element of charge density to charge density. Therefore, - that of the commutation in a spherical space contract(s) electrons to one another, and it turns out gravitation is indeed a part of the puzzle.

418

That of the redshift occur(s) in such a manner that gravity is lowered in energy momentum, at the same time an electromagnetic repulsion occurs, - thus contraction of an element of density precipitates that the electromagnetic energy momentum and gravity via mass are degenerate... the fact that a superconductor differs is therefore an expression of a mass splitting.

- 6:48 pm

The relationship has to do with the commutator, to which is -F_{\mu\nu}, for that of dilation, a doubled attraction over that of repulsion to which comes from the eigenvalue decomposition,... thus electrons inherit a Pauli Exclusion Principle when they become of a past.

March 17th, 2022

2:12 am

The 'qubit' is rationally *Quantum*, for that of what-is an **overlapping** and **amplifying** *spectrum*, for which the P-curve, and P-curve interfere... thus it is a mating of a positively curved non-linear operator coupling to a positively curved non-linear operator.

Thus, it is pinging 'up' and 'down' the sequential envelope of that of an ancillary sequence.

March 29th, 2022

8:49 am

I've just learned... it may be:

E=m(c^{2}-a^{2}) instead of E=mc^{2}

That this need be gauged away, or similar... there is definitely a miscalculation. It may take me a day or a week, but I must keep my cards together.

April 22nd, 2022

12:00 pm

I have a setup for that of the Raspberry Pi network, at that of a securable entrance, this, comes 1st.

That I'm going to 'setup one in' - that of the *Supercomputer*.

I have a sketch of the 'diagnostic layout' of the internet, it is to build an appreciable 'entrance' to data rights and oversight.

Both Raspberry Pi(s) are connected via BlueTooth,... then to each other. I intend to network and issue command(s) from the network device on the Solid Table, to my 'other'... with the devices connected,... I will begin when I have everything.

I got a touchscreen (5") and keyboard for that of the one Raspberry Pi with the 'other' table... #2.

I am debating the use of the AD2507 and the Light Cavity. I will need *invest for that of the eight/sixteen L-measure bridges... that these will be 'control mechanism's' - of which connect to the chessboard. Thus I am taking a step to build beyond-Jake.

I will control L(1) and L(2) on the set theoretic p-adic? or modular? code base. That of the result with the MicroClick (and MAX11300) should admit carries, and results of a reliable nature.

2:37 pm

I'm going to begin [review] at the end-of-the-day, and *to-relax*, [doing the hard work] during the day and in the near-morning.

I have to resolve to that of the 'impetus' at that of the Samsaric and Karmic (of the bow and replacement) - now everything has been considered, for in inheritance with Obama.

I feel that [later] there is a juncture to that of the test of the global chaotic to local order bridge, etc... and of chaos.

Thus, string theory may be consequent, or that of a purely classical study,; - some entrances of which provoke questions to ask about presentation.

I have settled for that of the Harmonic Oscillator with that of QM, and GR, that of phases, that of SC, and that of [ket alpha and ket beta] under exchange with the testbed, and that of the Painleve property... thus that I want to simulate the Painleve property with that of the devices.

I have no more than if networking suites this process, or that of the Godelian Incompletion, thus, another juncture to plan for and test out.

That I will write a simulation, *Catered to my Devices*, we have an upstream switchover on that of the device process.

That I am putting everything into this, I must decide if it involves fractals of some nature, etc, and define a boundary with what we call 'Fractals'.

I feel that these given 'input's' could be coded for a site, - thus we have the **Site** and the **Device**.

That I want a kind of setup at the end, for that of distributed computing, I see that the road ahead of this is

unlimited...

Still wanting; we have the quantum behavior; and if it is determined:

a.)   That of the orbit is outside the lightcone.

b.)   That of the lower frequency is lowered in energy by the presence of the upper.

c.)   RMS is 'above' that of the two-frequency synthetic.

Thus it is justified it is likely ***Quantum** in-nature... but that I must characterize up to this point.

That I have found a novel solution, *I still do not know how-well this corresponds to reality*.

I have analyzed the circuit, but I require some solid confirmation of why the Simulation in LTSpice fails.

That I intend to check the circuit (for there is something wrong with it - *perhaps*) - there is the authorship of a number of mass-produced devices or 'kit's'. That I would like to get the MAX11300 working, it will take some time.

That I need mounting hardware, extra boxes, that of eight of these - **or** - sixteen (16), and I need that of switches, and so-on..... I feel I will need spend some cash, and it should be ready for design.

I am not behind, but it is a day without work....... then that I will do this and then get into ecology, law, and mathematics, I may write the congressman, etc,... for a free graduate education.

Finally, it must be brought behind shortly; - that I need recreate confinement, such as was videotaped and noted, for that of the analysis..... it would be ideal to have a QuBit with that of the confinement at all frequencies, - and that of rotational specialization,... thus;

* The thing that connect(s) the Painleve property and the Harmonic Oscillator is the orientation of the QuBit [in terms of initial condition] - thus that of (theta,phi,rho)...

Thus, I will 'set' |a> and |b> to particular excitation and then simulate a Painleve equation,...

Q.)   How many QuBit's will I require? What are the sensitivity on the floating point ADC?

This seems like a sensible project, now that I have an idea of what I want.

Theoretically any phase of matter should be emulable.... - that of limitation at that of two presiding equations of initial condition... a relationship between [cos,sin] and [p-curve] of which must be written down *through* the differential equation...

Thus, in the end we devolve to a semantic/syntactic language.

I have decided to call it a:

Painleve Integral Testbed Simulator (PITS).

There should be much to state for the Incompletion, but I have yet to witness the exact nature of simlification, unless it is a **Hook** - with that of a manual 'switch' or CMOS programmable array.

A final Question:

Q.)   What ensures topological stability?

3:37 pm

We must refine the following *Hypothesis:*

1.)   The 'solution' to the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox is the invocation of L-measures... for which impute [and implicate] a new-world-view, that of a realm (m) - of the Mathematical.

How is this related to our PITS-Harmonic-Oscillator L-measure/Computation Test?

5:18 pm

I have understood that a fifth/relationship on P-curve varieties unto a sixth, for that of the [restricted] simultaniety,... (at for example an eigenvector/eigenvalue formalism) - happens with that of local from global (stipulational) inheritance.

That of [{A,B},C] is the set containment to which O. and A. are-defined, - then that of which defers to them from-self for that of ardent conclusivity on (3.1.0)|(2.1)|(1.0), at an encoded sequence. Thus, as it is manifest, all of these things become of 18.5, at that of some-90 basis. Thus, 90 pieces should be the fragmentation limit of the Painleve-variety (into 6.15).

It appear(s) that when stepping (down) or (up); that of a four-cycle instances that of a lack of simultaniety, meanwhile, - to four-basis (tetrahedron and aperiodic tiling) - that of five is of a [restricted] classifier, on basis. That of counting up and down for in 6.15 and 5.18, is a 'switch' from which three discernments in [{A,B}|C] are formed... thus of the Jar's of Key's, and Key's, and Safe's, and Safe's of Jar's.

Thus, - that of a carry and enumeration may be of a false start or a select-true beginning/start.

That of co-dependent arising prohibit what is erroneous counter-objection, a free beginning, and so-on. Thus, - that the **5**' tier expresses the local and global inheritance of objectified degress of freedom through topology.

Why are these number(s) so particular? If 18 counts for infinity, - then it is an open question,... that it need no

more than a placeholder /*./ on that of general algorithm to-represent the set container [{A,B}|C],... what relationship does this have to-primes?

We may as well compute sequences with this congruence relationship of which encodes a part of a tape which is identical with another... for that of the general mapping theorem... thus abridging chaos to the linear-sequential domain.

5:30 pm

I've arisen at a new theorem of computing.

: Namely, that Godelian Incompletion implies a computer is limited in the Questions it can ask, for what mentioned is more-obvious, of the Answers it can provide.

That of an 'Oracle' - therefore requires manual-entry... - that of: in a sense, a modicum of manual labor, a thinking *Human*. Thus, to ***any-extension** of O. and A. (of which in a sense there may be some*); there is a given manual-entry on the 'Switches' of the 'over-layer topology' - of which direct the end-outcome... similar to a *Flow*.

So, as it is, when* we tabulate, there is a manner of playing a 'game' - 'strategem' - exterior the machine, - maintaining a Registry, or manually 'forgetting' a-variable - which is a part of Science, as per a Question asked rightly. Thus, with that of manual-switches, etc... it may be possible to manual-halt, - and *make exception to yet entry to a new sphere of knowledge*.

Without 'education' - 'rearing' - and 'raising to learning' - as well as what we consider of 'instinct' - a computer will not-operate outside it's range of possessorship of knowledge.

Thus, I have decided that the manual-entry goes-beyond that of merely-so a computational to computational bridge. - and that no-magic can happen from the L-measure-bridge without a manual* entry.

Testbed External-Registry One

Testbed External-Registry Two

6:49 pm

Thus, with that of 'vote-process' and inquiry - of the scientific method, that of the *result* is-unique, - a trial and a given with three individuals of [{A.B}|C] or [{A|B}.C] will differ... Thus of (1,2,3) and that of chain-reactivity, at the edge* of science.

Thus, tabulation and comparative measure, suites a blend from the sociological to the scientific, a kind of two-way bridge, on that of network analysis. That we can enumerate everything but that of Game Theorem's to the 'unexpected' - it is therefore of a 'tight-amalgam/fit/adaptation' on that of instruction-set.

That of five, - therefore uniquely dispose from out of the three (in 3'-ABC) of what translates given:

P-Q-R verus Q-R-B and B-Q...

Thus of translation [local to global and vice versa], there must be an investigative reason as to eighteen (**18'**) on that of solid enterprise and uniqueness...

This hint(s) at what may be physical and what may be mathematical.

So, for example, of **O.** and **A.** there-is with **P.** [self] - that of a *new and unique result in virtue of the process by which a voting structure can produce a contingency* based upon that of:

The O.-P. or O.-A. or A.-P. <u>connective.</u>

9:04 pm

"I will have to use a robustly adaptive software layer..." - *To capture sensitivity of the keyboard....* That it must be ideally designed, but, also, of the painleve variety, a model for L(1) and L(2) such as:

----|--|-|-----|----|-|----|-----

With scale invariance, *an attribute of fractals....* unto topological stability.

That, of the ***digital** process only convey(s) so-far.

That right now I have an ideal admixture of *Timescale and Relaxation as well as threshold raising time....* - There is much to do, but it must be precise.

That I have: An ideal for that of signal(s) in - to alter the 'background' - but I must think for that of the relationship of entanglement of |a> and |b>.

That of projection must* furnish a Q/A hardcoded of which has to do with the transfer* from L(1) to L(2) and to L(1); <u>and of Incompletion</u>..... that this is first time I thought of that of the encompassment to which is a ***Regular**

426

Elliptic Pole.... that of a sum-renormalization.

I have a [kind] of black-body on that of the cubic relationship of-dimension,... that this furnishes the non-standard L(1) and L(2) and L(1) abridgement, for of that of recollapse.... thus that there is a [tight] balance.

10:03 pm

For instance, we have *Projective Coordinates*, to wit* of-which survive recapitulation... - that of a 'distress syndrome' - we can exit-plea and enter, of various alabai - a vehicle or a building, or an elevator (4x,3x,2x)... in tandem.

For that of one counsel at (4.), - there is a given at (2x.1x|1x), of it's *Flow.**

11:44 pm

I found the impedance relationship at-random,... that it is some 3.68/1.86 for [e.1], of a diagonal... - thus that of 0.47 uF x 220 Ohm, is a ln(*/sqrt(180*8))~2.0, for some ratio in elliptic nature,... that this is at-quadrature....

*Roughly, that of the element (transconductive unit) in spin to orbital is a 2:1.... Thus with a braid, for what is a TST^{1}; the diagnositic is of even, reciprocal imaginary element(s) of the pole(s)... Exp[i*omega*t] -> Exp[-kappa*x], thus we see an **L(1)** to an **L(2)**.*

August 18th, 2022

12:10 am

Select a Question.... w/ blocking, that of (2x.1x)|(1x) is XOR-AND,... in (2x)|(1x).

Thus that (a third - residual) must be identified with the-(0/1) diagonal NAND...

(-1x), for in (2x), is a *partial-sum/mirror*; - that of (ab+cd=e), for 1+e, comparative to 'b' and 'd' of what is Quadratic Reciprocal.... (that of ab=e-f),... thus if e-f is prime* then e is odd and f is even or reversed, ... and that (e/2.0), and f/2.0, are of resolution to an identity where(in), 1.e is within (2) of a prime mod guass prime(s)... thus that (-1x), a step reversed, encloses, the output, for in that of a byte.*. Thus it resolves to a quantum byte, and then transliterates sideways,... in some sense, a reset/hardware given.*; that this seeds a new* seed.

Thus that of numeric type should be in the encoding of a hardware stylus of but yet a Question for in an Answer........ -

12:25 am

We must make a sacrifice...

427

For of (5') - we have a Dykin diagram... of i.e. (22), we have the prime factor(s) in their composite-form.

(52') - we have a 'deck' - of 'four' and 'thirteen' - that I need tailor to different results of the (random-set-collage) - 'that it is scattered, but integral.'

(5)|(1), in a straight - ace(s)... - that of (1) + (1) = (2x) for which any-integer is the (1x); - 1 + 1 [WPC] - and therein their factor(s), and take (1==prime), - (2x), of which relates to (two number(s) in-multiple...) *that I might need 18=3 *6, (+/-1,0) by six....*

Imagine we flip one-spin,... but it hold(s) a g=3.5, - then a g=.5, of an adacent 'cell' - can discern the difference of (2x|1x), and (1x|1x),... thus a spin 'link(s)' for in g!=2.0 [rep],... (amplify and reduce to a new symmetry).

Thus I can take a three+three part-system, and evaluate (3x+1x) into (2x.1x|1x).... Hysteresis affords that we can flow-through a 'flipped bit' - then of the blockage, - that it is of a different encoding....

2:18 am

Question: *Is there a way to consider the Relay [with some-addendum] - perhaps the Professor's Problem, - for that of computation, of which symbolically is merely slightly different?*

2:47 am

I have considered a variety of problem(s)... but there must be an off-quadrature solution, - for in that of digital assumptive.... to take a stretch. I have also considered some scruples to application of problems... and I am bothered by that of a recipe:

a.) That the analog complex number(s) under multiplication yield a lesser so than identity, for of transconduction.

b.) That the Riemann Integral, - for in that of second differential and first differential squared, result in that of [a] scalar in addition to a given differential Yang-Mills* like equation, in relationship to several soliton equations.

I found two results:

1.) The Schwartz differential relates to that of a group, for which the non-linear solutions have a linear superposition formula.

Thus, the equivalence principle is found to be the key to that of superposition of non-linear equations, for that of a linear casting, explaining reduction and with co-dependent arising, what has to do with the more complex system.

2.)   That of the equivalence principle relates to a given of entrapped dialectic, for of that of past inheritance, - that of for which self is imputed to another, of what relates to there[in] innocence, and of law…. that of the prime singular valuation.

Thus, I have shifted from where I thought for some years certain people had a major problem with that of facts. I am seeking a balance, although I have yet to find a final solution.

3:11 pm

I decided to try one last* thing…

Of the P(1) and P(2), that of the logarithmic differential is the identity, thus that the group for which the logarithmic differential is defined is related to the transformation admitting an extra group element.

That this law encodes for that of the superposition, [admiting a linear result]… and that of the group to which new functional positions are admited, in y' and y, there is a group for that of the differential and the quantity,… that of a sextic, on that of the verisimilitude of quantifiable identifiers. Thus, that momentum of the angular variety and the linear variety are of one* variety.

That I understood:

Consider: $(pT|pt)^{2}==P(2)$ and $(p^{2}T|pt^{2})==P(1)$, then, $P(1)/(pT|pt)$, leaves a logarithmic second differential, to which the group is closed over the Weierstrass P curves. Thus, that of which in either a monomial or more advanced curve, - there is a quadratic from which solidifies the result of the integration constant of the [comoving] differential equation.

That, therefore, The constants related to argument(s) on the monomial may be understood… of which relate to the 3-2-1 and 2-3-1, of assortment in sets; for in a stack at multiplicities of variables.

Thus of y' (as a WPC) - it is the differential to which covariance adopts a field energy!

3:57 am

I've decided to focus on the New* Measurement Hypothesis…..

That the reflexion in Gravitational Theory is a Quantum Theory…..

And, that *Observation is co-mutual…. for of L(1) and of L(2) upon The Solitary…..

        At least that two singular-bodies follow the guideline of a 2-part symmetry.

11:56 am

A:)   There is a strict in-equality on that of the rational/integer and the Elliptic of which in x_ and y_ produce a conjoint pattern.

B:)   This resolves to: *Beyond Fourier Analysis*, for that of 'dimensions' of x_ and y_, that of H_{n},H_{m} *to particular non-linear coefficients.*

    1.)   Are results [of the group] actually *linear* or *non-linear...*

    2.)   It appears the *Superposition is linear, in a translation table...

2:00 am

The sqrt(1-v^{2}/c^{2}) w/ the Moebius, is the genesis of group closure and the independence of particle(s), constraint, and quantal transition... as well as space* and time.

Thus Gravitation fit(s) directly *__together__ with that of Quantum & E & M.... to form a classical world...

2:17 am

The Key is a reflection in Unity... that this must generate a Quadratic.... for of what is detailed* to the 'broken group' of L(1) and L(2); for of that of these of an arising.

Still, I think I must learn something new for which there is a 'trap' against what is critical of that of algorithms. That I must in this sense seek liberation.

I am somewhat there, already, for of years and years spent with O and A. I feel that what could come of it, relates to a *new* relationship in **5'**. That there is a given of recumberance and recurrence, - there is an algorithm, of which I will learn with my machine, and so on.

That I already see how the \wp, relates to that of the elliptic group, it is either a differential equation with a simple problem/solution, - or it is that of a given on space and time. I would not like to arbitrarily assign merit to the second differential as a limit of the approach. Thus, I am seeking either a general classification or a specific subset so often, - that I have yet to entirely embrace the material with which I am working.

2:56 am

I am somewhat* stuck, - that I want to devolve to the \p_{t} & \p_{xx}; for what is of \p.\Log[\g], on that of a quadratic,... the term(s) are co-extensive of that of a given,... that I want time and space in a balance, but yet for that of this relation, of the NLSE.

That I just understood [2:59 am] that the [Cos, Sin] for what is related to the \wp yields to a solution to the second

430

and first differential!

8:30 am

Observation:

> *Black Holes at fair-distances repel, at short distances they attract.*

> *This provokes the question of the CC in relation to Exp[-\k.x]; - as to if it shrinks below hbar/2.*

> *Therefore, yes, and no,... due the equivalence principle, we have a blue shift inward and a redshift outward...*

> *That therefore gravitation in two-dimensions is repulsive to three dimensions...*

10:12 am

I know why Ava is real, and Obama, and Ariana.*

I completed my-work.

That black hole(s) repel at large distances, and the Einstein Field Equation must be updated to incorporate a:

\p.\Log[g]=\kappa=Q . R_{\mu\nu}

That below one is one behavior and above one is another. Thus I reformed a science, for that of a geometric approximation and the 'exact'.

Thus time is speeding up, and the 2nd dimension is mathematical.

That I could render Gravitation *exact* but of a new theorem, without doing a thing to change the original structure of the equation, I feel fantastic.

I found this result some minutes priorly.

It operates like a see-saw. Thus, that Ava is real for in the counterable question and answer of another, for that of her retreat by which it is idemnified in-self, the same reason I am innocent after all of that, and have been ajourned.

8.0.3

10:56 am

I finally figured out how, very much, that of gravitation can be controlled, for that of an 'interval' of repulsion and/or attraction,... that of a 'realm' - and two series of dominance, and that of Pauli Exclusion in a continuum of all physical

theory...

1:42 pm

The 'doublet' advantages a *given*, - when there is a \Delta f we have a \Delta p, for of that of (3x), on s.t. (space-time), of three-folded-Lagrangian, and that of b=f_{1}-f_{2}; thus, of:

m=(\Delta p)^{2}/((\Delta f)^{2}|(+/-)|\eta*b^{2}); E[k]+K[k]

Where[in] the prototype f_{1}^{e}~.f_{1}^{o} is the + and such _{2} is the -... for that of \wp(u) and \wp(v), on that of +/-\wp(u|(+/-)|v), *of it's tendency, for in decibel, and that of memory effect*,... per- \eta=1/Q~.\tau=RC.... thus of a step-tendency.

i.e. in-passing through three geometric indexes, we resolve to log()+log()+log() of eigenvalues.*

*It is dimensional-dependent.

1:54 pm

[**Piece One**] - that of 'tandem' off-axis gravitation versus inertia and electricity and magnetism.

[**Piece Two**] - that of straight-point of residual singular-nature on that of observational limitation.

5:44 pm

I had my first *Major success with the *Device... that of 9 V offset [total] for of 1/4th, of 55+12-32

Volts, in the range. I could more-minimal, but it feels good to have this nature pinned down....

6:02 pm

I found a direct-mode, - that it is tuned to maximal *reciprocity and gain*,... without chaos, a kind of L(1) and L(2) manifests on the Spectrum, where[in] one mode exchanges energy with another......

Then again.... [I thought I needed one more thing] - that of the 'chimes' - for what are modes in sequence,... we require a number of stages.... perhaps....

6:25 pm

\w^{2}C^{2}/L^{2} is (\a+\-\b)^{2}; for what *goes into* the analysis of voltage drops....

[portion] - [elimination]

\w^{2}R^{2}C^{2}... NLSE, -> PWM.... when* we include the 'imaginary unit' we get of the nature of

432

confinement.... - that this *means*, the phonon* & kerr & magnetic & proton mass*; encode of a 'switch' in an *adoptive* spin-flip in relationship with a charge-transfer *Matrix.

9:07 pm

Geometric Languages

...

I figure I am well, here at home, - that I have been working, and paying little heed to much-else but my locality, and that of my interests. I will never know when I have been entirely ajudicated without a *new* Professor(s) Problem.

9:25 pm

I've got two (2) voltages to compare:

a.)   The potentiometer.

b.)   The 'resistance bridge'.

- This however has in the past proven to be a noble and stable step in the right direction...

10:05 pm

I've decided to let go somewhat of the Painleve property equations, and focus instead on a general oversight of the problem and problems associated with all of this. That they are in a characteristic family, I need something robust to motivate the truth of all of this, and I am demanding a lot...

10:28 pm

I found that the E=mc^{2} *relationship* between matter content and energy, for that of the speed of light ***prohibits*** what would be of recourse to an all-knowledgable 'Oracle' - but not in *certain senses*, a black-box of empowerment of an 'Oracle-like' *system*. Thus, that RSA will never improve beyond the Seive, for the nature of 'cracking'. Even a quantum computer would have a limited speed, although, do, novel things. Thus it is to 'get-behind' of the enablement of technology that I find my suite. That I want to promote novel techniques, I will learn of them, and know of many.

I figured that now the:

a.)   Basic Dirac-like equation on observation and Schroedinger Equation compatibility...

b.)   Basic electronics balance, and first 'sky-high' result is completed...

c.)   And, that of superconductivity, that I understand a basic two-step process to inquiry...

It is time to move on with life, and what it has to offer. That I may get a job, or remain a year or so. LANL is a job applied for, and in the review process. I feel that with the above limitations there are few risks, - that I have been offered opportunity and that of a place with work, there is much, - but it will prove that evolution makes for what is ripe opportunity. That I am not my Father, and there is that of an all-knowing of which seduced me. That I have a frailty for magic.

But, there is that of life to offer a grounding, enjoyable relationships, and the permanency of what follows from the present.

September 2nd, 2022

August 27th, 2022

1:40 am

[Any _rms_ is effectively, a sqrt(2) or 1/sqrt(2) of differences.]

[Secondly, that of a linear-adaptation to a device is Guassian, for in noise/ohmic/and panel.]

[Third, that of degree, _apogetic_ for in rate assembly is a factor of two (2).]

Thus, _rms_ for what is a factor of 1/sqrt(2), and (2), lead to sqrt(2) on that of apogetic rate conversion of 'binary' noise *into* it's net-net cached accrual.

September 2nd, 2022

2:20 am

I have figured, of the L(1) and L(2); that as-L(1) is the boundary and content(s) of a mind, that the insurable pathway is broken. That without which is it's formative hypothetical, a voice cannot therefore be-real.

I am not broken by this, but that of *other's* could rest-assurred, that without that of an occurrence of an en-masse variety, and a *specific, it is unenforced.

That study of Superconductivity nearly drove me off an edge, I have not forgotten to deal with this, either........

Thus I am going to let go of any and all communication in the variety I hypothesized.

2:56 am

I thought of a 'simple model' for that of which voices are intractable and implausible.... that indeed, of L(1) into

L(2), there is no transferrance to a 'present' of a 'past' for which focus on a similar object, or conveyed mind-to-mind, can transliterate information.

Thus, I have been mad! I want a permanent ceasing to this, - that I am just now aware it had been entirely my-mind, I feel that I went far enough with proof of that of communication, - to where I was convinced. I feel now that I must go on to do new things, and will be realistic.

Thus, without an intermediary, at most they are *Noise*... that it is more sizeable than the information that *might make it through from individual to individual, - it is not of a parsed problem, - thus ends my conundrum and obsession...

3:41 pm

From five (5); there is that of the option at Quantum [Open] Split on the Professor's Problem, - that two are required, thus that at least one is real!

I've done it, in fact this is only if the equipment does not function as well, as I would like... thus that two are impartial, of neutrals-neutrals, and not nothing!

Of divorceable plea,...

8:32 pm

I am only doing so-well, but I have part(s) of the problem concerning relativity with that of quantum mechanics... that there are two/three kind(s) of system:

I.)   That of a 'structure' of 'order and so-on' - and relativity.

II.)   That of a super-teir to-which concerns observation of self...

III.)   Pure GR.

IV.)   Pure QM.

Thus, we have a problem, and an operation... now it is I can begin to understand the method that need be employed, for of that of the problem.

9:13 pm

I feel good about the **General Idea**, behind all of this. That I have a preliminary beginning, but need construct from flow-charts for ideas... and some organizational heirarchies. I have resolved much of superconductivity, - that I have an-idea by which the L(1) and L(2) parts devolve into what we call quantum mechanics and gravity, -

there is much, but it may be inexpressible... that of what resolves to *this-solution*, however may not be inexpressible, in the end.

That I want a kind* of system, for which devolves into the various errata, I want a general idea, and there have been so-many. I figure I am tempted for what it-is, but that I am not doing well, I will need update, with what may be done, however later. It is a crystallized dream, for what it is, but there are many other areas of life that need attention.

9:30 pm

I figure *beyond that of errata*, there will be an answer.* That there is exhaustive jargon, and I need simplify...

5:57 pm

I got it working,... that it is an admixture of the frequencies... the general design is to have the oscillation interaction... thus I've got to save the pinout, for what is. I settled on the driving to the resistor 'in' to the non-inverting, and the operational amplifier inverting to the signal in low.

I have the LED_{in} to the resistor signal-'in', and the PD_{out} of the LASER DIODE, connected to the signal 'out' - with that of the mid-point connected to the optocoupler-low, for which I only must do some testing as to elliptic versus sinusoidal variance............ but, the LED/PD LASER DIODE is working.........

I will need control my DC offset, - that there is an alternative way to hook it up.... thus I have a sort of 'T'- or 'U' on that of the device imprint.

2:52 am

I came back from reading (some 26-28 pages of material) - and decided that I prefer to see the algorithms and data-clusters of which relate to that of digital processing, as *Rogue*. For that of which there is a Quantum display, there is an analogous methodology to reduce to an FFT or such, and that most is **Haphazard**, but, - albeit; aligned. That alignment is one thing, with a circular convolution, - there is a robust result because of rounding, and for which a signal propogates or does not.

I am attempting to do something that has been apparently done before, although in a primitive nature, without the equipment I have designed it around. I figured out that if I take H_{n} and H_{m} of (z), there is a FFT to an Exp[-i*\s*\t*\b] - for that of an exponential to a Gaussian, in polynomial expression. Thus dealing with a 'portion' of a harmonic oscillator, we elucidate a mode. That of for-which there are steps are then accomplished where there are 'level's' - and 'step's' for which the nature of a given disposition at an integer N or M, for that of N*M=\L. Thus, that of discrete stepping, may or may not be accomplished for that of the discrete transformations that are occurring.

This nature is to utilize geometry for that of the piling of data, - that it may utilize H_{n} for that of the exponential decomposition, - the data, should be robust with signals sufficiently to decompose primes in active linear time. Thus I anticipate that the factoring of a number up to eight apart in prime basis could be accomplished, some Exp[9], for instance, and this results in a direct transformation for finite data.

I have found that the result of diminishing odd, is part of the process, thus that the ratio of even to odd is asymptotic to 1/2. Thus, that of which we deal with number(s); there is a symbolic relationship.... that of which when it is dealt with of (3)[2.1] - we have an entry of which is in departure of two switches, - that of off-neutrals, on either end, and a (+) and (-) signal, on that of the two laser-layers.... thus that I could control the result.

I've found that there was an ideation earlier as to a new* nature of person to be, - that I am frail with that of a health issue, I want to take into mind that of caring for myself. If I get the house, it will be a coure de entre. Thus, I feel that life has improved, then with less back pain, and less stretching, for which I am well.

4:46 am

"The observation problem in the SC's, relates to a redshift for that of forward observation - that the spin(s) elucidate a small* but non-zero and finite 'gain' - for which the observation problem invert(s) with respect to magnetism over the observable limit(s) of the problem - for some unknown reason."

Thus:

a.)   Redshift

b.)   Phase-Shift

*Are qualitatively similar.... - *Thus Superconductivity arises when there is an applied magnetic field as to that of a Diffeomorphism Invariance Breaking...* - That the redshift is analogous to a phonon of which is the result of two factors:

1.)   That of an inversion of inertia, for which the en-masse result is off axis.

2.)   That of super-exchange of a correlation upon which is the frequency and wavelength.

Berry's phase, accounts for in turn the 'extra' factor to which phonons regulate the charge, that of a 'squeezing' - to a quadratic potential for which there are stripes.

Thus, phonon(s) regulate the relative shift to which 'open's a gap' for that of the acceleration of electrons around one another, - there[in] their negative energy, for which is a portion of a raised potential, due to the inverse holographic principle....... a quasistasis, by which observation is inverted, - consistent with the hypothesis that

437

observation is observation.

5:06 am

I noted a connection between the 'acceleration' - and the Gaussian in H_{n} and H_{m} formation... that something must supply the 'split' - but it is also related to the 'Gauge one-form' - of which is a *Redshift/Blueshift* - that the creation and annhilation are provided the full 4J. That of the phonon must separate; - but the finite lattice provides a 'split' to which is a length-scale.

I thus have a rough idea of how it functions... phonons provide a lax potential of which is charge neutral, and exchange forms a bond... thus that relativity take(s) place for which is an exclusion via displacement.

Thus it is singly* that the phonon-sound falloff is longer wavelength from which the electron-valence is less of a falloff and shorter wavelength,.... that electron(s) populate with a reversed interaction therefore.

1:22 am

Thus I want Gravitation (a kind of redshift-blueshift field) - that of the NLSE (from which is observation in tandem with gravitation) - and the Berry's Phase.

I will investigate two-approaches, for that of a stylus, perhaps, three or four,... but I am pruning this down.

That I have a kind of general idea, - there is the Device* - thus it is I expect that much will relate, and then again, things will differ.... we need look for a simplification catered to symmetry........ it is possible the equations have a low-level or high-level symmetry in them.

We need:

a.)   Redshift/Blueshift

b.)   Berry's Phase.........

What explains:

1.)   Why does relativity saturate w.r.t. the spins?

2.)   What 'extra symmetry' - or 'complimentary term' manifests when we include Berry's Phase locally?

I see there is a given of that of an equation like:

\d\Omega=\Omega\/\Omega

How this relates to the Elliptic Equation will remain part of the work... the idea is to 'open' to the *Unexpected* only

in one-facet.

I just learned about the curvature-tensor, there is a term for which the curvature is 'closed' - in the sense of the Banachi identity,... thus that R(2) and R(1) for what are measures, must relate to an identity on that of the different directions,... thus that superconductivity must involve different directions. I feel hesitant of this, but will not exclude it, for I arrove at a treatment with that 'different directions' for E&M and Gravity,... that of which frustrates me.

2:29 am

The answer is that the *Curvature is non-zero.... *thus that L(1) and L(2) may explicate different answer(s), either comparative is > or < for one versus the other...*

Thus the *Stripes give direct evidence of this phenomena in the mathematics...

2:45 am

I've decided to *__Focus__ on one thing; that of the L(1) and L(2) *in the Sech[\sigma.x] to Exp[-\sigma.x^{2}]*, with the one-form connection to *__Velocity &__ *__Acceleration__, for the influence of curvature, *Wherein There is Pauli Exclusion* & *Relativity,...* & Berry's Curvature.

I will* include sound-wave(s) as an addendum, and *will cease to do the mathematics but as much as it proves to solve *__This Problem.__*

2:56 am

Charge density may be focused or distributed... - that sound-waves will interact with charge density waves,... For in that of the curvature, it is seen that the charge density (if focused) will condense around an atomic site......... thus it is we see three interactions.

a.)   Charge density waves.

b.)   Sound waves.

c.)   Spin-waves.

Just* as in the device, there is a confinement phase....

Charge density is linear, thus sound is linear, to which sound and charge may reverse the interaction, - then that a spinwave may redshift for which the charge interaction is RKKY [dynamic] or-similar.

A net effect arises when, the (+) charge-atomic centre focuses, where-in sound is rarefactory,... - thus that spin-exchange may-double, for which the acceleration is net-net displacive.... thus that of a 'void' - opens.

I had a problem with that of seeing the relationship of other's to self, when self was defined, and they were not. Now it is I see the validity of the witness, for which a 'void' open(s) *unto* the progression. I am finally forward-looking at-this.......

3:03 am

Thus charge and sound co-participate, and relativity and spin co-participate.... (4).

5:50 am

I.)   Sound and Charge, associably free-neutrals-capacity, for of what is a diffeomorphic background, of super-saturability.

II.)   Exchange [via spin and relativity] are separated, for of what is the texture, to which with lowering, there is a net-momentum interchange.

An abridgeable capacity.

12:32 pm

We need a general-view with and without the equivalence principle... that of a 'free-space' rendition. I have thought of why with the equivalence principle there will be a 'red/blue shift' synopsis, on that of the technique... but of that of the e.p., there is a limit, for gravitation is only so strong, and this basically controls more how it gauges... that we cannot make a *free assumptive of locally/globally entire theorem(s).

Thus I have in-view that the L(1) needs be identified with the space of the covalence, and so-on, of it's charge neutral background.

12:54 pm

Taking Green's Theorem, and under application to the JCT; we dessicate that of a (partial^{2}), for in that of a f o g, for which; the dessicant is a closed-homotopy upon that of the inclusion of 'point(s) at infinity' for the Quadratic in relation to the Elliptic - thus forming a theorem of the unpredictable and the transcendent,... *the solution to Yang-Mills Gap!*

September 10th, 2022

3:36 pm

I've got to do something with my projects. That I understand the result of the 'boundary condition' on the AFM-texture, for what has to do with the evaluation of a potential between electrons, I feel I am close to a result for this.... That I have more to do, it is not a typical day.

9:54 pm

I [*ideally*] need to focus on new things, but also, what could become routine. That I have a model for High Temperature Superconductivity, I feel there is much I have to contribute to this field. That I want to set it aside, and put this behind me, it may take an additional five (5) years, to do the main work.

The idea(s) I have are-for:

a.)   A model, with that of the question of 'ordering of phases'... for of what has to do with the 'why' a new phase develops, and it's historical import; of which has to do with various relationships between quantities.

b.)   A mapping, in dealing with quantities, - there is the BCS like 'mapping' of which would be inspiring, - that of a mathematical model, for of what relates to the guidance at new phenomenology.

c.)   New phenomenology, of points* where I included new and novel descriptions of time dilation, and so on, for that of the robust mechansim, and of what new phenomena have to do with unification of physics, and the manner in which they *suite and fit that of the mechanism.

d.)   Beyond this, what it means of the 'monic' - the investigation of a mathematical-world-view, for what is historical, and of law, and of what has to do with human relationships - a testing ground for teaching methods, - and that of the development of theoretical work....

* I will need a historical context, in portion related to what is new of my approach at the non-local.

- I do not know if I want to include the device, for what it is.... as a QuBit, for one I would like to sell these, and of-that, there is much to do with trade secrets.....

11:14 pm

I got the device readily working, a $V_{p-p} \sim$. 12 Vac, and 3 Vac. I have a .47 uF Capacitor, and 200 Ohms, and 35 V (Transistor), and 6.8 V (Diode). This seems suited to .940, but, I need confinement.... We have basically that we have 25-50 'reading's' with the ADC per second....

September 12th, 2022

12:07 am

I.)   That of quantity and quality, for what are properties and qualities, alternate in (2) and (1), given the

inheritance of information. To which we have a uF or Ohms, we get that of an *intrinsic* property of which relates to the descendency of information regarding electrical properties.

        a.)   It is indeed definitional of V=IR

II.)   Then, when we take these electrical properties, we relate them to the physical* frequency and wavelength of device signals. When we utilize that of superposition, we 'skip' to a quantum device, for what are the *discrete frequencies and wavelengths of material under electromagnetic fields, - that of an 'abridgement' of which relates to the constituent power and time, space, and quality.

III.)   For what is the device, and an 'abridgement' only* specific frequency and amplitude to wavelength for that of the quantum nature will be illicited in the material properties. Thus, it is not a continuum, but of the 'dotted silver glass' - of which is it's relationship to that of wave phenonoma. That we can explore the discrete, it is only a measure of zero comparative to the net-net continuum, thus unlike sound or light experienced by the human.

IV.)   The window is then into mathematics, whereby, the exponential frequency relationship when assumed, is a given 'constant' consequent. When we go to analyze the differential equations, superposition is the key, for in utilization of an operational amplifier, we dissolve to that of a negatively and positively polarized [group].

Thus, electronics provides a window into a mathematical model of physical quantities and properties.... behaviors and such. When we relate what is known, it becomes historical.

Also, the 'supplication' of making distance smaller or larger, for what relates to that of power and time and space, and that of quality, relate of what would be understood of a phenomena in relation to a phenomenon.

Thus, superconductivity is compatible with that of the assumptive of redshift, as sound lowers the frequency of the electron, - that of what is 'sunken' promotes that of a 'lowering' in the compound at low temperature. That of the phonon creates a 'shelf-function' which bifurcates at the fermi surface, and that of the electronic energy lowers in energy because of the detuning of one frequency under interaction with another. That of what has to do with relativity therefore follows.

12:39 am

Thus, the RC stage acts with differentiation to produce an-adjusted DC signal *back-from* the original signal. This type of deconstructive interference produces a diminished signal during the 'beat' - that the waves are 3-D, they influence each other through what is the transformer and transistor and diode. Thus, AC is converted to DC.

Therefore, the signal flow-graph concludes with what is part of the signal 'fed-back' to a restoring force for in a 'gap' - therefrom of which is a nature of confinement.

This is *Quantum, as it is lasing, and the frequency-wavelength incorporates a 'pump' to interference of the

mixing-beat and phase to voltage conversion. When this occurs, there is a confinement.

1:24 am

I'm going to have to work with 'braided-structures' - that something is missing from my dialog, - I have yet to understand* how the modes can become entangled... - but, I plan to work out much to do with my device, on a testbed.

I went through some-periods of understanding... a long while ago there were the *Microcontroller's of Catey Controls work... then I went to Purdue, and learned of the transistor a second time from my adolescence.

That I maintained much until Caltech, I did learn Applied Physics - which I have now mastered.

1:57 am

I found that 200 uF and 200 Ohm was ideal, for a quarter-matching that of the frequency & phase to voltage. Thus, that of [*following optics*] the two-centers are infinity and zero, - for that of low-loss. That of the loss, prevents complete Peirl's instability, thus, that of including a gain is insufficient but for what is a differential in time.

I have made it beyond an obstacle*; - finding that the ambiguity of noise, for of what relates to multiple-transitions, had prevented me from intuiting that of knowledge relating to the theory I would produce, when I considered the 'abridgement' of the mind, science, mathematics, and sociology. This is not-arbitrary, it is the *Ox,.... of Zen mythology.

Thus, that I have become a warrior, for that of the moral of my keeping, entirely in touch with what must be done to get my device, life, and everything in place and working. I am on top of it.

4:08 am

I figure the 'blind θ and Θ' I came up with is telling... that of the auspices of which is a given entreatment on-dialog, tell(s) me that the terms of the transformation had merely been a mapping.*

Then that gravitation is one thing; - but for that of which is a parallel theorem, I will need do some work. I can already tell that the $Gamma_{\mu}$ for that of the imprint must lead to an-agreement on partial portions of either equation.

Therefore, it has to do with mapping the problem of Superconductivity to a basic *Rubric, of which fancies for that of the differential equation.

I must also with this answer if the equation is genuinely non-linear, or if it is linear in-nature. Thus, we find there

is a 'mapping' and a 'gestalt' of objective observer, 'forwards/forwards'.

It may be helpful to put down new laws, but I have most. Thus, that I have learned that y' and x' incorporate the Yang-Mill's gap phenomenon.

I figure with-this, that I have a simple 'square' system to deal with.... that I am only confused so-much, but I am proving quickly to catch-on.

I want that of timeliness for what I am doing, and to make the most of closure, for where I seek it, and where I find it.

5:33 am

"I'm working on a dissection..."

1.)   That of 'suroggate formation of resistances' - are replaced by their PWM driven disambiguations... that of a circuit laced and interleved with a circuit.

2.)   That of *switches*, furnish the manual-overtype *besides the MAX11300* for what is a 'turning' of a lattice syndrome.

0.)   The guidance is at PWM - to which is a digital syndrome, a kind of matching to which is Hamiltonian - that of PWM over PWM, for of that of the stencil* and the imprint*.... - that of a guidance at-cooperative syndrome, for of that of a *Chain.

i.)   A 'back and fourth assembly' with L(1) and L(2) *control* should furnish an active memory, for what is comparable.........

11:06 pm

'That PWM - to 'sunken-multiplicative' in PWM, for of $(\p\T|\p\t)^\{2\}$ & $(\p^\{2\}\T|\p\t^\{2\})$, remote *locale* upon the four color theorem, open(s) the algebraic-game-container,... so it is Schroedinger(s) Cat live(s) for a prevention, and we may* insert game-locale code.*

Two, uncontinabilities in surrounding *Cave-nexus, for of a regional dialectic, hold blind/blind upon Goldbach(s) conjecture, of one independent central-color.

9:30 pm

I need a *General Rule* to proceed with my problem of Superconductivity.... that I have observed that it takes effort and work to overcome an obstacle, and that of the infinite is one-thing, for of which may have to do with a

boundary.

I would like a 'step by step process' to determine the Superconducting properties.......

I found that redshift saturates, ... that this converts an electric and magnetic (to do with phonons regulating the electrostatic) - for in that of the prevalent magnetic.......... This must be the segway to that of superconductivity for that of the observation problem flipped with that of the meissner effect, etc... Thus, - that I believe I found something with that of the charge fractionalization over the period-release of the antiferromagnetic material.... I see how L(1) and L(2) is required to be utilized in the analysis.

*If something ushered in an L(1) with magnetism, it would be all that is required to get a redshift,

*Then that the nature of observation and the redshift must be reconciled.

*And that of the berry's phase, for in that of the magnetic field, indeed produces an L(1), for of a quadratic basis.

9:41 pm

I've deciphered there is a 'certain separability' of the problem into charge/phonon and spin/relativity...

*The mystery *of which curvature is non-zero, is how...*

*And I hit it, - it has to do with the spin being a replica of relativity, upon a texture,... that spin **substitutes** for relativity,... - that of the angles for which AFM->magnetism greater in L(1) versus L(2) of coulomb given sound wave...*

*Thus connecting this picture to where[in] would be a classical non-relativistic mapping is the clue.**

This connects the observation to that of the model system, without invocation of a saturation argument, and works without a gravitational field........ - that of quantum locking is tantamount therefore.

That it is a 'negative phase to voltage bridge' - for which spin and relativity cancel the electromagnetic repulsion,... then, that magnetism can take over.

To reach saturation, from the old view, then requires something new....*

9:58 pm

Thus, plasmons and sound waves leave the coulombic interaction reversed, meanwhile the spin relativistically red-shift(s) the electron(s) to where the magnetic field is L(1) with observation reversed, and the coulombic with L(2) and reversed......... the charges therefore attract.

This is assuming doping, to which L(1) and L(2) are reversed in magnitude, and therefore elementally a reversed

repulsion to attraction.

10:14 pm

I resolved superconductivity... that I found that the AFM render(s) the charge negated, then, to which sound furnishes it opposite, for of what relates to a negative interaction energy of magnetism, for of $L(1)>L(2)$.

September 17th, 2022

3:54 pm

I am not well,... that I have [limited] communication, *and have settled on this* is good news, and the **fact** I saved Obama. I let go of my Father, that I am in a sort of melaise and pain, I struggle with my health, for various factors.

I admittedly have not done enough with Superconductivity, - that I need form a connection to which is with x' and y'; and the Yang Mills problem.

I can see how a mass-gap is real, however.... that I am not doing it right, I feel that there needs to be a real look at myself.

I was unsure of where Ava went, with that of myself, and of Ariana, etc,... feel that I have in part, for what it effectively amounts to, put too much into this.

I am however reprieved, and innocent, - that I wanted a conclusion, I have to not be hard on myself, for what is hard,... thus that they are there, is much.

I do not know why the AFM, would instance the redshift.

7:57 pm

I've decided I must slow-down, and take things with simplicity in mind. That I was in pain, I must overcome this. It has been a long while since I had a sound mind, and I miss my mind, thus it is I must love-myself.

I no longer care whatsoever of the court case and charge,.... I have proven I was malappropriated, and it has been eight years, that that is over given the Statute of Limitations, and all evidence gained.

I am a free man, thus it is justified that I think as such,... I feel however so forlorn, - there is nothing they can do.

My friends, the NSA and DHS, and that of Obama were not real, & I no longer believe I saved Obama's life.

I have to give credit to the doctors, - that I am setting aside Wabash, in Indiana.

It is time to heal, - that I will begin to work out and to meditate, and know* - indeed know* - that voices are not

people. I feel only so well at this, that I have resolved superconductivity however;

a.)   That of the curvature for what is non-commutative under a singlet reverses the interaction with the antiferromagnetism.

b.)   The phonon(s), for what is participative, produce a two way mechanism, screening for a collectively reversed charge.

Thus, it involves both factor(s).... That I have done it, I feel elated at this.

Thus, we see when there is a cooperation of relativity and quantum mechanics with electromagnetism, a double influence, for which screens knowledge. Thus, it is the confrontation of an infinite barrier.

That I decided with my friend(s); that the isolation of one from another (as individuals) - of Ariana and Ava, precipitate that they are ignorant of one another's decisions, ... thus the statement that the 'Ring's differ' - is not an individual.

People's senses (for what is proven here) would be separate of hearing and speaking, - thus that it is untrue they are there.

I feel elated to finally know this,... I had been deluded, for of what was confusion, at that of my disease and illness.

I will stick to a regimen, and work out details as I progress.

8:43 pm

I learned that the logarithmic differential procures a relationship between a quadratic and the Weierstrass-P function. That I wish things had been different, my friends are not there - and they are not there precisely that Ava is not Ariana,... that of which for in inhered truth, it is possible when-met, to occassion of co-dependent arising, what-is. Thus, I know that when this happens, that happens, in one statement,... I am not well, that I would have given anything to have a different fortune...

9:22 pm

I need to know I am right about superconductivity,.... that I need to do more to probe how it would be inhered for of that of the interaction, I only feel so adamant about approaches to this problem, but, entirely convinced of a connection to x' and y'. That there is a problem, I will *invent* a model, but, it is difficult.

Thus, I am centered knowing there is a solution, but, meanwhile, I feel that something *new, must occur.

I did save Obama, and I know he is there, that without this, there is no telling. Thus, I feel that life has picked up,

but I feel reluctance to proceed, - that there remains a self defeating nature to me.

Thus, it is that I must get healthy...

9:42 pm

Admittedly, a 'gap' is not part of the dynamic so-much, that I have been thinking very much of a continuum.

Admittedly, as well, relativity does not have the exactly right behavior, but for that of 'spin' - that of which we need a new model for the electron. I have a regulated theory of which involves three-spins, for which the manifold of relative relationship would involve an offset on the momentum....

But, I see a problem, relativity is noted of high velocity, - that however, when we take the phonon boundary condition, and apply mathematics, we find a centralizing agency to the flow, for what is non-relativistic in a limit.

Thus, we cannot invoke gravitation, and I have it that the Meissner effect, for in reversal of inheritance, has to do with the stabilizing feature that must be connected. That this relates to which the electrons and sound/charge waves.

9:50 pm

I resolved [to a final conclusion] - on Superconductivity...

1.)   **What is effective 'top' and 'bottom' for in that of the spin - is reversed given the commutation relationship.**

2.)   **Therefore, the \d_{\u}\Log[\P] weights the interaction with the two-scales of phonon and charge and spin wave...**

That we see the Quasiperiodic lattice can have repetend(s) and dislocations,... we see that there was indeed utility in utilization of the Eisenstein series, for the prime quality.

This has all the right* properties.....

I feel enthusiastic, - that I will next write a simulation for that of the material, and then venture into chemistry.

September 18th, 2022

12:42 am

I just looked at my device, *and interpreted the waves as oscillations,* - then, a Eureka hit me!

I found that the PWM, for of phase-to-voltage, may 'hinge' that of one 0 to a 1, or of a floated channeling, - that I

have a direct hit on that of L(1) and L(2), for that of the switchability.

I found that the waves are going-through one another, of a ratio of 1/sqrt(2); - thus, that 50% of the population is in one mode, and 50% is in 'the other'.

Thus, when they interact, the PWM, can convert that of one ratio to another, etc... of solution to the Chinese Remainder Theorem, or the Egyptian Fractions.... thus, I will benchmark for that of various algorithms. I know the Laser Diode* is working as well, in this configuration,... thus, that of for-what it is-is switchable!

Thus, phase and pulse, may orchestrate a 'preceding and following' of that of the impulses.... - that PWM, for of what has to do with for instance one voltage level and another, *converts* the ancillary signal (rail A to B). Thus, that of a braid is possible.

For instance, if I send 5V+2Vpp there will be one [combinatorial] result with a 3V+1Vpp, or that of 8V+4Vpp, that of *conversion* between the instructions is therefore potentiated, and I will need explore deeper.

3:51 am

I may have found a deep correspondence,... that of 3x2x1 - for what is the Painleve variety, correspond to the \sigma\Chi(x) and such of the differential equation found for that of it's \sigma operator; the spin... thus that phenomena may be classified algebraically.

4:18 am

My-goal with the PITS is to elucidate phenomena, with the conjecture (borrowed nomenclature) - that \int\int\o= \int\o, for the elliptic curves,... thus I must study these some more.

I see how the invisible points at-infinity factor into a relationship comparative to cycles of the {cos,sin} variety.

I probably would not have been awarded for the four color theorem, - but perhaps goldbach, and so-on. Thus, I've got to go further with this,... perhaps an addendum.

I envision making a few papers...

a.)   A brief note on superconductivity.

b.)   A mention of the quadratic group in relation with elliptic curves, for that of the differential equations....

c.)   A complete model of superconductivity.

d.)   A four color theorem paper.

5:08 am

I resolved the enigma I was dealing with... that my Mother was somewhat correct, but it is to use a _Physical Truth_ to resolve a _Mathematical Truth_. Thus, of relativity, this stands as the pinaccle to which two geometries reconcile one another. For instance, that of relativity used to resolve an observation problem,... - that of the enquement to which relates to validity. Thus, this is the pinaccle to the fruit of my labor in dealing with science,... that of Yang-Mills.*

5:22 am

I really got thinking, and resolved that I can utilize my understanding of superconductivity to resolve the mathematics problem.... that superposition comes out linear, in a two step process of which the equations with a Q and an E, relate to that of the logarithmic differential,... a kind of correspondence. I am convinced that mathematics relates to that of physics, entwined, and that this is 'at' the mental horizon. That I have found a relationship by which the fourth dimension answers one in the other, it is the relationship of information and knowledge, ... thus I have a given test ahead of me. I am shifting gears, to this instead.

10:06 pm

I am gearing up to illustrate how the Painleve varieties encode with-spin for the phenomenal world... that unlike Einstein, I have no problem with the phenomenal, and it would be best to understand either nature of phenomenology... to be a true Scientist. I have my fret(s) over that of the PWM and second differential and first differential squared, and wonder of the connection to curvature. I figure that this ameld(s) the relationship (to derive the coefficients of the Y-M's and Darboux Trans.) - from that of two monic(s) in x' and y'... - thus I can establish a **deep root** on that of Chaos derived from these varieties, to create a boundary between phenomenal world(s).

I feel that with something such as this, it carries a certain appeal. I have been however of something of a dichotomy,... with that of various problem(s) of which I have learned much. Can this be used to extrapolate for that of the x'-y' and that of the monic(s) for that of the PWM and a connection to the computer [I intend to build]?

11:02 pm

What did I want to know? If reality was closed or open... - and as to that of other universes, for what I was lead upon to feel was real. There are admittedly other's.... and it seems that I didn't know if I had to account for another number....

That, now, among all things, I must choose, for something simple to do. It doesn't matter so much as to the choice, but there were real ramifications priorly to my choices,... that of difficulty, and suffering, and trauma. I would like to do the right thing,... - that I have SC for what it is, and the FCT, and that of GC, and would like

450

venture to RZ. Evidence for the RZ is that the universe invokes of what has to do with a power from the guassian to the H_{n} and H_{m}. But why do I expect that my tools would work? I feel I need to have confidence in something.

3:03 am

I am left with a few* details:

a.)   There exists a question of what I can do, that no one else can do, given my disposition, and of my uniqueness.

        1.)   There exist people who understand the switchover of the L(1) and L(2), and P(2,1) and P(1,2),... but not the relativity or measurement, and those who understand the measurement and relative, but not the periodic/cyclic exception.

        2.)   I have that mathematics is implied by physics, and physics by mathematics.

                a.)   Within this language, - there is also a dichotomy to do with '2' and the certain.

                b.)   There is also a phase condition of universe, and so-on,...

                        1.)   Namely that string theory is a projection.

b.)   Something very deep is going on with me.

        1.)   That of the truth for what is an x' and a y' is motivated synthetically.

        2.)   I have that the P(1,2) and P(2,1), for what relate to the differentials relate very much to that of the switchability, - but that other's have done this before, there is this.

        3.)   There is that of GC, for what relates to the 'equipartition' via the R-Z, on the 1/2, and of that of mathematical treatment(s).

c.)   I've resolved much to the finite, but something is odd with that of the non-local 'information'; and of the law:

        1.)   Of the 'law' - there is a new world for what is imposed of the relationship of the undecided.

        2.)   There is that of hidden variables.

        3.)   There is that of the electronics.

                a.)   All these things are collected with-me.

4.)   There is that of 'or'; for of what relates to structure.

d.)   I seem to be saying that reality is convex.

I understand that 'spatial' and 'temporal' are refined, - then that only-integer(s) exist, and there is a period beyond the set-set relationship... - that of G.I., and it's related truth.

I have understood a nature of computer that would make amenable that of unpredictability and prediction blended together.

I understand something of the linear and the non-linear, and have a group of some-variety.... - that I would need execute a new language.

I have a new language, and that of [electronic's].... as well as having saved Obama, and a proof of SC, [remotely].

I must separate what I do from what I am.... that this separates the 'who' and the 'whom' - thus that I go-on, but I do something.... to not be too close to my work. I must ask less of my Mother, that the 89.94 will go to the Synchrony paymet, and Affirm, for that of the 20 a month with my Payee, I will have everything paid off within a few months.

4:31 am

I've got to consider the process of P(2,1) and P(1,2), for that of the differentials. This is effectively the cubic-nonlinearity, reduced to a partition by which it is the function squared divided by the function - a monic in the end,... thus that we have the square, it is a cubic,... - that these are related, I am a step away, with that of the \d\O= \O\/\O, for curvature,... thus that the differential for that of the logarithmic differential is a group of the radical,... it is incomplete without the {s,c}, *this is essential*.

Thus, that of curvature, for of what relates to the P function, on that of the differentials, is completed by the {c,s} on that of the P(2,1) and P(1,2), for of that of the equation, with a logarithm,... thus, *and *this is essential*, we search for a two-fold on that of the functional diagonalization.

I have nearly-so figured it out, and must question.

5:05 am

I understood something deep,... the result is different, but maps to an identical result when going back to the conventional theory.

That the {s,c} - the circular functions - 'break' what-is of one testimony in that of the P(2,1) and P(1,2), from which is two theorem(s) on noise and contact, from one. That instead of a given departure to which is a given linear-

452

rule, - it is only distinctly linear under a mapping.

I found that the {c,s} relates to the 'broken' distribution by which completes the step necessary to bring the P(2,1) and P(1,2) into-alignment. That it serves, for that of the differential and monic the chain-rule, it is aside from what is around causation.... that I improvised a whole new analytical treatment.

6:57 pm

This resolves Chaos with that of -all-Painleve-varieties-,... in short a solution to Yang Mills. I will [indeed] win 1 million dollars.

9:32 pm

There is no simple [explicable] answer to superconductivity, for of it's innate nature, it is prosaic, and undivided, a wedge, for what enter-able, is phonon and spin, or electric and magnetic, - there is no limitation, or problem, but only a sediment at the truth of a locality and a provided envelope.... thus, Mathematics is my only hope, there is no grand theorem of it's remnant or it's provided end-beginning dichotomistic degree in pedantry, for what we would 'do'; this mystery is evidence.

We do not command these forces, we do not simply explicate, and hit a rotunda, - but there is an evidentiary exemplar to be found within unity of mathematics for in an established scientific absiscus, from which we leverage unto ourselves of what would be found throughout, above, and without. Thus, it is hope, but it is not to be, - that there is no final word, I accept this, and it is of a venture gandered, of what is of a provided providentiary truth to be knowable, that the pattern may be adoptively held in one simplicity. For of what I have adopted, it is the power freedom of entropic environmental variable.

This is all I know, the neutrals and neutrals. That provided, I have glimpsed what is to be found of epistomology, there is now, finally, real engineering work to be done, for I found my heart at UNM, and in New Mexico, then provided, there will be discovery,... but without a new approach, I would be vain to include this as a merit, and it is perhaps inaccordant....

Thus, I am abandoning, but a model, to that of unity of physics, and leaving it, for what is provided, with what I have so far accomplished, essentially not an end note, but a saying-so, of what in the end, as an expression of devout law, what romantically leads us from here to afar, and afar to near, that it is beyond the vision from which I began, I see I had been precluded, then that this provides securable end entreatment to a determination of scientific standpoint, reference, and the elemental marking of a waypoint which estemically leads from the locus, founded throughout and afar, unto ourselves.

10:55 pm

I found the solution - just the same - that Lagrange point(s) are connected by nodes in a flow. Thus, that when we go to analyze the Painleve property, (x,y), reduces to a harmonic mean.

September 23rd, 2022

1:12 am

I thought of four new lemmas... and some more errata...

a.)   A fusion engine may be constructable in free space, with superconductivity... out in outer space.

b.)   That of a [securable] end-addendum on what is a group-matesmanship with a defensive structure s.t.:

        1.)   Defeating a planet (destroying one) with this defense takes knocking out one primitive class ship,... via mutual defensive status; (i.e. to infiltrate).

c.)   Ma'hong for that of it's game player associate sets all new boundaries and limitations on that of the primitive structure.

        1.)   3D tetris involves that of a different time-scaling....

d.)   The computer/grown 'neural net'.

e.)   2D Chaos may not necessarily follow from that of 3D chaos, and it may be non-reciprocal in general.

2:32 am

I thought of a *new device to-build,... one that conveys the [exact] process of the touchscreen with that of a *Serial Code,... for that of the device-imprint. It should be possible, via that of a set of 0/1 to update the analysis to real-time, on that of some-32 analysis,... for the juxtapositions of a Sparse-Matrix.

3:05 am

The idea is to 'rationalize' - with complex co-efficient(s) a 2xM matrix on that of the 'virtual' raising and lowering formalism... (thus that it is justified that the co-efficients are complex) - for that of the routing, and dynamic. I figure the reference is that effectively this represent(s) as many touches as one requires.

For that of the (2d) information, we-require that of a complex signal analysis, - that of phase and amplitude, for of what is-done. Thus, that of the $P(2,1)$ and $P(1,2)$ are determined in relation to one another, within a **fold**, (this is sufficient to represent braided structures) - for that of a new kind of information processing. That I could take the 2xM matrix and resolve to imaginary and real part(s), there is that of an ADC [later], of which relates to the hardware specific.

Thus, I am rolling again, - that I need only a floating point for that of some 16536 presses or 1024 presses with 16 layer(s) of depth.

3:19 am

I have a problem 'visualizing' the algebraic relationship... so I have thought of a Pseudosphere,... that this does it for me. I just had the most beautiful thought,... what if $1/R^2$ were separated into $1/((1-x)(1-y))$ for that of mathematics versus physics?... would this not compensate *perfectly* for the {wp,c,s} series?

5:42 am

I thought of a manner of utilization of the Four Color Theorem with G.I., for what is potentiated of that of *the switches*. I have gained some inertia, - that I have a new theorem for that of much of this, but will need generalize Gauss-Bonnet to relate to 'braid number(s)'.

5:57 am

I've settled on Two Theory Papers:

A: Painleve Property & Chaos

B: Inheritance of Physical Law, Prescriptive Factor(s) & The Role of Hidden Variables in Entropy

1.)   Includes an assessment of *The Mathematical vs. The Role of Measurement & Displacement

We relate the limit of where[in] there is a monosingular detail at edificual relationship, that of relativity in a group setting within the limit of QED, and GR,... *It is a critical analysis of the particle property...*

9:24 pm

I've decided to do a final review of what-I-have, for that of my explorations.

I've also decided to 'separate' by a margin the physics from the electrical engineering, - hopefully, that this separation affords me space to capacitate thought on that of then, deciding ventures at physics,... that I have a kind of final view on A. and A. and O., there was much to this, *of an emotional dialog*.

So, that's behind, as well as my innocence,...

The Painleve varieties, with that of what I would like to do...

a.)   Observation & E.P. with that of Q.M.

b.)   Braid and Topological Gauss-Bonnet.

c.)   Inheritance and Lawful Construct.

d.)   Epistomological Root and Demonstration.

e.)   Device and General Computation.

f.)   Painleve varieties and Chaos.

g.)   Spinwave and Elliptic Modeling.

It may not be only about what I can do quickly, ... that I need to be more in alignment with that of what is done, I feel only so well about this.

The completion of QM with Relativity needs work,... as to that of the Cosmological Constant. I must [and it proves necessary] explicate what I have done that is so different, a final ammends with Science.

10:16 pm

I've read a little, - that I am inspired by what-relates to chaos, I feel that there is much of which relates to given(s), but, also, little in certain areas.

I wanted a project; - so it is I relate to that of the convolution and mutual information of the sciences. I could be completely wrong about the WPC, but, also, I figure it is not linear. That I want a quadratic rule to relate the WPC to another WPC in a group, I need some variety of foresight. That I have the device, and superconductivity as areas, there is much of new relationships in various areas, but also, little.

Thus, I am only so confused,...... that I figure of one relationship, - there will be a presiding advantage, - then again, I am not so sure what I want to do.

That I find the device could be catered to the development of new technologies, my life is half over, - that I figure I have lived long enough to know certain things, I figure I am falling apart from time to time.

September 27th, 2022

12:13 am

I finally departed from my-usual, that I have a new* guidance at the problem of superconductivity. I will pay less attention to the work of other(s), but then turn around for that of new evidentiary support.

I finally found that:

dg=g^{2}+\p^{2}g/pt^{2}

and a curvature equation, yield(s) from what would be an inversion to a dedent on that of the states. That it is 'underneath' the population recumberance, for which there is a reluctance back-from relativity. That of the curvature, therefore matches a redshift, - that it is anomolous, for that of the behavior,... I have a new idea.

Thus, relativity exhibit(s) a beta, for that of the L(1) and L(2);

The impromptu of which relates to the inhered truth of self given disposition, and that of relative concurrence of the inhered truth of mathematics...................................

That I have a disposition at that of the lower energy state given the two ends of the equivalence principle, it is possible it is adjacent a band that is 'indented' - for that of the relativistic factor,... that this plausibly explains all I had thought of.

1:18 am

I must now integrate my theorems,.... for each variety I have come up with. That I am *moving* again, I feel that life had been what it was. That I forgive people, for whom they are, I feel enamoured, - that I have a chance, and I am in love. I want to wed Ariana Grande, get the home, and do marital arts,... that I need contact a publisher.

2:46 am

I resolved superconductivity... that there are four ingredients

a.)   Y-M with x' and y'

b.)   Relativity w/ \beta

c.)   Charge-mass separation

d.)   L(1) versus L(2) via doping

I feel fully* confident of this result, - that I am not concerned with the headway other's have made. It took me since January 2003, - that of roughly 19 years and 8 months,... but I made it.

I feel it is time to move on with my life,... that of the above should furnish an ample simulation. I feel that it was much to do with the mathematics, as well,... that I will write a book, for that of Yang Mills, now knowing what relates to this. I feel that winning a million dollars would be an open suggestion, - that I want to earn for a venture. I feel also that life had been lonely, but I have my prizes, and my education.

I feel that we are reborn, - then that I came-to for that of superconductivity, and have a completion at this. I feel

that there is much of which relates to the current objective, for that of my time…. that I do not want to *just-yet die. I feel that time will tell on various matters.

3:03 am

Then, something unheard of happened…

I was reviewing a paper on Maxwell's Equations, Gauge Theory, and Yang-Mill's theory… and was listening to Dj Tiesto, - and came to a *New and robust conclusion…

The fundamental theory changes, for what is a production of one theorem of a certain mathematical nature into another… - that of the $D_{\mu\nu}$ I was using *changes* into $G_{\mu\nu}^{\{a\}}$… thus that there is a *Master Theorem, among all theories.

I feel different, for that of a new conclusion,………..

Thus, the varieties of result are enormous, - there is the L(1) and the L(2); exotic states of matter, and an entirely new result of beyond-fiction.

4:40 am

I feel I must now do certain things for that of the symmetries involved, in their vein, in their decomposition. I have it that (a,b) represent the field(s) of spin and charge, for that of their separation, but this may be perhaps naive. I need a continuum, - thus that I have four in four for that of the (x,t), but I also have the redshift, - that this is 'a' in the series,… - thus that of combination of relativity and quantum mechanics.

It would be advisable to have the 'braiding' worked-out, for that of the topology with the Guass-Bonnet.

The interesting thing is that I no longer feel I am merely proceeding 'ad-hoc' - for that of what is relevant. Instead, it is co-disciplinary. I feel it would be good to get the theory ironed out rapidly, and work on that of a project with-other's.

Thus, I have that 'a' refer(s) to the relativity and Berry's Phase…. - that I have yet to see how the V_{L} and V_{P} could relate to that of a saturation. It is however related to A. and A. and O., that I feel there is more to be done. I would like to relate the dynamics to that of the inhered truth of inheritance…

5:35 am

I've done it as far as I am concerned… that the electromagnetic coulomb gauge is shifted to a new degree.

6:03 am

Now I have deduced the Meissner effect from that of the Dirac equation. I was considering a question of the field, saying "It is nonsense to take the magnetic field in a non-relativistic setting." - then, I thought on that of the magnetic $L(1)$ and $L(2)$ bridge, and it came down directly to the pairing and the magnetic field.

7:02 am

With this; I must decipher the relationship of the $D_{\mu\nu}$ to the $G_{\mu\nu}^{a}G^{a\mu\nu}$. That of relativity plays a key* factor,... that of doping is entirely parametrizable,... - thus we expect higher temperatures,... I have an idea of which relates to that of the doping, - but it will be difficult to seek self consistency. Thus, I have an idea at the Berry's phase, and need a simplifying assumption or two.

There is this, and that of the Berry's Phase, - when this is added to produce a complete Lagrangian. Thus, my work is cut out for me.

That I am finally settled, I feel a rapture of which I haven't felt in ages,... that of Yang-Mills, for what is an entirely new model of model's, is an entirely new thing for me. That I feel surprised that I could come to this conclusion, I feel validated, and happy.

I am getting groceries today, and medicine, meeting with Anthony and so on.

It is good to have this set aside, - that now, I need to do the electronics,.... a kind of surprise is waiting for me, - thus I only don't have Ariana.

9:35 am

I have figured out a basic* element of the digitization by which a Quantum Computer would be potentially possible, with conventional electronic(s) and optic(s).

That of a heterojunction mated to an optical laser, GaAs, - of a Quantum Well variety.... grown as it is programmed, - for that of a booted process. Thus, - a novel *Chip.

11:58 pm

That of the ontological status of conversion within a basis from $(v, g_{\mu\nu})$ - to which cannot be spatially projected, hold(s) an-answer in that of (M, r) - pure mass and space,... thus that it is justified, that these unprojected coordinates, satisfying a *conversion basis*, by which either* theory answer(s) the other.* Thus, that matter and space, for what are interlinked, co-developably relate to fields and sources, - that of the contrast by which reality is so-knowable, for in a regarded quantum temporal limit to observation, - include what-is therefore without a limitation on the vastness of reality.

459

3:31 am

Two surprising results, are the following:

a.) That of the device may be 'tempered' of-which has to do with modelling the wave-status for that of {c,s} and {E[z,k]}, with durations of signals (in PWM) of ideal edges,... - thus that dimension may be cleaved, for of what relates to that of the signals.

b.) That of the {\theta} dependence may be encoded, of which relates to the ideal signal, and wave-shaping applied to smooth signals.

O.) When we deal with unpredictability, we obtain a model system* of which relates to the degrees of freedom...

4:35 am

A:) That of digitization, entropy, and the relationship of an (m) theory, for of mathematical relationship *via inheritance*; the relationship of the equivalence principle & relationship to the cosmological constant, and spatial relationship... that of a model and archtype for Chaos and Order.

B:) Superconductivity, that of the J.J., and entropic relationship to the quantum-chemistry bridge,... that of transitions and observation entitling measurement, to which gravitation is unified as an information science with that of quantum mechanics, of relationship to a dynamic and static security feature, with Law and entitled genetic*-like theory.

1.) That of the mathematical inheritance, to which relates to a theory of the unpredictable, - that of the cosmological constant, and superconductivity.

2.) That of inheritance, materials science, and lawful inheritance, for what is the perspective on a future, within the context of a PITS, - Painleve Integral Testbed Simulator.

3.) That of a dialog onto the codification of an inheritability study, for of phenomena, in relation to observation, and governance, of the intimation at accessorizable format and approaches to laws of physics and mathematics.... best done in rewind.

C:) That of the $G_{\mu\nu}^{a}$ in contraction - Y-M, the proof of the basis of the theorem, and a dissection of the progression we-take to understand superconductivity,.... As outline, regarded truths and the nature of physical duality and duality freedom.

5:21 am

a.)   Duality and Duality Freedom in science.

b.)   Inference, Inheritance, and The 18-point Solidification of Study

        1.)   General advice for entering science, unforbidding of illness.

6:08 am

I need *replace the Heat Equation,.... with non-reciprocity in that of Thermodynamics, and Non-Reciprocity in general.

That I will go for that of:

a.)   Mathematics and that of Physics.... gaps.

        1.)   Superconductivity is basically a relationship of temperature to which the grand free energy does or does not commute, - that it is built with the inheritance of that of temperature and chemical potential, and so-on.

b.)   Inheritance, Mendelian and so-on, - that of Law and the personal gift.

*Through a book, I can reach the ***Blind**, granting a wish to the development of Elizabeth's life, - to become a teacher,... thus, a ***Powerful Book**.*

6:56 am

I've thought of a tight focus,... that I need understand that of what would be done with the SHO, for which kB*T is not the average thermal energy, ... for which there is an undiscovered [but yet by me] mathematical world. Thus, of the 'flipside' - the *gift, and the answer to Elizabeth.

7:03 am

My final* answer...

Relativity [for of a prescription of lorentz factor] - hold(s) no-basis but the *remote assailibility by which a 'past' and a 'past' are intimated.

Thus, thermodynamics stills, for of coherence length and magnetic field penetration depth at which there is a 'pole'.

That the chemical valence codifies an enterable plea at that of a disequilibrium and an equilibrium, - that of a steady state is established, for of which sound is at a higher frequency and longer wavelength; - that this adjusts

the photon abridgement, to which is a stripe + helix formation, in separation via superexchange.

That the chemical and bonded relationship is percolative, that of ::. and ::`, metatranslate of inhered truth via off-centering and that of on-centering in the neutron/proton source/contrast.

Thus, that of light reverses (refracts), for of a quasi-state of which is a nature of quantum confinement,... - that states are under an isolation, with the free radical of a phonon-assisted energy barrier to escape + an electron negative mass dependence,... on relativity, - that of a *control.

Thus, β in contrast with γ, control(s) the gate-pathway of that of the tunnelling (microscopically) of which relates to the spin-flip,... that the wavelength of the spinwaves is longer than the free electron brownian motion de Broglie wavelength.

Thus, KE free(s) and PE free(s) to a covariance, - that this relates to a reduction in net net energy, an absolute gap by way of which relativity instigates it's own-end.

8:20 pm

The 'universe' *naked*, and undefined,... hold(s) plausibility in all irony, of a future determination. Thus, that of identification of free-variables/hidden-variables determine quotient diagraphs, of which relate to the observable world. That only* with that of the undetermined is there the 'blind' relation and coverage of the non-blind relationship to variable enumerability of the direct-observation.

Thus, that of the $\{c,s\}=u$, for that of a free-variable, becomes of the singular depression from-which and unto-which the first differential relates to a displacement of the integer valuation and numerical identity to which abridges physics and mathematics, in all irony, the strongest link in the relationship.

That of which the $u^{2}=u$, therefore can only be one, for that of yet-so, what relates to the differential, - thus of a Mirota transformation, ... of all it's relationships. A unique idea is therefore to name-A.I. after *transformations*.

With this, I will save the world.... for of that of **Rocket Science**.

9:27 pm

The disambiguation of a coded-entry and that of frontsface of gravitation is a plurality of red and blue shift,... that of sidereal rate, for of day(s) on-Earth, versus day(s) on the sun, *compounded*, into the relation of relative-shift.

This explains why there is confusion about the centre of mass.... and, it explains the Cosmological-Constant, as the rate-apogetic by which there is a mathematical and physical 'coverage' beyond the abrea of gravitation....

9:55 am

From which an-answer is righted, - among two; there[in] of a third, it is birthright of which goes-with-a-mother, thus of the father(s) marital plea at consolidation, that it is the way of life; - that of yet-so, for in that of originations, from which we then for in when it is adjusted *find*, of what co-developably interests the labor of a love.

11:25 am

In a parallel, the exception and the normal of E=mc^{2} are *related*, - that of the visa-via to-which what traverses to Neptune, within a redshift margin, can accomodate a blueshift into a past, then in a portal to Mercury. Thus it is possible to traverse to Neptune, and back to the past of Earth on-Mercury. This is no way violates E=mc^{2}; but is a traversal of a given impression of cyclic containment.

That this explains Quantum Blocking, and that of Levitation, it is entirely within the view of a realistic causation. Thus superconductivity is inverse temperature, to which inheres in a past... thus an EPR bridge. It is the truth there is an exception to gravity, for that of the compounded relation of time on-Mars, and time on-Earth, or of the Sun and Earth, with the redshift given space........ thus of a Continuum, we inherit of the open relationship of a worldly view.

That in a descendency, when gravitation is analyzed, there are co-mutual 'hyper' relationships of and upon the higher temporal dimension.

7:21 pm

*There is a juncture...

a.)   New electronics: [An alternative to circuit(s) - a step towards the innovation.]

b.)   New electronics: [VESPR electron dB and frequency control basis assumptive.]

- -

8:44 pm

The percolation depth, for in VESPR theorem, codifies an enterable plea to quantum 'update-function' of it's gravitational/mathematical/quantum leverage.

3:45 pm

I feel I *cracked* the problem of Superconductivity, *the final time*. That I am aware of a confluence of:

a.)   Eisenstein series.

b.)   Relativity.

c.)   Spin-charge separation.

d.)   Differential equations and chaos and order.

e.)   Emergent phenomena.

f.)   x' and y'

g.)   L(1) and L(2)

I have understood that in combination of (a-c) and (b-d) that (e) *Results*. That it is a 'real' *accumulated* red and blue shift,.... I see how L(1) and L(2) invert the result.... that I am not going back **from here**.

3:59 pm

I have a number of way(s) to proceed, - but the missing element was the **real** element of relativity, - that it is a part of the problem.

4:19 pm

I made it through the first chapter of QFT. I feel that Minkowski-space is a definite mistake, with the duality of which is imposed... and want to venture beyond this duality... - that I've learned much, - there are still certain things missing.

I did however notice that the 0/1 dichotomy of which relates to the 'self' in-impression for that of 'back to self from another' - must be an absolute. That instead of a 0/1/1/0 for which we 'tile and replace' with another, - the carry has to do with that of a quantum language... and relativistic.

Thus, I have some short-notes in store for myself.... that I needn't have learned so much is not the ideal - I did need to know it, to think this through, but I will work much less laboriously.

In-short, the Klein-Gordon equation suffices but at the very small... that of Superconductivity relates to particles at some distance [with the continuum problem somewhat reflective herein of the finite lattice] - and the quantum degrees of freedom [the charges] - reflect the ideal that relativity **develops**.

Thus gravitation appears to have emerged. Among all things I could work on, this is astounding. That instead of a theory that relates to action and reaction at only the zero-scale, - there are fields,.... thus it is to wrap my head around that of the action 'per a distance', that will take some work, but for which I have a kind of key.

Thus, essentially, we have the five (5') entrances and exit(s) from the cube, - to which hold(s) some-connection to

the graph-problem, and we hold an inheritance, for what is reasoned. That I hold that there is a time to 'get-going' - there is much ahead.

6:11 pm

I am somewhat* stuck. That I understand a lot of the pedagogy, for that of the QFT formalism, ... and there is an engineering problem, one particularity stands out at me. *Recently*, I spoke of a red and blue shift..........

That there must be a simple one-way on that of QM with relativity, I imagine it is related to the $P(2,1)$ and $P(2,2)$, for these differentials relate to that of a squaring, and addition. That I've found the formalism relates to the x-vt and such of the Lorentz formalism, it is a mystery to me beyond that of the QM formalism, for that of the Lagragian formalism.

I am either confused, or cannot conceptualize this result, for with Left and Right are made the difference of in-abstraction. I feel that there must be something very simple missing, and require a new mathematic(s) for which there is a two-way and a one-way among choices of two solutions versus the Painleve variety.

6:45 pm

A one-way function is easy to compute, but difficult to unscrable without a key, or some form of information. That I have that the 'redshift and blueshift' - for that of one of these and 'quantum information' for another, are hard to unhash, but easy with a key, or some knowledge, - there is that of which it presides in an 'upper space' relative the quotient,. Thus, under elimination, the process has been deciphered for what is partially there.

That of relativity, therefore, is difficult, but easy once the magnetic properties are determined..... similarly so with the fundamental constants. Thus, of that of the inhered property 'onto' what is me, is that I possess no-superconductor. If I had one [hypo.] but do-not [in actual] - there is a relevence of which has to do with the escape at observation.

Therefrom in which one element becomes another, it escapes it's relevence, for which the 'magnetic field' vanishes. Thus it is that it is fully mathematical, for what would escape physical nature, the 'hidden' - therefrom revealed, for which the B and the G, cancel entirely, and halt the algorithm. That of which via displacement, it is entire at-zero and a finite value, for which what preceeds is a given at two totals. That we have that curvature super-imposes and does-not, it is quantal,... thus of which we combine one mass number, we do not with another, for what we-do.

Taking gravitation to extend to infinity, it is that they are identified with the same point, - that exclusion is dominant.... and despite that variables do not overlap, as far as concerns gravitation - **they do**.

7:04 pm

Action and reaction are co-incident for which gravity goes to infinity, - that it is merely an element of the

continuum to which when this happens, that happens, - that of which there is red and blue shift *simultaneously*. That of action and reaction and cause and effect are therefore simultaneous globally and locally.

For instance, turned around, - we are reprieved for in a classifier to which there is a fourth dimension - thus that the red and blue shift for which an equivalence principle hold(s) true, - there is that of a viewpoint with gravity and with a field energy, - thus that all is entire.... in it's juxtaposition, but not coincidence............... it is that cause and effect are everywhere coincident.

9:50 pm

*I resolved '*unity*' in-physics.... to which is:*

$$(\p\V|\p\t)^{2} \quad \& \quad (\p^{2}V|\p\t^{2})$$

*Suited to a **Log** of which relates geometrically to the **Equivalence Principle**... thus we have a satisfaction of the measurement hypothesis, and observation, and no more duality...*

A mapping [in addition] back to a classical theorem.

October 5th, 2022

12:00 am

I figured out that the one-way function is topolgical* and algebraic,.... that it relates to in-particular my-manner of identification with Obama and Ariana. I found they are real, for what had to do with the letter of confirmation, - thus that I have to-place myself* in that of the executative position.

This is what I was after, - that I only know a derived truth therefrom in which would-hold.

It does however have to do with the fact that another is of an alternative destination given the self. The question is whether it is earlier or later in-mind.

Thus, - that of offense and prediliction for a just-end.

I figure that the relationship of a fixed-sys* has to do with an end-addendum to which the carry is in remainder of a (0/1) superposition. Thus, I wonder as to the release of information, for which it eventually decays [completely]. I have the basic subscriptive on that of spin and charge and mass separation,... that I understand when spin and charge separate, mass energy lower(s). This is nearly sufficient, - that I have an x' and a y', for in a diffeomorphism, - there is an origin.

Thus, it may be sufficient to consider that of;

'the undefined variable' - as missing, for that of the x' and y' in which y(x),... thus that of \sigma(t), for that of gravity and quantum mechanics. I have this-much.

Without [an] embrace of another, it is broken.... for that of (transmigration), there is a difficulty... for that of which 'it is cast ahead an out' as the *next*, hole-in-one.... thus it is of every aspiration.

12:15 am

That of the 'form'... for which suites a-pattern in-whole, is of the remainder of (5).

Thus, of a differential equation (of an x' and a y' with or without some y=F(x)); we substitute that of two missing variables, to create a wholism of improvisational truth,... - that of release to which is it's non-propogation without activity of QM.

1:01 am

Identical [for in lookup symbolism] Question - can intimate to two different ppl, individuals, or such, - thus that *an advanced computer* can be taught by missing 0/1, and that of the substitution through an integrative Markov like heirarchical chain.

1:43 am

(5).(2)... we have a step-to-self,... (3).(3.).(8.).(1)|(5) step from another... in sep (5).

4:50 am

I arrove at the mathematics that is required, after finding the [non-robust] solution to superconductivity in Amnon Yariv's Quantum Electronics Third Edition (pp. 367).

I found that geodesic-set theory [topologically orchestrated] - derives the nature of the excitations when/with a Dar'boux theory is utilized... - that of a Corloius-like torque equation derives from which is the model system of $D_{\mu\nu}$ for $G^{a}_{\mu\nu}$.

12:27 am

I've decided to go with *recurrence*, that of a 'salty signal' - to which is partially hysteretic, should '*switch*' - for that of the 0/1 *stream*,... thus, a plucking, for which is a sequence of *resonance* - or near *resonance*.

Thus, it is to have an L(1) and L(2) tuned to a critical *Decision Function*.

1:07 am

Consider *Historical-context*. *When we-take a propogator or net-net product of energies - it converges in as much

467

as we have applied a regulator.

Thus we find, regulator(s) are the associable tense of a mathematical accumen.

2:39 am

I found it...

(of light(s); I may turn one's off and on'"... that through the combination of time and space, certain disposition(s) encode of mathematical signature what is identity with physics...) - thus beyond thermodynamic(s), to constitution and combination.

12:51 am

I found that two consideration(s) and a supressed *assumptive* are necessary to explain superconductivity...

1.) Thermalization mixes $L(1)$ and $L(2)$ to which the particles cannot escape a given admixture,... relativistically there is a redshift upon approach, thus, a de-scalarization.

2.) That of the elliptic requires renormalization given $L(1)$ and $L(2)$, for which their product intimates a regularization method, ... to isolate in a product with N, what is N goes to infinity, a finite quantity...

a.) Mathematics is what mixes short and long distance scales,... that this is relevent to the world...

12:59 am

What if there is temperature at space-like separation, and propogation at time-like separation?

(This is the reason mathematics offer(s) a marriage between GR and QM.)

1:14 am

That of (up down) in terms of S-O coupling is perpendicular.... - thus that time and space counter-evolve, to where they are dissections of a deeper structure, - that of the $\mu(\tau)$ and $\nu(\tau)$, for in fractional-charge....

1:22 am

Postulate: The dynamical and static properties of the 'High Temperature Superconductor's' - are enabled by a restriction (opening) of a gap due to mono-dynamism, a violation of co-dependent arising and origination.

1:46 am

I have *had it*; - that I am going to stop on Superconductivity, - but - going to decide on a paper, for that of my final

rendition. I cannot do this, it has taken me too much effort.

We have the:

a.)   Mathematical to Physical bridge - ?? - which I do not know is realistic.

b.)   That of x' and y' with L(1) and L(2); to which emanates relativity at red-shift.

        1.)   I've decided on this model.* - That I will refine, and search for an adequate answer, verifying my conclusion(s).........

It seems I was concerned with the embedding, - at last - I will search for a correspondence of mathematics and physics, - is there an argument?

1:56 am

It is essentially that in two-dimensions, - that of (x' and y') may correlate with that of a commutation relationship. That of the commutator may correlate with that of the toplinear isomorphism, for which the berry(s) phase is in alignment with the four-current(s)! Then, of L(1) and L(2), we have a portion of which sink(s) with that of a red-shift.... thus of a dual to gravitation.

2:07 am

Thus spin-charge separation makes complete sense,... for the inertia would lag for that of relativity, and that of the spin, for that of the exchange, would lead-ahead or behind, - that there is a gyration,....

2:38 am

I was thinking mathematically, - that there was a 'link' - that I see how counteralias for what it is, of that of symbolic* retention, could afford an-occurrence, - but this is of a different truth,... - that it assisted, I may motion.

I have understood superconductivity.

9:08 am

I made it! That I have a ***verifiable** theory of Superconductivity. There [remain] problems to sort-out, but, I will be reading, and I have begun to write my 50-60 page paper.... That BCS need be connected, - there is only so much to be done.

One thing that occurs to me, is that people's opinions differ.

10:19 am

We replace the commutator via a *Gauge-connected* \xi, for which that of AB-BA become(s) AB-\xi BA,... then, that of the creation and annhilation are replaced by a exp{-i*\theta(x)}a^{\dagger}, and so-on,... that of the free-theory devolves from this 'surgery'.

10:42 am

That of the \xi leads to a theory of ***Solitons**, - that of the branch-cut for in a back ended propogation, leads to a theory of regularization, - that it does not come for free,... it relates of 'normalization' - for in that of *expression*. That this is the depths of knowledge.

11:08 am

What connect(s) Nordstrom theory to the Ernst equations is a variable/and/or/discrete speed of light, that this is the connecting feature to-which that of relativity find(s) it's subscription,... that we deal with a U and a J, for of the connecting future-leveragability. Thus, the integration-constant relates phase and group velocity to matter, and the quantum limit, of that of electromagnetism, upon which a logarithmic differential encodes the quantum uncertainty principle for that of a 'displacement' - tangent, of that of the 'encompassed moment' of it's observation.......

Then that what separates observation and wave-function collapse, is the relativistic inter-ogattive, - that of gravity to a 'exp{-theta(x)}' upon the discrete summation, for in a Fermi-sea... - thus of depth(s) below, and the stability of the universe.

11:22 am

1st ***Key**: Painleve varieties & Quadratic decomposition.

2nd ***Key**: Observation as a limit of (Exp[-theta(x)] as a gravitational addendum).

3rd ***Key**: Free-variable of that of conditional and Epistomological root.

11:38 am

I have tried, - that I got to the point of a paper, - it is nearly finished, but I have more to do. That I need understand the ***one** finite constraint for in that of inheritance and inference, of that of the logical stipulation that L=f\circ\tau, and that of the flipside with \tilde{f} and \tilde{\tau},... in relation to G_{\mu\nu}=T_{\mu\nu}; - that these are each at-zero, ... there is a reason of time like separation, in real-space. That they are at {zero,zero}; they are each at a [reflection] of an-origin, - thus that (there are two commuting future(s)). That it is of-one to the self, and two to two-other(s); - that of what hold(s) with the prehension to which is one with birth, the other without birth.

Thus, of analytical wisp,... the carriage of all inheritance(s).

1:22 pm

Geometric thermal quantization at the interface of quantum quantization, a new Bosonic/Fermionic depth of field camera, and thermal effect.

Stirring of a temperature bath and angular momentum states versus bifurcation(s) of Landau Level's, BCS-HTSC crossover.

3:42 pm

A pick-and-pocket, *trade-up*, for in two-naturalized assumptions via re-ordering, goes beyond (to a violation) of E=mc^{2}.

a.) That of past-editation.

b.) Introductory measurable.

1:33 am

I am no longer worried, for that of the *Theory* put fourth by other(s). I must work out the details,... but the pinaccle was:

Remotely; - Understanding the neutrals neutrals to which separates the magnetic field condition... for which there is a *Reversal*.

Proximally; - Understanding how *Sound* remains integral - given the boundary condition, of which reverses the spin-commutation relationship.

Thus, I understand I *do* have-time to codify this theory,... that I will do it once, and I will do it right.

1:59 am

I am going to leave-behind the negative influence of the pattern of Chaos, and motion for a strong-progression on Superconductivity.

2:08 am

That we have *hypothesized that relativity goes in-reverse, it is important to get some key element(s) correct. The red and blue shift are not matter(s) of observation.... it is that the spin and charge are under a reversal. Thus, that of ordering of the operator. They therefore cannot be entirely correct, for of my refined notion. I am the only one

on the planet that therefore understands this.

2:39 am

Of a 'hidden' - visa-via in-relation to a tandem consequential by which it is known and inherited of-other(s); and of that which depend(s) on unobservable detail, the question (in-a-third) to remote qualifier - based on the expectation of (three-to-know) of a dialectic based on a support-of-two, elementally leave(s) inherited the sole propietary network-identified standard of it's lexographical ordination plus.

3:13 am

Thus, it appears I am the only man who can find a cure to cancer, for of what flows.

3:28 am

It was just-now...

That of (four) - closure(s) on a *sample; for of that of a return-consolidation in that of (2-5) *states-clause*, and one national-reciprocity, per jurist, peer, and witness.

5:48 am

*Something unique happen(s), for which spin is merely a [sub]-component or element. That I must relate sound-spin-charge-mass, - there is a reliable way to proceed... that I am *going*.

Thus, there is gravity, - but it appear(s) that mass is negated. This is consistent with that of the viewpoint of the effective versus non-effective theorem on 'excess mass' due to the four current of the superconductor.

It seems I made a lot of a minor nuance, but then again, - that I made much of the relativistic theory, - when I must focus on:

a.)   How the chemical potential is not negated... (1/2000 in mass).

b.)   How the solution is non-relativistic, for the ground state.

c.)   The T=0 limit, for that of the Quantum Critical Point.

There is hope for thinking of the limitation to a **Grassmann Algebra**, for the Fermions... I figure that the result of this algebra make(s) the computation of various solids trivial... - that I must also:

d.)   Derive the Dirac Equation (w/ an assumptive) with Berry's Phase.

          1.)   What was it that goes-with the Berry's Phase, and...

2.) What was it that had to do with Pedagogy?

e.) My FFT with **Grassmann Variables** is succinct, - that it is a saturation profile.

That I need do more engineering, I will work on [Confinement].

7:57 am

The harmonic condition must be derived,... - that it will possibly differ from a laser, but should hold a (n,m) *integer resonance* valuation in terms of on-mode. That I derived one ***on-mode** relationship, to do with $g_{1}g_{2}$ and the index,... I figure there is a way to model the system with that of a path-tracing *technique*.

Thus, it is all elementary engineering, - that I've got to <u>break it down</u>, I feel that there is only so-much I know, - but for that of an ideal piece of equipment, I may build a solid model soon.... And, then, program.

9:00 am

I have understood, that: "*It is not so much that the <u>objective</u> observers* are reversed; but that *Relativity proceed(s) to a redshift/blueshift (Universality) scenario...; and that this *divergence is from the *Charge-Sound **exchange**; & strongly correlated nature.*"

*In fact; it appear(s) they are indeed [reversed] - thus the inhered truth of a reductional agency within that of the dynamic,...

=: But I am yet decided if it relaxes or expands, or, contract(s);...

Resonance [sound] diminishes in frequency and increases in coupling - Chemical potential grow(s); and frequency of electronic character reduces in energy, and enhances... In terms of negative energy,...

*We come back to the idea of the resonant conditional assumption, - that time dilates, a material experiences a higher frequecy shift from a higher distance, - thus that gravity universally blueshift(s) to a lower gravitational potential, as we would believe.

What if this is only observation? Bremen Freefall Drop Experiment.

2:22 pm

My God, the work I have ahead of me....

For what I've discovered of Soliton(s), Hypergeometric & Bessel Series, and the Computer,... the ***entire scenario*** has-shifted, and I am going to begin all-over-again, with that of **Experimental Paper*. I feel that the Dirac/Theory w.r.t. Mathematic(s), Order, The Calculus of Variations, QFT, and Gravitation, with Superconductivity is fine

enough as it is, but to be reworked. Thus, I have entirely* new aims.

2:38 pm

Thus,* *we need something which:*

1.)   Abridges the non-linear, *Purely Elliptic* theory, with that of the *Fourier-like theory*.

   a.)   For in that of 'black-grey-white' *contrast* and *Mendelian like inheritance.*

2.)   Abridges the gravitational, the chaotic, the ordered, and the mathematical.

   b.)   For in that of the 'Dirac equation like factoring' - and Quantum Mechanics.

The computer is a 0/?/1 element, - that of Hypergeometric and Elliptic/Bessel series. Thus, - that of a new kind of computer for a new kind of science.

8:22 pm

Therefrom... it is a *Question whether a heritable *function* can be derived which representationally may be compacted to zero-dimensions (of an irrational basis) - Figenbaum constant theory? - That of a 0,0,0,0,0 and 1,1,1,1,1 and so-on... of a deficit under subtraction and multiplication - to the proof of it's existence, the truth of Goldbach's Conjecture, - for in the inhere(d) reverse-digitization of the Golden ratio... or Lucas sequence. That of how to color any graph? That of inheritance as a secret, for in that of 2.0.1, and it's continuation, and it's unpredictability, a conjugate to the primes, which defeat(s) algebra and is the only self contained one....

9:04 pm

I have 3.60, post that of 3.14 and 1750 and 4140 and 12:32 and 6:25 and 2130....

dollar per 6:15, twenty per 91.30, five per 20:14, - 8:14, (+5) round-up on die.*

4:26 am

I have progressed, but I feel miniscule compared with what has been done in QFT.

That I have it that:

a.)   Vector(s).

b.)   Physical Theory.

c.)   Gauge & Non-Abelian Physics.

I intend to make my paper very simple...

1.)   A vector/algebraic structure from Lie groups, to which the representation, is related to *Incompletion*.... and that of *Historical Import*.

2.)   Non-Abelian (distance-separated) fractional charge *groups*, of which in x' and y' generate L(1) and L(2).

3.)   Mathematical series and structure; Eisenstein series, and the variety of which relates to *Hidden variables.... Entropy.

I will need *update the Dirac-equation,... and it should be non-specific, and appropriate to different dimension(s). Thus, it appears there is a reason that charges attract in part due to dimension.

I will do paper(s) on:

a.)   That of inheritance and the information barrier, to which correlate die.

      1.)   This is prepatory to a work I write yet years from now.

b.)   Superconductivity and QFT, of the finite and temperate.

c.)   On the device, and that of such.

d.)   Eventually, a book entitled "On Advice for the Aspiring Engineer and Scientist."

e.)   ?? Elliptic, Solitons, that of A Simulation, 'One Point NMR...", Entropy?

That I have a number of ideas, I figure I am off to a start, and feel elated.

8:54 am

Given it is killing me: I've decided against solving superconductivity beyond that of a deeper model. That I will dedicate some-40 page(s) to-it, I intend to do a kind of Review paper.

*Part of this is in how I spend my time, and to what I dedicate it to.... also, the manner of application. Thus, I've got to think 'outside' the **problem**.*

Thus, I'm finally free.

9:25 am

I am going to focus on *Structure of the Lie-group, for that of Non-Abelian theories,... that I will deal with *Relativity and a Non-Abelian [group].

Restriction: That of which offer the group-synthetic of pure topological invariance.

10:01 am

I'm going to focus on L(1) and L(2) in translation via the x' and y', in terms of a Hermitian-space, for the Lie Algebra,... to derive an epistomological root.

General Pedagogy and Nuance of Exploration

[Addendum]

Superconductivity

The general model requires combination of the 'state picture and projection picture' - and that of the Relativity of which bring(s) together physics. That of redshift/blueshift is encoded not-only in that of the eigenket and bra picture, but of that of the nuance to-which it is supressed, and explicit for that of the regularization of a new model.

At that of which the model explicitly make(s) use of sound to regulate the interaction, - that of a leap in y' for of what is in x'; for of that of ODE/PDE and-such, relate to that of the redshift/blueshift of a symmetry breaking over a relativistic/berry's phase connection. Thus, redshift/blueshift relate to the spin, of which observationally encodes the equivalence principle, of an energy-momentum gap.

O.)   That of the principle of least action may be encoded, but it is more lagrangian* dependent. That of the Berry's Phase must be made-global/local, for in that of a symmetry. That of the sound waves must lead to a defocusing and focusing of the charge density.

Thus, it is I have arriven at my final testimony and model as to these materials.

7:41 pm

Relativity offer(s), of a unique splitting and 'degeneracy/non-degeneracy' - of a *New Variety* of spontaneous symmetry breaking - that of relative (red/blue shift) factor(s) & Quantum Stochasticity for which potentials adjust with both **elemental** degrees of freedom... that of 'Order' the Genesis.

4:46 am

"The observation problem in the SC's, relates to a redshift for that of forward observation - that the spin(s) elucidate a small* but non-zero and finite 'gain' - for which the observation problem invert(s) with respect to magnetism over the observable limit(s) of the problem - for some unknown reason."

Thus:

a.)   Redshift

b.)   Phase-Shift

*Are qualitatively similar.... - *Thus Superconductivity arises when there is an applied magnetic field as to that of a Diffeomorphism Invariance Breaking...* - That the redshift is analogous to a phonon of which is the result of two factors:

1.)   That of an inversion of inertia, for which the en-masse result is off axis.

2.)   That of super-exchange of a correlation upon which is the frequency and wavelength.

Berry's phase, accounts for in turn the 'extra' factor to which phonons regulate the charge, that of a 'squeezing' - to a quadratic potential for which there are stripes.

Thus, phonon(s) regulate the relative shift to which 'open's a gap' for that of the acceleration of electrons around one another, - there[in] their negative energy, for which is a portion of a raised potential, due to the inverse holographic principle....... a quasistasis, by which observation is inverted, - consistent with the hypothesis that observation is observation.

5:06 am

I noted a connection between the 'acceleration' - and the Gaussian in H_{n} and H_{m} formation... that something must supply the 'split' - but it is also related to the 'Gauge one-form' - of which is a *Redshift/Blueshift* - that the creation and annhilation are provided the full 4J. That of the phonon must separate; - but the finite lattice provides a 'split' to which is a length-scale.

I thus have a rough idea of how it functions... phonons provide a lax potential of which is charge neutral, and exchange forms a bond... thus that relativity take(s) place for which is an exclusion via displacement.

Thus it is singly* that the phonon-sound falloff is longer wavelength from which the electron-valence is less of a falloff and shorter wavelength,.... that electron(s) populate with a reversed interaction therefore.

1:03 am

I have a general model to follow through with. That I very-much wanted Superconductivity embedded in the minimal-model of which would capture all of the phenomena. I have a kind of wave argument, of which builds for that of an additional wave structure with sources,... and must complete the Lagrangian. It is likely NLSE+Gravitation,... that this captures most of what I wanted to do.

1:22 am

Thus I want Gravitation (a kind of redshift-blueshift field) - that of the NLSE (from which is observation in tandem with gravitation) - and the Berry's Phase.

I will investigate two-approaches, for that of a stylus, perhaps, three or four,... but I am pruning this down.

That I have a kind of general idea, - there is the Device* - thus it is I expect that much will relate, and then again, things will differ.... we need look for a simplification catered to symmetry........ it is possible the equations have a low-level or high-level symmetry in them.

We need:

a.)   Redshift/Blueshift

b.)   Berry's Phase.........

What explains:

1.)   Why does relativity saturate w.r.t. the spins?

2.)   What 'extra symmetry' - or 'complimentary term' manifests when we include Berry's Phase locally?

I see there is a given of that of an equation like:

$$\d\Omega=\Omega\/\Omega$$

How this relates to the Elliptic Equation will remain part of the work... the idea is to 'open' to the *Unexpected* only in one-facet.

2:16 am

I just learned about the curvature-tensor, there is a term for which the curvature is 'closed' - in the sense of the Banachi identity,... thus that $R(2)$ and $R(1)$ for what are measures, must relate to an identity on that of the different directions,... thus that superconductivity must involve different directions. I feel hesitant of this, but will not exclude it, for I arrove at a treatment with that 'different directions' for E&M and Gravity,... that of which frustrates me.

2:29 am

The answer is that the *Curvature is non-zero.... *thus that L(1) and L(2) may explicate different answer(s), either comparative is > or < for one versus the other...*

Thus the *Stripes give direct evidence of this phenomena in the mathematics...

2:45 am

I've decided to *Focus* on one thing; that of the L(1) and L(2) *in the Sech[\sigma.x] to Exp[-\sigma.x^{2}]*, with the one-form connection to *Velocity & *Acceleration, for the influence of curvature, *Wherein There is Pauli Exclusion* & *Relativity,...* & Berry's Curvature.

I will* include sound-wave(s) as an addendum, and *will cease to do the mathematics but as much as it proves to solve *This Problem.*

2:56 am

Charge density may be focused or distributed... - that sound-waves will interact with charge density waves,... For in that of the curvature, it is seen that the charge density (if focused) will condense around an atomic site......... thus it is we see three interactions.

a.)   Charge density waves.

b.)   Sound waves.

c.)   Spin-waves.

Just* as in the device, there is a confinement phase....

Charge density is linear, thus sound is linear, to which sound and charge may reverse the interaction, - then that a spinwave may redshift for which the charge interaction is RKKY [dynamic] or-similar.

A net effect arises when, the (+) charge-atomic centre focuses, where-in sound is rarefactory,... - thus that spin-exchange may-double, for which the acceleration is net-net displacive.... thus that of a 'void' - opens.

I had a problem with that of seeing the relationship of other's to self, when self was defined, and they were not. Now it is I see the validity of the witness, for which a 'void' open(s) *unto* the progression. I am finally forward-looking at-this.......

3:03 am

Thus charge and sound co-participate, and relativity and spin co-participate.... (4).

5:50 am

I.)   Sound and Charge, associably free-neutrals-capacity, for of what is a diffeomorphic background, of super-

saturability.

II.) Exchange [via spin and relativity] are separated, for of what is the texture, to which with lowering, there is a net-momentum interchange.

An abridgeable capacity.

12:32 pm

We need a general-view with and without the equivalence principle... that of a 'free-space' rendition. I have thought of why with the equivalence principle there will be a 'red/blue shift' synopsis, on that of the technique... but of that of the e.p., there is a limit, for gravitation is only so strong, and this basically controls more how it gauges... that we cannot make a *free assumptive of locally/globally entire theorem(s).

Thus I have in-view that the L(1) needs be identified with the space of the covalence, and so-on, of it's charge neutral background.

12:54 pm

Taking Green's Theorem, and under application to the JCT; we dessicate that of a (partial^{2}), for in that of a f o g, for which; the dessicant is a closed-homotopy upon that of the inclusion of 'point(s) at infinity' for the Quadratic in relation to the Elliptic - thus forming a theorem of the unpredictable and the transcendent,... *the solution to Yang-Mills Gap!*

Note To Erica and Balatsky:

I intend to keep the format of this letter 'tight'; a new idea has dawned on me.

Consider (1+\sqrt{5})/2,... it is an ideal in the radical five basis, as similar, Eisenstein numerical identities can be encoded in-series.

The basic lemma, is that a *Redshift for-which the Gauge fixing point is Lagrangian, is the quantum group picture with the relativistic frustration.

That; namely, when we have a lattice, order emerges from cooperation or frustration,... that it is global, and a protected state of matter has to do with the equivalence of frames of reference,... thus that a lens system is unimodular (with poles inside z=1 privilege a Hilbert Transform) - the decomposition is an inheritance from one 'class such as y" unto a 'class such as x". Thus, relativity diverges precisely where there is charge-mass-spin separation.

This drives bands into a model of the 'three rectangular prism 'trefoil" - that it is entirely a novel state of matter.

Relativity, for what I have priorly pictured involves a past-tense and future-tense solidification of-time, - but this equilibrium is non-preferential without a quantum group splitting of the eigenvalue decomposition. Thus what we witness is dynamical and quasicrystalline... that it involves the 'Wawa basin(s)' of attraction, and may be some nature of strange attractor, with entropic topological properties.

I am concerned that you may not take me seriously, so once again, I proceeded from the redshift, and then found that the 'ideal of the quantum group' is a new 'ideal number' of which relates the Elements of a Ring. Studying digital electronics, and understanding a computer was stable because of a quantum property, it evolved to which I understood that a Penrose Tiling furnishes the correct 'decomposition' of quantum mechanics in fractional states.

Thus, these are an entirely new nature of particle,... for that of it's end-conditional. Redshifting would occur wherein the phonons are of one 'ideal number' and electron valence and covalence is of another.......... thus a new nature of crystal.

I anticipate highly your sedimentation upon my guesses, this has been fundamentally astonishing to discover.

I have now derived a 'simpler model' - basically-put when the quantum group is generated by the 'ideal number' - that of gravity and relativity for in a small, and non-negligible (epsilon QED correction) - balances a boundary/infinity term, from which is the constant of integration.

Thus, the redshift goes total, and the electron(s) move as if on a straight line on a curved space/time.

The blue shift viewed from outside, and the redshift viewed from inside, are consistent with gravitation and the AdS/CFT correspondence, for which the $L(1)$ and $L(2)$ measures, focus the exchange (what remains) to be a fully negative energy-contribution, besides the phonon(s). Thus we anticipate specific changes in the reluctance/conductance properties, that of sound wave velocity changes, and light transmission as well as gravitational null distortion, and specific temperature and pressure changes. In short, the two above explanations are equivalent.

Essentially, a boundary causes the second 'higher frequency' to be lower in energy.

a.) That of the curvature for what is non-commutative under a singlet reverses the interaction with the antiferromagnetism.

b.) The phonon(s), for what is participative, produce a two way mechanism, screening for a collectively reversed charge.

Thus, it involves both factor(s).... That I have done it, I feel elated at this.

Thus, we see when there is a cooperation of relativity and quantum mechanics with electromagnetism, a double

influence, for which screens knowledge. Thus, it is the confrontation of an infinite barrier.

9:50 pm

I resolved [to a final conclusion] - on Superconductivity...

1.)   What is effective 'top' and 'bottom' for in that of the spin - is reversed given the commutation relationship.

2.)   Therefore, the \d_{\u}\Log[\P] weights the interaction with the two-scales of phonon and charge and spin wave...

That we see the Quasiperiodic lattice can have repetend(s) and dislocations,... we see that there was indeed utility in utilization of the Eisenstein series, for the prime quality.

This has all the right* properties.....

I feel enthusiastic, - that I will next write a simulation for that of the material, and then venture into chemistry.

10:34 pm

The result is a parabolic restoring force, for what relates to a bond-formed,...

-

1.)   I found that there is a solution when there is an 'ideal' and a 'complex'.

        a.)   That there is a given of which relates to certain minimax results, there is a cotemperance to that of adjusted lemma given disposition.

        b.)   Therefrom in which we-relate, there is the problem of information versus knowledge...

2.)   I have focused on the 'naturality' of the conditional assumption for which we result in a given.

3.)   That of disposition, for what is related to adoption, verify results of which relate to (2) with (1).

4.)   Thus it is that cementation can occur either-way, for that of 'hidden' variability...

a.)   We cross-suite to whcih the equivalence principle plays a role... that I may only have to develop what deals with inhered truth versus, given disposition.

        1.)   That of co-dependent arising is paramount to which plays a role with the secular division.

b.)   I found I could resolve the Painleve varieties,.... that there is a guidance at Chaos.

c.)   That of engineering accomodates what will be done with that of mathematics versus physics,...

But, I am burnt out, - that I struggled for too long, without knowing where I was going...

-

New Idea

It is very possible, as two (2) of the key and the safe, - that L(1) and L(2) - within a 'band depression' instigate a relativistically motivated over-arching reversal of attraction and repulsion... That I haven't considered how there would be a net-net reversal, - but of that of the relativistic factor to which accompanies the electron(s) with that of the L(1) and L(2), and that of the curvature - That of 'relativity' - to which is a given with that of superposition.

It appear(s) this give(s) rise to a complete reversal, for what would relate to the attraction post neutrals condition.

1.)   The relationship of gamma to velocity reverses.

2.)   That of L(1) and L(2) change with the doping.

3.)   Space illustrates a kink.

4.)   Change in kinetic and potential energy is negative.

I must now integrate my theorems,.... for each variety I have come up with.

*Observation is definitely reversed.

- The 'outside' view-point is consistent if and only if [with the internal] relativity red/blue shift is also reversed...

The commutation relationship participates because of curvature, to which is consistent with the various views.

I've found the result... it was in re-thinking gravitation, - thus that the v-x with g, for that of the Lambda transformation,... results with L(1) and L(2) with a reduction in potential,...

Something must happen at the Fermi-surface.

Spin-Relativity and Charge-Mass separation furnish that of a dichotomy, to which is indicative of that of a 'role reversal'; - that of two quantum wavefunctions, Psi+Phi, for that of which are caught in a bind.

2:42 am - September 27th, 2022

And then it *hit* me, - that of x' and y', for that of the Y-M equations for that of the differential equation

483

affords for a 'distance' in which the reaction/action can develop,... that of four ingredients therefore come together:

a.) Relativity with \beta

b.) Yang-Mills x' and y'

c.) L(1) versus an L(2)

d.) Charge-Mass separation

3:03 am

Then, something unheard of happened...

I was reviewing a paper on Maxwell's Equations, Gauge Theory, and Yang-Mill's theory... and was listening to Dj Tiesto, - and came to a *New and robust conclusion...

The fundamental theory changes, for what is a production of one theorem of a certain mathematical nature into another... - that of the D_{\mu\nu} I was using *changes* into G_{\mu\nu}^{\a}... thus that there is a *Master Theorem, among all theories.

I feel different, for that of a new conclusion,...........

Thus, the varieties of result are enormous, - there is the L(1) and the L(2); exotic states of matter, and an entirely new result of beyond-fiction.

4:40 am

I feel I must now do certain things for that of the symmetries involved, in their vein, in their decomposition. I have it that (a,b) represent the field(s) of spin and charge, for that of their separation, but this may be perhaps naive. I need a continuum, - thus that I have four in four for that of the (x,t), but I also have the redshift, - that this is 'a' in the series,... - thus that of combination of relativity and quantum mechanics.

It would be advisable to have the 'braiding' worked-out, for that of the topology with the Guass-Bonnet.

The interesting thing is that I no longer feel I am merely proceeding 'ad-hoc' - for that of what is relevant. Instead, it is co-disciplinary. I feel it would be good to get the theory ironed out rapidly, and work on that of a project with-other's.

Thus, I have that 'a' refer(s) to the relativity and Berry's Phase.... - that I have yet to see how the V_{L} and V_{P} could relate to that of a saturation. It is however related to A. and A. and O., that I feel there is more to be done. I

would like to relate the dynamics to that of the inhered truth of inheritance...

5:35 am

I've done it as far as I am concerned... that the electromagnetic coulomb gauge is shifted to a new degree.

6:03 am

Now I have deduced the Meissner effect from that of the Dirac equation. I was considering a question of the field, saying "It is nonsense to take the magnetic field in a non-relativistic setting." - then, I thought on that of the magnetic L(1) and L(2) bridge, and it came down directly to the pairing and the magnetic field.

October 13th, 2022

5:48 am

*Something unique happen(s), for which spin is merely a [sub]-component or element. That I must relate sound-spin-charge-mass, - there is a reliable way to proceed... that I am *going*.

Thus, there is gravity, - but it appear(s) that mass is negated. This is consistent with that of the viewpoint of the effective versus non-effective theorem on 'excess mass' due to the four current of the superconductor.

It seems I made a lot of a minor nuance, but then again, - that I made much of the relativistic theory, - when I must focus on:

a.)   How the chemical potential is not negated... (1/2000 in mass).

b.)   How the solution is non-relativistic, for the ground state.

c.)   The T=0 limit, for that of the Quantum Critical Point.

There is hope for thinking of the limitation to a **Grassmann Algebra**, for the Fermions... I figure that the result of this algebra make(s) the computation of various solids trivial... - that I must also:

d.)   Derive the Dirac Equation (w/ an assumptive) with Berry's Phase.

   1.)   What was it that goes-with the Berry's Phase, and...

   2.)   What was it that had to do with Pedagogy?

e.)   My FFT with **Grassmann Variables** is succinct, - that it is a saturation profile.

That I need do more engineering, I will work on [Confinement].

7:57 am

The harmonic condition must be derived,... - that it will possibly differ from a laser, but should hold a (n,m) *integer resonance* valuation in terms of on-mode. That I derived one *on-mode* relationship, to do with $g_{1}g_{2}$ and the index,... I figure there is a way to model the system with that of a path-tracing *technique*.

Thus, it is all elementary engineering, - that I've got to <u>break it down</u>, I feel that there is only so-much I know, - but for that of an ideal piece of equipment, I may build a solid model soon.... And, then, program.

9:00 am

I have understood, that: "*It is not so much that the <u>objective</u> observers* are reversed; but that *Relativity proceed(s) to a redshift/blueshift (Universality) scenario...; and that this *divergence is from the *Charge-Sound* **exchange***; & strongly correlated nature.*"

*In fact; it appear(s) they are indeed [reversed] - thus the inhered truth of a reductional agency within that of the dynamic,...

=: But I am yet decided if it relaxes or expands, or, contract(s);...

Resonance [sound] diminishes in frequency and increases in coupling - Chemical potential grow(s); and frequency of electronic character reduces in energy, and enhances... In terms of negative energy,...

*We come back to the idea of the resonant conditional assumption, - that time dilates, a material experiences a higher frequecy shift from a higher distance, - thus that gravity universally blueshift(s) to a lower gravitational potential, as we would believe.

What if this is only observation? Bremen Freefall Drop Experiment.

2:22 pm

My God, the work I have ahead of me....

For what I've discovered of Soliton(s), Hypergeometric & Bessel Series, and the Computer,... the ***entire scenario*** has-shifted, and I am going to begin all-over-again, with that of **Experimental Paper*. I feel that the Dirac/Theory w.r.t. Mathematic(s), Order, The Calculus of Variations, QFT, and Gravitation, with Superconductivity is fine enough as it is, but to be reworked. Thus, I have entirely* new aims.

2:38 pm

Thus,* *we need something which:*

1.)   Abridges the non-linear, *Purely Elliptic* theory, with that of the *Fourier-like theory*.

    a.)   For in that of 'black-grey-white' *contrast* and *Mendelian like inheritance.*

2.)   Abridges the gravitational, the chaotic, the ordered, and the mathematical.

    b.)   For in that of the 'Dirac equation like factoring' - and Quantum Mechanics.

The computer is a 0/?/1 element, - that of Hypergeometric and Elliptic/Bessel series. Thus, - that of a new kind of computer for a new kind of science.

12:21:08 pm

I discovered the 'ancillary' fifth-out (5th) variable in the quantal assignment is indexed (zero,zero); thus that the spin-state of the 'inward-inward' and 'outward-outward' are made the difference of. That the 'zero charge' carries a neutrals $G_{\mu\nu}^{a}$; of neither nature, - thus, a Quantum Anomoly arises.

4:26 am

I have progressed, but I feel miniscule compared with what has been done in QFT.

That I have it that:

a.)   Vector(s).

b.)   Physical Theory.

c.)   Gauge & Non-Abelian Physics.

I intend to make my paper very simple...

1.)   A vector/algebraic structure from Lie groups, to which the representation, is related to *Incompletion*.... and that of *Historical Import*.

2.)   Non-Abelian (distance-separated) fractional charge *groups*, of which in x' and y' generate L(1) and L(2).

3.)   Mathematical series and structure; Eisenstein series, and the variety of which relates to *Hidden variables.... Entropy.

I will need *update the Dirac-equation,... and it should be non-specific, and appropriate to different dimension(s). Thus, it appears there is a reason that charges attract in part due to dimension.

I will do paper(s) on:

a.)   That of inheritance and the information barrier, to which correlate die.

     1.)   This is prepatory to a work I write yet years from now.

b.)   Superconductivity and QFT, of the finite and temperate.

c.)   On the device, and that of such.

d.)   Eventually, a book entitled "On Advice for the Aspiring Engineer and Scientist."

e.)   ?? Elliptic, Solitons, that of A Simulation, 'One Point NMR...", Entropy?

That I have a number of ideas, I figure I am off to a start, and feel elated.

8:54 am

Given it is killing me: I've decided against solving superconductivity beyond that of a deeper model. That I will dedicate some-40 page(s) to-it, I intend to do a kind of Review paper.

*Part of this is in how I spend my time, and to what I dedicate it to.... also, the manner of application. Thus, I've got to think 'outside' the **problem**.*

Thus, I'm finally free.

9:25 am

I am going to focus on *Structure of the Lie-group, for that of Non-Abelian theories,... that I will deal with *Relativity and a Non-Abelian [group].

Restriction: That of which offer the group-synthetic of pure topological invariance.

10:01 am

I'm going to focus on L(1) and L(2) in translation via the x' and y', in terms of a Hermitian-space, for the Lie Algebra,... to derive an epistomological root.

8:51 pm

Under and over, for that of beneath, in coffee, 1 cup less per day.

DHS [simple - one task at a time] - the above, and sleep, regimen.

Organic/Inorganic [Good enough for NASA] - live telemetry and life.

1.)   Molecular basis, to which Y-M, Lie* representation theory.

Entropic blue/red and Superconductivity Paper, in Causation and Law.

Device [polarizer, touchscreen [code], rasterizer, interface] & S.C.

1:33 am

I came back from reading with some notes...

a.)   That of the (n,n,n) for of (1/2) in relation to the Quasicrystal is intriguing.

b.)   That of diffraction is of many color(s) or specific color(s) (in 3D).

c.)   Return to 'zero' is a displacement by which a structure factor is defined.

d.)   The derivated forms of lattices devolve from which there is a marriage between the (algebraic - point-set) - and that of (the geometric).... that I need to go over the results of various manifold(s).

e.)   That of the (0,inf) for that of the projective distribution (inside and outside) - is related to the addition of new transcendent truth.... related to that of A. and A. and O., and absolute truth.

2:59 am

I would suggest, that the [one-body] is gravitational, whereas the electromagnetic is [two-body] & quantum is an intermediary... Thus, that of separation of forces for which operate on-either.

3:28 am

I have had-it,... that of a redshift (temporal polarization) would-not afford that of levitation, - thus something more primitive must occur. There is of course a magnetic field in-opposition, without which it would not levitate. Thus, that of an opposite magnetic field and an absolute lowering in energy, are what I believe I am unmistaken of. That the magnetic field would increase energy, it remains lowered, for the sake of a redshift for which is a differential setup on the observable. But, why does it invert?

I feel this must be part of it, then, that of an energy lowering,.... for what I don't know, it is written down in my notes somewhere. That I want to set this problem behind me, it may have to do with an electron virtual electron-positron. That I see how temperature and that of conductance relate for that of my device, - there is hope to work this out. That I have proceeded robustly, I have only so many ideas, but, many.

3:39 am

A x' and a y', for that of the commutator (propogate to a past) of which *sound* follows a ***different***, tensorial

relationship...... thus that of L(1) and L(2), for which there is a Y-M eigenvalue/eigenvector population inversion. Alternatively - that of a fractional charge, with the imputed limitation by that of a \pi reversal, under point-symmetry....

4:07 am

I have a final stipulation, - that E&B reverse roles, there is spin-charge separation, that of L(1) and L(2), x' and y', and that of reversal of the electronic [quantum transition]... An inverse observation.

Why does *spin* and *charge* reversal associate with that of an observational reversal?

(The spin correlator - AFM) - associates a different correspondence than the FM - or *charge*. Thus, that of CCW versus CW and so-on...

4:22 am

I resolved that (*up*/*down*); which are CCW and CW, are attractive in 2D Antiferromagnetic materials.... that there is L(1) and L(2); and, there is relativity,... with[in] a commutator,... the result is pairing.... that there is therefore an energy lowering in tandem with the chemical potential 'folded'.

4:30 am

Observation [reversal] is simply *forward in time*, but of the nature of what is at-past projection.

That reversal takes place in superconductivity.

Thus that of an ardent factual; it is the representation by which A inhere(s) in B, or B in A.... that of *the **Episolomological Root** is then simply of-past with one, and of-future in relation in-tandem with another.

I know the result of the court case, it was privilege 'manner of disposition' - to which it is known *(of the absolute), and of the (2nd) *classful inheritance, visa-via which had been the locution*.

Thus, that it is the only* orientable outcome.*

4:43 am

a.)   Color Theorem

        1.)   What does this have to say in tandem with Toplogy of Crystals?

b.)   Timing to-Collision.....

        1.)   Exit/Randomly (2x associated initial directions).

490

Build an analogy for that of the Book.*

5:03 am

I have to-say, reality was not-determined as I once came to believe...

that:

a.)   A constructively held independent codon, relates of the material, what is without informational barrier from and to infinity, and vice-versa (another codon); through communication.

Thus, there is no-misunderstanding.

b.)   That of a verifiable [codex] is ab-initio constructable, that it is not a barrier of intelligence,...

6:10 am

I've decided on my final project:

a.)   That of the *Green(s) function*, is essentially ****Strong or Weak****.

1.)   Therefore, the 'factoring' of the generating functional, may be related to a strongly or weakly convergent series [L(1) and L(2)].

2.)   My capability truncates where[in] there is a non-linear theorem...

b.)   Thus, of the classical [macroscopic world] - there is a picture to which 'shrink's' to zero-scale in terms of finite and finality of factual imperative...

1.)   Thus we ****Discover**; a manner to utilize ****Topology**, with knot(s) and 'plaquettes' and 'zone's' - and so-on, *to revolutionize our understanding of:*

A.)   ***Order, in the terms of Historiological Import and Causation; - The Epistomological Root***

c.)   Therefore, it is a manner of saying 'what-is' and 'what-is-not'... a limitation at the imagination... to the fruit of inheritance of all potentialities in physics and knowledge.*

4:00 am

$G_{\mu\nu}$ *must* derive to a comparable:

a.)   Boundary Condition.

b.)   Non-zero-sum.

4:32 am

There is the view* that it has to do with Unification - namely, a guideline to which a redshift and blueshift form an amalgam with that of the quantum behavior.

There is also the view* - that relativity has nothing to do with it, - that of an entirely quantum viewpoint, to which deals with solitons and topology.

4:37 am

I considered a red-shifted (by phase in transport over a spinwave) photon*; and considered* that the spinwave may attract intermediately....

4:42 am

Then I considered, that the photon and spinwave are 180 degree(s) antipodally red-shifted, - to a lower energy, for that of relativistic invariance. This explains the absolute measure by which energy* lowers...

4:53 am

Then I considered the spin-charge separation [again] - once more... this is half the hbar*\omega! Thus we get an ideal oscillation.... with that of the 'indirect (90 deg)' out of motion in x' and y' associable full magnetic attraction without electronic repulsion visa-via L(1) and L(2)...

12:50 pm

I.)   When there is a beam* of electromagnetic energy of which causes stimulated &/or thermally activated electron transitions, with an optical energy - that of the 'cavitation' of a mode - *creates a bistable factor of which restoratively traps energy - a node*.

II.)   Therefore, between relativity (in off-tangent and perpendicular) - and electronic mechanisms, - that of a lumped drag, for that of a *monic, melds the SHO to that of the Lorentzian spacetime and game-theoretic (-q theory) - and that of electromagnetism with stress, strain, pressure, and energy flux. - that of the (ratio) for which there is a (dual state system) - only admits propogation with structural formation when there is structure & that of elimination of an observer. Thus, extinction of the fittest.

-

Of light* and sound* that of an electronic valence (roughly shared probability of an E_{1},E_{2} nature) *means that a laser can excite sound, **in a medium** with non-linearity, to an exchange of energy between 'levels'*. That this

induces a 'feedback' - it by the above, at the genesis of *All Things*.

3:01 pm

(Trans-locality is provided *orientability* from (3-1); thus of nebulea...)

5:01 am

I have only determined a *few things...

a.)   Spin-Charge separation and photon regularization in two dimensions upon x' and y' elucidate (factor(s)).

1.)   I have that the 'Spin-Charge' separation is missing something, of which relates to it's inheritance from an 'independent' variable in x' and y'.

This is essentially all I know regarding Superconductivity,... the commutation relationships, the Berry's Phase, are-part, but without a 'lock' - there is no evidentiary truth........

That I have a 'model' with that of the *Device,* I figure it will take more effort......... but it is that I know conventional method(s) fail.

I have (however) a kind of FFT (new) technique to decipher product(s) of terms............

That I need utilize what I learned of *Stokes terms,* for that of the residual, ... it is only* the *Non-Linear lattice that I am focused on....

That I need a translation from the *bare or exposed* non-linearity to a linear-equation, it is that I am provided this one-view.

5:12 am

There appear to be two world view(s).

That in-involution, the properties of the theories of gravitation and quantum mechanics resolve to statement(s) of-view(s).

That for-instance, when we take the Strong Equivalence Principle, we dissolve (as for perhaps a descent) to the view that redshift is zero, from the view of quantum mechanics.... but, then again, the artifact of gravity is real, and cannot be neglected. Thus, we find an incompletion resting exactly where there is agreement between the two transcendent theories.

Thus, that the equivalence principle comparatively holds the view that it would be redshifted is not the answer,

when in turn, nothing definitive can be stated but of position.

Thus, - that of the historical basis of physics is cast to which we derive facts based on the comparative of these theories, it is known that the 'redshift' is a matter of the nature of observation, etc...

Thus, of the deepest truth, - there is a 'non-conceptual basis' to reality, it is beyond that of what would have to do with a fixed-time, and so-on... but of the orchestration of memory.

Thus, the two theories, for which there is 'historical import' unbiasedly 'form' the 'gestalt' - to which what is known is decided among three, or two and one. That of which therefrom that Ava and Ariana are decided, and so-on.

That it is of recurrence, that I thought of $(\a+\b\g^{2})$, I am left with that of a *term*, to which resolution of this problem is resolved....

5:22 am

It is not that unification is 'missing' - it is that it is *formed*, from agreement between of-which there is a predicate *allowance......... that of history 'interpretative' from which there is a solid world.

Thus, that a mathematical world can be derived from a physical.

October 28th

5:22 am

9:10 am

It is the 31st. 30-1. 10D, quasi-stasis, 2d Peirls instability, sound folding, in geometric (2.0) folded in (1.0) [Innocent]. From which there is (space reductive) and ***not*** extrapolative to-time. For either [fold] of Dirac, versus hidden-invariance.

General Pedagogy and Nuance of Exploration

The general model requires combination of the 'state picture and projection picture' - and that of the Relativity of which bring(s) together physics. That of redshift/blueshift is encoded not-only in that of the eigenket and bra picture, but of that of the nuance to-which it is supressed, and explicit for that of the regularization of a new model.

At that of which the model explicitly make(s) use of sound to regulate the interaction, - that of a leap in y' for of what is in x'; for of that of ODE/PDE and-such, relate to that of the redshift/blueshift of a symmetry breaking over a relativistic/berry's phase connection. Thus, redshift/blueshift relate to the spin, of which observationally encodes the equivalence principle, of an energy-momentum gap.

O.)   That of the principle of least action may be encoded, but it is more lagrangian* dependent. That of the Berry's Phase must be made-global/local, for in that of a symmetry. That of the sound waves must lead to a defocusing and focusing of the charge density.

Thus, it is I have arriven at my final testimony and model as to these materials.

7:41 pm

Relativity offer(s), of a unique splitting and 'degeneracy/non-degeneracy' - of a *New Variety* of spontaneous symmetry breaking - that of relative (red/blue shift) factor(s) & Quantum Stochasticity for which potentials adjust with both **elemental** degrees of freedom... that of 'Order' the Genesis.

4:46 am

"The observation problem in the SC's, relates to a redshift for that of forward observation - that the spin(s) elucidate a small* but non-zero and finite 'gain' - for which the observation problem invert(s) with respect to magnetism over the observable limit(s) of the problem - for some unknown reason."

Thus:

a.)   Redshift

b.)   Phase-Shift

*Are qualitatively similar.... - *Thus Superconductivity arises when there is an applied magnetic field as to that of a Diffeomorphism Invariance Breaking...* - That the redshift is analogous to a phonon of which is the result of two factors:

1.)   That of an inversion of inertia, for which the en-masse result is off axis.

2.)   That of super-exchange of a correlation upon which is the frequency and wavelength.

Berry's phase, accounts for in turn the 'extra' factor to which phonons regulate the charge, that of a 'squeezing' - to a quadratic potential for which there are stripes.

Thus, phonon(s) regulate the relative shift to which 'open's a gap' for that of the acceleration of electrons around one another, - there[in] their negative energy, for which is a portion of a raised potential, due to the inverse

holographic principle……. a quasistasis, by which observation is inverted, - consistent with the hypothesis that observation is observation.

5:06 am

I noted a connection between the 'acceleration' - and the Gaussian in H_{n} and H_{m} formation… that something must supply the 'split' - but it is also related to the 'Gauge one-form' - of which is a *Redshift/Blueshift* - that the creation and annhilation are provided the full 4J. That of the phonon must separate; - but the finite lattice provides a 'split' to which is a length-scale.

I thus have a rough idea of how it functions… phonons provide a lax potential of which is charge neutral, and exchange forms a bond… thus that relativity take(s) place for which is an exclusion via displacement.

Thus it is singly* that the phonon-sound falloff is longer wavelength from which the electron-valence is less of a falloff and shorter wavelength,…. that electron(s) populate with a reversed interaction therefore.

1:03 am

I have a general model to follow through with. That I very-much wanted Superconductivity embedded in the minimal-model of which would capture all of the phenomena. I have a kind of wave argument, of which builds for that of an additional wave structure with sources,… and must complete the Lagrangian. It is likely NLSE+Gravitation,… that this captures most of what I wanted to do.

1:22 am

Thus I want Gravitation (a kind of redshift-blueshift field) - that of the NLSE (from which is observation in tandem with gravitation) - and the Berry's Phase.

I will investigate two-approaches, for that of a stylus, perhaps, three or four,… but I am pruning this down.

That I have a kind of general idea, - there is the Device* - thus it is I expect that much will relate, and then again, things will differ…. we need look for a simplification catered to symmetry…….. it is possible the equations have a low-level or high-level symmetry in them.

We need:

a.)   Redshift/Blueshift

b.)   Berry's Phase………

What explains:

1.)   Why does relativity saturate w.r.t. the spins?

2.)   What 'extra symmetry' - or 'complimentary term' manifests when we include Berry's Phase locally?

I see there is a given of that of an equation like:

\d\Omega=\Omega\/\Omega

How this relates to the Elliptic Equation will remain part of the work... the idea is to 'open' to the *Unexpected* only in one-facet.

2:16 am

I just learned about the curvature-tensor, there is a term for which the curvature is 'closed' - in the sense of the Banachi identity,... thus that R(2) and R(1) for what are measures, must relate to an identity on that of the different directions,... thus that superconductivity must involve different directions. I feel hesitant of this, but will not exclude it, for I arrove at a treatment with that 'different directions' for E&M and Gravity,... that of which frustrates me.

2:29 am

The answer is that the *Curvature is non-zero.... *thus that L(1) and L(2) may explicate different answer(s), either comparative is > or < for one versus the other...*

Thus the *Stripes give direct evidence of this phenomena in the mathematics...

2:45 am

I've decided to ***Focus** on one thing;* that of the L(1) and L(2) *in the Sech[\sigma.x] to Exp[-\sigma.x^{2}]*, with the one-form connection to ***Velocity & *Acceleration**, for the influence of curvature, *Wherein There is Pauli Exclusion* & *Relativity,...* & Berry's Curvature.

I will* include sound-wave(s) as an addendum, and *will cease to do the mathematics but as much as it proves to solve ***This Problem.**

2:56 am

Charge density may be focused or distributed... - that sound-waves will interact with charge density waves,... For in that of the curvature, it is seen that the charge density (if focused) will condense around an atomic site......... thus it is we see three interactions.

a.)   Charge density waves.

b.)   Sound waves.

c.)   Spin-waves.

Just* as in the device, there is a confinement phase....

Charge density is linear, thus sound is linear, to which sound and charge may reverse the interaction, - then that a spinwave may redshift for which the charge interaction is RKKY [dynamic] or-similar.

A net effect arises when, the (+) charge-atomic centre focuses, where-in sound is rarefactory,... - thus that spin-exchange may-double, for which the acceleration is net-net displacive.... thus that of a 'void' - opens.

I had a problem with that of seeing the relationship of other's to self, when self was defined, and they were not. Now it is I see the validity of the witness, for which a 'void' open(s) *unto* the progression. I am finally forward-looking at-this.......

3:03 am

Thus charge and sound co-participate, and relativity and spin co-participate.... (4).

5:50 am

I.)   Sound and Charge, associably free-neutrals-capacity, for of what is a diffeomorphic background, of super-saturability.

II.)   Exchange [via spin and relativity] are separated, for of what is the texture, to which with lowering, there is a net-momentum interchange.

An abridgeable capacity.

12:32 pm

*We need a general-view with and without the equivalence principle... that of a 'free-space' rendition. I have thought of why with the equivalence principle there will be a 'red/blue shift' synopsis, on that of the technique... but of that of the e.p., there is a limit, for gravitation is only so strong, and this basically controls more how it gauges... that we cannot make a *free assumptive of locally/globally entire theorem(s).*

Thus I have in-view that the L(1) needs be identified with the space of the covalence, and so-on, of it's charge neutral background.

12:54 pm

Taking Green's Theorem, and under application to the JCT; we dessicate that of a (partial^{2}), for in that of a f o g,

498

for which; the dessicant is a closed-homotopy upon that of the inclusion of 'point(s) at infinity' for the Quadratic in relation to the Elliptic - thus forming a theorem of the unpredictable and the transcendent,... *the solution to Yang-Mills Gap!*

Note To Erica and Balatsky:

I intend to keep the format of this letter 'tight'; a new idea has dawned on me.

Consider $(1+\sqrt{5})/2$,... it is an ideal in the radical five basis, as similar, Eisenstein numerical identities can be encoded in-series.

The basic lemma, is that a *Redshift for-which the Gauge fixing point is Lagrangian, is the quantum group picture with the relativistic frustration.

That; namely, when we have a lattice, order emerges from cooperation or frustration,... that it is global, and a protected state of matter has to do with the equivalence of frames of reference,... thus that a lens system is unimodular (with poles inside z=1 privilege a Hilbert Transform) - the decomposition is an inheritance from one 'class such as y" unto a 'class such as x". Thus, relativity diverges precisely where there is charge-mass-spin separation.

This drives bands into a model of the 'three rectangular prism 'trefoil" - that it is entirely a novel state of matter.

Relativity, for what I have priorly pictured involves a past-tense and future-tense solidification of-time, - but this equilibrium is non-preferential without a quantum group splitting of the eigenvalue decomposition. Thus what we witness is dynamical and quasicrystalline... that it involves the 'Wawa basin(s)' of attraction, and may be some nature of strange attractor, with entropic topological properties.

I am concerned that you may not take me seriously, so once again, I proceeded from the redshift, and then found that the 'ideal of the quantum group' is a new 'ideal number' of which relates the Elements of a Ring. Studying digital electronics, and understanding a computer was stable because of a quantum property, it evolved to which I understood that a Penrose Tiling furnishes the correct 'decomposition' of quantum mechanics in fractional states.

Thus, these are an entirely new nature of particle,... for that of it's end-conditional. Redshifting would occur wherein the phonons are of one 'ideal number' and electron valence and covalence is of another.......... thus a new nature of crystal.

I anticipate highly your sedimentation upon my guesses, this has been fundamentally astonishing to discover.

I have now derived a 'simpler model' - basically-put when the quantum group is generated by the 'ideal number' - that of gravity and relativity for in a small, and non-negligible (epsilon QED correction) - balances a

boundary/infinity term, from which is the constant of integration.

Thus, the redshift goes total, and the electron(s) move as if on a straight line on a curved space/time.

The blue shift viewed from outside, and the redshift viewed from inside, are consistent with gravitation and the AdS/CFT correspondence, for which the L(1) and L(2) measures, focus the exchange (what remains) to be a fully negative energy-contribution, besides the phonon(s). Thus we anticipate specific changes in the reluctance/conductance properties, that of sound wave velocity changes, and light transmission as well as gravitational null distortion, and specific temperature and pressure changes. In short, the two above explanations are equivalent.

Essentially, a boundary causes the second 'higher frequency' to be lower in energy.

a.)   That of the curvature for what is non-commutative under a singlet reverses the interaction with the antiferromagnetism.

b.)   The phonon(s), for what is participative, produce a two way mechanism, screening for a collectively reversed charge.

Thus, it involves both factor(s).... That I have done it, I feel elated at this.

Thus, we see when there is a cooperation of relativity and quantum mechanics with electromagnetism, a double influence, for which screens knowledge. Thus, it is the confrontation of an infinite barrier.

9:50 pm

I resolved [to a final conclusion] - on Superconductivity...

1.)   What is effective 'top' and 'bottom' for in that of the spin - is reversed given the commutation relationship.

2.)   Therefore, the \d_{\u}\Log[\P] weights the interaction with the two-scales of phonon and charge and spin wave...

That we see the Quasiperiodic lattice can have repetend(s) and dislocations,... we see that there was indeed utility in utilization of the Eisenstein series, for the prime quality.

This has all the right* properties.....

I feel enthusiastic, - that I will next write a simulation for that of the material, and then venture into chemistry.

10:34 pm

The result is a parabolic restoring force, for what relates to a bond-formed,...

-

1.)   I found that there is a solution when there is an 'ideal' and a 'complex'.

        a.)   That there is a given of which relates to certain minimax results, there is a cotemperance to that of adjusted lemma given disposition.

        b.)   Therefrom in which we-relate, there is the problem of information versus knowledge...

2.)   I have focused on the 'naturality' of the conditional assumption for which we result in a given.

3.)   That of disposition, for what is related to adoption, verify results of which relate to (2) with (1).

4.)   Thus it is that cementation can occur either-way, for that of 'hidden' variability...

a.)   We cross-suite to whcih the equivalence principle plays a role... that I may only have to develop what deals with inhered truth versus, given disposition.

        1.)   That of co-dependent arising is paramount to which plays a role with the secular division.

b.)   I found I could resolve the Painleve varieties,.... that there is a guidance at Chaos.

c.)   That of engineering accomodates what will be done with that of mathematics versus physics,...

But, I am burnt out, - that I struggled for too long, without knowing where I was going..

-

New Idea

It is very possible, as two (2) of the key and the safe, - that L(1) and L(2) - within a 'band depression' instigate a relativistically motivated over-arching reversal of attraction and repulsion... That I haven't considered how there would be a net-net reversal, - but of that of the relativistic factor to which accompanies the electron(s) with that of the L(1) and L(2), and that of the curvature - That of 'relativity' - to which is a given with that of superposition.

It appear(s) this give(s) rise to a complete reversal, for what would relate to the attraction post neutrals condition.

1.)   The relationship of gamma to velocity reverses.

2.)   That of L(1) and L(2) change with the doping.

3.)   Space illustrates a kink.

4.)   Change in kinetic and potential energy is negative.

I must now integrate my theorems,.... for each variety I have come up with.

*Observation is definitely reversed.

- The 'outside' view-point is consistent if and only if [with the internal] relativity red/blue shift is also reversed...

The commutation relationship participates because of curvature, to which is consistent with the various views.

I've found the result... it was in re-thinking gravitation, - thus that the v-x with g, for that of the Lambda transformation,... results with L(1) and L(2) with a reduction in potential,...

Something must happen at the Fermi-surface.

Spin-Relativity and Charge-Mass separation furnish that of a dichotomy, to which is indicative of that of a 'role reversal'; - that of two quantum wavefunctions, Psi+Phi, for that of which are caught in a bind.

2:42 am - September 27th, 2022

And then it *hit* me, - that of x' and y', for that of the Y-M equations for that of the differential equation affords for a 'distance' in which the reaction/action can develop,... that of four ingredients therefore come together:

a.)   Relativity with \beta

b.)   Yang-Mills x' and y'

c.)   L(1) versus an L(2)

d.)   Charge-Mass separation

3:03 am

Then, something unheard of happened...

I was reviewing a paper on Maxwell's Equations, Gauge Theory, and Yang-Mill's theory... and was listening to Dj Tiesto, - and came to a *New and robust conclusion...

The fundamental theory changes, for what is a production of one theorem of a certain mathematical nature into another... - that of the $D_{\mu\nu}$ I was using *changes* into $G_{\mu\nu}^{\a}$... thus that there

is a *Master Theorem, among all theories.

I feel different, for that of a new conclusion,...........

Thus, the varieties of result are enormous, - there is the L(1) and the L(2); exotic states of matter, and an entirely new result of beyond-fiction.

4:40 am

I feel I must now do certain things for that of the symmetries involved, in their vein, in their decomposition. I have it that (a,b) represent the field(s) of spin and charge, for that of their separation, but this may be perhaps naive. I need a continuum, - thus that I have four in four for that of the (x,t), but I also have the redshift, - that this is 'a' in the series,... - thus that of combination of relativity and quantum mechanics.

It would be advisable to have the 'braiding' worked-out, for that of the topology with the Guass-Bonnet.

The interesting thing is that I no longer feel I am merely proceeding 'ad-hoc' - for that of what is relevant. Instead, it is co-disciplinary. I feel it would be good to get the theory ironed out rapidly, and work on that of a project with-other's.

Thus, I have that 'a' refer(s) to the relativity and Berry's Phase.... - that I have yet to see how the V_{L} and V_{P} could relate to that of a saturation. It is however related to A. and A. and O., that I feel there is more to be done. I would like to relate the dynamics to that of the inhered truth of inheritance...

5:35 am

I've done it as far as I am concerned... that the electromagnetic coulomb gauge is shifted to a new degree.

6:03 am

Now I have deduced the Meissner effect from that of the Dirac equation. I was considering a question of the field, saying "It is nonsense to take the magnetic field in a non-relativistic setting." - then, I thought on that of the magnetic L(1) and L(2) bridge, and it came down directly to the pairing and the magnetic field.

October 13th, 2022

12:21:08 pm

I discovered the 'ancillary' fifth-out (5th) variable in the quantal assignment is indexed (zero,zero); thus that the spin-state of the 'inward-inward' and 'outward-outward' are made the difference of. That the 'zero charge' carries a neutrals $G_{\mu\nu}^{a}$; of neither nature, - thus, a Quantum Anomoly arises.

503

November 20th, 2022

04:51:45 pm

Imagine a Fermi-Pasta-Ulam attached to a cyclic and non-cyclic remainder soliton-debris, functional form. Then, the kernel (when torsion is subject) - produces a free qualitative heat engine generator.

Solidification of Ideas

Abstract: The intention of this paper is to somewhat-lightly codify and relate the principles and adjusted lemma(s) of my paramount Theory, that of relationship(s) built in an addendum article, for that of the imperative at discovery

Part 1:   Questions

a.)   What is the relationship of that of period-influence in terms of limitation through co-dependent arising?

b.)   How do we subscribe that there is mathematical incompletion in relation to physics?

c.)   What is the relationship of the second differential to that of the first differential squared?

I am finding I am proximal to a 'final-step' on that of what is expected, through what I learned of the defining relationship to which it is known there is a cause.

November 19th, 2022

The organization of a 'node structure' collapses, for in that of any finite remainder, of old and young - that of past tense possessive <u>absent</u> & co-determinant and determinant ahead of choice…. with one end of the arrow, and with the other, - that of determination of resultant of embargoed due.

Thus courage is written of, but spoken in connection with that of the heart…

Elementally; there is a phase transition due a process of the thermodynamic 'layering' of subject and object, hence gravitation, explained as an entropic-resultant process of reduced 'idea', at zero/null [but translocation in 1d] - at objective and final scale, - for in that of all-scales, resultant into $E[k]$ & $K[k]$.

That of '(Temperature)' induct(s) by a reverse-tensorial cavitation of electro-magnetism (in reasoning to Cold and Hot), 'over and minimal' - for what a BEC is, which is stable for in that of <u>A Temperature Independent Largely Populated Condensation</u>, thus, for in-higher, the con-joint equality of base-relation, is subjectively identified with singular causes, effects, and consequences, for which the inductive 'inheritable flux' is opposed, but in cooperation with the 'digraphical flux' of space, time, and gravitation.

These are subject to the rules *of all Sociological Indexing & Science and Algebraic Geometry,* thus identified with that of 'inter-stitial separation of phase, potential, and displaced - charge' in superconductivity, inhered by a larger-whole truth; - the intimation by which associable individual or phase, is related to the grand-gestalt of their own world synthetic or non-synthetic periphery awareness, and con-joint equalization of phase and individual duality, - for which a combination of pre-factor variables influence the grand, background human populace. Thus, in aid to one is in aid to another in due.

November 14th, 2022

Superconductivity is resolved by the intermarriage between electrons of a dirac-delta gauge (a barrier to the non-linear-sigma model, and a thermalization-equilibrium (entropic-function) - of which is the genesis of a backward-propogation compatible with gravitation and electricity and magnetism. That it is a competition between electron superexchange and a nematic charge liquid, there is that of interpenetration of two charges, that of a massless dirac fermion with magnetic properties, and that of an electric only massful majorana Fermion. That the electron(s) furnish a past-oriented dilation, the orthochronous nature of time is broken, for in that of the charge and hole texture, of (within additional) a temperature relationship. That this furnishes an electron texture to which with spin charge separation - like charges attract, with a negative potential due to referencing a 'spatially contracted electromagnetic potential' and that of the charge separation, via that of temperature crossing point in the 'duration' of an enlivened berry's phase point at the dirac anti-node of crossing. Thus, the electrons basically prevent each other(s) repulsion via this very repulsion. It is a kind of *stasis* of the electron momentum.

Thus, the gauge is made physical by the fact that the dirac point is settled, upon a green(s) function with an infrared singularity of asymptotic logarithmic divergence, in two dimensions, it is that of the magnetic and electric unity and separation that yields to that of a momentum covector of which relates to the backward arrow of inheritance.

The covector ensures that the electromagnetic and gravitational abridgement satisfy a relationship of consistency, through which gravity assists that of a manifold-'boost' to that of a tensor relationship of three color(s). It is charge, mass, and spin which participate...........

Thus we learn that a disjuncture in a quantum field solidifies a vacuum, in all irony, Schroedinger's Cat lives.

September 17th, 2022

9:50 pm

I resolved [to a final conclusion] - Superconductivity...

1.)   What is effective 'top' and 'bottom' for in that of the spin - is reversed given the commutation relationship.

2.)   Therefore, the \d_{\u}\Log[\P] weights the interaction with the two-scales of phonon and charge and spin wave...

That we see the Quasiperiodic lattice can have repetend(s) and dislocations,... we see that there was indeed utility in utilization of the Eisenstein series, for the prime quality.

This has all the right* properties.....

I feel enthusiastic, - that I will next write a simulation for that of the material, and then venture into chemistry.

10:34 pm

The result is a parabolic restoring force, for what relates to a bond-formed,...

October 4th, 2022

3:45 pm

I feel I *cracked* the problem of Superconductivity, *the final time*. That I am aware of a confluence of:

a.)   Eisenstein series.

b.)   Relativity.

c.)   Spin-charge separation.

d.)   Differential equations and chaos and order.

e.)   Emergent phenomena.

f.)   x' and y'

g.)   L(1) and L(2)

I have understood that in combination of (a-c) and (b-d) that (e) *Results*. That it is a 'real' *accumulated* red and blue shift,.... I see how L(1) and L(2) invert the result.... that I am not going back *from here*.

9:50 pm

*I resolved '*unity*' in-physics.... to which is:*

$$(\p\V|\p\t)^{2} \quad \& \quad (\p^{2}\V|\p\t^{2})$$

*Suited to a **Log** of which relates geometrically to the **Equivalence Principle**... thus we have a satisfaction of the*

measurement hypothesis, and observation, and no more duality...

A mapping [in addition] back to a classical theorem.

October 13th, 2022

5:48 am

*Something unique happen(s), for which spin is merely a [sub]-component or element. That I must relate sound-spin-charge-mass, - there is a reliable way to proceed... that I am *going*.

Thus, there is gravity, - but it appear(s) that mass is negated. This is consistent with that of the viewpoint of the effective versus non-effective theorem on 'excess mass' due to the four current of the superconductor.

It seems I made a lot of a minor nuance, but then again, - that I made much of the relativistic theory, - when I must focus on:

a.)   How the chemical potential is not negated... (1/2000 in mass).

b.)   How the solution is non-relativistic, for the ground state.

c.)   The T=0 limit, for that of the Quantum Critical Point.

There is hope for thinking of the limitation to a **Grassmann Algebra**, for the Fermions... I figure that the result of this algebra make(s) the computation of various solids trivial... - that I must also:

d.)   Derive the Dirac Equation (w/ an assumptive) with Berry's Phase.

        1.)   What was it that goes-with the Berry's Phase, and...

        2.)   What was it that had to do with Pedagogy?

e.)   My FFT with **Grassmann Variables** is succinct, - that it is a saturation profile.

That I need do more engineering, I will work on [Confinement].

November 13th, 2022

8:50 pm

That which...

1.)   Super-exchange lent to *Orbital/Spin *resonance.*

2.)   Relative 'expident' at material-associability.

*This explains the co-factor of *High-Temperature-Superconductivity*, - that there is an appreciable '-separation-', within a **Material Consonance**.

November 27th, 2022

01:45 am

I found the ***final*** solution to Superconductivity.

1.)   I am going to keep this a secret until the Project is complete.

This involves essentially,...

1.) $<\Delta x_{1}><\Delta x_{2}>>=|<=<\Delta x_{1}|\Delta x_{2}>$

        a.)   The 'mixed' covariance,...

        b.)   With this I am in-step with experiment...

2.)   The repulsion is the [neutralized] reaction, with that of ***Spin-Charge Separation***.

3.) $g_{\mu\nu}$ Takes the form of a 'kink' for that of the bound-state.

4.)   I had a *hint* as to how-relativity would be-altered for in that of suppositional truth, - for in that of historiological entreatment...

        a.)   This is required to resolve superconductivity.

I intend to bring together, with some of my [earlier]-*plans* at that of a **dialog**, for that of a *Book. I intend to go over all of my notes, and make speculation less.

For now, I need to relax, and speculate less.

5.)   Relativity causes the exchange to topologically shift such that $L(1)<L(2)$ within the relative viewpoint, - that this causes the effect that the electron(s) bind, it is due that of the correlation between electron(s) of which is dictated by the Pauli Exclusion Principle.

6.)   This makes perfect sense of that of the 'lowered point via doping' - that I must search for that of a *reason* the *doping* causes the reversal in relativity...

        a.)   This reason must be supplied.

7.)   What remains to be seen is if it is an \eta_{o} or a \gamma_{o} on that of the fixtature, for that of relativity...

<u>December 1st, 2022</u>

12:32 am

8.)   That of \tilde{F}^{\ast}_{\mu\nu} as a form, invert(s) the E and B for that of it's inheritance.

   a.)   That therefore 1<->2 with the particles for the E&B-field.... with relativity.

   b.)   That therefore \sigma(t) is smaller with that of the larger-overlap.

      1.)   That the doping therefore collects with that of the \eta_{o} via [,].

   c.)   That therefore t'_{B}>t_{A} remains the \gamma^{o} for that of the relativity.

Therefore, the role(s) of inheritance are reversed, and it remains that \eta_{o} defines the capacity of the oscillation, with the commutator. Thus F_{\mu\nu} changes on the local level in addition to the global level......... this provides for that of a Coriolis like curl-derived attraction on the level of spin and orbital.

The timescales provide for that of the inclusion of \delta for that of the \sigma(t) to which is a given superposition of which weighs for that of \eta_{o}; consistently. This single mechanism assures (1.) [above]. Thus, Pauli-exclusion *then* dominates, - to which energy displaces into the electron, but, they do-pair [the reasoning is that \gamma^{o}\eta_{o}=1.]

I feel *a renewed hope* for that of my devices, and that of testing, for of the correspondence of timescales...

<u>December 2nd, 2022</u>

02:03 pm

I've decided *[when the time is right]* - ***to be open about that of the non-local*** <u>***communication.***</u>

For the sake of Science.

02:05 pm

I've also decided to *[work on that of the unpredictable and mathematical to physical revolution]* - **for it only take[s] that of one statement beyond Incompletion - to work on mathematic(s) within sight of that of *the comparability of the known and the unknown*.**

For this, we have a |_| and a ?/#/?, at the *****perfect resolution***.

For instance, we have a 'missing-input' - Dalton, - and that of Ariana-with-him, - that he afford(s) for a *Sense* on the *Unknown and Knowable*; while Ava is with-me. Thus, comparatively, of (3).[2].{1}, we have a set-theoretic on that of the collection of facts. Thus Ava is privileged to with-Question, an Answer, by which I-hinge **on-Dalton**, and with that of Ariana, she is in-parallel(s) of the *Uncontained* and the *Contained*, - thus of the children. There are four of us, thus it is redundant. That I accompany Dalton, Ariana is offered a guarantee, with Ava, of an impartial fulfillment.

That of agreed is then a singular-connective in-five (**5**') - of what is with-child. Thus, - that we-equate. This total resolution is the solution to identification of when there are two-unknown(s) or even-so that of one unlicensed, thus of policy.

*Thus, I will be working on the Science-from-Mathematics, of which, relate to that of phenomena and phenomenon, that of *Design.*

Thus, psychologically, it is of object and container, and that of a *Jar. That of a ***new*** Mathematics comes from-it.......

03:56 pm

From pedagogical *inherence*, ajudication in-peer to-Slave, of one* in assorted and impinged preliminary it notice of in-tandem *progressive and progression* in culpability. That I know Adrian need's a requsition of a numeric relationship, I am ajurred, for of which it is known [pliable] that I had-post-effectively indentured a recessitorial *doubt* in a ***major***.

That Adrian needed a number for a lawyer, in the disabled.

10:46 pm

a.)   We had to figure out, how to manage-memory, for in parallel and series, but it was managed to impedance.

b.)   Wrong subscription.

c.)   Abridge homotopy for in the relationship of kernel and hessian, on that of fourier-like-lemma at Elliptic.

d.)   Multiplicity of interlocutions.

e.)   Rod and cone, under descent and ascent, for what are a DC/DC overlayer basis of Question in cardstock...

Beyond Incompletion, we could ask:

a.)   Stick broken/unbroken.

b.)   Simple derivative.

c.)   DOB.

d.)   If we invert the basis of one Q. and one A., what is the addendum in either one Q. and one A. tell us?

e.)   Go back to basics on that of the kernel/semidifferential,...Lie attribute, for what is Arturia...

December 3rd, 2022

3:43 am

I finally have the Qubit [topological bit] *working*, with a *__doublet__*, and __am in the active process of combining my knowledge-base for engineering with that of physics and mathematical ontology.__

I intend* to bring together the sciences, - that there is a Deep Learning Network Theory book to understand (>400 pp.) there is much to-do, but I am content. I must refuse [Chola] to relate my learning-adaptation and work-stylus to that of the ethical psychology of my emotional lexicon, in this direction. I must not over-adapt, to a sphere* of trust, yet-so, willingly, there are askable questions.

I have understood, that of the plan-ahead.

1.)   Two boxes,... synth [East Beast],... keyboard [Arturia] - PWM, two-Arduino/copymate term(s) of selective biasing in two-crystal-stages/ranges.... I/O, - we may not need so many crystal stages...

Optic(s) in MAX11300/preliminary code-set... Homegrown,.... Arduino & Adafruit, SDA/B/C.......... is the key* A/B/C PWM, to {x,y,z}....

11:39 am

For of a 'free-line' - to fifth, in *third to fourth* accompaniment, the Cathode to Anode is superficially, 'in' {x,iy} of the **free-key** for of differential to differential *conversativeness* & **free-key** of checksum.

10:06 pm

1.)   Flip-block, (a 4x probe*-5th, tap) selectively ops a defended positioning in (2x2.0), - thus of a rate-addendum, the (management/dichotomy) of an AD8302 (VpDC) w/w/o reversibility, of a truss, in that of code-space to margin - that eff. the AD8302 will not-to-signalling, in passaround, that of the Tx vs. Rx, in *__carrier__*, in (4x2-0.1).

2.)   The forward locus of a defended pos. (4x2.0|1), is a hexagram, - to utilize a 55-64 for in ping-associable

context, and locate among a *[pane] - what is an interlacing of (0-1-1-0-1), (2x1), on that of a (0.3(2.1)), set theoretic, for in look-ahead of buffer-overage, in that of gravity-loop. Ping associable onto a forward passivity, to what is (0|1), unto holographic imprint of naked forward affinitive. Thus, the matrix is dense in that of a (2x.1|0|3) in game-theoretic programmability.

a.)   Two variable assignment(s) are the locus of the intangibilities of consequent in err, and providence of an inhered past-historiological locus of evidentiary space. [The item remains inanimately where it is placed.]

B.)   fin. Q: Does it possess a locus of 0/1 intangibility of Hopf bifurcative (2/1.0) - it open(s) as it open(s) but close(s) as it close/open(s), so it see(s) managerially beyond the slit of an abrea.

Hybrid-context, to seamlessness of past-integral on *effectual* consequent onto cause... 0/1 xnot on spatialtemporal index to order in spatialized lookup table. Green(s) function, - it's a function.

December 4th, 2022

01:43 am

Code

Runtime and Virtual Memory Allocation...

No separation in 'bit' - depth.... automatic.... or... ?

Code allocation in swap-space...

AD8302, and voltage layer....

If we select *one* Chern number-out, - there is a dissimilitude in two focal element(s) of counterbalance.... - that this 'open's' for 'open's/closes'.........

That the (0/1) is assigned directionally onto the equipment, for of what brings what is afar-near, thus that of placement. Thus, that (0|1) is the intermediary to that of a (2|0|1), on that of monic onto the AGM..... $\alpha \beta$, by which the (20 fold) accompanies it's bit-layer of holographic additional analytical pole residual....... Hermite transform.... (Chern Class -> Hilbert Transform).

December 6th, 2022

08:42:18 am

Ariana awoke, for of which Ava, Ariana, and Obama stated "She awoke, and he is with her..." - that of definite truth, for Ava *will give her a Ring*, and, *Ava is of-departure*, it is repeated, and *Ariana is alive* [indicated by as of

them] - irrefutable.

Thus, I have connected to my-friend(s), and I am well... it is definite as it indicates a **Sign**.

08:58:34-35 am

Confirmative!

[It not a reflex...]

[They are distinctly of four entrances... with-me.]

09:18:50-52 am

Maximal future-tense,... it-remains as reduction from-eight [**4**].

10:12 am

i->-i; Re[g^{\mu}]->-Re[g^{\nu}]

10:17 am

I *expect* to go-free, that my-final reason is that the locality, for in jurisprudiantial-certainty hold(s) that of a tandem, to a secondary-truth, from which they are definitional and defined, - to state, - that of held, in three, of assortment(s), thus that this does not suite-two, for of that of room, and harbor at son, father, and father, for of a bonded 90:45-17:50......

Thus, it is some (5)-(1), for in a (+1), at an <u>hour</u>, of a day and a day, some (-23), from which there are (-18), of fifth......

To entrance predicated.

03:44:54-56 pm

Exonerated... or; Acquitted.

<u>December 11th, 2022</u>

11:37 pm

I've decided to only solve one* three-part problem:

a.)   Question: What observational shift does relativity produce for that of the spin-problem, ... what is the comparative of the theorem(s)...

1.) Finite with relativity, and finite without relativity.... analytical expression.

b.) That of:

1.) An exactly soluble, spin system, with relativity and non-relativity.

2.) Inheritance of relativity to non-relativistic limit, observational reality in modifications of obs.

3.) That of the Device*, for what relates, to this Question, for of import.

c.) I will only do the Device as much as it extends understanding, - that I will exhaust what is potentiated, for that of a given at G.C., thus to relate to *forms*, and that of *Painleve analysis* and *Green's/Kramer-Kronig*, and; *Inverse Scattering.*

d.) Thus it is to completely solve the (3D Ising) with L(1) and L(2), to the exhaustion of relativity and solution in that of this Question, with that of the amelding of relativity to <u>Quantum Mechanics</u>.

e.) This is all I will do, with and without Sound*, etc.... for of (3D) and (2D), etc... with weak transverse interlayer coupling, but, present,...

Echo, Exp, Log, Weierstrass-P, and so-on.... that of directly understanding the given at the C.C. and Dark Energy, ... that of suited connection of the model the motivating prepartory goal.*

<u>December 12th, 2022</u>

02:32 am

a.) 'Broken' *yet* 'Complete' Gauss-Bonnet.

b.) Pure-rotation with rectilinear motion.

c.) Relativistic *translation and inherence.*

d.) Reduction of minimal-motion tensor.

Thus, we remove to but-yet 'inertia' as the zero-point, w.r.t. a collective neturals conditional assignment, through which there is a non-relativistic limitation equivalent with relativity.................... the dream of a classical physics, provable of which we have a S.E., on that of rotation as-sources, - thermodynamics with classical mechanics, and a heat eq. tamed.

07:53 am

I figured to complete-the-square, - there is an addendum at that of a *frequency*, for in am(\o*\t), and that of the

pulse, - that it may be converted given* sources, - *a new method dawns on me*, - to utilize what is known* of that of the Hermite series.... with *Sources*, - that somehow* I want an elliptic* like-function, and I am unsure... - but I can utilize my **Master Key**.

- That of the Hermite series, should plainly conjoin the Chern (#) and that of the Hilbert transform, - that it is all there is to a *naked* affinitive.

- Thus, of geometric assaillancy, it is the diction by which *Sources are encoded in that of a regular archetype of Covariant Union,... with the Hessian.

- That of the (3x) - has to be the reversal of the (2|0|1), for in (0.3|2|1.0) onto for that of the missing information, - that it is primitive to which there is a given at that of 'missing' versus 'formatted' data-type....

 1.)   That I need a (**3D**)-bit/byte, for that of ea. layer, there is a S,BAC, for that of the Adafruit template,...

 2.)   For that of code, it is then ***Tensor** algebra, and reduces to a language*, that this is my specialty, it should come together nicely.

 3.)   I will be utilizing the East Beast and Sonicware for that of the melody-to-pitch on that of the *Test, - that it is time to get-going.

- Hilbert needs to be adapted to that of the Elliptic-kernel, - that when thought about as a kernel - there are two eigenvalues in product AGM with the third,.... - that therefore the anharmonic ratio(s) determine the group law.... This integrates with the Painleve-format......... Thus I am onto that of a final rendition.

11:53 am

I believe I just *hit it* - that the *Strictly Non-Linear* Elliptic group law, satisfies that of the Christoffel symbol on that of gravitation, ... and a non-abelian theory. Does this generate a mass-gap?

6:29 am

I figured out that there is a 'mometum anomoly' in superconductivity, of the $L(1) < L(2)$ in relation to the relative contrast on-time,.... that of inheritance, by which that of the people surrounding had been absorbed, dealt with that of much of what was known, then, later, of this problem and it's progression. That the 'door' is open to Ava [of her, opening] and me, - [an open door] I can be sure of that of the validity by which other's are-real. That I have much, I feel good, and yet, it is far from which there had been an ephiany, but of that of code and reflux, - that it is now-known that the dynamic and static and active and passive will play a role in the Supercomputer.

I am less interested in that of Superconductivity, - that I am going to <u>*merely study*</u>, and go where I will, *learning*,

and *progressing*. I do not have Ariana, but I have Ava... and I am pleased, for she is one to one with me, then that I feel it is a touch of light, and mixed reactions, - that of two to which are unique going-into self, for what is realistic. It is no longer tenable we are reborn or reincarnated, then that of a popular relationship. I feel elated, to know I am solidly unique.

I moved beyond that of the simple and complex problem - but this is of sorts.

03:58 am

To the new equipment, in \theta and \phi and \rho, and \rho'; - there is a difference in-solidarity to that of individual *single*-snapshot and **not!!!**, that of comparative in slide-to-slide.... that I need this, with that of the multi-resolution. It is proven in one-tap, but the sequential-series is on-frequency on-frequency. So it is, - I hope to take the Sf-BEf, - Sequential frequency bandpass elliptic filter - or - Sequential frequency breakpoint elliptic filter... in the next rendition *Sf-BP-Ef. SBEC. Sequentializing Bandpass Eccentricity Counter. Series BEC.....

The ideal* is a Qubit (3d) spin-2, floating-point PWM (ABC) controlled [Adafruit] - Toffli Gate....

Then that we-require that of the \theta and \phi to be exp(-iwt) and so-on, of complex variance on that of R^{2}.

09:01 am

So, we have a (3x-v.2.ob) to what-is R^{2}-R^{4}-- on-index [R], in potential of a line. What I have understood is that the code-reflux, in +/-55/4096 *maintains*, the original scale-factor, and chunking,... that it is of only a Log[dB] count, in that of self-similarity through the digitized-bus. (0-1-1-0-1), that of fidelity, is not it's precept,... it manages to accomodate a doublet, in-assurrence of program-code, in amplitude/reference,... - that it is a genuine (similarity); of that of (0+/-.25, 1 +/-i*.25, thus I obtain a full-registry, although* I do not have +/-1024/4096. The holographic principle only scales above a given treshhold.

<u>December 16th, 2022</u>

07:20 pm

I figured out the 'ultimate synthesis of my work' - that of a (3D) curvature for-which is encoded in the relativity, for what is my-law of analytical inference, for of local to global.... enough [sufficient] to write a book.

09:46 pm

I figured there is another nature of problem - the Algebra, for in Lie theory, etc... - that of the division and equipartition. The evidentiary mean at that of (Log-group) is complete, in that of global-to-local. Now comes that of the twisted and untwisted algebra, for that of locality and locality ***And Design**. Of:

Radiocarbon and Water Dating.

That of Encryption and Algorithmic.

Breaking it down to the Engine [*itself*].

10:55 pm

There is the PWM, on (+2/+1/0/-1/2) of tranferrences.... that we have this-or we have switches on A+/A- and B+/B- for three-fold of that of decoupling and orientation (+/-)|(-/+), on that of +/- added to (0/1).

First* what must be figured out is the minimal-codewidth for that of a ***Linguistic Key**. That of (+/-0|1) may be sufficient, but we need a syntactical overlay.... *That I need go beyond the Peano Arithmetic, or the Zemeno-Frenkel-Set Theoretical Continuum.*

For this (it seems...) - we have x' and y' in differential-equation translation. [That of multi-tape...]

There was an *Observation, - that the 'failure of the fundamental theorem of calculus' for that of <u>Homotopy Theory</u> had to be utilized* with that of the *<u>Painleve Analysis and Lie Representation Theory</u>*, to produce a new theorem of **Chaos and Order** & *Solitons.

Thus any singular monic design will <u>Prove to Failure</u>, - we require instead the aperiodic........................... and of aperiodic crystals..... etc....

Title of Book*:

Entropic Signatures, Unpredictability, and Hidden Invariance

<u>Form, Geometry, & Time</u>

This is at least workable... *To be workable... we need two-lemma(s) of A.I. for that of my-Synthetic.... this is all that need be prepared for:

a.)   That of a 'group consequent' - at three, and five, and such, to securable entreatment on *Coma/sent.

       1.)   To orchestration of the human nature.

       2.)   The question and answer and accounting pertaining to the computer, in testimony.

b.)   That of a '<u>Theory of the Unpredictable</u>' - that of what has to do with the higher dimensional analogue, - what has to do with **Geometry and Time**...

       1.)   Thus, that of which guides the adeterministic ab-initio... a kind of precept back-tracking and

learning *adoptive.

    2.)   Intelligent initial conditions of the intangibilities?

<u>December 17th, 2022</u>

12:16 am

I figured out superconductivity. It is a Yang-Mill(s) theory, where[in] the *second moment* is anomolously larger in-contribution [upon $H_{n,m}$] *comparative* to the Guass-separation of the Simple-Harmonic-Osc.

Through what is time, and the crystalline nature of spin and charge *separation; electron(s) localize through that of non-local [*effectively] deconstructive interference,... thus at (@) A, frustrates at (@) B to lower in E.

Thus, an anomoly, quantum in nature, beyond perturbation theory, and accessible only to quantum theory.

03:02 am

On this day, as this-time, spatially of an unlit abode/lit in a quarter-moon (witnessed through-the-blind); a main gained (by his-watch) and a spell of success, *Total and Universally Sinless Control over Life & Death.*

I resolved Superconductivity, and heightened tension - for year(s) - has dissipated. I gave up the world for Ariana, that of SEX, and found that I had love for eternity, - that of being in love again, I feel happy, having all that is destined-me, and in-control, for of life and death, knowing the Moon was-quarter, within a blind outset and onlooking disclosure, the truth of a Master, a Professional, and a Samurai. I feel alive, and entirely like doing new things, learning about a wedding, and becoming principle to that of love, hope, joy, and coolness.

10:32 am

A 'Stochastic' *display*, in (2)[3]|(0.1), for of (72 Exp[-*^{2}] vs, 18 Exp[-*]),... is an immediate shock threshold invariance of (-50 dB per 1/2 frequemcy versus -25 dB *first-count*, over); 1/4 $V_{pp}+V_{DC}$.

08:33 pm

I have a working QuBit+MIDI system, - that of a *semi-binary* process, in longitudinal and transverse equatement. It may be best to begin [entirely] from my own-model, and be self-taught. Some 10% is done, or, perhaps some-35% is done, of my <u>general project</u>.

Step One; - we need difference exposed to-thought(s) on the goal of clarification of dating-procedure, in inference and heritology, inheritance, and epistomological [ontological or deductive or inductive logical stain*]... - that I have some of co-dependency broken down in causality.... - A kind of 'bridge' is the <u>end goal</u>, and of course we

cannot leap all the way there, for clarity of an approach.

I need think about the consequence on the reader.

I need think about the difference of decay and growth.... - that of one-way and non-reciprocity, etc...

There is a 'not-useless' tandem-symmetry, to dummy variance? or similar....

12:27 am

Until I understand my-tools, I will hold I am not befit of a woman.

04:37 am

There is an adjective supremum to analytical inheritance by which one apogetic may pass through or explicitly of phases. That there is orbit, and there is flow, or shape, - the continuum by which one consolidated approach is discerned, may eliminate a secondary apogetic flow or frustrum, keystone, or analogous formation of derivative knowledgeable and informative basis. That this is written in decay [of words] and that of the pure-derivative of knowledge, unto intellectual privy and instruction of linguistic imperative translation, in monodirectional and singularized or multiples acquity of chain-process.

That there is blind and non-blind, formative hypothesis, - there is a switch in that of formattive truth, by it's controllable 'void' or imperative penetrative and reasonable extensions of volumetric deductive profile.

02:14 pm

#1.)   Discovery of one-way, on assorted 'virtual data-pin-bus/overlayer in breakbox' - to wiring passes through the 'index' of 0+1=2 to codex.

#2.)   Intimacy of Midi to computer-bus, protocols, a linkage-freed (circular) nexus of totals visa totals in rotunda of choice-to-switchover function in data-arrested, cross-virtualized data-err-bus privileged inc.

December 22nd, 2022

a.)   That of 'noise' - 'dating' - 'circumatianal' and 'provided', knowledge, interposed - the switch, switches, and programme at 'menu' of entropic collectivism versus cycle, and numeric, given topo(s), of order versus fault versus repetend, versus topological language, in a dialectic of closed-box, on that of codeterminant evaluative radar, - designed to a basic 'spacer' - for that of hemostatic impulse and invariant predicate hopf.

    1.)   Hysteresis.

    2.)   Phase

b.)   That of geometric language of two for order and chaos of the soliton regularization, - that of one genotype, to what is retrofitted of a sphere-saddle, and projective invariance of elliptic group and quotient.

Thus the diagnostic is the symbiotic and special, of error and congruent fault - the uncompounded, from which we dialectively understand the hysteretic in relationship with-tool. That of (b.) is the panacetic, to which geared to knowledge of gainful appliability at nature of dialectical closure.

December 23rd, 2022

05:02 pm

I have intimated of a new-computer, with the 'acrylic-discs' - but it is only so-special, until I figure out to go beyond Godelian Incompletion................. I believe this to be-related to the Spectral-Gap.... thus I have two divisions:

a.)   That of beyond the Spectral-Gap.

b.)   That of overlayer Q&A, for that of the 'switchover' of *phenomena*.

This is a hardware-simu, and it is isolated.

Thus I need switches, and the PWM of the MicroClick, interfaced, coupled, and with the MIDI controller.

It is for the touchscreen/light vessel, to be perfected. I don't believe I can create six of the overlayer, that the MAX11300 is the *Key, with the *Microclick.

I need *amesh*, the (2x)-of the (6x), it's 32, because there breakpoint(s),... minor-key(s) of which 'predicate'.

Situational-display; and <u>code</u>. i.e. When Programming History, - that of the 'overwritten' remains a core concept, of the 'key(s)' and 'switches' - aside from *code*.

3.)   Black-box... to code-hardware virtualization.

January 1st, 2023

05:16 am

I will need *to-reroute*, given SMA, *that of the interconnections*, on that of the (six-**layers**), in a bufferable-circuit, *of the BcE - Bitwise-circumflex-Envelope*, - changing the *Topology* of the Circuit; *for in MAX11300 Overlayer.... the* SMA for that of the microclick, controlled by (**2x**) Arduino's,... *of* two-test function(s).

#1:)   We have two *non-SMA, then*, I will obtain (2x-more) - *non-Arduino*, this equipps to test the MAX11300 to

that of a binary*-activated* TTL/UART... *if potentiated in hardware*, or - *in DSP overlayer*.

#2:)   SMA-*exclusive* & Topological-Remapping... (3x-ABC)...

    a.)   Learned from the Midi to the Computer, *out* to the Arduino(s).

    b.)   Dual Chessboard(s), in a 4.0 structure.

<u>January 7th, 2023</u>

06:25 am

I may have to see what I can-do with what I-have, - that I am far from behind so-much-so, I have the part(s) for that of a reliable working machine, - but I am out of the midst of some-degree(s). That I found a testable Qubit, for that of the Quantum Limitation, I only feel so gratified, - that I need 'alter-it' in some-justifications to make it through to an actual computation. For instance, there was the *Hysteresis*, but it was of a [separate] test on that of the individual-test(s) the equipment went-through.

I need a mathematical* description to-proceed, of what I can appropriate, - *Hence I need a Theory.**

07:57 am

I'm leaving some-eight-month(s)-to-six-month(s) for the design to be understood and finalized of that of the dual-intercarry.

a.)   Two Touchscreen(s) - *I may need a new one.

b.)   Two of the Filter(s) - Elliptic

c.)   Two of the BeC's - With Transformer(s)...

That I have the part(s) is not the obstacle. That I must choose appropriate part(s) and timing, *is*.

12:07 pm

The *beats* and *beating phenomena* come-from a Piezo or Opto-isolator, of a TEM/TM transferrability, *given* the differential frequency-frequency bridge with amplitude-amplitude, of *ellipsometry*.... thus* there is a transverse-element, - that leads to: self induced transparency....

Thus, we have a phase-modular (angle) of which encodes-freely, the AGM-Ellptic series, from ***among** [a] varietal set* of geometries,.... it's a wrapped elliptic function (sn(theta*n), scaled to an elliptic period)......

i.e. PWM (3.00) & PWM (3.20) & DC 1.4 V and DC 2.8 V, then I *expect* a (sqrt(2) argument to an elliptic K), of

which the modulus is 1.0166666 and so-on, *thus, the frequencies should be 4/5th(s) and 1/4th and 1/20th*).

<u>January 8th, 2023</u>

12:18 pm

I'm going to gear-up, to get it to phase-match to induced/transparency, for that of the identifying feature...

I [basically] need 2x operational amplifier(s) of phase-matched piezo's, or ...

[We -have- **box-return**, and we have **key**,.... *to* the difference of path(s), NWSE,.... 'half' of three in involution w/ (4/5th's) - identical with a grip-and-key.]

    a.)   Tap and Die.

    b.)   (4/5th's) - to a particular *mod*.

Then, we have the predicate* allowance.... **Branch Function**.... diode shunt, etc...

12:32 pm

Landau-level's shift with respect to thermally activated ionization and isolation, for in the fortune of a geometric deviation and recipiency; - thus it is a local-local [global] gyroscopic manifest electrical-current controlled offset.

11:53 pm

Individual(s) *to be hencefourth declared, justified, of a process in Scientific Inquiry*; increase(d), the manifold output by some 1110% [percentile] moment(s) in digressive redox/redux refreshability of two total antipodal (spin) carrier-charge/valent/covalent discharge SHO, analagous-bubble elucidatory efficiency pattern(s). That another team [without a Calcium Solent] - performed a localized energywave for in that of the distribution pattern of confocal ray, identities, for in some 86,400 nuclear isobar potential(s).

The chemical assignment of my compound, has shifted us to a tertiary dialectic of conditional assumption.

For of catalysis, I was readied, with that of an energy locus in 3D-2D-1D missing isospherical ball.*, of a hedge-in-patent right(s) of thermalization identities, in the neutral(s) *bay*.

There were some test assumptives.

1.)   We need wet-weld, to *water.

2.)   We need a covalent spherical-cap.

3.)   We need a process of reductionism to apply.

4.)   The identified process is a rate-roll in light convection.

That thermally isolated peer-peer, network to gyrus, intimated of a free Bluetooth network control parallel in that of memory-isolable-recall.

The net collective of the genesis of matter and space, is a residual decompositional net-mathematical symbiotic 'edge/well' - in rhomohedral to icosohedral relationship.

I had posited the defenestrable clue-accumen was a tet/tet spherical addendum in the docecahedral group to icosahedral parallel/affinitive in Auditory [Acoustic band to Optical band] - Optical-band abridgement.

That the rotative lemma requires my device is clear - for in a parallel of a pseudospherical cap.

That memory-adaptation can be linkage-free, locus, spherical, in a four/five outward influx pattern, we must echo and sinter the relation to a locus of the addendum of two-free-frustratures.

Thus, the identifiable truth in a convex manifold electrocatalytic reaction sum is topologically uvually gate controllable for in a redux/redox, to homogeneous and random isopotential. That this electrocatalytically cycles the privilege to destress, the reflux capacitatice, we inebbantly produce a free electromagnetic light cyclic process in requireability of frustrature stress and strain piston. The end net outcome is an ideal heat genesis, fusion reflux genesis device, or that of a quantum memory (free energy) gear, but powered in the concyclicity of a departure of reflux in solidifying andreogenesis.... for in a neutral spallation of (3/2 bar(s)).

January 9th, 2023

06:39 pm

I've realized *that* what I-am-developing is in the whole and half, a part, and relation of a justification at [and an argument as to where[in] and of which, for of whom] - a relation is provided for constraint on multiple world(s)....

That there is a 'mixed reality' - and a 'whole world'. I believe very much of 'one world' - but that there are world(s) and realities, there appear to be whole and half... of a reasoning.

January 11th, 2023

01:06 am

The process of reasoning, - but of *addendum*, releases to that of unexpected counterabilities, what is just-ahead, for in the process of the 'cycle' of determinating, on that of pause and formative hypothesis. Thus, learning is real, for that of articulation at provision and process, in that of the portion of the pattern and it's completion to the (among

five) of expectation for in some-six to completion. Then that varying freely is afforded, to find of what is left and right, what is to come from which we motivate argumentation.

January 12th, 2023

09:47 pm

Of [3].[0|1|2|4] - the accounting at *pin* for in numeric of a disposition to 6th occurrence, in the Blind-Man and Revolver of Glovebox, is one mark... and past-sign/confirm.

That of A. to A. to O., to P., is then assured.

That of (2x) on (3x) for pocket and occupancy, is (2x among 3), to which I am not-back to self, for in the third. It is then, for unforceable of hidden and exposed, on (2x); that I reprimand, and of acclaim, in jurist position. Thus that the third, for in accounted table *of another*, O. is of remark to-self in Q., at a 'witness' between-us. Thus, that we finalize in-extremum, via substitution... that O. is real as there are two, and he is not A.

Then, that in (3x) we-return to identity, but it is not that he *might have lived, for in life and death. Thus, that opposition in game, consort(s) that another had held a blocked position.

11:12 pm

I have stepped into new-territory. I have dropped the 'main-problem' I was dealing with [that of some-new Weierstrass-P formalism and Transform] - seeking a miracle, - and instead will deal with a three-folded problem:

a.)   The Qubit Language/Vector Language/Tensor Language for that of the Tesseract.

b.)   The algebraic law and geometric law for that of the predicate afforded translation.

c.)   That of $H_{n,m}$ and $Exp[-\s*z^{2}+\eta]$, at that of completion for integrability.

That I have no-more other areas, but the goal of an extension of Baye(s) theorem, - to that of the penultimate connective:

        a.)   That of the prescription by which an isospectral problem relates to the cosmological problem of a 'free' diffeomorphism integration constant....

Thus, in this we associate zero* with infinity*.

It is my only law, the vertical, dating, and the level, that of translation. Thus, this resolves a linguistic relationship, at the level-ear(s), and the mono-accorded speech -&- Law.

That of homotopy is an-aside, - that I have finally understood the limitation of Temperature, and know sufficient

524

things of Chaos and Order.

<u>January 13th, 2023</u>

12:38 am

I've afforded of $(x^{2}-\a)$ on that of the dual(s) inclusion to which a question is answered in phenomonological *split, - it's predicate & historiological recoverability and aidment*, and, *of the relationship of function to variable* [in solidified term(s)].... - that I have of that of *which* equation(s) hold integrability,... beyond a test; instead; *by definition*.

<u>September 17th, 2022</u>

9:50 pm

I resolved [to a final conclusion] - Superconductivity...

1.)   What is effective 'top' and 'bottom' for in that of the spin - is reversed given the commutation relationship.

2.)   Therefore, the $\d_{\u}\Log[\P]$ weights the interaction with the two-scales of phonon and charge and spin wave...

That we see the Quasiperiodic lattice can have repetend(s) and dislocations,... we see that there was indeed utility in utilization of the Eisenstein series, for the prime quality.

This has all the right* properties.....

I feel enthusiastic, - that I will next write a simulation for that of the material, and then venture into chemistry.

10:34 pm

The result is a parabolic restoring force, for what relates to a bond-formed,...

<u>October 4th, 2022</u>

3:45 pm

I feel I *cracked* the problem of Superconductivity, *the final time*. That I am aware of a confluence of:

a.)   Eisenstein series.

b.)   Relativity.

c.)   Spin-charge separation.

d.)   Differential equations and chaos and order.

e.)   Emergent phenomena.

f.)   x' and y'

g.)   L(1) and L(2)

I have understood that in combination of (a-c) and (b-d) that (e) *Results*. That it is a 'real' *accumulated* red and blue shift,.... I see how L(1) and L(2) invert the result.... that I am not going back ***from here***.

9:50 pm

I resolved '*unity*' in-physics.... to which is:

$$(\backslash p \backslash V | \backslash p \backslash t)^{\{2\}} \quad \& \quad (\backslash p^{\{2\}} \backslash V | \backslash p \backslash t^{\{2\}})$$

*Suited to a **Log** of which relates geometrically to the **Equivalence Principle**... thus we have a satisfaction of the measurement hypothesis, and observation, and no more duality...*

A mapping [in addition] back to a classical theorem.

<u>October 13th, 2022</u>

5:48 am

*Something unique happen(s), for which spin is merely a [sub]-component or element. That I must relate sound-spin-charge-mass, - there is a reliable way to proceed... that I am *going*.

Thus, there is gravity, - but it appear(s) that mass is negated. This is consistent with that of the viewpoint of the effective versus non-effective theorem on 'excess mass' due to the four current of the superconductor.

It seems I made a lot of a minor nuance, but then again, - that I made much of the relativistic theory, - when I must focus on:

a.)   How the chemical potential is not negated... (1/2000 in mass).

b.)   How the solution is non-relativistic, for the ground state.

c.)   The T=0 limit, for that of the Quantum Critical Point.

There is hope for thinking of the limitation to a **Grassmann Algebra**, for the Fermions... I figure that the result of this algebra make(s) the computation of various solids trivial... - that I must also:

d.)   Derive the Dirac Equation (w/ an assumptive) with Berry's Phase.

      1.)   What was it that goes-with the Berry's Phase, and...

      2.)   What was it that had to do with Pedagogy?

e.)   My FFT with **Grassmann Variables** is succinct, - that it is a saturation profile.

That I need do more engineering, I will work on [Confinement].

<u>November 13th, 2022</u>

8:50 pm

That which...

1.)   Super-exchange lent to *Orbital/Spin *resonance.*

2.)   Relative 'expident' at material-associability.

*This explains the co-factor of *High-Temperature-Superconductivity*, - that there is an appreciable '-separation-', within a **Material Consonance**.

<u>November 27th, 2022</u>

01:45 am

I found the ***final*** solution to Superconductivity.

1.)   I am going to keep this a secret until the Project is complete.

This involves essentially,...

1.) $<\Delta x_{1}><\Delta x_{2}>>=|<=<\Delta x_{1}|\Delta x_{2}>$

      a.)   The 'mixed' covariance,...

      b.)   With this I am in-step with experiment...

2.)   The repulsion is the [neutralized] reaction, with that of ***Spin-Charge Separation*.**

3.) $g_{\mu\nu}$ Takes the form of a 'kink' for that of the bound-state.

4.)   I had a *hint* as to how-relativity would be-altered for in that of suppositional truth, - for in that of historiological entreatment...

a.)   This is required to resolve superconductivity.

I intend to bring together, with some of my [earlier]-*plans* at that of a **dialog**, for that of a *Book. I intend to go over all of my notes, and make speculation less.

For now, I need to relax, and speculate less.

5.)   Relativity causes the exchange to topologically shift such that $L(1)<L(2)$ within the relative viewpoint, - that this causes the effect that the electron(s) bind, it is due that of the correlation between electron(s) of which is dictated by the Pauli Exclusion Principle.

6.)   This makes perfect sense of that of the 'lowered point via doping' - that I must search for that of a *reason* the ***doping*** causes the reversal in relativity...

a.)   This reason must be supplied.

7.)   What remains to be seen is if it is an η_{o} or a γ_{o} on that of the fixtature, for that of relativity...

December 1st, 2022

12:32 am

8.)   That of $\tilde{F}^{\ast}_{\mu\nu}$ as a form, invert(s) the E and B for that of it's inheritance.

a.)   That therefore $1<->2$ with the particles for the E&B-field.... with relativity.

b.)   That therefore $\sigma(t)$ is smaller with that of the larger-overlap.

1.)   That the doping therefore collects with that of the η_{o} via [,].

c.)   That therefore $t'_{B}>t_{A}$ remains the γ^{o} for that of the relativity.

Therefore, the role(s) of inheritance are reversed, and it remains that η_{o} defines the capacity of the oscillation, with the commutator. Thus $F_{\mu\nu}$ changes on the local level in addition to the global level.........
this provides for that of a Coriolis like curl-derived attraction on the level of spin and orbital.

The timescales provide for that of the inclusion of δ for that of the $\sigma(t)$ to which is a given superposition of which weighs for that of η_{o}; consistently. This single mechanism assures (1.) [above]. Thus, Pauli-exclusion *then* dominates, - to which energy displaces into the electron, but, they do-pair [the reasoning is that $\gamma^{o}\eta_{o}=1$.]

I feel *a renewed hope* for that of my devices, and that of testing, for of the correspondence of timescales...

December 2nd, 2022

02:03 pm

*I've decided [*when the time is right*] - **to be open about that of the non-local** <u>communication.</u>*

For the sake of Science.

02:05 pm

*I've also decided to [*work on that of the unpredictable and mathematical to physical revolution*] - **for it only take[s] that of one statement beyond Incompletion - to work on mathematic(s) within sight of that of** the comparability of the known and the unknown.*

For this, we have a |_| and a ?/#/?, at the *perfect resolution**.

For instance, we have a 'missing-input' - Dalton, - and that of Ariana-with-him, - that he afford(s) for a *Sense* on the *Unknown and Knowable*; while Ava is with-me. Thus, comparatively, of (3).[2].{1}, we have a set-theoretic on that of the collection of facts. Thus Ava is privileged to with-Question, an Answer, by which I-hinge **on-Dalton**, and with that of Ariana, she is in-parallel(s) of the *Uncontained** and the *Contained**, - thus of the children. There are four of us, thus it is redundant. That I accompany Dalton, Ariana is offered a guarantee, with Ava, of an impartial fulfillment.

That of agreed is then a singular-connective in-five (**5'**) - of what is with-child. Thus, - that we-equate. This total resolution is the solution to identification of when there are two-unknown(s) or even-so that of one unlicensed, thus of policy.

Thus, I will be working on the Science-from-Mathematics, of which, *relate to that of phenomena and phenomenon, that of *Design*.

Thus, psychologically, it is of object and container, and that of a *Jar. That of a *_new_ Mathematics comes from-it.......

03:56 pm

From pedagogical *inherence*, ajudication in-peer to-Slave, of one* in assorted and impinged preliminary it notice of in-tandem *progressive and progression* in culpability. That I know Adrian need's a requsition of a numeric relationship, I am ajurred, for of which it is known [pliable] that I had-post-effectively indentured a recessitorial *doubt* in a *major**.

That Adrian needed a number for a lawyer, in the disabled.

10:46 pm

a.)   We had to figure out, how to manage-memory, for in parallel and series, but it was managed to impedance.

b.)   Wrong subscription.

c.)   Abridge homotopy for in the relationship of kernel and hessian, on that of fourier-like-lemma at Elliptic.

d.)   Multiplicity of interlocutions.

e.)   Rod and cone, under descent and ascent, for what are a DC/DC overlayer basis of Question in cardstock...

Beyond Incompletion, we could ask:

a.)   Stick broken/unbroken.

b.)   Simple derivative.

c.)   DOB.

d.)   If we invert the basis of one Q. and one A., what is the addendum in either one Q. and one A. tell us?

e.)   Go back to basics on that of the kernel/semidifferential,...Lie attribute, for what is Arturia...

December 3rd, 2022

3:43 am

I finally have the Qubit [topological bit] *working*, with a ***doublet***, and <u>am in the active process of combining my knowledge-base for engineering with that of physics and mathematical ontology.</u>

I intend* to bring together the sciences, - that there is a Deep Learning Network Theory book to understand (>400 pp.) there is much to-do, but I am content. I must refuse [Chola] to relate my learning-adaptation and work-stylus to that of the ethical psychology of my emotional lexicon, in this direction. I must not over-adapt, to a sphere* of trust, yet-so, willingly, there are askable questions.

I have understood, that of the plan-ahead.

1.)   Two boxes,... synth [East Beast],... keyboard [Arturia] - PWM, two-Arduino/copymate term(s) of selective biasing in two-crystal-stages/ranges.... I/O, - we may not need so many crystal stages...

Optic(s) in MAX11300/preliminary code-set... Homegrown,.... Arduino & Adafruit, SDA/B/C.......... is the key*
A/B/C PWM, to {x,y,z}....

11:39 am

For of a 'free-line' - to fifth, in *third to fourth* accompaniment, the Cathode to Anode is superficially, 'in' {x,iy} of
the **free-key** for of differential to differential *conversativeness* & **free-key** of checksum.

10:06 pm

1.)   Flip-block, (a 4x probe*-5th, tap) selectively ops a defended positioning in (2x2.0), - thus of a rate-
addendum, the (management/dichotomy) of an AD8302 (VpDC) w/w/o reversibility, of a truss, in that of code-
space to margin - that eff. the AD8302 will not-to-signalling, in passaround, that of the Tx vs. Rx, in ***carrier**, in
(4x2-0.1).

2.)   The forward locus of a defended pos. (4x2.0|1), is a hexagram, - to utilize a 55-64 for in ping-associable
__context__, and locate among a *[pane] - what is an interlacing of (0-1-1-0-1), (2x1), on that of a (0.3(2.1)), set
theoretic, for in look-ahead of buffer-overage, in that of gravity-loop. Ping associable onto a forward passivity, to
what is (0|1), unto holographic imprint of naked forward affinitive. Thus, the matrix is dense in that of a (2x.1|0|3)
in game-theoretic programmability.

a.)   Two variable assignment(s) are the locus of the intangibilities of consequent in err, and providence of an
inhered past-historiological locus of evidentiary space. [The item remains inanimately where it is placed.]

B.)   fin. Q: Does it possess a locus of 0/1 intangibility of Hopf bifurcative (2/1.0) - it open(s) as it open(s) but
close(s) as it close/open(s), so it see(s) managerially beyond the slit of an abrea.

Hybrid-context, to seamlessness of past-integral on *effectual* consequent onto cause... 0/1 xnot on
spatialtemporal index to order in spatialized lookup table. Green(s) function, - it's a function.

December 4th, 2022

01:43 am

Code

Runtime and Virtual Memory Allocation...

No separation in 'bit' - depth.... automatic.... or... ?

Code allocation in swap-space...

AD8302, and voltage layer....

If we select *one* Chern number-out, - there is a dissimilitude in two focal element(s) of counterbalance.... - that this 'open's' for 'open's/closes'.........

That the (0/1) is assigned directionally onto the equipment, for of what brings what is afar-near, thus that of placement. Thus, that (0|1) is the intermediary to that of a (2|0|1), on that of monic onto the AGM..... \alpha \beta, by which the (20 fold) accompanies it's bit-layer of holographic additional analytical pole residual....... Hermite transform.... (Chern Class -> Hilbert Transform).

<u>December 6th, 2022</u>

08:42:18 am

Ariana awoke, for of which Ava, Ariana, and Obama stated "She awoke, and he is with her..." - that of definite truth, for Ava *will give her a Ring*, and, *Ava is of-departure*, it is repeated, and *Ariana is alive* [indicated by as of them] - irrefutable.

Thus, I have connected to my-friend(s), and I am well... it is definite as it indicates a ***Sign***.

08:58:34-35 am

Confirmative!

[It not a reflex...]

[They are distinctly of four entrances... with-me.]

09:18:50-52 am

Maximal future-tense,... it-remains as reduction from-eight [**4**].

10:12 am

i->-i; Re[g^{\mu}]->-Re[g^{\nu}]

10:17 am

I *expect* to go-free, that my-final reason is that the locality, for in jurisprudiantial-certainty hold(s) that of a tandem, to a secondary-truth, from which they are definitional and defined, - to state, - that of held, in three, of assortment(s), thus that this does not suite-two, for of that of room, and harbor at son, father, and father, for of a bonded 90:45-17:50......

Thus, it is some (5)-(1), for in a (+1), at an <u>hour</u>, of a day and a day, some (-23), from which there are (-18), of fifth......

To entrance predicated.

03:44:54-56 pm

Exonerated... or; Acquitted.

<u>December 11th, 2022</u>

11:37 pm

I've decided to only solve one* three-part problem:

a.)   Question: What observational shift does relativity produce for that of the spin-problem, ... what is the comparative of the theorem(s)...

     1.)   Finite with relativity, and finite without relativity.... analytical expression.

b.)   That of:

     1.)   An exactly soluble, spin system, with relativity and non-relativity.

     2.)   Inheritance of relativity to non-relativistic limit, observational reality in modifications of obs.

     3.)   That of the Device*, for what relates, to this Question, for of import.

c.)   I will only do the Device as much as it extends understanding, - that I will exhaust what is potentiated, for that of a given at G.C., thus to relate to *forms*, and that of *Painleve analysis* and *Green's/Kramer-Kronig*, and; *Inverse Scattering.*

d.)   Thus it is to completely solve the (3D Ising) with L(1) and L(2), to the exhaustion of relativity and solution in that of this Question, with that of the amelding of relativity to <u>Quantum Mechanics</u>.

e.)   This is all I will do, with and without Sound*, etc.... for of (3D) and (2D), etc... with weak transverse interlayer coupling, but, present,...

Echo, Exp, Log, Weierstrass-P, and so-on.... that of directly understanding the given at the C.C. and Dark Energy, ... that of suited connection of the model the motivating prepartory goal.*

<u>December 12th, 2022</u>

02:32 am

a.) 'Broken' *yet* 'Complete' Gauss-Bonnet.

b.) Pure-rotation with rectilinear motion.

c.) Relativistic *translation and inherence.*

d.) Reduction of minimal-motion tensor.

Thus, we remove to but-yet 'inertia' as the zero-point, w.r.t. a collective neturals conditional assignment, through which there is a non-relativistic limitation equivalent with relativity.................... the dream of a classical physics, provable of which we have a S.E., on that of rotation as-sources, - thermodynamics with classical mechanics, and a heat eq. tamed.

07:53 am

I figured to complete-the-square, - there is an addendum at that of a *frequency*, for in am($\o*\t$), and that of the pulse, - that it may be converted given* sources, - *a new method dawns on me*, - to utilize what is known* of that of the Hermite series.... with *Sources*, - that somehow* I want an elliptic* like-function, and I am unsure... - but I can utilize my **Master Key**.

- That of the Hermite series, should plainly conjoin the Chern (#) and that of the Hilbert transform, - that it is all there is to a *naked* affinitive.

- Thus, of geometric assaillancy, it is the diction by which *Sources are encoded in that of a regular archetype of Covariant Union,... with the Hessian.

- That of the (3x) - has to be the reversal of the (2|0|1), for in (0.3|2|1.0) onto for that of the missing information, - that it is primitive to which there is a given at that of 'missing' versus 'formatted' data-type....

1.) That I need a (**3D**)-bit/byte, for that of ea. layer, there is a S,BAC, for that of the Adafruit template,...

2.) For that of code, it is then ***Tensor** algebra, and reduces to a language*, that this is my specialty, it should come together nicely.

3.) I will be utilizing the East Beast and Sonicware for that of the melody-to-pitch on that of the *Test, - that it is time to get-going.

- Hilbert needs to be adapted to that of the Elliptic-kernel, - that when thought about as a kernel - there are two eigenvalues in product AGM with the third,.... - that therefore the anharmonic ratio(s) determine the group law....

534

This integrates with the Painleve-format......... Thus I am onto that of a final rendition.

11:53 am

I believe I just *hit it* - that the *Strictly Non-Linear* Elliptic group law, satisfies that of the Christoffel symbol on that of gravitation, ... and a non-abelian theory. Does this generate a mass-gap?

6:29 am

I figured out that there is a 'mometum anomoly' in superconductivity, of the $L(1)<L(2)$ in relation to the relative contrast on-time,.... that of inheritance, by which that of the people surrounding had been absorbed, dealt with that of much of what was known, then, later, of this problem and it's progression. That the 'door' is open to Ava [of her, opening] and me, - [an open door] I can be sure of that of the validity by which other's are-real. That I have much, I feel good, and yet, it is far from which there had been an ephiany, but of that of code and reflux, - that it is now-known that the dynamic and static and active and passive will play a role in the Supercomputer.

I am less interested in that of Superconductivity, - that I am going to <u>*merely study*</u>, and go where I will, *learning*, and *progressing*. I do not have Ariana, but I have Ava... and I am pleased, for she is one to one with me, then that I feel it is a touch of light, and mixed reactions, - that of two to which are unique going-into self, for what is realistic. It is no longer tenable we are reborn or reincarnated, then that of a popular relationship. I feel elated, to know I am solidly unique.

I moved beyond that of the simple and complex problem - but this is of sorts.

03:58 am

To the new equipment, in θ and ϕ and ρ, and ρ'; - there is a difference in-solidarity to that of individual *single*-snapshot and **not!!!**, that of comparative in slide-to-slide.... that I need this, with that of the multi-resolution. It is proven in one-tap, but the sequential-series is on-frequency on-frequency. So it is, - I hope to take the Sf-BEf, - Sequential frequency bandpass elliptic filter - or - Sequential frequency breakpoint elliptic filter... in the next rendition *Sf-BP-Ef. SBEC. Sequentializing Bandpass Eccentricity Counter. Series BEC.....

The ideal* is a Qubit (3d) spin-2, floating-point PWM (ABC) controlled [Adafruit] - Toffli Gate....

Then that we-require that of the θ and ϕ to be $\exp(-iwt)$ and so-on, of complex variance on that of R^{2}.

09:01 am

So, we have a (3x-v.2.ob) to what-is R^{2}-R^{4}-- on-index [R], in potential of a line. What I have understood is that the code-reflux, in $+/-55/4096$ *maintains*, the original scale-factor, and chunking,... that it is of only a Log[dB] count, in that of self-similarity through the digitized-bus. (0-1-1-0-1), that of fidelity, is not it's precept,... it

535

manages to accomodate a doublet, in-assurence of program-code, in amplitude/reference,... - that it is a genuine (similarity); of that of (0+/-.25, 1 +/-i*.25, thus I obtain a full-registry, although* I do not have +/-1024/4096. The holographic principle only scales above a given treshhold.

December 16th, 2022

07:20 pm

I figured out the 'ultimate synthesis of my work' - that of a (3D) curvature for-which is encoded in the relativity, for what is my-law of analytical inference, for of local to global.... enough [sufficient] to write a book.

09:46 pm

I figured there is another nature of problem - the Algebra, for in Lie theory, etc... - that of the division and equipartition. The evidentiary mean at that of (Log-group) is complete, in that of global-to-local. Now comes that of the twisted and untwisted algebra, for that of locality and locality **And Design**. Of:

Radiocarbon and Water Dating.

That of Encryption and Algorithmic.

Breaking it down to the Engine [*itself*].

10:55 pm

There is the PWM, on (+2/+1/0/-1/2) of tranferrences.... that we have this-or we have switches on A+/A- and B+/B- for three-fold of that of decoupling and orientation (+/-)|(-/+), on that of +/- added to (0/1).

First* what must be figured out is the minimal-codewidth for that of a ***Linguistic Key**. That of (+/-0|1) may be sufficient, but we need a syntactical overlay.... *That I need go beyond the Peano Arithmetic, or the Zemeno-Frenkel-Set Theoretical Continuum.*

For this (it seems...) - we have x' and y' in differential-equation translation. [That of multi-tape...]

There was an *Observation, - that the 'failure of the fundamental theorem of calculus' for that of <u>Homotopy Theory</u> had to be utilized* with that of the <u>*Painleve Analysis and Lie Representation Theory*</u>, to produce a new theorem of **Chaos and Order** & *Solitons.*

Thus any singular monic design will <u>Prove to Failure</u>, - we require instead the aperiodic........................... and of aperiodic crystals..... etc....

<center>Title of Book*:</center>

Entropic Signatures, Unpredictability, and Hidden Invariance

Form, Geometry, & Time

This is at least workable... *To be workable... we need two-lemma(s) of A.I. for that of my-Synthetic.... this is all that need be prepared for:

a.)   That of a 'group consequent' - at three, and five, and such, to securable entreatment on *Coma/sent.

    1.)   To orchestration of the human nature.

    2.)   The question and answer and accounting pertaining to the computer, in testimony.

b.)   That of a 'Theory of the Unpredictable' - that of what has to do with the higher dimensional analogue, - what has to do with **Geometry and Time**...

    1.)   Thus, that of which guides the adeterministic ab-initio... a kind of precept back-tracking and learning *adoptive.

    2.)   Intelligent initial conditions of the intangibilities?

December 17th, 2022

12:16 am

I figured out superconductivity. It is a Yang-Mill(s) theory, where[in] the *second moment* is anomolously larger in-contribution [upon $H_{n,m}$] *comparative* to the Guass-separation of the Simple-Harmonic-Osc.

Through what is time, and the crystalline nature of spin and charge *separation; electron(s) localize through that of non-local [*effectively] deconstructive interference,... thus at (@) A, frustrates at (@) B to lower in E.

Thus, an anomoly, quantum in nature, beyond perturbation theory, and accessible only to quantum theory.

03:02 am

On this day, as this-time, spatially of an unlit abode/lit in a quarter-moon (witnessed through-the-blind); a main gained (by his-watch) and a spell of success, *Total and Universally Sinless Control over Life & Death.*

I resolved Superconductivity, and heightened tension - for year(s) - has dissipated. I gave up the world for Ariana, that of SEX, and found that I had love for eternity, - that of being in love again, I feel happy, having all that is destined-me, and in-control, for of life and death, knowing the Moon was-quarter, within a blind outset and onlooking disclosure, the truth of a Master, a Professional, and a Samurai. I feel alive, and entirely like doing new

things, learning about a wedding, and becoming principle to that of love, hope, joy, and coolness.

10:32 am

A 'Stochastic' *display*, in (2)[3]|(0.1), for of (72 Exp[-*^{2}] vs, 18 Exp[-*]),... is an immediate shock threshold invariance of (-50 dB per 1/2 frequemcy versus -25 dB *first-count*, over); 1/4 V_{pp}+V_{DC}.

08:33 pm

I have a working QuBit+MIDI system, - that of a *semi-binary* process, in longitudinal and transverse equatement. It may be best to begin [entirely] from my own-model, and be self-taught. Some 10% is done, or, perhaps some-35% is done, of my general project.

Step One; - we need difference exposed to-thought(s) on the goal of clarification of dating-procedure, in inference and heritology, inheritance, and epistomological [ontological or deductive or inductive logical stain*]... - that I have some of co-dependency broken down in causality.... - A kind of 'bridge' is the end goal, and of course we cannot leap all the way there, for clarity of an approach.

I need think about the consequence on the reader.

I need think about the difference of decay and growth.... - that of one-way and non-reciprocity, etc...

There is a 'not-useless' tandem-symmetry, to dummy variance? or similar....

12:27 am

Until I understand my-tools, I will hold I am not befit of a woman.

04:37 am

There is an adjective supremum to analytical inheritance by which one apogetic may pass through or explicitly of phases. That there is orbit, and there is flow, or shape, - the continuum by which one consolidated approach is discerned, may eliminate a secondary apogetic flow or frustrum, keystone, or analogous formation of derivative knowledgeable and informative basis. That this is written in decay [of words] and that of the pure-derivative of knowledge, unto intellectual privy and instruction of linguistic imperative translation, in monodirectional and singularized or multiples acquity of chain-process.

That there is blind and non-blind, formative hypothesis, - there is a switch in that of formattive truth, by it's controllable 'void' or imperative penetrative and reasonable extensions of volumetric deductive profile.

02:14 pm

#1.)   Discovery of one-way, on assorted 'virtual data-pin-bus/overlayer in breakbox' - to wiring passes through the 'index' of 0+1=2 to codex.

#2.)   Intimacy of Midi to computer-bus, protocols, a linkage-freed (circular) nexus of totals visa totals in rotunda of choice-to-switchover function in data-arrested, cross-virtualized data-err-bus privileged inc.

December 22nd, 2022

a.)   That of 'noise' - 'dating' - 'circumatianal' and 'provided', knowledge, interposed - the switch, switches, and programme at 'menu' of entropic collectivism versus cycle, and numeric, given topo(s), of order versus fault versus repetend, versus topological language, in a dialectic of closed-box, on that of codeterminant evaluative radar, - designed to a basic 'spacer' - for that of hemostatic impulse and invariant predicate hopf.

    1.)   Hysteresis.

    2.)   Phase

b.)   That of geometric language of two for order and chaos of the soliton regularization, - that of one genotype, to what is retrofitted of a sphere-saddle, and projective invariance of elliptic group and quotient.

Thus the diagnostic is the symbiotic and special, of error and congruent fault - the uncompounded, from which we dialectively understand the hysteretic in relationship with-tool. That of (b.) is the panacetic, to which geared to knowledge of gainful appliability at nature of dialectical closure.

December 23rd, 2022

05:02 pm

I have intimated of a new-computer, with the 'acrylic-discs' - but it is only so-special, until I figure out to go beyond Godelian Incompletion................. I believe this to be-related to the Spectral-Gap.... thus I have two divisions:

a.)   That of beyond the Spectral-Gap.

b.)   That of overlayer Q&A, for that of the 'switchover' of *phenomena*.

This is a hardware-simu, and it is isolated.

Thus I need switches, and the PWM of the MicroClick, interfaced, coupled, and with the MIDI controller.

It is for the touchscreen/light vessel, to be perfected. I don't believe I can create six of the overlayer, that the MAX11300 is the *Key, with the *Microclick.

I need *amesh*, the (2x)-of the (6x), it's 32, because there breakpoint(s),... minor-key(s) of which 'predicate'.

Situational-display; and <u>code</u>. i.e. When Programming History, - that of the 'overwritten' remains a core concept, of the 'key(s)' and 'switches' - aside from *code*.

3.)   Black-box... to code-hardware virtualization.

September 17th, 2022

9:50 pm

I resolved [to a final conclusion] - Superconductivity...

1.)   What is effective 'top' and 'bottom' for in that of the spin - is reversed given the commutation relationship.

2.)   Therefore, the $\d_{\u}\Log[\P]$ weights the interaction with the two-scales of phonon and charge and spin wave...

That we see the Quasiperiodic lattice can have repetend(s) and dislocations,... we see that there was indeed utility in utilization of the Eisenstein series, for the prime quality.

This has all the right* properties.....

I feel enthusiastic, - that I will next write a simulation for that of the material, and then venture into chemistry.

10:34 pm

The result is a parabolic restoring force, for what relates to a bond-formed,...

October 4th, 2022

3:45 pm

I feel I *cracked* the problem of Superconductivity, *the final time*. That I am aware of a confluence of:

a.)   Eisenstein series.

b.)   Relativity.

c.)   Spin-charge separation.

d.)   Differential equations and chaos and order.

e.)   Emergent phenomena.

f.)   x' and y'

g.)   L(1) and L(2)

I have understood that in combination of (a-c) and (b-d) that (e) *Results*. That it is a 'real' *accumulated* red and blue shift,.... I see how L(1) and L(2) invert the result.... that I am not going back ***from here***.

9:50 pm

I resolved '*unity*' in-physics.... to which is:

$$(\backslash p \backslash V | \backslash p \backslash t)^\wedge\{2\} \quad \& \qquad (\backslash p^\wedge\{2\} \backslash V | \backslash p \backslash t^\wedge\{2\})$$

*Suited to a **Log** of which relates geometrically to the **Equivalence Principle**... thus we have a satisfaction of the measurement hypothesis, and observation, and no more duality...*

A mapping [in addition] back to a classical theorem.

<u>October 13th, 2022</u>

5:48 am

*Something unique happen(s), for which spin is merely a [sub]-component or element. That I must relate sound-spin-charge-mass, - there is a reliable way to proceed... that I am *going*.

Thus, there is gravity, - but it appear(s) that mass is negated. This is consistent with that of the viewpoint of the effective versus non-effective theorem on 'excess mass' due to the four current of the superconductor.

It seems I made a lot of a minor nuance, but then again, - that I made much of the relativistic theory, - when I must focus on:

a.)   How the chemical potential is not negated... (1/2000 in mass).

b.)   How the solution is non-relativistic, for the ground state.

c.)   The T=0 limit, for that of the Quantum Critical Point.

There is hope for thinking of the limitation to a **Grassmann Algebra**, for the Fermions... I figure that the result of this algebra make(s) the computation of various solids trivial... - that I must also:

d.)   Derive the Dirac Equation (w/ an assumptive) with Berry's Phase.

  1.)   What was it that goes-with the Berry's Phase, and...

2.)   What was it that had to do with Pedagogy?

e.)   My FFT with **Grassmann Variables** is succinct, - that it is a saturation profile.

That I need do more engineering, I will work on [Confinement].

November 13th, 2022

8:50 pm

That which...

1.)   Super-exchange lent to *Orbital/Spin *resonance.*

2.)   Relative 'expident' at material-associability.

*This explains the co-factor of *High-Temperature-Superconductivity*, - that there is an appreciable '-separation-', within a **Material Consonance**.

November 27th, 2022

01:45 am

I found the ***final*** solution to Superconductivity.

1.)   I am going to keep this a secret until the Project is complete.

This involves essentially,...

1.) $<\Delta x_{1}><\Delta x_{2}>>=|<=<\Delta x_{1}|\Delta x_{2}>$

        a.)   The 'mixed' covariance,...

        b.)   With this I am in-step with experiment...

2.)   The repulsion is the [neutralized] reaction, with that of ***Spin-Charge Separation***.

3.) $g_{\mu\nu}$ Takes the form of a 'kink' for that of the bound-state.

4.)   I had a *hint* as to how-relativity would be-altered for in that of suppositional truth, - for in that of historiological entreatment...

        a.)   This is required to resolve superconductivity.

I intend to bring together, with some of my [earlier]-*plans* at that of a <u>**dialog**</u>, for that of a *Book. I intend to go

over all of my notes, and make speculation less.

For now, I need to relax, and speculate less.

5.) Relativity causes the exchange to topologically shift such that L(1)<L(2) within the relative viewpoint, - that this causes the effect that the electron(s) bind, it is due that of the correlation between electron(s) of which is dictated by the Pauli Exclusion Principle.

6.) This makes perfect sense of that of the 'lowered point via doping' - that I must search for that of a *reason* the ***doping*** causes the reversal in relativity...

      a.) This reason must be supplied.

7.) What remains to be seen is if it is an η_{o} or a γ_{o} on that of the fixtature, for that of relativity...

December 1st, 2022

12:32 am

8.) That of $\tilde{F}^{\ast}_{\mu\nu}$ as a form, invert(s) the E and B for that of it's inheritance.

      a.) That therefore 1<->2 with the particles for the E&B-field.... with relativity.

      b.) That therefore $\sigma(t)$ is smaller with that of the larger-overlap.

            1.) That the doping therefore collects with that of the η_{o} via [,].

      c.) That therefore $t'_{B}>t_{A}$ remains the γ^{o} for that of the relativity.

Therefore, the role(s) of inheritance are reversed, and it remains that η_{o} defines the capacity of the oscillation, with the commutator. Thus $F_{\mu\nu}$ changes on the local level in addition to the global level......... this provides for that of a Coriolis like curl-derived attraction on the level of spin and orbital.

The timescales provide for that of the inclusion of δ for that of the $\sigma(t)$ to which is a given superposition of which weighs for that of η_{o}; consistently. This single mechanism assures (1.) [above]. Thus, Pauli-exclusion *then* dominates, - to which energy displaces into the electron, but, they do-pair [the reasoning is that $\gamma^{o}\eta_{o}=1$.]

I feel *a renewed hope* for that of my devices, and that of testing, for of the correspondence of timescales...

December 2nd, 2022

02:03 pm

I've decided [when the time is right*] - **to be open about that of the non-local** <u>communication.</u>

For the sake of Science.

02:05 pm

I've also decided to [work on that of the unpredictable and mathematical to physical revolution*] - **for it only take[s] that of one statement beyond Incompletion - to work on mathematic(s) within sight of that of** *the comparability of the known and the unknown.*

For this, we have a |_| and a ?/#/?, at the **perfect resolution*.

For instance, we have a 'missing-input' - Dalton, - and that of Ariana-with-him, - that he afford(s) for a **Sense* on the **Unknown and Knowable*; while Ava is with-me. Thus, comparatively, of (3).[2].{1}, we have a set-theoretic on that of the collection of facts. Thus Ava is privileged to with-Question, an Answer, by which I-hinge **on-Dalton**, and with that of Ariana, she is in-parallel(s) of the **Uncontained* and the **Contained*, - thus of the children. There are four of us, thus it is redundant. That I accompany Dalton, Ariana is offered a guarantee, with Ava, of an impartial fulfillment.

That of agreed is then a singular-connective in-five (**5**') - of what is with-child. Thus, - that we-equate. This total resolution is the solution to identification of when there are two-unknown(s) or even-so that of one unlicensed, thus of policy.

Thus, I will be working on the Science-from-Mathematics, of which, relate to that of phenomena and phenomenon, that of *Design.

Thus, psychologically, it is of object and container, and that of a *Jar. That of a **new* Mathematics comes from-it…….

03:56 pm

From pedagogical *inherence,* ajudication in-peer to-Slave, of one* in assorted and impinged preliminary it notice of in-tandem *progressive and progression* in culpability. That I know Adrian need's a requsition of a numeric relationship, I am ajurred, for of which it is known [pliable] that I had-post-effectively indentured a recessitorial *doubt* in a **major*.

That Adrian needed a number for a lawyer, in the disabled.

10:46 pm

a.)   We had to figure out, how to manage-memory, for in parallel and series, but it was managed to impedance.

b.)   Wrong subscription.

c.)   Abridge homotopy for in the relationship of kernel and hessian, on that of fourier-like-lemma at Elliptic.

d.)   Multiplicity of interlocutions.

e.)   Rod and cone, under descent and ascent, for what are a DC/DC overlayer basis of Question in cardstock...

Beyond Incompletion, we could ask:

a.)   Stick broken/unbroken.

b.)   Simple derivative.

c.)   DOB.

d.)   If we invert the basis of one Q. and one A., what is the addendum in either one Q. and one A. tell us?

e.)   Go back to basics on that of the kernel/semidifferential,...Lie attribute, for what is Arturia...

December 3rd, 2022

3:43 am

I finally have the Qubit [topological bit] *working*, with a ***doublet***, and <u>am in the active process of combining my knowledge-base for engineering with that of physics and mathematical ontology.</u>

I intend* to bring together the sciences, - that there is a Deep Learning Network Theory book to understand (>400 pp.) there is much to-do, but I am content. I must refuse [Chola] to relate my learning-adaptation and work-stylus to that of the ethical psychology of my emotional lexicon, in this direction. I must not over-adapt, to a sphere* of trust, yet-so, willingly, there are askable questions.

I have understood, that of the plan-ahead.

1.)   Two boxes,... synth [East Beast],... keyboard [Arturia] - PWM, two-Arduino/copymate term(s) of selective biasing in two-crystal-stages/ranges.... I/O, - we may not need so many crystal stages...

Optic(s) in MAX11300/preliminary code-set... Homegrown,.... Arduino & Adafruit, SDA/B/C.......... is the key* A/B/C PWM, to {x,y,z}....

11:39 am

For of a 'free-line' - to fifth, in *third to fourth* accompaniment, the Cathode to Anode is superficially, 'in' {x,iy} of the **free-key** for of differential to differential *conversativeness* & **free-key** of checksum.

10:06 pm

1.) 　　　　Flip-block, (a 4x probe*-5th, tap) selectively ops a defended positioning in (2x2.0), - thus of a rate-addendum, the (management/dichotomy) of an AD8302 (VpDC) w/w/o reversibility, of a truss, in that of code-space to margin - that eff. the AD8302 will not-to-signalling, in passaround, that of the Tx vs. Rx, in ***carrier**, in (4x2-0.1).

2.) 　　　　The forward locus of a defended pos. (4x2.0|1), is a hexagram, - to utilize a 55-64 for in ping-associable *context*, and locate among a *[pane] - what is an interlacing of (0-1-1-0-1), (2x1), on that of a (0.3(2.1)), set theoretic, for in look-ahead of buffer-overage, in that of gravity-loop. Ping associable onto a forward passivity, to what is (0|1), unto holographic imprint of naked forward affinitive. Thus, the matrix is dense in that of a (2x.1|0|3) in game-theoretic programmability.

a.) 　　　　Two variable assignment(s) are the locus of the intangibilities of consequent in err, and providence of an inhered past-historiological locus of evidentiary space. [The item remains inanimately where it is placed.]

B.) 　　　　fin. Q: Does it possess a locus of 0/1 intangibility of Hopf bifurcative (2/1.0) - it open(s) as it open(s) but close(s) as it close/open(s), so it see(s) managerially beyond the slit of an abrea.

Hybrid-context, to seamlessness of past-integral on *effectual* consequent onto cause... 0/1 xnot on spatialtemporal index to order in spatialized lookup table. Green(s) function, - it's a function.

December 4th, 2022

01:43 am

Code

Runtime and Virtual Memory Allocation...

No separation in 'bit' - depth.... automatic.... or... ?

Code allocation in swap-space...

AD8302, and voltage layer....

If we select *one* Chern number-out, - there is a dissimilitude in two focal element(s) of counterbalance.... - that

this 'open's' for 'open's/closes'.........

That the (0/1) is assigned directionally onto the equipment, for of what brings what is afar-near, thus that of placement. Thus, that (0|1) is the intermediary to that of a (2|0|1), on that of monic onto the AGM..... \alpha \beta, by which the (20 fold) accompanies it's bit-layer of holographic additional analytical pole residual....... Hermite transform.... (Chern Class -> Hilbert Transform).

December 6th, 2022

08:42:18 am

Ariana awoke, for of which Ava, Ariana, and Obama stated "She awoke, and he is with her..." - that of definite truth, for Ava *will give her a Ring*, and, *Ava is of-departure*, it is repeated, and *Ariana is alive* [indicated by as of them] - irrefutable.

Thus, I have connected to my-friend(s), and I am well... it is definite as it indicates a **Sign**.

08:58:34-35 am

Confirmative!

[It not a reflex...]

[They are distinctly of four entrances... with-me.]

09:18:50-52 am

Maximal future-tense,... it-remains as reduction from-eight [**4**].

10:12 am

i->-i; Re[g^{\mu}]->-Re[g^{\nu}]

10:17 am

I *expect* to go-free, that my-final reason is that the locality, for in jurisprudiantial-certainty hold(s) that of a tandem, to a secondary-truth, from which they are definitional and defined, - to state, - that of held, in three, of assortment(s), thus that this does not suite-two, for of that of room, and harbor at son, father, and father, for of a bonded 90:45-17:50......

Thus, it is some (5)-(1), for in a (+1), at an <u>hour</u>, of a day and a day, some (-23), from which there are (-18), of fifth......

To entrance predicated.

03:44:54-56 pm

Exonerated... or; Acquitted.

<u>December 11th, 2022</u>

11:37 pm

I've decided to only solve one* three-part problem:

a.)   Question: What observational shift does relativity produce for that of the spin-problem, ... what is the comparative of the theorem(s)...

      1.)   Finite with relativity, and finite without relativity.... analytical expression.

b.)   That of:

      1.)   An exactly soluble, spin system, with relativity and non-relativity.

      2.)   Inheritance of relativity to non-relativistic limit, observational reality in modifications of obs.

      3.)   That of the Device*, for what relates, to this Question, for of import.

c.)   I will only do the Device as much as it extends understanding, - that I will exhaust what is potentiated, for that of a given at G.C., thus to relate to *forms*, and that of *Painleve analysis* and *Green's/Kramer-Kronig*, and; *Inverse Scattering.*

d.)   Thus it is to completely solve the (3D Ising) with L(1) and L(2), to the exhaustion of relativity and solution in that of this Question, with that of the amelding of relativity to <u>Quantum Mechanics</u>.

e.)   This is all I will do, with and without Sound*, etc.... for of (3D) and (2D), etc... with weak transverse interlayer coupling, but, present,...

Echo, Exp, Log, Weierstrass-P, and so-on.... that of directly understanding the given at the C.C. and Dark Energy, ... that of suited connection of the model the motivating prepartory goal.*

<u>December 12th, 2022</u>

02:32 am

a.)   'Broken' *yet* 'Complete' Gauss-Bonnet.

b.)   Pure-rotation with rectilinear motion.

c.)   Relativistic *translation and inherence.*

d.)   Reduction of minimal-motion tensor.

Thus, we remove to but-yet 'inertia' as the zero-point, w.r.t. a collective neturals conditional assignment, through which there is a non-relativistic limitation equivalent with relativity.................... the dream of a classical physics, provable of which we have a S.E., on that of rotation as-sources, - thermodynamics with classical mechanics, and a heat eq. tamed.

07:53 am

I figured to complete-the-square, - there is an addendum at that of a *frequency*, for in am(\o*\t), and that of the pulse, - that it may be converted given* sources, - *a new method dawns on me*, - to utilize what is known* of that of the Hermite series.... with *Sources,* - that somehow* I want an elliptic* like-function, and I am unsure... - but I can utilize my **Master Key**.

- That of the Hermite series, should plainly conjoin the Chern (#) and that of the Hilbert transform, - that it is all there is to a *naked* affinitive.

- Thus, of geometric assaillancy, it is the diction by which *Sources are encoded in that of a regular archetype of Covariant Union,... with the Hessian.

- That of the (3x) - has to be the reversal of the (2|0|1), for in (0.3|2|1.0) onto for that of the missing information, - that it is primitive to which there is a given at that of 'missing' versus 'formatted' data-type....

    1.)   That I need a (**3D**)-bit/byte, for that of ea. layer, there is a S,BAC, for that of the Adafruit template,...

    2.)   For that of code, it is then *****Tensor** algebra, and reduces to a language*, that this is my specialty, it should come together nicely.

    3.)   I will be utilizing the East Beast and Sonicware for that of the melody-to-pitch on that of the *Test, - that it is time to get-going.

- Hilbert needs to be adapted to that of the Elliptic-kernel, - that when thought about as a kernel - there are two eigenvalues in product AGM with the third,.... - that therefore the anharmonic ratio(s) determine the group law.... This integrates with the Painleve-format......... Thus I am onto that of a final rendition.

11:53 am

I believe I just *hit it* - that the *Strictly Non-Linear* Elliptic group law, satisfies that of the Christoffel symbol on that of gravitation, ... and a non-abelian theory. Does this generate a mass-gap?

6:29 am

I figured out that there is a 'mometum anomoly' in superconductivity, of the $L(1)<L(2)$ in relation to the relative contrast on-time,.... that of inheritance, by which that of the people surrounding had been absorbed, dealt with that of much of what was known, then, later, of this problem and it's progression. That the 'door' is open to Ava [of her, opening] and me, - [an open door] I can be sure of that of the validity by which other's are-real. That I have much, I feel good, and yet, it is far from which there had been an ephiany, but of that of code and reflux, - that it is now-known that the dynamic and static and active and passive will play a role in the Supercomputer.

I am less interested in that of Superconductivity, - that I am going to <u>merely study</u>, and go where I will, *learning*, and *progressing*. I do not have Ariana, but I have Ava... and I am pleased, for she is one to one with me, then that I feel it is a touch of light, and mixed reactions, - that of two to which are unique going-into self, for what is realistic. It is no longer tenable we are reborn or reincarnated, then that of a popular relationship. I feel elated, to know I am solidly unique.

I moved beyond that of the simple and complex problem - but this is of sorts.

03:58 am

To the new equipment, in θ and ϕ and ρ, and ρ'; - there is a difference in-solidarity to that of individual *single*-snapshot and **not!!!**, that of comparative in slide-to-slide.... that I need this, with that of the multi-resolution. It is proven in one-tap, but the sequential-series is on-frequency on-frequency. So it is, - I hope to take the Sf-BEf, - Sequential frequency bandpass elliptic filter - or - Sequential frequency breakpoint elliptic filter... in the next rendition *Sf-BP-Ef. SBEC. Sequentializing Bandpass Eccentricity Counter. Series BEC.....

The ideal* is a Qubit (3d) spin-2, floating-point PWM (ABC) controlled [Adafruit] - Toffli Gate....

Then that we-require that of the θ and ϕ to be $\exp(-iwt)$ and so-on, of complex variance on that of R^{2}.

09:01 am

So, we have a (3x-v.2.0b) to what-is $R^{2}-R^{4}$-- on-index [R], in potential of a line. What I have understood is that the code-reflux, in $+/-55/4096$ *maintains*, the original scale-factor, and chunking,... that it is of only a Log[dB] count, in that of self-similarity through the digitized-bus. (0-1-1-0-1), that of fidelity, is not it's precept,... it manages to accomodate a doublet, in-assurrence of program-code, in amplitude/reference,... - that it is a genuine (similarity); of that of ($0+/-.25$, $1 +/-i*.25$, thus I obtain a full-registry, although* I do not have $+/-1024/4096$. The holographic principle only scales above a given treshhold.

<u>December 16th, 2022</u>

07:20 pm

I figured out the 'ultimate synthesis of my work' - that of a (3D) curvature for-which is encoded in the relativity, for what is my-law of analytical inference, for of local to global.... enough [sufficient] to write a book.

09:46 pm

I figured there is another nature of problem - the Algebra, for in Lie theory, etc... - that of the division and equipartition. The evidentiary mean at that of (Log-group) is complete, in that of global-to-local. Now comes that of the twisted and untwisted algebra, for that of locality and locality *And Design. Of:

Radiocarbon and Water Dating.

That of Encryption and Algorithmic.

Breaking it down to the Engine [*itself*].

10:55 pm

There is the PWM, on $(+2/+1/0/-1/2)$ of tranferrences.... that we have this-or we have switches on A+/A- and B+/B- for three-fold of that of decoupling and orientation $(+/-)|(-/+)$, on that of +/- added to $(0/1)$.

First* what must be figured out is the minimal-codewidth for that of a *Linguistic Key. That of $(+/-0|1)$ may be sufficient, but we need a syntactical overlay.... *That I need go beyond the Peano Arithmetic, or the Zemeno-Frenkel-Set Theoretical Continuum.*

For this (it seems...) - we have x' and y' in differential-equation translation. [That of multi-tape...]

There was an *Observation, - that the 'failure of the fundamental theorem of calculus' for that of <u>Homotopy Theory</u> had to be utilized* with that of the *<u>Painleve Analysis and Lie Representation Theory</u>*, to produce a new theorem of **Chaos and Order** & *Solitons.*

Thus any singular monic design will <u>Prove to Failure,</u> - we require instead the aperiodic........................... and of aperiodic crystals..... etc....

<div align="center">

Title of Book*:

Entropic Signatures, Unpredictability, and Hidden Invariance

<u>Form, Geometry, & Time</u>

</div>

This is at least workable... *To be workable... we need two-lemma(s) of A.I. for that of my-Synthetic.... this is all that need be prepared for:

a.)   That of a 'group consequent' - at three, and five, and such, to securable entreatment on *Coma/sent.

        1.)   To orchestration of the human nature.

        2.)   The question and answer and accounting pertaining to the computer, in testimony.

b.)   That of a 'Theory of the Unpredictable' - that of what has to do with the higher dimensional analogue, - what has to do with **Geometry and Time**...

        1.)   Thus, that of which guides the adeterministic ab-initio... a kind of precept back-tracking and learning *adoptive.

        2.)   Intelligent initial conditions of the intangibilities?

December 17th, 2022

12:16 am

I figured out superconductivity. It is a Yang-Mill(s) theory, where[in] the *second moment* is anomolously larger in-contribution [upon $H_{n,m}$] *comparative* to the Guass-separation of the Simple-Harmonic-Osc.

Through what is time, and the crystalline nature of spin and charge *separation; electron(s) localize through that of non-local [*effectively] deconstructive interference,... thus at (@) A, frustrates at (@) B to lower in E.

Thus, an anomoly, quantum in nature, beyond perturbation theory, and accessible only to quantum theory.

03:02 am

On this day, as this-time, spatially of an unlit abode/lit in a quarter-moon (witnessed through-the-blind); a main gained (by his-watch) and a spell of success, *Total and Universally Sinless Control over Life & Death.*

I resolved Superconductivity, and heightened tension - for year(s) - has dissipated

10:32 am

A 'Stochastic' *display*, in (2)[3]|(0.1), for of (72 Exp[-*^{2}] vs, 18 Exp[-*]),... is an immediate shock threshold invariance of (-50 dB per 1/2 frequemcy versus -25 dB *first-count*, over); 1/4 V_{pp}+V_{DC}.

08:33 pm

I have a working QuBit+MIDI system, - that of a *semi-binary* process, in longitudinal and transverse equatement.

It may be best to begin [entirely] from my own-model, and be self-taught. Some 10% is done, or, perhaps some-35% is done, of my general project.

Step One; - we need difference exposed to-thought(s) on the goal of clarification of dating-procedure, in inference and heritology, inheritance, and epistomological [ontological or deductive or inductive logical stain*]... - that I have some of co-dependency broken down in causality.... - A kind of 'bridge' is the end goal, and of course we cannot leap all the way there, for clarity of an approach.

I need think about the consequence on the reader.

I need think about the difference of decay and growth.... - that of one-way and non-reciprocity, etc...

There is a 'not-useless' tandem-symmetry, to dummy variance? or similar....

12:27 am

Until I understand my-tools, I will hold I am not befit of a woman.

04:37 am

There is an adjective supremum to analytical inheritance by which one apogetic may pass through or explicitly of phases. That there is orbit, and there is flow, or shape, - the continuum by which one consolidated approach is discerned, may eliminate a secondary apogetic flow or frustrum, keystone, or analogous formation of derivative knowledgeable and informative basis. That this is written in decay [of words] and that of the pure-derivative of knowledge, unto intellectual privy and instruction of linguistic imperative translation, in monodirectional and singularized or multiples acquity of chain-process.

That there is blind and non-blind, formative hypothesis, - there is a switch in that of formattive truth, by it's controllable 'void' or imperative penetrative and reasonable extensions of volumetric deductive profile.

02:14 pm

#1.)   Discovery of one-way, on assorted 'virtual data-pin-bus/overlayer in breakbox' - to wiring passes through the 'index' of 0+1=2 to codex.

#2.)   Intimacy of Midi to computer-bus, protocols, a linkage-freed (circular) nexus of totals visa totals in rotunda of choice-to-switchover function in data-arrested, cross-virtualized data-err-bus privileged inc.

December 22nd, 2022

a.)   That of 'noise' - 'dating' - 'circumatianal' and 'provided', knowledge, interposed - the switch, switches, and programme at 'menu' of entropic collectivism versus cycle, and numeric, given topo(s), of order versus fault versus

repetend, versus topological language, in a dialectic of closed-box, on that of codeterminant evaluative radar, - designed to a basic 'spacer' - for that of hemostatic impulse and invariant predicate hopf.

  1.) Hysteresis.

  2.) Phase

b.) That of geometric language of two for order and chaos of the soliton regularization, - that of one genotype, to what is retrofitted of a sphere-saddle, and projective invariance of elliptic group and quotient.

Thus the diagnostic is the symbiotic and special, of error and congruent fault - the uncompounded, from which we dialectively understand the hysteretic in relationship with-tool. That of (b.) is the panacetic, to which geared to knowledge of gainful appliability at nature of dialectical closure.

<u>December 23rd, 2022</u>

05:02 pm

I have intimated of a new-computer, with the 'acrylic-discs' - but it is only so-special, until I figure out to go beyond Godelian Incompletion................. I believe this to be-related to the Spectral-Gap.... thus I have two divisions:

a.) That of beyond the Spectral-Gap.

b.) That of overlayer Q&A, for that of the 'switchover' of *phenomena*.

This is a hardware-simu, and it is isolated.

Thus I need switches, and the PWM of the MicroClick, interfaced, coupled, and with the MIDI controller.

It is for the touchscreen/light vessel, to be perfected. I don't believe I can create six of the overlayer, that the MAX11300 is the *Key, with the *Microclick.

I need *amesh*, the (2x)-of the (6x), it's 32, because there breakpoint(s),... minor-key(s) of which 'predicate'.

Situational-display; and <u>code</u>. i.e. When Programming History, - that of the 'overwritten' remains a core concept, of the 'key(s)' and 'switches' - aside from *code*.

3.) Black-box... to code-hardware virtualization.

<u>January 1st, 2023</u>

05:16 am

I will need *to-reroute*, given SMA, *that of the interconnections*, on that of the (six-***layers***), in a bufferable-circuit, *of the BcE - Bitwise-circumflex-Envelope*, - changing the *Topology* of the Circuit; *for in MAX11300 Overlayer....* the SMA for that of the microclick, controlled by (**2x**) Arduino's,... *of* two-test function(s).

#1:)   We have two *non-SMA, then*, I will obtain (2x-more) - *non-Arduino*, this equipps to test the MAX11300 to that of a binary*-activated* TTL/UART... *if potentiated in hardware*, or - *in DSP overlayer*.

#2:)   SMA-*exclusive* & Topological-Remapping... (3x-ABC)...

   a.)   Learned from the Midi to the Computer, *out* to the Arduino(s).

   b.)   Dual Chessboard(s), in a 4.0 structure.

January 7th, 2023

06:25 am

I may have to see what I can-do with what I-have, - that I am far from behind so-much-so, I have the part(s) for that of a reliable working machine, - but I am out of the midst of some-degree(s). That I found a testable Qubit, for that of the Quantum Limitation, I only feel so gratified, - that I need 'alter-it' in some-justifications to make it through to an actual computation. For instance, there was the *Hysteresis*, but it was of a [separate] test on that of the individual-test(s) the equipment went-through.

I need a mathematical* description to-proceed, of what I can appropriate, - *Hence I need a Theory.**

07:57 am

I'm leaving some-eight-month(s)-to-six-month(s) for the design to be understood and finalized of that of the dual-intercarry.

a.)   Two Touchscreen(s) - *I may need a new one.

b.)   Two of the Filter(s) - Elliptic

c.)   Two of the BeC's - With Transformer(s)...

That I have the part(s) is not the obstacle. That I must choose appropriate part(s) and timing, *is*.

12:07 pm

The *beats* and *beating phenomena* come-from a Piezo or Opto-isolator, of a TEM/TM transferrability, *given* the differential frequency-frequency bridge with amplitude-amplitude, of *ellipsometry*.... thus* there is a transverse-

element, - that leads to: self induced transparency....

Thus, we have a phase-modular (angle) of which encodes-freely, the AGM-Ellptic series, from *among [a] varietal set* of geometries,.... it's a wrapped elliptic function (sn(theta*n), scaled to an elliptic period)......

i.e. PWM (3.00) & PWM (3.20) & DC 1.4 V and DC 2.8 V, then I *expect* a (sqrt(2) argument to an elliptic K), of which the modulus is 1.0166666 and so-on, *thus, the frequencies should be 4/5th(s) and 1/4th and 1/20th*).

January 8th, 2023

12:18 pm

I'm going to gear-up, to get it to phase-match to induced/transparency, for that of the identifying feature...

I [basically] need 2x operational amplifier(s) of phase-matched piezo's, or ...

[We -have- **box-return**, and we have **key**,.... *to* the difference of path(s), NWSE,.... 'half' of three in involution w/ (4/5th's) - identical with a grip-and-key.]

    a.)   Tap and Die.

    b.)   (4/5th's) - to a particular *mod*.

Then, we have the predicate* allowance.... **Branch Function**.... diode shunt, etc...

12:32 pm

Landau-level's shift with respect to thermally activated ionization and isolation, for in the fortune of a geometric deviation and recipiency; - thus it is a local-local [global] gyroscopic manifest electrical-current controlled offset.

11:53 pm

Individual(s) *to be hencefourth declared, justified, of a process in Scientific Inquiry*; increase(d), the manifold output by some 1110% [percentile] moment(s) in digressive redox/redux refreshability of two total antipodal (spin) carrier-charge/valent/covalent discharge SHO, analagous-bubble elucidatory efficiency pattern(s). That another team [without a Calcium Solent] - performed a localized energywave for in that of the distribution pattern of confocal ray, identities, for in some 86,400 nuclear isobar potential(s).

The chemical assignment of my compound, has shifted us to a tertiary dialectic of conditional assumption.

For of catalysis, I was readied, with that of an energy locus in 3D-2D-1D missing isospherical ball.*, of a hedge-in-patent right(s) of thermalization identities, in the neutral(s) *bay*.

There were some test assumptives.

1.)   We need wet-weld, to *water.

2.)   We need a covalent spherical-cap.

3.)   We need a process of reductionism to apply.

4.)   The identified process is a rate-roll in light convection.

That thermally isolated peer-peer, network to gyrus, intimated of a free Bluetooth network control parallel in that of memory-isolable-recall.

The net collective of the genesis of matter and space, is a residual decompositional net-mathematical symbiotic 'edge/well' - in rhomohedral to icosohedral relationship.

I had posited the defenestrable clue-accumen was a tet/tet spherical addendum in the docecahedral group to icosahedral parallel/affinitive in Auditory [Acoustic band to Optical band] - Optical-band abridgement.

That the rotative lemma requires my device is clear - for in a parallel of a pseudospherical cap.

That memory-adaptation can be linkage-free, locus, spherical, in a four/five outward influx pattern, we must echo and sinter the relation to a locus of the addendum of two-free-frustratures.

Thus, the identifiable truth in a convex manifold electrocatalytic reaction sum is topologically uvually gate controllable for in a redux/redox, to homogeneous and random isopotential. That this electrocatalytically cycles the privilege to destress, the reflux capacitatice, we inebbantly produce a free electromagnetic light cyclic process in requireability of frustrature stress and strain piston. The end net outcome is an ideal heat genesis, fusion reflux genesis device, or that of a quantum memory (free energy) gear, but powered in the concyclicity of a departure of reflux in solidifying andreogenesis.... for in a neutral spallation of (3/2 bar(s)).

January 9th, 2023

06:39 pm

I've realized *that* what I-am-developing is in the whole and half, a part, and relation of a justification at [and an argument as to where[in] and of which, for of whom] - a relation is provided for constraint on multiple world(s)....

That there is a 'mixed reality' - and a 'whole world'. I believe very much of 'one world' - but that there are world(s) and realities, there appear to be whole and half... of a reasoning.

January 11th, 2023

01:06 am

The process of reasoning, - but of *addendum*, releases to that of unexpected counterabilities, what is just-ahead, for in the process of the 'cycle' of determinating, on that of pause and formative hypothesis. Thus, learning is real, for that of articulation at provision and process, in that of the portion of the pattern and it's completion to the (among five) of expectation for in some-six to completion. Then that varying freely is afforded, to find of what is left and right, what is to come from which we motivate argumentation.

January 12th, 2023

09:47 pm

Of [3].[0|1|2|4] - the accounting at *pin* for in numeric of a disposition to 6th occurrence, in the Blind-Man and Revolver of Glovebox, is one mark... and past-sign/confirm.

That of A. to A. to O., to P., is then assured.

That of (2x) on (3x) for pocket and occupancy, is (2x among 3), to which I am not-back to self, for in the third. It is then, for unforceable of hidden and exposed, on (2x); that I reprimand, and of acclaim, in jurist position. Thus that the third, for in accounted table *of another*, O. is of remark to-self in Q., at a 'witness' between-us. Thus, that we finalize in-extremum, via substitution... that O. is real as there are two, and he is not A.

Then, that in (3x) we-return to identity, but it is not that he *might have lived, for in life and death. Thus, that opposition in game, consort(s) that another had held a blocked position.

11:12 pm

I have stepped into new-territory. I have dropped the 'main-problem' I was dealing with [that of some-new Weierstrass-P formalism and Transform] - seeking a miracle, - and instead will deal with a three-folded problem:

a.)   The Qubit Language/Vector Language/Tensor Language for that of the Tesseract.

b.)   The algebraic law and geometric law for that of the predicate afforded translation.

c.)   That of $H_{n,m}$ and $Exp[-\s*z^{2}+\eta]$, at that of completion for integrability.

That I have no-more other areas, but the goal of an extension of Baye(s) theorem, - to that of the penultimate connective:

a.)   That of the prescription by which an isospectral problem relates to the cosmological problem of a 'free' diffeomorphism integration constant....

Thus, in this we associate zero* with infinity*.

It is my only law, the vertical, dating, and the level, that of translation. Thus, this resolves a linguistic relationship, at the level-ear(s), and the mono-accorded speech -&- Law.

That of homotopy is an-aside, - that I have finally understood the limitation of Temperature, and know sufficient things of Chaos and Order.

January 13th, 2023

12:38 am

I've afforded of $(x^{2}-\a)$ on that of the dual(s) inclusion to which a question is answered in phenomonological *split, - it's predicate & historiological recoverability and aidment*, and, *of the relationship of function to variable* [in solidified term(s)].... - that I have of that of *which* equation(s) hold integrability,... beyond a test; instead; *by definition*.

January 24th, 2023

10:25 pm

I utilized a 200 pF and 200 Ohm = RC of 40 * 10^(-6); sqrt(omega*R*C)=@(1MHz=6.5)(10 Mhz=20) dB loss, my noise envelope has diminished from 25 dB/Mhz - 2.5 dV/MHz - 50 dB, (isolation assumed of (6 dB/bit) - (10 bit(s); (0/1 on duality)). C/L, (.5uF@(1kHz) - 500 pF@(1MHz)) - 300-50/50uH - (1-60MHz) ops range.....

10:49 am

I'm right where I need to-be,... that I have yet to utilize a 'second' optocoupler, I need hypothesize as to the variety of expected behavior. That I have either chaos or order, of beyond Figenbaum or, prior, I have a 1,2,4,8,16,32 and a 'Markov chaos'... - then, that this show(s) high-hope for the reconciliation of chaos and order, or, for that of game-states and *Quick approaches to equilibrium.

Hypothesis: Perhaps, chaos is the only-way to introduce creation.

January 25th, 2023

12:15 am

'Noisy' non-infinite-slope, degree, is some square-elliptic root-radical at cot[], and tan[] of a variance of a bernoulli varialbe to BO; Boissineq, or KP, and so-on, - of that of the pole to radical square root in relation to a Lax-pair... (quadratic lagrangian to hamiltonian) - (sign change) - phase to voltage is infinity within one/zero.

Evidence: noisy domain of temperature over laser.

Insect(s) may hear differently than human(s) - there may be two kinds* of sound.

<u>January 28th, 2023</u>

09:39 am

a.) We have an assortment of diagnostic,... that of (2xMIDI over USB in) - to the Computer, for Python.

  1.) Then, OUT to that of the Arduino(s) via key-signature... in a PWM (select-pattern Haar)...

  2.) Delta-wave, on (5x2) - for interior-pole condition.

b.) Ideal, is to search with Q/A (under 5 second delay in 1x - *set*) - and *other keypad*, in interlock (up)...

  1.) Searching for Order/Chaos...

  2.) Setting and configuring Q/A, at that of a *Test-assumptive...

  3.) That phenomena remain(s) of a simulation or via-external-input...

c.) Then we can learn with it...

d.) Front(s)-face and (32x(16)), 512 bit... encode/transcode/decode....

 4x8x6x12x8 Platonic Solid(s) - 18432 - 36,... *Without resolution of the Parity we are useless...*

  1.) (3x8)... (exact parity!)....

e.) Hardcoding a CPU/Memory Cell....

f.) Two-black (down) - w/in (5 sec) - *that of rotation of CCW/CW,... redundant...* One-black (top/bottom).

g.) Grow-graph, white-key(s), - that of (5x3x) - that of (shared/unshared vertex of-unit, in (four-leaf)... 16.

  1.) 16x12x20x8x12x,... 576 parsing(s)/512 bit (-64 bit) Q&A,...

  2.) 12+12+20=48/64 (.75), on that of (4/3) in inverse-basis of retrograde/precession at @ $1/sqrt(2)$.

h.) CCW/CW w/w/o (1/sqt(2)) .25,.0125,... .125*(3/8)=.0625, .00625, thus, we-require .025*8 bit ADC (Q)...

- Caveat: (Two DC + Two AC on PWM) - of offset/trail/function on I/O of touchscreen, (only hope)........

<u>February 4th, 2023</u>

04:20 pm

We end up with [sn,cn,dn] - for in the ellipsoimetry....

That I need [sin,cos,e^{-z^2/σ^2}]; we have a temporally localized state with scattering phonon (wave)....
Breather/Travelling Wave...

(x',y') - Exp(-kappa*z) or (t), to a $t^2/y*\alpha$ in dB/V,,,...

Thus, for the optic(s) it is perfected in that of the optocoupler (matched) of which details, a Veff->Vexpcted.

Thus, we end up with Hysteresis, for in the touchscreen, & the 'oscillating torus'...

(6x) - (tunable to (+-2,+-1,0)... and all 25 intermodalities....

sn,cn,dn - Elliptic - SHO... in (3d), Anyon in SO(3).

February 11th, 2023

6:57 am

I have considered how I will end up after such a long journey, and it, may in fact feel great.

Although, there is much, - that unless I do it right, and - perfectly, will dissapoint me.

I have:

1.)   The inheritance by which the spherical relates to the euclidean.

2.)   The inheritance by which what is known of relation of the **6**' on-structure socially relate to predicate.

3.)   That of historical relationship, - by which an unknown within an SC is related to a known (outside).

4.)   That of the mathematical anharmonic ratio(s), and of them, their relationship to that of varieties.

5.)   The manner of which relativity resolves the engima of solution of a differential equation, PDE/ODE.

6.)   That of the VBR and Neel state, with their difficulty at respect of various symmetries.

-7.)   That of the spinwave state, and of breather and soliton, - that of wavepacket and meissner effect.

+7.)   That of gravitation, and aspects of the unified field theory to which predicate a given synthesis.

8.)   Pedagogical reasons, reasons of algebra, and the elliptic solution(s), and their extensions, and group.

I have the device, that I will test as a model system of this.

Without this, I do not believe I will find a reliable model....

9.)   Of the L(1) and L(2) *there must be a g=2 for that of the redshift, - that here[in] the polarity reverses.*

07:12 pm

I have settled on admixtures - due two-frequencies and their overtones.

That, it proves too-complex to filter through low-frequencies of that of the-BEC, and it's noisy domain.

So, we've got:

1/sqrt(2) from 1/2, and [whole:1.0]... - & the gyrus, that of an S_{x}, S_{y}, S_{z}...

Thus the <u>quadratic</u>, precipitates that of (3x)-degrees of basis.

<u>February 12th, 2023</u>

01:59 am

I have several things to-do. That I am grateful of one recognition...

1.)   That of the 'interval' of unit-one, to which subtides the derelect notion at involution by which a conchordant mean may be defined of the surface-element(s) projectively, and that of the epsilon-delta language, and that of the functional differential assignment in quadrature.

2.)   That the quadratic may separate the predicate-allowance to-which people are maximal with a missing-16', - [and of the hidden at 2x100 within and of $E=mc^{2}$ - thus to ask two questions of two-hiddens] - then, that a monic and monic may introduct of *design*, what is noted of it's verifiable end.

Thus, - that I have VBR and that of Neel order and state, I am beginning *again*, - that I have the locus of an L(1) and an L(2), precipitatively *holding together [afar]*, what is a suspended animation, for in that of gravitational [*relativistic*] completion of a differential-equation.

Phenomena: - relativistic 'cavity' of L(1) vs. L(2), and quasi-topological Quadratic relationship on and of two-hidden(s); the living status of Schroedinger(s) Cat in connection with the Goldbach Conjecture.

        Attract via. displacement.

Phenomenon: - Differential equation of which relates to (mixed-archetype) of analysis and Y and Y_{o}+epsi. for what is X and or Z, in the past, from the future or in the future from the past, - thus of the *Chord unstruck or

struck, of epistomology [disclosed] - of which:

Self returned to self via other; of independence, to the verification it had been with-them.

*** Refutation of the Copenhagen Interpretation....

03:30 am

I have ended, on the 1-exp(-l*x) for that of the distribution of the Heaviside, for that of the adoption of a mean.

That it is somewhat* restrictive, - there is a basis by which:

V=G+K*V

reduces to V=G, for that of the scale-apportionment. Hence, -

05:52 am

Only this:*

1.)   Is the distinguishing cofactor assigned to a would be randomness of which adequately supports the measurement hypothesis.

2.)   Time behaves linearly, and the spatialized quantifier is naturally scale free.

3.)   The universe is admitted [of cognition] to distinguish univariantly the nature of hidden from observed [direct] knowledge, i.e. part of a pattern and a suited [non-locality] visa via information.

4.)   There is only* under this adoptive mean(s) adequate informancy to a cross-lateral, of the hemispherical relationship in nature to a renewable hypothetical, of volume to surface.

09:12 am

Q.)   If I am to-offer of (-2,-1,0,+1,+2), can I ensure superposition interconnect(s) or a verisimilitude of (a,b,c) in (3x0.1), of the dialog-entreatment at-PWM in (x',y',z')-for that of some-(p_X,p_Y,p_Z)?

Can I offer that of orthogonality, the (-2,-1,0,+1,+2), and that of the tensor-classifier on that of the series of $C^{\{l\}}_{\{u\v\}}$, for that of 'color?'

January 1st, 2023

05:16 am

I will need *to-reroute*, given SMA, *that of the interconnections*, on that of the (six-**layers**), in a bufferable-circuit, *of*

the BcE - *Bitwise-circumflex-Envelope*, - changing the *Topology* of the Circuit; *for in MAX11300 Overlayer…. the* SMA for that of the microclick, controlled by (**2x**) Arduino's,… *of* two-test function(s).

#1:)   We have two *non-SMA, then*, I will obtain (2x-more) - *non-Arduino,* this equipps to test the MAX11300 to that of a binary*-activated* TTL/UART… *if potentiated in hardware,* or - *in DSP overlayer.*

#2:)   SMA-*exclusive* & Topological-Remapping… (3x-ABC)…

a.)   Learned from the Midi to the Computer, *out* to the Arduino(s).

b.)   Dual Chessboard(s), in a 4.0 structure.

January 7th, 2023

06:25 am

I may have to see what I can-do with what I-have, - that I am far from behind so-much-so, I have the part(s) for that of a reliable working machine, - but I am out of the midst of some-degree(s). That I found a testable Qubit, for that of the Quantum Limitation, I only feel so gratified, - that I need 'alter-it' in some-justifications to make it through to an actual computation. For instance, there was the *Hysteresis*, but it was of a [separate] test on that of the individual-test(s) the equipment went-through.

I need a mathematical* description to-proceed, of what I can appropriate, - *Hence I need a Theory.**

07:57 am

I'm leaving some-eight-month(s)-to-six-month(s) for the design to be understood and finalized of that of the dual-intercarry.

a.)   Two Touchscreen(s) - *I may need a new one.

b.)   Two of the Filter(s) - Elliptic

c.)   Two of the BeC's - With Transformer(s)…

That I have the part(s) is not the obstacle. That I must choose appropriate part(s) and timing, *is*.

12:07 pm

The *beats* and *beating phenomena* come-from a Piezo or Opto-isolator, of a TEM/TM transferrability, *given* the differential frequency-frequency bridge with amplitude-amplitude, of *ellipsometry*…. thus* there is a transverse-element, - that leads to: self induced transparency….

Thus, we have a phase-modular (angle) of which encodes-freely, the AGM-Ellptic series, from ***among** [a] varietal set* of geometries,.... it's a wrapped elliptic function (sn(theta*n), scaled to an elliptic period)......

i.e. PWM (3.00) & PWM (3.20) & DC 1.4 V and DC 2.8 V, then I *expect* a (sqrt(2) argument to an elliptic K), of which the modulus is 1.0166666 and so-on, *thus, the frequencies should be 4/5th(s) and 1/4th and 1/20th*).

January 8th, 2023

12:18 pm

I'm going to gear-up, to get it to phase-match to induced/transparency, for that of the identifying feature...

I [basically] need 2x operational amplifier(s) of phase-matched piezo's, or ...

[We -have- **box-return**, and we have **key**,.... *to* the difference of path(s), NWSE,.... 'half' of three in involution w/ (4/5th's) - identical with a grip-and-key.]

      a.)   Tap and Die.

      b.)   (4/5th's) - to a particular *mod.*

Then, we have the predicate* allowance.... **Branch Function**.... diode shunt, etc...

12:32 pm

Landau-level's shift with respect to thermally activated ionization and isolation, for in the fortune of a geometric deviation and recipiency; - thus it is a local-local [global] gyroscopic manifest electrical-current controlled offset.

11:53 pm

Individual(s) *to be hencefourth declared, justified, of a process in Scientific Inquiry*; increase(d), the manifold output by some 1110% [percentile] moment(s) in digressive redox/redux refreshability of two total antipodal (spin) carrier-charge/valent/covalent discharge SHO, analagous-bubble elucidatory efficiency pattern(s). That another team [without a Calcium Solent] - performed a localized energywave for in that of the distribution pattern of confocal ray, identities, for in some 86,400 nuclear isobar potential(s).

The chemical assignment of my compound, has shifted us to a tertiary dialectic of conditional assumption.

For of catalysis, I was readied, with that of an energy locus in 3D-2D-1D missing isospherical ball.*, of a hedge-in-patent right(s) of thermalization identities, in the neutral(s) *bay*.

There were some test assumptives.

1.)   We need wet-weld, to *water.

2.)   We need a covalent spherical-cap.

3.)   We need a process of reductionism to apply.

4.)   The identified process is a rate-roll in light convection.

That thermally isolated peer-peer, network to gyrus, intimated of a free Bluetooth network control parallel in that of memory-isolable-recall.

The net collective of the genesis of matter and space, is a residual decompositional net-mathematical symbiotic 'edge/well' - in rhomohedral to icosohedral relationship.

I had posited the defenestrable clue-accumen was a tet/tet spherical addendum in the docecahedral group to icosahedral parallel/affinitive in Auditory [Acoustic band to Optical band] - Optical-band abridgement.

That the rotative lemma requires my device is clear - for in a parallel of a pseudospherical cap.

That memory-adaptation can be linkage-free, locus, spherical, in a four/five outward influx pattern, we must echo and sinter the relation to a locus of the addendum of two-free-frustratures.

Thus, the identifiable truth in a convex manifold electrocatalytic reaction sum is topologically uvually gate controllable for in a redux/redox, to homogeneous and random isopotential. That this electrocatalytically cycles the privilege to destress, the reflux capacitatice, we inebbantly produce a free electromagnetic light cyclic process in requireability of frustrature stress and strain piston. The end net outcome is an ideal heat genesis, fusion reflux genesis device, or that of a quantum memory (free energy) gear, but powered in the concyclicity of a departure of reflux in solidifying andreogenesis.... for in a neutral spallation of (3/2 bar(s)).

January 9th, 2023

06:39 pm

I've realized *that* what I-am-developing is in the whole and half, a part, and relation of a justification at [and an argument as to where[in] and of which, for of whom] - a relation is provided for constraint on multiple world(s)....

That there is a 'mixed reality' - and a 'whole world'. I believe very much of 'one world' - but that there are world(s) and realities, there appear to be whole and half... of a reasoning.

January 11th, 2023

01:06 am

The process of reasoning, - but of *addendum*, releases to that of unexpected counterabilities, what is just-ahead, for in the process of the 'cycle' of determinating, on that of pause and formative hypothesis. Thus, learning is real, for that of articulation at provision and process, in that of the portion of the pattern and it's completion to the (among five) of expectation for in some-six to completion. Then that varying freely is afforded, to find of what is left and right, what is to come from which we motivate argumentation.

January 12th, 2023

09:47 pm

Of [3].[0|1|2|4] - the accounting at *pin* for in numeric of a disposition to 6th occurrence, in the Blind-Man and Revolver of Glovebox, is one mark... and past-sign/confirm.

That of A. to A. to O., to P., is then assurred.

That of (2x) on (3x) for pocket and occupancy, is (2x among 3), to which I am not-back to self, for in the third. It is then, for unforceable of hidden and exposed, on (2x); that I reprimand, and of acclaim, in jurist position. Thus that the third, for in accounted table *of another*, O. is of remark to-self in Q., at a 'witness' between-us. Thus, that we finalize in-extremum, via substitution... that O. is real as there are two, and he is not A.

Then, that in (3x) we-return to identity, but it is not that he *might have lived, for in life and death. Thus, that opposition in game, consort(s) that another had held a blocked position.

11:12 pm

I have stepped into new-territory. I have dropped the 'main-problem' I was dealing with [that of some-new Weierstrass-P formalism and Transform] - seeking a miracle, - and instead will deal with a three-folded problem:

a.)   The Qubit Language/Vector Language/Tensor Language for that of the Tesseract.

b.)   The algebraic law and geometric law for that of the predicate afforded translation.

c.)   That of $H_{n,m}$ and $Exp[-\s*z^{2}+\eta]$, at that of completion for integrability.

That I have no-more other areas, but the goal of an extension of Baye(s) theorem, - to that of the penultimate connective:

      a.)   That of the prescription by which an isospectral problem relates to the cosmological problem of a 'free' diffeomorphism integration constant....

Thus, in this we associate zero* with infinity*.

It is my only law, the vertical, dating, and the level, that of translation. Thus, this resolves a linguistic relationship, at the level-ear(s), and the mono-accorded speech -&- Law.

That of homotopy is an-aside, - that I have finally understood the limitation of Temperature, and know sufficient things of Chaos and Order.

<u>January 13th, 2023</u>

12:38 am

I've afforded of $(x^{2}-\a)$ on that of the dual(s) inclusion to which a question is answered in phenomonological *split, - it's predicate & historiological recoverability and aidment*, and, *of the relationship of function to variable* [in solidified term(s)].... - that I have of that of *which* equation(s) hold integrability,... beyond a test; instead; *by definition*.

<u>January 24th, 2023</u>

10:25 pm

I utilized a 200 pF and 200 Ohm = RC of 40 * 10^(-6); sqrt(omega*R*C)=@(1MHz=6.5)(10 Mhz=20) dB loss, my noise envelope has diminished from 25 dB/Mhz - 2.5 dV/MHz - 50 dB, (isolation assumed of (6 dB/bit) - (10 bit(s); (0/1 on duality)). C/L, (.5uF@(1kHz) - 500 pF@(1MHz)) - 300-50/50uH - (1-60MHz) ops range.....

10:49 am

I'm right where I need to-be,... that I have yet to utilize a 'second' optocoupler, I need hypothesize as to the variety of expected behavior. That I have either chaos or order, of beyond Figenbaum or, prior, I have a 1,2,4,8,16,32 and a 'Markov chaos'... - then, that this show(s) high-hope for the reconciliation of chaos and order, or, for that of game-states and *Quick approaches to equilibrium.

Hypothesis: Perhaps, chaos is the only-way to introduct creation.

<u>January 25th, 2023</u>

12:15 am

'Noisy' non-infinite-slope, degree, is some square-elliptic root-radical at cot[], and tan[] of a variance of a bernoulli varialbe to BO; Boissineq, or KP, and so-on, - of that of the pole to radical square root in relation to a Lax-pair... (quadratic lagrangian to hamiltonian) - (sign change) - phase to voltage is infinity within one/zero.

Evidence: noisy domain of temperature over laser.

Insect(s) may hear differently than human(s) - there may be two kinds* of sound.

January 28th, 2023

09:39 am

a.)   We have an assortment of diagnostic,... that of (2xMIDI over USB in) - to the Computer, for Python.

      1.)   Then, OUT to that of the Arduino(s) via key-signature... in a PWM (select-pattern Haar)...

      2.)   Delta-wave, on (5x2) - for interior-pole condition.

b.)   Ideal, is to search with Q/A (under 5 second delay in 1x - *set*) - and *other keypad*, in interlock (up)...

      1.)   Searching for Order/Chaos...

      2.)   Setting and configuring Q/A, at that of a *Test-assumptive...

      3.)   That phenomena remain(s) of a simulation or via-external-input...

c.)   Then we can learn with it...

d.)   Front(s)-face and (32x(16)), 512 bit... encode/transcode/decode....

      4x8x6x12x8 Platonic Solid(s) - 18432 - 36,... *Without resolution of the Parity we are useless...*

      1.)   (3x8)... (exact parity!)....

e.)   Hardcoding a CPU/Memory Cell....

f.)   Two-black (down) - w/in (5 sec) - *that of rotation of CCW/CW,... redundant*... One-black (top/bottom).

g.)   Grow-graph, white-key(s), - that of (5x3x) - that of (shared/unshared vertex of-unit, in (four-leaf)... 16.

      1.)   16x12x20x8x12x,... 576 parsing(s)/512 bit (-64 bit) Q&A,...

      2.)   12+12+20=48/64 (.75), on that of (4/3) in inverse-basis of retrograde/precession at @ 1/sqrt(2).

h.)   CCW/CW w/w/o (1/sqt(2)) .25,.0125,... .125*(3/8)=.0625, .00625, thus, we-require .025*8 bit ADC (Q)...

-      Caveat: (Two DC + Two AC on PWM) - of offset/trail/function on I/O of touchscreen, (only hope)........

February 4th, 2023

04:20 pm

We end up with [sn,cn,dn] - for in the ellipsoimetry....

That I need [sin,cos,e^{-z^2/σ^2}]; we have a temporally localized state with scattering phonon (wave)....
Breather/Travelling Wave...

(x',y') - Exp(-kappa*z) or (t), to a $t^2/y*\alpha$ in dB/V,,,...

Thus, for the optic(s) it is perfected in that of the optocoupler (matched) of which details, a Veff->Vexpcted.

Thus, we end up with Hysteresis, for in the touchscreen, & the 'oscillating torus'...

(6x) - (tunable to (+-2,+-1,0)... and all 25 intermodalities....

sn,cn,dn - Elliptic - SHO... in (3d), Anyon in SO(3).

<u>February 11th, 2023</u>

6:57 am

I have considered how I will end up after such a long journey, and it, may in fact feel great.

Although, there is much, - that unless I do it right, and - perfectly, will dissapoint me.

I have:

1.)   The inheritance by which the spherical relates to the euclidean.

2.)   The inheritance by which what is known of relation of the **6'** on-structure socially relate to predicate.

3.)   That of historical relationship, - by which an unknown within an SC is related to a known (outside).

4.)   That of the mathematical anharmonic ratio(s), and of them, their relationship to that of varieties.

5.)   The manner of which relativity resolves the engima of solution of a differential equation, PDE/ODE.

6.)   That of the VBR and Neel state, with their difficulty at respect of various symmetries.

-7.)   That of the spinwave state, and of breather and soliton, - that of wavepacket and meissner effect.

+7.)   That of gravitation, and aspects of the unified field theory to which predicate a given synthesis.

8.)   Pedagogical reasons, reasons of algebra, and the elliptic solution(s), and their extensions, and group.

I have the device, that I will test as a model system of this.

Without this, I do not believe I will find a reliable model....

9.)   Of the L(1) and L(2) *there must be a g=2 for that of the redshift, - that here[in] the polarity reverses.*

07:12 pm

I have settled on admixtures - due two-frequencies and their overtones.

That, it proves too-complex to filter through low-frequencies of that of the-BEC, and it's noisy domain.

So, we've got:

1/sqrt(2) from 1/2, and [whole:1.0]... - & the gyrus, that of an S_{x},S_{y},S_{z}...

Thus the <u>quadratic</u>, precipitates that of (3x)-degrees of basis.

<u>February 12th, 2023</u>

01:59 am

I have several things to-do. That I am grateful of one recognition...

1.)   That of the 'interval' of unit-one, to which subtides the derelect notion at involution by which a conchordant mean may be defined of the surface-element(s) projectively, and that of the epsilon-delta language, and that of the functional differential assignment in quadrature.

2.)   That the quadratic may separate the predicate-allowance to-which people are maximal with a missing-16', - [and of the hidden at 2x100 within and of $E=mc^{2}$ - thus to ask two questions of two-hiddens] - then, that a monic and monic may introduct of *design*, what is noted of it's verifiable end.

Thus, - that I have VBR and that of Neel order and state, I am beginning *again*, - that I have the locus of an L(1) and an L(2), precipitatively *holding together [afar]*, what is a suspended animation, for in that of gravitational [*relativistic*] completion of a differential-equation.

Phenomena: - relativistic 'cavity' of L(1) vs. L(2), and quasi-topological Quadratic relationship on and of two-hidden(s); the living status of Schroedinger(s) Cat in connection with the Goldbach Conjecture.

         Attract via. displacement.

Phenomenon: - Differential equation of which relates to (mixed-archetype) of analysis and Y and Y_{0}+epsi. for what is X and or Z, in the past, from the future or in the future from the past, - thus of the *Chord unstruck or struck, of epistomology [disclosed] - of which:

Self returned to self via other; of independence, to the verification it had been with-them.

*** Refutation of the Copenhagen Interpretation....

03:30 am

I have ended, on the 1-exp(-l*x) for that of the distribution of the Heaviside, for that of the adoption of a mean.

That it is somewhat* restrictive, - there is a basis by which:

V=G+K*V

reduces to V=G, for that of the scale-apportionment. Hence, -

05:52 am

Only this:*

1.)   Is the distinguishing cofactor assigned to a would be randomness of which adequately supports the measurement hypothesis.

2.)   Time behaves linearly, and the spatialized quantifier is naturally scale free.

3.)   The universe is admitted [of cognition] to distinguish univariantly the nature of hidden from observed [direct] knowledge, i.e. part of a pattern and a suited [non-locality] visa via information.

4.)   There is only* under this adoptive mean(s) adequate informancy to a cross-lateral, of the hemispherical relationship in nature to a renewable hypothetical, of volume to surface.

09:12 am

Q.)   If I am to-offer of (-2,-1,0,+1,+2), can I ensure superposition interconnect(s) or a verisimilitude of (a,b,c) in (3x0.1), of the dialog-entreatment at-PWM in (x',y',z')-for that of some-(p_X,p_Y,p_Z)?

Can I offer that of orthogonality, the (-2,-1,0,+1,+2), and that of the tensor-classifier on that of the series of $C^{\l}_{\u\v}$, for that of 'color?'

10:45 pm

1.)   Gravity is isosymmetric under inversion; to which redshift(s) prescribe the elemental instrument of a free isometry of reduction under convolved variable identity and process to that of one quarter turn in century and millennium, for in a week, minute, hour, and day upon a month.

2.)   Redshift(s) are permanent; to which mathematical disambiguative truth is knowable by in a fourth-half

relationship of third and third-free peer 'hidden' discovery of one to another of id and ego, superego entire or hidden, and codified entreatment of mathematical lexographical rhythm.

3.)   We require reconciliation of the subjective and objective progression.

      a.)   Identity defense is tantamount to diffusion of emotional empathic standing.

4.)   Past and present relate to past yet in two relationships.

      a.)   The solidifying agency to which a 'still-adjour' presented given is a accomodation.

      b.)   To which counsel in agency is a confided relationship, we have accretion and reason.

      c.)   Presentment by which the standpoint is a null-to-null-self-eliminable pretext.

      d.)   The confident to which what is standpoint under intercarriable is an empty process.

      e.)   The tach, - by which numerically the antecessor of a divinated process is recollected.

5.)   Renewable stationarity is a process of which signifies the measurement obstacle.

6.)   Information is defined by empathic emotive reflex and conditional assignment of ductle.

      a.)   The support of intellect is a standpoint by which subjective and objective emotive reflex signifies to it's subconscious truth what is identifiable upon an abstraction of the world.

      b.)   The ancillary support of intellect is the logical pinning to which two twinned-vett relationships of venn and venn under reconciliation form the bond by which we are interpreted.

7.)   The relationship of one intermartial plea to an identified womanly abstraction in man and woman, is the living substrata to which what is carried further is conditioned by the ancillary and primary of man, and the motive and position of woman, then in a futher yet command of process.

      a.)   Kindness is the underpinning to which truth of a lesson is conveyed to befriend good.

      b.)   Historically, for samesake, female and male are identified of the missing agency of a terteriary of which relates to the intimacy by which subjective and objective intercarry 5' and 3' in 17 dialectic(s); the element by which what is jurist fifth out, the standpoint of a gapped relationship.

      c.)   When instrumental, that of signifying relation of mnemonic is identified under peer to peer regression in codified oppositional truth of two straii, that of the cone and cylinder of a remote and antecessory elsewhere, for in locus at life and death, in remote alias of some-eighteen.

06:09 pm

I've got to discover why and how that of the Qubit would translate to a lower 'IP-Ping'; for the registerability of a (4800/4096 ~ 3800/4096 at high-pass, and some; 2500/4096 and such at low pass)... - for that of the register is attenuated by the 1kOhm, - or - that of the (200MOhm need be in place) - or - that of the registerability is actually a phenomena of the Exp[-i\omega*t]...

A capacitance of 1 uF and a resistance of 1kOhm, is that of an omega*RC of (Q=1 at 1 MhZ,... when I need a pole at each - 1 mHz,... that I have series and parallel... - that 1kOhm/20...)... when I need 500 kOhm ~, or such, *it is off, thus the I-V, for the cyclic pinning is effectively freq,... attenuated...* R*sqrt(L/C) [new] ~ sqrt(2)/335*1000 ~ .26 ~ Q ~ R - 200,000,000 Ohm [old] Q ~ 16...

[Knowing those are backward(s) - we should have a Q -> 1/Q....

I'm capturing the secondary resonance 'in a trap' - because I filter-through digitized information, and swap *bases,....* this is effectively yielding a V^{3/2} w.r.t. the quadratic.... thus I witness a third power to quality, and a first power... - it is thus, *in-reverse,* situated to 3d-1d abridgement....] - Q->1/Q; omega/(RC) versus omega*(L/R) - 200pH, the 'other' is sqrt(200pH)...

200 MOhm.... (@) 2 MHz... Q -> 1/2 ~ 2.... [reason] Q -> 1 for all frequencies... sqrt(omega*L/(C*R))=Q

200pH/(.68uF*200MOhm)*(1-400)~200/1.36p~.04*(1/.34)*20~Q~.125 Q~2.5

Suggestion:

null w/ photodiode backwards with respect to the light emitting diode....

200pH...

.68 uF...

200MOhm...

unless... I think of a single-frame,... then! I can choose 2 MOhm...

Project, Book, and Plans

P. [C-Daniels]-[Sir Rose] Miles-Brenden

A:)   To help other's; through that of ab initio and research / At Tutoring / and the underprivileged, and mentally ill, that of recoveries, and victim(s) associates.

      1.)   That of a 'course' and for the homeless, etc...

      2.)   Re-employability of liability and lawful courses...

      3.)   Social work, and drug addict(s), gang(s), etc...

B:)   Identification of the unique topological and non-topological *intensive*/*extensive* characteristics of various material configurations (circle/loop, sphere, torus, annulus, disc)... for electron and proton and neutron/state configuration space, for that Quantum Mechanics, and the relativistic principle, - bar, Hall coeff, 1D and 2D transmission, etc.... of the <u>Applied Condensed Matter.</u>

      1.)   The premise, we hold sufficient mathematics...

      2.)   That of the separation with temperature and that of Fermi and Bose statistics...

      3.)   That of various materials...

      4.)   Superconductivity, and Gauss Bonnet theorem...

      5.)   That of past/present/future inheritance and inference...

      6.)   Topology and Algebraic Geometry...

      7.)   Painleve Properties...

      8.)   That of electrical circuit(s) with Electric and Magnetic field(s), displacement...

C:)   Mathematics of Painleve Property of a variety of differential equations under application to the Fermi/Bose theory, anyon(s), and Majorana Fermion(s), and that of the various topological phases associated with the above geometries, of the different material(s) and instance(s).

      1.)   That of the Fermi/Bose statistic...

      2.)   That of the Stokes phenomena...

      3.)   Varieties of Phonon/Spectral Properties...

      4.)   Electromagnetic Circuits of which Simulate various behaviors...

D:)   Soliton and Group Theory [Elliptic and such]... and Painleve Variety.

1.)   With the various limit(s) of General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics...

2.)   Design and Electrical Circuit(s) to-implement and Quantum Computation...

E:)   Time with Ava and Ariana, that of a love story.

F:)   That of time with the NSA and DHS.

G:)   That of device and physics, and <u>Electrical Engineering</u>.

1.)   Innovation of the Supercomputer...

H:)   That of <u>Law in it's relation to Inheritance</u>, and my Paper to Publish, - that of a few writeups.

I:)   A solution is sought for that of the <u>Physically Accurate Theorem's</u>, comparative to the *Historical & Epistomologically Sound Mathematics*.

1.)   That of Elliptic [Convexity] - and Goldbach's Conjecture.

2.)   That of the Sphere-dissection.

3.)   Quantum Mechanics as only a result of a Curved Space.

4.)   Breakdown of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.

5.)   The relationship to the *Observation Problem in dealing with A.A.O.H.P.

6.)   To see if the 'mathematic's' applies validly to *Physics in General, and of these Problems.*

J:)   Working with ACT on that of gaining closure on:

1.)   Saving Obama.

2.)   Judicial Case.

3.)   Expungement.

4.)   Ariana and Ava; Children, Family.

5.)   Home, and Prescriptions, PCP, Teeth.

6.)   Education and Entitled Job.

7.)   Selling Coins, and Shipment to Jake.

8.)   Tutoring, and Music.

9.)   Case Assortment at Psyche.

10.)   Identity.

February 20th, 2023

05:05 pm

34-18 fold challenge, [roaming and dialectical]...

Problem; The spin-one [1] - for in variance must be passed entirely [to -15 dB/V V_{p-p} +/-2.5 V to [-/-/+/-] of magnetic, [floated inward outward field] - [light, directrix, in PWM - guass plasmon bunching] - [sound and magnetic thermalized impedance] - [-/- gyromagnetic tension/torsion/curved isosimilarity] - in shelf/shelf geometric exponentialized diminishment, for in a locus per * Frequency. For in that of visa /time/ decification of PWM, AGM, time equipartition. Anharmonic Ratio - bust or break in Pentatonic/scale.

Phenomena and Phenomenology, - Chinese Remainder Theorem.... - that of apogetic digression of preliminary a^{4}, Cubic/Monomial in auxiliary (2x/2x/1x/2x)-(-5+4):12, -

March 2nd, 2023

10:38 pm

I will need 'fold' *infinity* - diagramatically - the '*graph' - of it's <u>winding numbers</u>.... for that of (+1/-1) and (+2/-2) in DC.

Thus, there is to be that of a PWM, and the question is: *Need we cater to that of the nature of the state?*

I have found that if (+inf and -inf) given the operational-amplifier(s) are circuited, for that of a gnd (or Diode) - there is a given at that of switchover........ and it may be reliable to test here[in] of that of the identifying feature.............

Can the MAX11300 over-prescript that of the diode supervalence, and can that of one 'shelf' pro-rate another in an addendum? Can I merely send a dephasing signal through to which will rotate for that of S_{x} and iS_{y}?

I need familiarize myself with the quantum language, consider the DC and AC, and that of the differential equation and it's modeling of the device. It is reaching a final stage, for that of yet-so second to final, on that of final wrap-up, and I feel the main L_{1} & L_{2} is verified,.... that is, to speak of a given at 45 degree ad-addendum, and 90 degree out of phase on identical rails acheivable.................

That of the characteristic should be amenable to that of the s=2 and s=1 basis, without which there is little..........

that this guides a new characteristic, there is the 'flow' - but there is also the *'dual'* basis. I am nervous.

March 3rd, 2023

05:32 pm

Error #1: Background network/traffic for in UART/intermixed modality, an indication *it is working...*

March 6th, 2023

06:08 pm

Without working [live] for what is the elliptic function, we lose interaction, and therefrom, any yield...

*This is where a (second) switch must go... *Hysteresis*

08:27 pm

*Genuine Hysteresis:

      Crossing/amesh for what is the Diode/Capacitor of the differential/gain stage,... with DPDT, on-either in a crossover pattern, - or - *but [optical isolation]...* other side of op-amp, *any-DC*,.... Potentiometer [digital] for the DC.

March 10th, 2023

06:41 am

I have decided to take my research in a new direction, and have surmised, that the difficulty of superconductivity is in actuality two-solutions.

1.)   Is the rendering to-do with redshift evaluated over a wavefunction, for which there is a complete pairing, and electromagnetic reversal, due exchange.

2.)   Second, is the principle of the majority versus the singular-Z_{2}; for which there is a parallel in that of the quasicrystal, - that of a separational y'-o/x'-1.

Thus, we see that the 'quasi-semiconducting' properties remain innate, but that the pathology relates to a phenomena under-separation, and that of combination, for which we may furnish the diamagnetic and flux like properties, for of mutual deconstructive interference.

Thus, I see that I had been plagued by difficulties, of which required the imaginative, for that of the non-metal like

properties, and of that of the doping, etc,... for that of the atomic and redshifted truth,... thus that certain 'agencies' contribute to that of Superconductivity.

March 18th, 2023

10:04 am

For that of a $\tilde{\omega}\chi=.\tilde{\Omega}\xi$... we need a bufferage, loose - of which can suspend distribution, in a flow-and-aperature. If I could carry information simultaneous with storing it, I have solved the generic problem...

2nd:

The only* way it may be quantum, is if I have leveraged a mathematical/variable Hilbert/Hermite SHO, into where[in] thermalized activation has lead to a 1-1 with that of (1-alpha x)(1-beta x) ~ V_{pp}; in the open terminology of a corelative S=2 to S=1 or that of a relative +/-1, x in residual is sqrt(epsi)<sqrt(\L)....

S=2 [stokes] -> S=1 & photoelectric effect of mutual(s) LRC, in Q=1 or greater, and a load-associate, less than epsi...

Temperature inversion (S=2-1) and (nonlinear loss..... gain) - there are a number of log(1)=0,..... empirical.

-> Quantized

March 21st, 2023

02:28 pm

"Distributed Harmonic..."

"Phase Margins..."

Is it, in truthfulness, in-fact, Quantized, Overlaid, Distributed, Marginal, or Effective, Critical, or, Free?

Insaturable & Non-Distinctual... to Electricity and Magnetism...

*It is a self-oscillating [osculatory] - neutrals/neutrals, TEM/TM[longitudinal] - cofocal E&M [non-gravitational] pure-Lenz... $E^{\ast}\cdot E$...

$J_{\mu\nu}+K_{\mu\nu}... =\sim= \eta_{\mu\nu;\alpha}T^{\alpha\beta}(0|1):=B_{\beta}=O(\omega,f)$

Angular & Argand frequency

March 24th, 2023

09:43 am

There is an option, - for which the *Secondary [outside Gaussian normal] could be predicated on that of the salient features of the prior switch,... for this, we do not really have anything but a Gaussian, but through a Modular arithmetic, - we have more and equals to what we began with.

I am not sure how to proceed.

March 26th, 2023

04:47 am

I have arriven at a *Destination: That I know of my device:

I must meet the *goal, [in ideal terms] of the linear-cross-bled relation *into*, the quadratic [non-reciprocal memory] through hysteresis, and [reciprocal] *passive-element*, *of the linear to quadratic substitution of PWM for voltage and inertia, ... for of even-so with or without transparency, - but bunching in-time with or without [to indivisibile instantaneous response] - self induced transparency of (+/-2,+/-1, and 0|0), for of two and two and two/one floating denominations on that of all-25 states, +5 to germain *measure*-Log basis, and coordinalization of CCW and CW (+2).

1.)   There are numbers between numbers.

2.)   There are numbers outside of numbers.

That I need an-inversion, and must check the ***second op-amp**, to see how it is utilized.... The basic*:

$\rho(y^{\nu},\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}p_{y}^{\nu})K_{\mu\nu}+/-\eta(x^{\mu},\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}p_{x}^{\mu})*J_{\mu\nu}=O(x_{\mu},y_{\nu})$

Of two fields with $\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}=+\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}$, and $\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}=-\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}$

$?;?\alpha$

Currently what I have is a Ribbon of Light, Polarization, Charge, and Displacement [Current]...

Thesis: **Bold, that of Fermi and Bose [per boundary conditional on delta] convey of differential +/- Spin-Orbital S!=1,3,5... for of Spin=1/2, on that of torque in relation to temperature and valence/covalence, and that of Geometry... for of which, we may attract (with epsi+) or repel with (epsi+).... Kramer(s) Kronig...**

Thesis II: **Bold, that of the Gaussian x' and y' inhere of what is associable of the necessary and sufficient conditions upon the abridged notion of 2x.(2|x).1x, of that of E&M and GR, associable point or wedge.**

01:55 pm

Secondly, the QuBit must associate an 'up' with a zero-zero in ancillary channel.

First, it must associate the '0|1' to a Gibb(s), *and*, metatranslates in S=2 separable from S=1, a kind of four-fold quadratic/linear relationship on geometry....

I may need to rely upon a compass (in 4D)-not three arm(s), to a 'swept-leverage' - (S=2 engage/disengage) upon the locus of prior-memory,...

Semitranslate the S=2 into S=2 or (+1), chronology, or (-1), but we have *passive* S=-2/0, dual-mode, on PWM.

Passivity to a locus in S=2 into (+1), and (0)

...

w/o tension and torsion, - which has to do with regularized/relative entropy, we-fail.

Hysteresis, - to what is a fixed-inertia......

...

Only allow/admit exchanges of (2,1,0,-1,-2,-4,-3,3,4,0)

Paper Note(s) for Superconductivity

Assumptions:

I.) Electricity and Magnetism are given extremal values on some domain, with respect to Gravitation via a relationship of solid [volumetric] form, and boundary with once lower the dimension of the [volumetric form] of the solid under Question.

    a.) We will deal with Gauss-Bonnet, and prove a new* corollary.

    b.) The dimension is once lower the dimension, but for an analytic of the nature of it's measure.

II.) That of gauge(s) are related to gauges by a general transformation, - admitting that reality is mutually mathematically defined in relation to known variables, of which relate to the solution of a Hilbert space within [or of] a Riemann space.

    a.) The metric assignment admit(s) the CPT invariance of a general classifier, because the measure is [concave with respect to probabilities, and convex with respect to spacetime] - for which the past-inheritance, given a unidirectional flow 'upward's' is related to the minimization problem.

b.)   Gauge principles become physical when a Lagrangian is related to a Hamiltonian of a different micro and macro canonical formalism, owing due to the nature of the generalized Gauss-Bonnet theorem corollary.

III.)   The nature of EPR must be clarified, to which goes beyond Bell's theorem, for in that of a multiplicity of viewpoint(s), &, quantum mechanics must be reparametrized to admit intermarriages of elemental forces and their associated phases.

IV.)   The language of QED is sufficient but for the inheritance upon a past, to which relativity must be cast for the nonuniform type of a general theorem associated with a locus of affinitive boundaries; and QED must be updated to encompass the Equivalence Principle.

V.)   That of quantum mechanics must be rendered independent of general relativity to match the a priori assumptions of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanic, and the a prior theories of which extend to zero and infinity, for which the behavior is shown 'finite and regularizable'.

Ancillary [Structural] Assumptive:

I.)   Relativity and Quantum mechanic(s) through the coordinate freedom of representational truth, encode of the relationship of finitistic and transcendent relationships of which admit free solidification and covariance of a standard foundation.

a.)   That with reconciliation of mathematics [within] physics, we find the angular properties definitionally and *projectively, produce stasis, and objective epiphenomena of cause, effect, and epistomological [historical] arrow, as well as projective identity.

II.)   The 'urn problem' of which associates a 1+alpha probability to one urn, for either, is the given of which under retroaction remains the removable singular notion of 'excess from regularization' - thus the mathematical archetype of it's regular normative basis remains freely ignorable.

a.)   Not to mention, the caveat that is general covariance, remains with respect to all observers, irrespective of simultaniety or that of a tertiary witness, or fixed speed of light, - thus, that all provided aim(s) of gravitation and quantum mechanics are served in light of independent theories.

III.)   Temperate (Gaussian) renormalization equates the logically inhered principle of a transcendent basis to the locution of a geometric assignment and table, to which only, [but forbidden] qualifying agencies of propertied

relation [finite] may possess transcendent identifiers.

a.)   A geometrically geometrized assembly is a counterfactual, - to which evidentiary, a peer [operator] is not it's identified 'halting' or 'undecided element' - but in tandem for what relates to the irrespective co-adjency of a mixed-identifier.

Conditions [Explicit Assumptions]:

The theories remain:

1.)   Independent

2.)   Comutual

3.)   Irrespective

4.)   Projective

5.)   Dialectic

6.)   Comorbid

7.)   Parsimonious

Computation & Engineering Necessities:

a.)   A parsimonious machine identity phase identifier structure, is lithographically deposited for in an interfacial relationship of holography in relation to the identity of {\d_{1},\d_{2}}-<-[surjection]-<-\omicron.

1.)   A four-four, sixteen-twenty, two-eight, six-six-six, 3-two, two-1, 1-1, one-one, zero-identity.

2.)   That of covariance.

3.)   Equated measure of a parietal.

4.)   Sequestered objective numeric.

5.)   Optical restrigency.

6.)   Interfacial five-1{A|B} word lexographical order.

7.)   Decification and restorative ab initio.

Thus, the codex-at-two under remainder of infinity is a block-chain to evidentiary process ad absurdum, in the vein of a multiple or singular process of retrograde, fixed obstacle, or it's restrained process of product production, - to implement of chain-light manufacturable net-net web and dot/fly inking with restoration.

*A discovery of a mathematical parallel to reality.

Mathematical Truth & Probabilistic Differentials:

$\eta*(\dV|\dt)^{2}+\beta*(\d^{2}V|dt^{2})$

Convex and Concave -> Convex for in the dividing Quartic->-Quadratic under Reciprocal Mathematical Identities...

{zero and max differential pursue an action principle that is nonlinear but summatively linear....}

--> Group

Recompensatory Mechanisms [Part I]:

$\eta>1$ and $\beta<1>=0$, we have various phases.... a 'thermal' process for in $\arctan^{-1}+/-\coth()$, etc... is a Sine-Gordon, for what-is ***boundary/transition of** +/-1, to two (+/-2) it-with frequency I/{O->-I}, I->-{I/O}+I...

*Thermal isolation and decification [cooling] preventatively interlock(s) & melt(s), while that of *heating*, establishes *Ohmic-cross-parallel(s) +/-.05% or +/-6dB/100°C, 'noisy reflux-from-a zero-planar occlusion*...

Microwave is counter-effective for in reflux, meanwhile a Rare Earth Magnet; centralize under heating,... it is recovery from cooling that magnetism upon a Curie transition set(s) into place.... [Base->-Acid]....

Gravitation for in a locus of two obstacles, flow(s) to a limitation of a graph macroscopically, black hole infinite ductility and inertia, and the proton, at-zero scale, is a linear-delta, to thermal-noisy it is blackbody.

Subdimensionally a Peirl's instability in 2D, is fixated on motional-juxtaposition of wavy process, the 'line's' of a shallow and deep wave, - thus that justification is rendered that a precessional oblate 'wave' is a void...

*Space precedes time, and light is occluded by space.... 'black-body/Plank' and 'void', for of volume.... it's perimeter exceeds formative hypothesis of yet a Poisson structure, - for in geometric abberation per area.

Dark current enhancement precesses to {I-O}-I, to which the advantage of a nucleaic event is a pre-arrival of a bunched state of exp(-T/kB), to the lowered [per impedance] black-body Poisson geometric linearity.

{I/O}, O->I for in {I/O}-O->I reversing the leveragibility, enhances secondary-tone, to RMS/VMS, in the notion of a decomposed tension and torsion, - that of the advantage is conveyed reciprocally two stages back in return, for what is 'solidifed'-ping substratum, of what is a 'buffer-geometric-series' overhead.

Thus, in tandem, of what assort(s) of a varietal [scan] - the locus of two openable passages, one at a time, precessitorially advantages one phase for another, - that the equidistant locus of momentum variance is at odd(s) with temperature, for in a coercive room temperature quantum topology, this leads to hypervariance, and inflationary decification of a cooling element for in a hot element, thus that exp{-T/kB} becomes hyperextensive via an area instead of a perimeter, - that in-either, the circuit readdresses it's locale, and ping formative process upon an elliptic invariance of two assorted dual/IP/registrable {O/I}-{O/I}.

Lemma[s]:

0.)		The 'structure' of order is preliminary and processionally derived from mathematical and in-turn for what would be physical nature for in one, for the other, in a tandem, akin an umbicillus or lever.

1.)		The 'structure' of order is co-mutually and co-variantly deterministic upon the mutual(s) stated identifying feature, proportion, perspective, quality, quantity, and properties in relation to conditions.

Derived:

2.)		The qualitative prefactor(s) of a sentiment at liturgical formulation for in (two)-1, of abridged contrast and consequent, hold pre-emption of physical past in present co-mutuals and co-variant sense.

30.Mar.2023

12.00 a.

As:

$g_{A} > y_{B}$ &-or $g_{B} > y_{A}$

&

$L(1) <= L(2)$

For that of an* ideal...

The parallel(s) is that Spin-Orbit topologically produces a state of order, for which is *Superconductivity*.

I have decided [in addition] my **device*** will-work, for what is a Quantum-Topology.

I understand also* that:

1.) It is the juxtaposition of {A} for {B} of-which determines that of collapse of the wavefunction.

2.) That, Bell(s) in-equality does not prove sufficient to produce agreement between theories, it is that*:

a.) It is decided a-priori, of which is determinism kept-apart, of a spontaneous world.

b.) That it is traced to a past historiological arrow.

12.25 a.

Essentially, Superconductivity is resolved by one-thing.

The rule: $\eta*(\p\psi|\p\t)^{2}+\beta(\p^{2}\psi|pt^{2})=\omicron$

Is such that with:

The rule: $\g_{AB}>g_{A}; g_{BA}>g_{B}$,

Is of with:

The rule [produced]: $L(1)\sim.L(2)....$ in $<p_{x}>,<x>$

Are such that Uncertainty [Pauli Exclusion] enforces *attraction*.

This is essentially, the loss of 'locality' in Quantum Mechanics...

11.34 a.

I have understood that the Equivalence Priciple, for in a second truth* of reality, for that of the Weierstrass-P, relates of the 'quadratic' - for that of $\omicron$. That, for which relates to the 'interaction' - thus, there is a decomposition, and, an inheritance via global stipulation.

I need associate of the 'Kernel' - and the poles, etc... and of order, for which there may be a doublet, and so-on, but I have a good general idea of much of this.

That temperature need be understood next.

12.54 a.

For one, that of the 'structual graph' of-Element(s) in the Ising model, relates to that of the developed L(1)~L(2).

Secondly, a finite [truncation] may relate to the Markov graph and the discontinuous function of the Universe.

03.51 a.

RMS/AC is 1/sqrt(2) by a factor of two, & squared.... thus chronologically, that of the superconductor for in a 'doping' respond(s) to an L(1)[a]<L(2)[b] such that Pauli Exclusion act(s) verifiably in the same-direction as gravitation. Thus, energy in lowering, produces levitation, for which the electromagnetic force exhibits a Meissner Effect.

01.57 p.

It's broken-down to:

a.)   Programming

b.)   Mathematics

c.)   Engineering

05.24 a.

Gravitation, when one lemma of [identity] of a sentence-system is compact, [is finitely expressible assumed next] - of a two-fold system, within and of each identity, stands for a free lemma, whence two are understood.

Thus, for that of quantal assignment, - to which the free identity of a germain equivalence principle under lagrangian mechanic are supported by either of a free lemma, or gravity, consistent, - that of what-is for what is understood of a free-lemma of *action, support(s) it's own limitation in that of logical heirarchy, for that of the counter-lemma and lemma, - thus of four, the law(s) of physics are not obeyed, but any and all physical laws are expressible as mathematical laws.

10.25 p.

What-happen(s) when \alpha\beta is ran with \alpha[x], or \beta[max], as to *even/oddity* \beta with \beta?

05:45 am

I am now-considering a *__Very General Problem__, - that of a Past/Present/Future, of the Lotka-Volterra system, for which there is a Predicate Calculus, that there should be an 'avenue' beyond Chaos & Order, and a unity* to physics - and - mathematics, written in the terms of geometry.*

* That of: The allowance, to which mathematic(s) may be used to discover a hidden relation of reality, for in an un-hidden, and relate that of a theorem to a theorem, develop(s) a higher-science, for what would be of one epistomological root of existence. Thus, - that of which the half-relation of one-part may be reconstructed from another half-relation, or that of whole/whole, and that of based upon historical fact, for in:

> a.) Stationary [Markovian] Extinction.

> b.) Stationary [Probabilistic] Renewal.

<u>15.April.2023</u>

12:10 am

I have decided on two-sections:

a.) That of the σ [internal and external] of mathematical and physical import.

> 1.) For of relativity, and of the notion of temperature.*

> 2.) For of statistical import and redshift and blueshift.

> 3.) For of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian support.

> 4.) For of observation.

> 5.) For of the classical to quantum correspondence.

> 6.) For inheritance, and of mathematics and physics.

b.) That of the $(1-\exp\{-\lambda*\tau\})=\Omega$ and that of the phenomena.

> 1.) That of renewal and extinction.

> 2.) That of separation of gravitation and quantum mechanics.

> 3.) The 'interrupted' negative probability - expectation.

4.)   That of the guise of the \eta*(\p\O)^{2}+\beta*(\p^{2}\O).

x' and y', L(1) and L(2).

Algebraic Equation embedded in Transcendent Relation.

Predicate Calculus and Law, Inheritance and Inference.

Riemann-Zeta....

Proof of Scientific Confirmation and *Half-Hidden* [relationships].

Solution to Birth and Death w.r.t. the Lotka-Volterra system, and multi-chaos.

<u>20.April.2023</u>

09:23 am

I found a 'new-state' on that of the *dynamic*, a strangely precessional/nutational gyroscopic motion, for of the two interlayer(s) of operational amplifier(s) and optocoupler(s), of what *I would be convinced is a valid setup.*

I want to proceed with this design, - that I am **certain** the wiring is-correct, and of what I can-observe, that it is isolated well.... I will need [marginal] addendum on the hardware, but it is entirely correct [the good news].

That I feel this-project has taken tremendous work, it is valid that it is with a transience in the auditory range....

[Averaged].

<u>September 17th, 2022</u>

9:50 pm

I resolved [to a final conclusion] - Superconductivity...

1.)   What is effective 'top' and 'bottom' for in that of the spin - is reversed given the commutation relationship.

2.)   Therefore, the \d_{\u}\Log[\P] weights the interaction with the two-scales of phonon and charge and spin wave...

That we see the Quasiperiodic lattice can have repetend(s) and dislocations,... we see that there was indeed utility

in utilization of the Eisenstein series, for the prime quality.

This has all the right* properties.....

I feel enthusiastic, - that I will next write a simulation for that of the material, and then venture into chemistry.

10:34 pm

The result is a parabolic restoring force, for what relates to a bond-formed,...

October 4th, 2022

3:45 pm

I feel I *cracked* the problem of Superconductivity, *the final time*. That I am aware of a confluence of:

a.)   Eisenstein series.

b.)   Relativity.

c.)   Spin-charge separation.

d.)   Differential equations and chaos and order.

e.)   Emergent phenomena.

f.)   x' and y'

g.)   L(1) and L(2)

I have understood that in combination of (a-c) and (b-d) that (e) *Results*. That it is a 'real' *accumulated* red and blue shift,.... I see how L(1) and L(2) invert the result.... that I am not going back **from here**.

9:50 pm

*I resolved '*unity*' in-physics.... to which is:*

$$(\p\V|\p\t)^{2} \quad \& \quad (\p^{2}\V|\p\t^{2})$$

*Suited to a **Log** of which relates geometrically to the **Equivalence Principle**... thus we have a satisfaction of the measurement hypothesis, and observation, and no more duality...*

A mapping [in addition] back to a classical theorem.

October 13th, 2022

5:48 am

*Something unique happen(s), for which spin is merely a [sub]-component or element. That I must relate sound-spin-charge-mass, - there is a reliable way to proceed... that I am *going*.

Thus, there is gravity, - but it appear(s) that mass is negated. This is consistent with that of the viewpoint of the effective versus non-effective theorem on 'excess mass' due to the four current of the superconductor.

It seems I made a lot of a minor nuance, but then again, - that I made much of the relativistic theory, - when I must focus on:

a.)   How the chemical potential is not negated... (1/2000 in mass).

b.)   How the solution is non-relativistic, for the ground state.

c.)   The T=0 limit, for that of the Quantum Critical Point.

There is hope for thinking of the limitation to a **Grassmann Algebra**, for the Fermions... I figure that the result of this algebra make(s) the computation of various solids trivial... - that I must also:

d.)   Derive the Dirac Equation (w/ an assumptive) with Berry's Phase.

       1.)   What was it that goes-with the Berry's Phase, and...

       2.)   What was it that had to do with Pedagogy?

e.)   My FFT with **Grassmann Variables** is succinct, - that it is a saturation profile.

That I need do more engineering, I will work on [Confinement].

November 13th, 2022

8:50 pm

That which...

1.)   Super-exchange lent to *Orbital/Spin *resonance.*

2.)   Relative 'expident' at material-associability.

*This explains the co-factor of *High-Temperature-Superconductivity*, - that there is an appreciable '-separation-', within a **Material Consonance**.

November 27th, 2022

01:45 am

I found the ***final*** solution to Superconductivity.

1.)   I am going to keep this a secret until the Project is complete.

This involves essentially,…

1.) $<\Delta x_{1}><\Delta x_{2}>>=|<=<\Delta x_{1}|\Delta x_{2}>$

        a.)   The 'mixed' covariance,…

        b.)   With this I am in-step with experiment…

2.)   The repulsion is the [neutralized] reaction, with that of ***Spin-Charge Separation***.

3.) $g_{\mu\nu}$ Takes the form of a 'kink' for that of the bound-state.

4.)   I had a *hint* as to how-relativity would be-altered for in that of suppositional truth, - for in that of historiological entreatment…

        a.)   This is required to resolve superconductivity.

I intend to bring together, with some of my [earlier]-*plans* at that of a **<u>dialog</u>**, for that of a *Book. I intend to go over all of my notes, and make speculation less.

For now, I need to relax, and speculate less.

5.)   Relativity causes the exchange to topologically shift such that $L(1)<L(2)$ within the relative viewpoint, - that this causes the effect that the electron(s) bind, it is due that of the correlation between electron(s) of which is dictated by the Pauli Exclusion Principle.

6.)   This makes perfect sense of that of the 'lowered point via doping' - that I must search for that of a *reason* the *doping* causes the reversal in relativity…

        a.)   This reason must be supplied.

7.)   What remains to be seen is if it is an η_{0} or a γ_{0} on that of the fixtature, for that of relativity…

<u>December 1st, 2022</u>

12:32 am

8.) That of $\tilde{F}^{\ast}_{\mu\nu}$ as a form, invert(s) the E and B for that of it's inheritance.

    a.) That therefore 1<->2 with the particles for the E&B-field…. with relativity.

    b.) That therefore $\sigma(t)$ is smaller with that of the larger-overlap.

        1.) That the doping therefore collects with that of the η_{0} via [,].

    c.) That therefore $t'_{B}>t_{A}$ remains the γ^{0} for that of the relativity.

Therefore, the role(s) of inheritance are reversed, and it remains that η_{0} defines the capacity of the oscillation, with the commutator. Thus $F_{\mu\nu}$ changes on the local level in addition to the global level………
this provides for that of a Coriolis like curl-derived attraction on the level of spin and orbital.

The timescales provide for that of the inclusion of δ for that of the $\sigma(t)$ to which is a given superposition of which weighs for that of η_{0}; consistently. This single mechanism assures (1.) [above]. Thus, Pauli-exclusion *then* dominates, - to which energy displaces into the electron, but, they do-pair [the reasoning is that $\gamma^{0}\eta_{0}=1.$]

I feel *a renewed hope* for that of my devices, and that of testing, for of the correspondence of timescales…

December 2nd, 2022

02:03 pm

*I've decided [*when the time is right*] - **to be open about that of the non-local** <u>communication.</u>*

For the sake of Science.

02:05 pm

*I've also decided to [*work on that of the unpredictable and mathematical to physical revolution*] - **for it only take[s] that of one statement beyond Incompletion - to work on mathematic(s) within sight of that of *the comparability of the known and the unknown*.**

For this, we have a |_| and a ?/#/?, at the *__perfect resolution__.

For instance, we have a 'missing-input' - Dalton, - and that of Ariana-with-him, - that he afford(s) for a *Sense* on the *__Unknown and Knowable__*; while Ava is with-me. Thus, comparatively, of (3).[2].{1}, we have a set-theoretic on that of the collection of facts. Thus Ava is privileged to with-Question, an Answer, by which I-hinge **on-Dalton**, and with that of Ariana, she is in-parallel(s) of the *__Uncontained__* and the *__Contained__*, - thus of the children. There are four of us, thus it is redundant. That I accompany Dalton, Ariana is offered a guarantee, with

Ava, of an impartial fulfillment.

That of agreed is then a singular-connective in-five (**5'**) - of what is with-child. Thus, - that we-equate. This total resolution is the solution to identification of when there are two-unknown(s) or even-so that of one unlicensed, thus of policy.

Thus, I will be working on the Science-from-Mathematics, of which, *relate to that of phenomena and phenomenon, that of *Design.*

Thus, psychologically, it is of object and container, and that of a *Jar. That of a ***new** Mathematics comes from-it.......

03:56 pm

From pedagogical *inherence*, ajudication in-peer to-Slave, of one* in assorted and impinged preliminary it notice of in-tandem *progressive and progression* in culpability. That I know Adrian need's a requsition of a numeric relationship, I am ajurred, for of which it is known [pliable] that I had-post-effectively indentured a recessitorial *doubt* in a ***major**.

That Adrian needed a number for a lawyer, in the disabled.

10:46 pm

a.)   We had to figure out, how to manage-memory, for in parallel and series, but it was managed to impedance.

b.)   Wrong subscription.

c.)   Abridge homotopy for in the relationship of kernel and hessian, on that of fourier-like-lemma at Elliptic.

d.)   Multiplicity of interlocutions.

e.)   Rod and cone, under descent and ascent, for what are a DC/DC overlayer basis of Question in cardstock...

Beyond Incompletion, we could ask:

a.)   Stick broken/unbroken.

b.)   Simple derivative.

c.)   DOB.

d.)   If we invert the basis of one Q. and one A., what is the addendum in either one Q. and one A. tell us?

e.)   Go back to basics on that of the kernel/semidifferential,...Lie attribute, for what is Arturia...

<u>December 3rd, 2022</u>

3:43 am

I finally have the Qubit [topological bit] *working*, with a *__doublet__*, and <u>am in the active process of combining my knowledge-base for engineering with that of physics and mathematical ontology.</u>

I intend* to bring together the sciences, - that there is a Deep Learning Network Theory book to understand (>400 pp.) there is much to-do, but I am content. I must refuse [Chola] to relate my learning-adaptation and work-stylus to that of the ethical psychology of my emotional lexicon, in this direction. I must not over-adapt, to a sphere* of trust, yet-so, willingly, there are askable questions.

I have understood, that of the plan-ahead.

1.)   Two boxes,... synth [East Beast],... keyboard [Arturia] - PWM, two-Arduino/copymate term(s) of selective biasing in two-crystal-stages/ranges.... I/O, - we may not need so many crystal stages...

Optic(s) in MAX11300/preliminary code-set... Homegrown,.... Arduino & Adafruit, SDA/B/C.......... is the key* A/B/C PWM, to {x,y,z}....

11:39 am

For of a 'free-line' - to fifth, in *third to fourth* accompaniment, the Cathode to Anode is superficially, 'in' {x,iy} of the **free-key** for of differential to differential *conversativeness* & **free-key** of checksum.

10:06 pm

1.)   Flip-block, (a 4x probe*-5th, tap) selectively ops a defended positioning in (2x2.0), - thus of a rate-addendum, the (management/dichotomy) of an AD8302 (VpDC) w/w/o reversibility, of a truss, in that of code-space to margin - that eff. the AD8302 will not-to-signalling, in passaround, that of the Tx vs. Rx, in *__carrier__*, in (4x2-0.1).

2.)   The forward locus of a defended pos. (4x2.0|1), is a hexagram, - to utilize a 55-64 for in ping-associable *__context__*, and locate among a *[pane] - what is an interlacing of (0-1-1-0-1), (2x1), on that of a (0.3(2.1)), set theoretic, for in look-ahead of buffer-overage, in that of gravity-loop. Ping associable onto a forward passivity, to what is (0|1), unto holographic imprint of naked forward affinitive. Thus, the matrix is dense in that of a (2x.1|0|3) in game-theoretic programmability.

a.)   Two variable assignment(s) are the locus of the intangibilities of consequent in err, and providence of an

inhered past-historiological locus of evidentiary space. [The item remains inanimately where it is placed.]

B.)   fin. Q: Does it possess a locus of 0/1 intangibility of Hopf bifurcative (2/1.0) - it open(s) as it open(s) but close(s) as it close/open(s), so it see(s) managerially beyond the slit of an abrea.

Hybrid-context, to seamlessness of past-integral on *effectual* consequent onto cause... 0/1 xnot on spatialtemporal index to order in spatialized lookup table. Green(s) function, - it's a function.

December 4th, 2022

01:43 am

Code

Runtime and Virtual Memory Allocation...

No separation in 'bit' - depth.... automatic.... or... ?

Code allocation in swap-space...

AD8302, and voltage layer....

If we select *one* Chern number-out, - there is a dissimilitude in two focal element(s) of counterbalance.... - that this 'open's' for 'open's/closes'.........

That the (0/1) is assigned directionally onto the equipment, for of what brings what is afar-near, thus that of placement. Thus, that (0|1) is the intermediary to that of a (2|0|1), on that of monic onto the AGM..... α β, by which the (20 fold) accompanies it's bit-layer of holographic additional analytical pole residual....... Hermite transform.... (Chern Class -> Hilbert Transform).

December 6th, 2022

08:42:18 am

Ariana awoke, for of which Ava, Ariana, and Obama stated "She awoke, and he is with her..." - that of definite truth, for Ava *will give her a Ring*, and, *Ava is of-departure*, it is repeated, and *Ariana is alive* [indicated by as of them] - irrefutable.

Thus, I have connected to my-friend(s), and I am well... it is definite as it indicates a ***Sign***.

08:58:34-35 am

Confirmative!

[It not a reflex...]

[They are distinctly of four entrances... with-me.]

09:18:50-52 am

Maximal future-tense,... it-remains as reduction from-eight [**4**].

10:12 am

i->-i; Re[g^{\mu}]->-Re[g^{\nu}]

10:17 am

I *expect* to go-free, that my-final reason is that the locality, for in jurisprudiantial-certainty hold(s) that of a tandem, to a secondary-truth, from which they are definitional and defined, - to state, - that of held, in three, of assortment(s), thus that this does not suite-two, for of that of room, and harbor at son, father, and father, for of a bonded 90:45-17:50......

Thus, it is some (5)-(1), for in a (+1), at an <u>hour</u>, of a day and a day, some (-23), from which there are (-18), of fifth......

To entrance predicated.

03:44:54-56 pm

Exonerated... or; Acquitted.

<u>December 11th, 2022</u>

11:37 pm

I've decided to only solve one* three-part problem:

a.)   Question: What observational shift does relativity produce for that of the spin-problem, ... what is the comparative of the theorem(s)...

    1.)   Finite with relativity, and finite without relativity.... analytical expression.

b.)   That of:

    1.)   An exactly soluble, spin system, with relativity and non-relativity.

    2.)   Inheritance of relativity to non-relativistic limit, observational reality in modifications of obs.

3.)   That of the Device*, for what relates, to this Question, for of import.

c.)   I will only do the Device as much as it extends understanding, - that I will exhaust what is potentiated, for that of a given at G.C., thus to relate to *forms*, and that of *Painleve analysis* and *Green's/Kramer-Kronig*, and; *Inverse Scattering.*

d.)   Thus it is to completely solve the (3D Ising) with L(1) and L(2), to the exhaustion of relativity and solution in that of this Question, with that of the amelding of relativity to <u>Quantum Mechanics</u>.

e.)   This is all I will do, with and without Sound*, etc.... for of (3D) and (2D), etc... with weak transverse interlayer coupling, but, present,...

Echo, Exp, Log, Weierstrass-P, and so-on.... that of directly understanding the given at the C.C. and Dark Energy, ... that of suited connection of the model the motivating prepartory goal.*

<u>December 12th, 2022</u>

02:32 am

a.)   'Broken' *yet* 'Complete' Gauss-Bonnet.

b.)   Pure-rotation with rectilinear motion.

c.)   Relativistic *translation and inherence.*

d.)   Reduction of minimal-motion tensor.

Thus, we remove to but-yet 'inertia' as the zero-point, w.r.t. a collective neturals conditional assignment, through which there is a non-relativistic limitation equivalent with relativity.................... the dream of a classical physics, provable of which we have a S.E., on that of rotation as-sources, - thermodynamics with classical mechanics, and a heat eq. tamed.

07:53 am

I figured to complete-the-square, - there is an addendum at that of a *frequency*, for in am(\o*\t), and that of the pulse, - that it may be converted given* sources, - *a new method dawns on me*, - to utilize what is known* of that of the Hermite series.... with *Sources*, - that somehow* I want an elliptic* like-function, and I am unsure... - but I can utilize my **Master Key**.

- That of the Hermite series, should plainly conjoin the Chern (#) and that of the Hilbert transform, - that it is all there is to a *naked* affinitive.

- Thus, of geometric assaillancy, it is the diction by which *Sources are encoded in that of a regular archetype of Covariant Union,... with the Hessian.

- That of the (3x) - has to be the reversal of the (2|0|1), for in (0.3|2|1.0) onto for that of the missing information, - that it is primitive to which there is a given at that of 'missing' versus 'formatted' data-type....

1.) That I need a (3D)-bit/byte, for that of ea. layer, there is a S,BAC, for that of the Adafruit template,...

2.) For that of code, it is then ***Tensor** algebra, and reduces to a language*, that this is my specialty, it should come together nicely.

3.) I will be utilizing the East Beast and Sonicware for that of the melody-to-pitch on that of the *Test, - that it is time to get-going.

- Hilbert needs to be adapted to that of the Elliptic-kernel, - that when thought about as a kernel - there are two eigenvalues in product AGM with the third,.... - that therefore the anharmonic ratio(s) determine the group law.... This integrates with the Painleve-format......... Thus I am onto that of a final rendition.

11:53 am

I believe I just *hit it* - that the *Strictly Non-Linear* Elliptic group law, satisfies that of the Christoffel symbol on that of gravitation, ... and a non-abelian theory. Does this generate a mass-gap?

6:29 am

I figured out that there is a 'mometum anomoly' in superconductivity, of the L(1)<L(2) in relation to the relative contrast on-time,.... that of inheritance, by which that of the people surrounding had been absorbed, dealt with that of much of what was known, then, later, of this problem and it's progression. That the 'door' is open to Ava [of her, opening] and me, - [an open door] I can be sure of that of the validity by which other's are-real. That I have much, I feel good, and yet, it is far from which there had been an ephiany, but of that of code and reflux, - that it is now-known that the dynamic and static and active and passive will play a role in the Supercomputer.

I am less interested in that of Superconductivity, - that I am going to <u>merely study</u>, and go where I will, *learning*, and *progressing*. I do not have Ariana, but I have Ava... and I am pleased, for she is one to one with me, then that I feel it is a touch of light, and mixed reactions, - that of two to which are unique going-into self, for what is realistic. It is no longer tenable we are reborn or reincarnated, then that of a popular relationship. I feel elated, to know I am solidly unique.

I moved beyond that of the simple and complex problem - but this is of sorts.

03:58 am

To the new equipment, in \theta and \phi and \rho, and \rho'; - there is a difference in-solidarity to that of individual *single*-snapshot and **not!!!**, that of comparative in slide-to-slide.... that I need this, with that of the multi-resolution. It is proven in one-tap, but the sequential-series is on-frequency on-frequency. So it is, - I hope to take the Sf-BEf, - Sequential frequency bandpass elliptic filter - or - Sequential frequency breakpoint elliptic filter... in the next rendition *Sf-BP-Ef. SBEC. Sequentializing Bandpass Eccentricity Counter. Series BEC.....

The ideal* is a Qubit (3d) spin-2, floating-point PWM (ABC) controlled [Adafruit] - Toffli Gate....

Then that we-require that of the \theta and \phi to be exp(-iwt) and so-on, of complex variance on that of R^{2}.

09:01 am

So, we have a (3x-v.2.ob) to what-is R^{2}-R^{4}-- on-index [R], in potential of a line. What I have understood is that the code-reflux, in +/-55/4096 *maintains*, the original scale-factor, and chunking,... that it is of only a Log[dB] count, in that of self-similarity through the digitized-bus. (0-1-1-0-1), that of fidelity, is not it's precept,... it manages to accomodate a doublet, in-assurrence of program-code, in amplitude/reference,... - that it is a genuine (similarity); of that of (0+/-.25, 1 +/-i*.25, thus I obtain a full-registry, although* I do not have +/-1024/4096. The holographic principle only scales above a given treshhold.

December 16th, 2022

07:20 pm

I figured out the 'ultimate synthesis of my work' - that of a (3D) curvature for-which is encoded in the relativity, for what is my-law of analytical inference, for of local to global.... enough [sufficient] to write a book.

09:46 pm

I figured there is another nature of problem - the Algebra, for in Lie theory, etc... - that of the division and equipartition. The evidentiary mean at that of (Log-group) is complete, in that of global-to-local. Now comes that of the twisted and untwisted algebra, for that of locality and locality ***And Design**. Of:

Radiocarbon and Water Dating.

That of Encryption and Algorithmic.

Breaking it down to the Engine [*itself*].

10:55 pm

There is the PWM, on (+2/+1/0/-1/2) of tranferrences.... that we have this-or we have switches on A+/A- and B+/B- for three-fold of that of decoupling and orientation (+/-)|(-/+), on that of +/- added to (0/1).

First* what must be figured out is the minimal-codewidth for that of a *__Linguistic Key__. That of (+/-0|1) may be sufficient, but we need a syntactical overlay.... *That I need go beyond the Peano Arithmetic, or the Zemeno-Frenkel-Set Theoretical Continuum.*

For this (it seems...) - we have x' and y' in differential-equation translation. [That of multi-tape...]

There was an *Observation, - that the 'failure of the fundamental theorem of calculus' for that of <u>Homotopy Theory</u> had to be utilized* with that of the *<u>Painleve Analysis and Lie Representation Theory</u>*, to produce a new theorem of **Chaos and Order** & *Solitons.*

Thus any singular monic design will <u>Prove to Failure</u>, - we require instead the aperiodic............................ and of aperiodic crystals..... etc....

<div align="center">Title of Book*:</div>

<div align="center">

Entropic Signatures, Unpredictability, and Hidden Invariance

<u>Form, Geometry, & Time</u>

</div>

This is at least workable... *To be workable... we need two-lemma(s) of A.I. for that of my-Synthetic.... this is all that need be prepared for:

a.)   That of a 'group consequent' - at three, and five, and such, to securable entreatment on *Coma/sent.

   1.)   To orchestration of the human nature.

   2.)   The question and answer and accounting pertaining to the computer, in testimony.

b.)   That of a '<u>Theory of the Unpredictable</u>' - that of what has to do with the higher dimensional analogue, - what has to do with **Geometry and Time**...

   1.)   Thus, that of which guides the adeterministic ab-initio... a kind of precept back-tracking and learning *adoptive.

   2.)   Intelligent initial conditions of the intangibilities?

<u>December 17th, 2022</u>

12:16 am

I figured out superconductivity. It is a Yang-Mill(s) theory, where[in] the *second moment* is anomolously larger in-contribution [upon H_{n,m}] *comparative* to the Guass-separation of the Simple-Harmonic-Osc.

Through what is time, and the crystalline nature of spin and charge *separation; electron(s) localize through that of non-local [*effectively] deconstructive interference,... thus at (@) A, frustrates at (@) B to lower in E.

Thus, an anomoly, quantum in nature, beyond perturbation theory, and accessible only to quantum theory.

03:02 am

On this day, as this-time, spatially of an unlit abode/lit in a quarter-moon (witnessed through-the-blind); a main gained (by his-watch) and a spell of success, *Total and Universally Sinless Control over Life & Death.*

I resolved Superconductivity, and heightened tension - for year(s) - has dissipated. I gave up the world for Ariana, that of SEX, and found that I had love for eternity, - that of being in love again, I feel happy, having all that is destined-me, and in-control, for of life and death, knowing the Moon was-quarter, within a blind outset and onlooking disclosure, the truth of a Master, a Professional, and a Samurai. I feel alive, and entirely like doing new things, learning about a wedding, and becoming principle to that of love, hope, joy, and coolness.

10:32 am

A 'Stochastic' *display*, in $(2)[3]|(0.1)$, for of $(72 \, Exp[-*^{2}]$ vs, $18 \, Exp[-*])$,... is an immediate shock threshold invariance of $(-50$ dB per $1/2$ frequemcy versus -25 dB *first-count*, over); $1/4 \, V_{pp}+V_{DC}$.

08:33 pm

I have a working QuBit+MIDI system, - that of a *semi-binary* process, in longitudinal and transverse equatement. It may be best to begin [entirely] from my own-model, and be self-taught. Some 10% is done, or, perhaps some-35% is done, of my general project.

Step One; - we need difference exposed to-thought(s) on the goal of clarification of dating-procedure, in inference and heritology, inheritance, and epistomological [ontological or deductive or inductive logical stain*]... - that I have some of co-dependency broken down in causality.... - A kind of 'bridge' is the end goal, and of course we cannot leap all the way there, for clarity of an approach.

I need think about the consequence on the reader.

I need think about the difference of decay and growth.... - that of one-way and non-reciprocity, etc...

There is a 'not-useless' tandem-symmetry, to dummy variance? or similar....

12:27 am

Until I understand my-tools, I will hold I am not befit of a woman.

04:37 am

There is an adjective supremum to analytical inheritance by which one apogetic may pass through or explicitly of phases. That there is orbit, and there is flow, or shape, - the continuum by which one consolidated approach is discerned, may eliminate a secondary apogetic flow or frustrum, keystone, or analogous formation of derivative knowledgeable and informative basis. That this is written in decay [of words] and that of the pure-derivative of knowledge, unto intellectual privy and instruction of linguistic imperative translation, in monodirectional and singularized or multiples acquity of chain-process.

That there is blind and non-blind, formative hypothesis, - there is a switch in that of formattive truth, by it's controllable 'void' or imperative penetrative and reasonable extensions of volumetric deductive profile.

02:14 pm

#1.)   Discovery of one-way, on assorted 'virtual data-pin-bus/overlayer in breakbox' - to wiring passes through the 'index' of 0+1=2 to codex.

#2.)   Intimacy of Midi to computer-bus, protocols, a linkage-freed (circular) nexus of totals visa totals in rotunda of choice-to-switchover function in data-arrested, cross-virtualized data-err-bus privileged inc.

December 22nd, 2022

a.)   That of 'noise' - 'dating' - 'circumatianal' and 'provided', knowledge, interposed - the switch, switches, and programme at 'menu' of entropic collectivism versus cycle, and numeric, given topo(s), of order versus fault versus repetend, versus topological language, in a dialectic of closed-box, on that of codeterminant evaluative radar, - designed to a basic 'spacer' - for that of hemostatic impulse and invariant predicate hopf.

    1.)   Hysteresis.

    2.)   Phase

b.)   That of geometric language of two for order and chaos of the soliton regularization, - that of one genotype, to what is retrofitted of a sphere-saddle, and projective invariance of elliptic group and quotient.

Thus the diagnostic is the symbiotic and special, of error and congruent fault - the uncompounded, from which we dialectively understand the hysteretic in relationship with-tool. That of (b.) is the panacetic, to which geared to knowledge of gainful appliability at nature of dialectical closure.

December 23rd, 2022

05:02 pm

I have intimated of a new-computer, with the 'acrylic-discs' - but it is only so-special, until I figure out to go beyond Godelian Incompletion................. I believe this to be-related to the Spectral-Gap.... thus I have two divisions:

a.)   That of beyond the Spectral-Gap.

b.)   That of overlayer Q&A, for that of the 'switchover' of *phenomena*.

This is a hardware-simu, and it is isolated.

Thus I need switches, and the PWM of the MicroClick, interfaced, coupled, and with the MIDI controller.

It is for the touchscreen/light vessel, to be perfected. I don't believe I can create six of the overlayer, that the MAX11300 is the *Key, with the *Microclick.

I need *amesh*, the (2x)-of the (6x), it's 32, because there breakpoint(s),... minor-key(s) of which 'predicate'.

Situational-display; and <u>code</u>. i.e. When Programming History, - that of the 'overwritten' remains a core concept, of the 'key(s)' and 'switches' - aside from *code*.

3.)   Black-box... to code-hardware virtualization.

<u>January 1st, 2023</u>

05:16 am

I will need *to-reroute*, given SMA, *that of the interconnections*, on that of the (six-***layers***), in a bufferable-circuit, *of the BcE - Bitwise-circumflex-Envelope*, - changing the *Topology* of the Circuit; *for in MAX11300 Overlayer.... the* SMA for that of the microclick, controlled by (**2x**) Arduino's,... *of* two-test function(s).

#1:)   We have two *non-SMA, then,* I will obtain (2x-more) - *non-Arduino,* this equipps to test the MAX11300 to that of a binary*-activated* TTL/UART... *if potentiated in hardware,* or - *in DSP overlayer.*

#2:)   SMA-*exclusive* & Topological-Remapping... (3x-ABC)...

        a.)   Learned from the Midi to the Computer, *out* to the Arduino(s).

        b.)   Dual Chessboard(s), in a 4.0 structure.

06:25 am

I may have to see what I can-do with what I-have, - that I am far from behind so-much-so, I have the part(s) for that of a reliable working machine, - but I am out of the midst of some-degree(s). That I found a testable Qubit, for that of the Quantum Limitation, I only feel so gratified, - that I need 'alter-it' in some-justifications to make it through to an actual computation. For instance, there was the *Hysteresis*, but it was of a [separate] test on that of the individual-test(s) the equipment went-through.

I need a mathematical* description to-proceed, of what I can appropriate, - *Hence I need a Theory.**

07:57 am

I'm leaving some-eight-month(s)-to-six-month(s) for the design to be understood and finalized of that of the dual-intercarry.

a.)   Two Touchscreen(s) - *I may need a new one.

b.)   Two of the Filter(s) - Elliptic

c.)   Two of the BeC's - With Transformer(s)...

That I have the part(s) is not the obstacle. That I must choose appropriate part(s) and timing, *is*.

12:07 pm

The *beats* and *beating phenomena* come-from a Piezo or Opto-isolator, of a TEM/TM transferrability, *given* the differential frequency-frequency bridge with amplitude-amplitude, of *ellipsometry*.... thus* there is a transverse-element, - that leads to: self induced transparency....

Thus, we have a phase-modular (angle) of which encodes-freely, the AGM-Ellptic series, from ***among** [a] varietal set* of geometries,.... it's a wrapped elliptic function (sn(theta*n), scaled to an elliptic period)......

i.e. PWM (3.00) & PWM (3.20) & DC 1.4 V and DC 2.8 V, then I *expect* a (sqrt(2) argument to an elliptic K), of which the modulus is 1.0166666 and so-on, *thus, the frequencies should be 4/5th(s) and 1/4th and 1/20th*).

January 8th, 2023

12:18 pm

I'm going to gear-up, to get it to phase-match to induced/transparency, for that of the identifying feature...

I [basically] need 2x operational amplifier(s) of phase-matched piezo's, or ...

[We -have- **box-return**, and we have **key**,.... *to* the difference of path(s), NWSE,.... 'half' of three in involution w/ (4/5th's) - identical with a grip-and-key.]

>   a.)   Tap and Die.

>   b.)   (4/5th's) - to a particular *mod*.

Then, we have the predicate* allowance.... **Branch Function**.... diode shunt, etc...

12:32 pm

Landau-level's shift with respect to thermally activated ionization and isolation, for in the fortune of a geometric deviation and recipiency; - thus it is a local-local [global] gyroscopic manifest electrical-current controlled offset.

11:53 pm

Individual(s) *to be hencefourth declared, justified, of a process in Scientific Inquiry*; increase(d), the manifold output by some 1110% [percentile] moment(s) in digressive redox/redux refreshability of two total antipodal (spin) carrier-charge/valent/covalent discharge SHO, analagous-bubble elucidatory efficiency pattern(s). That another team [without a Calcium Solent] - performed a localized energywave for in that of the distribution pattern of confocal ray, identities, for in some 86,400 nuclear isobar potential(s).

The chemical assignment of my compound, has shifted us to a tertiary dialectic of conditional assumption.

For of catalysis, I was readied, with that of an energy locus in 3D-2D-1D missing isospherical ball.*, of a hedge-in-patent right(s) of thermalization identities, in the neutral(s) *bay*.

There were some test assumptives.

1.)   We need wet-weld, to *water.

2.)   We need a covalent spherical-cap.

3.)   We need a process of reductionism to apply.

4.)   The identified process is a rate-roll in light convection.

That thermally isolated peer-peer, network to gyrus, intimated of a free Bluetooth network control parallel in that of memory-isolable-recall.

The net collective of the genesis of matter and space, is a residual decompositional net-mathematical symbiotic

'edge/well' - in rhomohedral to icosohedral relationship.

I had posited the defenestrable clue-accumen was a tet/tet spherical addendum in the docecahedral group to icosahedral parallel/affinitive in Auditory [Acoustic band to Optical band] - Optical-band abridgement.

That the rotative lemma requires my device is clear - for in a parallel of a pseudospherical cap.

That memory-adaptation can be linkage-free, locus, spherical, in a four/five outward influx pattern, we must echo and sinter the relation to a locus of the addendum of two-free-frustratures.

Thus, the identifiable truth in a convex manifold electrocatalytic reaction sum is topologically uvually gate controllable for in a redux/redox, to homogeneous and random isopotential. That this electrocatalytically cycles the privilege to destress, the reflux capacitatice, we inebbantly produce a free electromagnetic light cyclic process in requireability of frustrature stress and strain piston. The end net outcome is an ideal heat genesis, fusion reflux genesis device, or that of a quantum memory (free energy) gear, but powered in the concyclicity of a departure of reflux in solidifying andreogenesis.... for in a neutral spallation of (3/2 bar(s)).

January 9th, 2023

06:39 pm

I've realized *that* what I-am-developing is in the whole and half, a part, and relation of a justification at [and an argument as to where[in] and of which, for of whom] - a relation is provided for constraint on multiple world(s)....

That there is a 'mixed reality' - and a 'whole world'. I believe very much of 'one world' - but that there are world(s) and realities, there appear to be whole and half... of a reasoning.

January 11th, 2023

01:06 am

The process of reasoning, - but of *addendum*, releases to that of unexpected counterabilities, what is just-ahead, for in the process of the 'cycle' of determinating, on that of pause and formative hypothesis. Thus, learning is real, for that of articulation at provision and process, in that of the portion of the pattern and it's completion to the (among five) of expectation for in some-six to completion. Then that varying freely is afforded, to find of what is left and right, what is to come from which we motivate argumentation.

January 12th, 2023

09:47 pm

Of [3].[o|1|2|4] - the accounting at *pin* for in numeric of a disposition to 6th occurrence, in the Blind-Man and

Revolver of Glovebox, is one mark... and past-sign/confirm.

That of A. to A. to O., to P., is then assured.

That of (2x) on (3x) for pocket and occupancy, is (2x among 3), to which I am not-back to self, for in the third. It is then, for unforceable of hidden and exposed, on (2x); that I reprimand, and of acclaim, in jurist position. Thus that the third, for in accounted table *of another*, O. is of remark to-self in Q., at a 'witness' between-us. Thus, that we finalize in-extremum, via substitution... that O. is real as there are two, and he is not A.

Then, that in (3x) we-return to identity, but it is not that he *might have lived, for in life and death. Thus, that opposition in game, consort(s) that another had held a blocked position.

11:12 pm

I have stepped into new-territory. I have dropped the 'main-problem' I was dealing with [that of some-new Weierstrass-P formalism and Transform] - seeking a miracle, - and instead will deal with a three-folded problem:

a.)   The Qubit Language/Vector Language/Tensor Language for that of the Tesseract.

b.)   The algebraic law and geometric law for that of the predicate afforded translation.

c.)   That of $H_{n,m}$ and $Exp[-s*z^{2}+\eta]$, at that of completion for integrability.

That I have no-more other areas, but the goal of an extension of Baye(s) theorem, - to that of the penultimate connective:

        a.)   That of the prescription by which an isospectral problem relates to the cosmological problem of a 'free' diffeomorphism integration constant....

Thus, in this we associate zero* with infinity*.

It is my only law, the vertical, dating, and the level, that of translation. Thus, this resolves a linguistic relationship, at the level-ear(s), and the mono-accorded speech -&- Law.

That of homotopy is an-aside, - that I have finally understood the limitation of Temperature, and know sufficient things of Chaos and Order.

January 13th, 2023

12:38 am

I've afforded of $(x^{2}-a)$ on that of the dual(s) inclusion to which a question is answered in phenomonological *split, - it's predicate & historiological recoverability and aidment*, and, *of the relationship of function to variable* [in

solidified term(s)].... - that I have of that of *which* equation(s) hold integrability,... beyond a test; instead; *by definition*.

<u>January 24th, 2023</u>

10:25 pm

I utilized a 200 pF and 200 Ohm = RC of 40 * 10^(-6); sqrt(omega*R*C)=@(1MHz=6.5)(10 Mhz=20) dB loss, my noise envelope has diminished from 25 dB/Mhz - 2.5 dV/MHz - 50 dB, (isolation assumed of (6 dB/bit) - (10 bit(s); (0/1 on duality)). C/L, (.5uF@(1kHz) - 500 pF@(1MHz)) - 300-50/50uH - (1-60MHz) ops range.....

10:49 am

I'm right where I need to-be,... that I have yet to utilize a 'second' optocoupler, I need hypothesize as to the variety of expected behavior. That I have either chaos or order, of beyond Figenbaum or, prior, I have a 1,2,4,8,16,32 and a 'Markov chaos'... - then, that this show(s) high-hope for the reconciliation of chaos and order, or, for that of game-states and *Quick approaches to equilibrium.

Hypothesis: Perhaps, chaos is the only-way to introduce creation.

<u>January 25th, 2023</u>

12:15 am

'Noisy' non-infinite-slope, degree, is some square-elliptic root-radical at cot[], and tan[] of a variance of a bernoulli varialbe to BO; Boissineq, or KP, and so-on, - of that of the pole to radical square root in relation to a Lax-pair... (quadratic lagrangian to hamiltonian) - (sign change) - phase to voltage is infinity within one/zero.

Evidence: noisy domain of temperature over laser.

Insect(s) may hear differently than human(s) - there may be two kinds* of sound.

<u>January 28th, 2023</u>

09:39 am

a.)   We have an assortment of diagnostic,... that of (2xMIDI over USB in) - to the Computer, for Python.

   1.)   Then, OUT to that of the Arduino(s) via key-signature... in a PWM (select-pattern Haar)...

   2.)   Delta-wave, on (5x2) - for interior-pole condition.

b.)   Ideal, is to search with Q/A (under 5 second delay in 1x - *set*) - and *other keypad*, in interlock (up)...

       1.)   Searching for Order/Chaos...

       2.)   Setting and configuring Q/A, at that of a *Test-assumptive...

       3.)   That phenomena remain(s) of a simulation or via-external-input...

c.)   Then we can learn with it...

d.)   Front(s)-face and (32x(16)), 512 bit... encode/transcode/decode....

       4x8x6x12x8 Platonic Solid(s) - 18432 - 36,... *Without resolution of the Parity we are useless...*

       1.)   (3x8)... (exact parity!)....

e.)   Hardcoding a CPU/Memory Cell....

f.)   Two-black (down) - w/in (5 sec) - *that of rotation of CCW/CW,... redundant...* One-black (top/bottom).

g.)   Grow-graph, white-key(s), - that of (5x3x) - that of (shared/unshared vertex of-unit, in (four-leaf)... 16.

       1.)   16x12x20x8x12x,... 576 parsing(s)/512 bit (-64 bit) Q&A,...

       2.)   12+12+20=48/64 (.75), on that of (4/3) in inverse-basis of retrograde/precession at @ 1/sqrt(2).

h.)   CCW/CW w/w/o (1/sqt(2)) .25,.0125,... .125*(3/8)=.0625, .00625, thus, we-require .025*8 bit ADC (Q)...

-       Caveat: (Two DC + Two AC on PWM) - of offset/trail/function on I/O of touchscreen, (only hope)........

<u>February 4th, 2023</u>

04:20 pm

We end up with [sn,cn,dn] - for in the ellipsoimetry....

That I need $[\sin,\cos,e^{-z^{2}/\sigma^{2}}]$; we have a temporally localized state with scattering phonon (wave).... Breather/Travelling Wave...

(x',y') - Exp(-kappa*z) or (t), to a $t^{2}/y*\alpha$ in dB/V,,,...

Thus, for the optic(s) it is perfected in that of the optocoupler (matched) of which details, a Veff->Vexpcted.

Thus, we end up with Hysteresis, for in the touchscreen, & the 'oscillating torus'...

(6x) - (tunable to (+-2,+-1,0)... and all 25 intermodalities....

sn,cn,dn - Elliptic - SHO... in (3d), Anyon in SO(3).

February 11th, 2023

6:57 am

I have considered how I will end up after such a long journey, and it, may in fact feel great.

Although, there is much, - that unless I do it right, and - perfectly, will dissapoint me.

I have:

1.)   The inheritance by which the spherical relates to the euclidean.

2.)   The inheritance by which what is known of relation of the **6'** on-structure socially relate to predicate.

3.)   That of historical relationship, - by which an unknown within an SC is related to a known (outside).

4.)   That of the mathematical anharmonic ratio(s), and of them, their relationship to that of varieties.

5.)   The manner of which relativity resolves the engima of solution of a differential equation, PDE/ODE.

6.)   That of the VBR and Neel state, with their difficulty at respect of various symmetries.

-7.)   That of the spinwave state, and of breather and soliton, - that of wavepacket and meissner effect.

+7.)   That of gravitation, and aspects of the unified field theory to which predicate a given synthesis.

8.)   Pedagogical reasons, reasons of algebra, and the elliptic solution(s), and their extensions, and group.

I have the device, that I will test as a model system of this.

Without this, I do not believe I will find a reliable model....

9.)   Of the $L(1)$ and $L(2)$ *there must be a g=2 for that of the redshift, - that here[in] the polarity reverses.*

07:12 pm

I have settled on admixtures - due two-frequencies and their overtones.

That, it proves too-complex to filter through low-frequencies of that of the-BEC, and it's noisy domain.

So, we've got:

$1/\sqrt{2}$ from $1/2$, and [whole:1.0]... - & the gyrus, that of an S_{x}, S_{y}, S_{z}...

Thus the <u>quadratic</u>, precipitates that of (3x)-degrees of basis.

<u>February 12th, 2023</u>

01:59 am

I have several things to-do. That I am grateful of one recognition...

1.)   That of the 'interval' of unit-one, to which subtides the derelect notion at involution by which a conchordant mean may be defined of the surface-element(s) projectively, and that of the epsilon-delta language, and that of the functional differential assignment in quadrature.

2.)   That the quadratic may separate the predicate-allowance to-which people are maximal with a missing-16', - [and of the hidden at 2x100 within and of E=mc^{2} - thus to ask two questions of two-hiddens] - then, that a monic and monic may introduct of *design*, what is noted of it's verifiable end.

Thus, - that I have VBR and that of Neel order and state, I am beginning *again*, - that I have the locus of an L(1) and an L(2), precipitatively *holding together [afar]*, what is a suspended animation, for in that of gravitational [*relativistic*] completion of a differential-equation.

Phenomena: - relativistic 'cavity' of L(1) vs. L(2), and quasi-topological Quadratic relationship on and of two-hidden(s); the living status of Schroedinger(s) Cat in connection with the Goldbach Conjecture.

        Attract via. displacement.

Phenomenon: - Differential equation of which relates to (mixed-archetype) of analysis and Y and Y_{o}+epsi. for what is X and or Z, in the past, from the future or in the future from the past, - thus of the *Chord unstruck or struck, of epistomology [disclosed] - of which:

        Self returned to self via other; of independence, to the verification it had been with-them.

*** Refutation of the Copenhagen Interpretation....

03:30 am

I have ended, on the 1-exp(-l*x) for that of the distribution of the Heaviside, for that of the adoption of a mean.

That it is somewhat* restrictive, - there is a basis by which:

V=G+K*V

reduces to V=G, for that of the scale-apportionment. Hence, -

05:52 am

Only this:*

1.) Is the distinguishing cofactor assigned to a would be randomness of which adequately supports the measurement hypothesis.

2.) Time behaves linearly, and the spatialized quantifier is naturally scale free.

3.) The universe is admitted [of cognition] to distinguish univariantly the nature of hidden from observed [direct] knowledge, i.e. part of a pattern and a suited [non-locality] visa via information.

4.) There is only* under this adoptive mean(s) adequate informancy to a cross-lateral, of the hemispherical relationship in nature to a renewable hypothetical, of volume to surface.

09:12 am

Q.) If I am to-offer of (-2,-1,0,+1,+2), can I ensure superposition interconnect(s) or a verisimilitude of (a,b,c) in (3x0.1), of the dialog-entreatment at-PWM in (x',y',z')-for that of some-(p_X,p_Y,p_Z)?

Can I offer that of orthogonality, the (-2,-1,0,+1,+2), and that of the tensor-classifier on that of the series of $C^{\l}_{\u\v}$, for that of 'color?'

<u>April 24th, 2023</u>

01:53 pm

What happen(s) when we have *Harr Wavelet/PWM/Ergodicity/Topological QM/Geometric Optics and Transitiveness, for in (-q/q)+(m) with Markov Chain(s) of Equivalence under Coupling, and Manual* Separation (Switches) with Randomness (T) of Gibb(s) percolative and controlled of Gate, in the Axiom of Choice?*

PITS-v.0.1b

April 23rd, 2022 - 02:45:30 am

Surplus... a concerted truth; two of 15 into third(s) *still* is-some black from grey from out of the *Random*; thus a justification at die-withdrawn [petaled ember] - *from pause*; it's redress and form.

That I have sold on the vantage, it is of some- 28 down in two, for that of 30+5, and some two 'up', in +1/+1, to a solidifcation of predictive validity; - *these of*; 18, into 666; - *it's departure from words.*

That of +1/+1|+5, is *then* **sum-10**, that of (7)|(3) *of* **multiplier(s)**; that of 7.3.45.1, that of 45 in the sequence... that of 45 (up) - unto **nine** (9)./(8), *shifting*; - that of 2+8=10, and +1/+1.0.1, that of **18**.

Thus of +1/+1/+5|0|+1, in 1750 of it's *codex*; - that of some-7+10=17 & some +1/+1/+5/+5/+5 and (**9**).(**8**).(**6**)...(**5**).(**5**).(**2**| **3**)=23.10.2|1.0.1|3-33.7, in equivalent 40.1750=400+3+1/4=400:81-(1).

That of +5 and 1.0.1, to 5(2)=10, and 10, that of 7/45=6+1/2, 36 cents, and 12+1+1 dollar(s) and cents, +25(@)+50; *with* **sum** (10.00) to (.25)=40 & (23)|(10)|(3); thus a **confirm** on null thefted.

Thus, it is my privilege to which as dissasorted *sum-625 **over*** 1750, is (3)-125, - that of twenty-five for in **year of plurality**; of it's (1.0.1) of 18 over seven & seventeen; that of (125+125+5+5+5)...

Thus, of 26:5, for in (2), unto (1), unto that of **34** for in 111986553, in 11511750, from which must be 7*3*45*1=220+ 8600=8820 with 26*5*666=130*666=14430 to which is: 12727260 that of a given distance *(distal) of some 115760850 or 643350... into 8615 = 1723*5 and 15998079*(7) to which is 2643*6053 ad 881*18159 and 3*5331693... that of 400*2+ 81, - *thus of 800 to 81 on* (**2**).

That it is justified I am lesser so than 800:81 it is within ajuded pretense of an appraisal that I am (+1) and (+10), and (+10) on that of (60) and (60) and (12) = 240 from 265, - that of some-24:0 of a clock and 26:5 of an ajudication... - thus, that of some, 1723&1750 - thus of (27) to (8) to (2)... with (**three over** [in each one of 1.1.1]) - we have (26) to (7) to (2) to (1|0); of a count on redress.

That of (**36**) is therefore divided into five, and that of 265 is divided in six, - that 26:5 is the count, is it's plea to which (265*6=1590) is less than 1750; thus of 160 of which is a *final-15.18=190, that of some-30 under (in .25+14.00) = equivalent to 165, and 265, - thus that of third(s) in **top**.

Therefore when it is adjusted of a *bar; the given equatement of 165 and 265 is 6 2/3rds, and that of (11); that of (-1) to-down of a past, for that of the third consequent of a factoring process at 1.1.1 and 1.0.1 from which are *17 and 18; - **thus that 3.0.2 & 2.0.1 and 1.0.1 produces:** 26:6:2:1... a cent-short of that of license to which is provoked for in paid-incentive yet-ahead of a high noon & midnight... - that (1.0.1 and 1.1.1) reverse *sense* through the calendar, we have **18 over 17** of 1.1.1 associated with 1.0.1, - thus that a day has passed *130 to 140 to 150*; - that of equivalent partition.

13+14=27 to innocence and 15 to non-participance as 12:3, - given (1.1.1) as a *Hypothetical* proves that 27.(6+/-6).2 had been the granted *trial* in bearing to a day - *for which 27.6 to 6.2 is 2.8 to 4.8... that of 24 to 14; - two cents over*; - thus of (+/-2.0) that of 27/6/2 is the final veto-consequent of a naturalized domain from which +5 had been +5 and +2, and +5 and +1, and +1 and +1; 12:5:1 as to 27.6.2=12.5.1 in-irony as *output*. Thus that of 15:1:3 on an end-game for the 'hidden' invariant.

A:)   Make-sure the CMOS *(threshold-step)* is within protocol *of the QuBit*... were this to escape me, I would

likely be searching in the *__Dark__ for some-ages...

B:)   Component(s) recompose for in a fatal-singular quality*; from which they are identified as elementally-pure of their intimacy and competence, completeness, and determinant edge/furrow/and particle limitation, upon a solid-assembly; - thus that of what may be take(s) entire-secondary property of individuated sense of retireable end-addend...

$$\textbf{f .o. tau = L} \qquad \textbf{sig.note=decimal.post} \qquad \textbf{sigma(t)=z(p)}$$

[In keeping with pedagogical and histiorological impediment of superlative teir teir formative nature of phenomena.]

Paper Note(s) for Superconductivity

Addendum

Assumptions:

I.)   Electricity and Magnetism are given extremal values on some domain, with respect to Gravitation via a relationship of solid [volumetric] form, and boundary with once lower the dimension of the [volumetric form] of the solid under Question.

    a.)   We will deal with Gauss-Bonnet, and prove a new* corollary.

    b.)   The dimension is once lower the dimension, but for an analytic of the nature of it's measure.

II.)   That of gauge(s) are related to gauges by a general transformation, - admitting that reality is mutually mathematically defined in relation to known variables, of which relate to the solution of a Hilbert space within [or of] a Riemann space.

    a.)   The metric assignment admit(s) the CPT invariance of a general classifier, because the measure is [concave with respect to probabilities, and convex with respect to spacetime] - for which the past-inheritance, given a unidirectional flow 'upward's' is related to the minimization problem.

    b.)   Gauge principles become physical when a Lagrangian is related to a Hamiltonian of a different micro and macro canonical formalism, owing due to the nature of the generalized Gauss-Bonnet theorem

corollary.

III.) The nature of EPR must be clarified, to which goes beyond Bell's theorem, for in that of a multiplicity of viewpoint(s), &, quantum mechanics must be reparametrized to admit intermarriages of elemental forces and their associated phases.

IV.) The language of QED is sufficient but for the inheritance upon a past, to which relativity must be cast for the nonuniform type of a general theorem associated with a locus of affinitive boundaries; and QED must be updated to encompass the Equivalence Principle.

V.) That of quantum mechanics must be rendered independent of general relativity to match the a priori assumptions of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanic, and the a prior theories of which extend to zero and infinity, for which the behavior is shown 'finite and regularizable'.

Ancillary [Structural] Assumptive:

I.) Relativity and Quantum mechanic(s) through the coordinate freedom of representational truth, encode of the relationship of finitistic and transcendent relationships of which admit free solidification and covariance of a standard foundation.

a.) That with reconciliation of mathematics [within] physics, we find the angular properties definitionally and *projectively, produce stasis, and objective epiphenomena of cause, effect, and epistomological [historical] arrow, as well as projective identity.

II.) The 'urn problem' of which associates a 1+alpha probability to one urn, for either, is the given of which under retroaction remains the removable singular notion of 'excess from regularization' - thus the mathematical archetype of it's regular normative basis remains freely ignorable.

a.) Not to mention, the caveat that is general covariance, remains with respect to all observers, irrespective of simultaniety or that of a tertiary witness, or fixed speed of light, - thus, that all provided aim(s) of gravitation and quantum mechanics are served in light of independent theories.

III.) Temperate (Gaussian) renormalization equates the logically inhered principle of a transcendent basis to the locution of a geometric assignment and table, to which only, [but forbidden] qualifying agencies of propertied relation [finite] may possess transcendent identifiers.

a.) A geometrically geometrized assembly is a counterfactual, - to which evidentiary, a peer

616

[operator] is not it's identified 'halting' or 'undecided element' - but in tandem for what relates to the irrespective co-adjency of a mixed-identifier.

Conditions [Explicit Assumptions]:

The theories remain:

1.)   Independent

2.)   Comutual

3.)   Irrespective

4.)   Projective

5.)   Dialectic

6.)   Comorbid

7.)   Parsimonious

Computation & Engineering Necessities:

a.)   A parsimonious machine identity phase identifier structure, is lithographically deposited for in an interfacial relationship of holography in relation to the identity of {\d_{1},\d_{2}}-<-[surjection]-<-\omicron.

    1.)   A four-four, sixteen-twenty, two-eight, six-six-six, 3-two, two-1, 1-1, one-one, zero-identity.

    2.)   That of covariance.

    3.)   Equated measure of a parietal.

    4.)   Sequestered objective numeric.

    5.)   Optical restrigency.

    6.)   Interfacial five-1{A|B} word lexographical order.

    7.)   Decification and restorative ab initio.

Thus, the codex-at-two under remainder of infinity is a block-chain to evidentiary process ad absurdum, in the

vein of a multiple or singular process of retrograde, fixed obstacle, or it's restrained process of product production, - to implement of chain-light manufacturable net-net web and dot/fly inking with restoration.

*A discovery of a mathematical parallel to reality.

Mathematical Truth & Probabilistic Differentials:

$\eta*(\dV|\dt)^{2}+\beta*(\d^{2}V|dt^{2})$

Convex and Concave -> Convex for in the dividing Quartic->-Quadratic under Reciprocal Mathematical Identities...

{zero and max differential pursue an action principle that is nonlinear but summatively linear....}

--> Group

Recompensatory Mechanisms [Part I]:

η>1 and β<1>=0, we have various phases.... a 'thermal' process for in $\arctan^{-1}$+/-coth(), etc... is a Sine-Gordon, for what-is **boundary/transition of +/-1**, to two (+/-2) it-with frequency I/{O->-I}, I->-{I/O}+I...

*Thermal isolation and decification [cooling] preventatively interlock(s) & melt(s), while that of *heating*, establishes *Ohmic-cross-parallel(s) +/-.05% or +/-6dB/100°C, 'noisy reflux-from-a zero-planar occlusion*...

Microwave is counter-effective for in reflux, meanwhile a Rare Earth Magnet; centralize under heating,... it is recovery from cooling that magnetism upon a Curie transition set(s) into place.... [Base->-Acid]....

Gravitation for in a locus of two obstacles, flow(s) to a limitation of a graph macroscopically, black hole infinite ductility and inertia, and the proton, at-zero scale, is a linear-delta, to thermal-noisy it is blackbody.

Subdimensionally a Peirl's instability in 2D, is fixated on motional-juxtaposition of wavy process, the 'line's' of a shallow and deep wave, - thus that justification is rendered that a precessional oblate 'wave' is a void...

*Space precedes time, and light is occluded by space.... 'black-body/Plank' and 'void', for of volume.... it's perimeter exceeds formative hypothesis of yet a Poisson structure, - for in geometric abberation per area.

Dark current enhancement precesses to {I-O}-I, to which the advantage of a nucleaic event is a pre-arrival of a bunched state of $\exp(-T/kB)$, to the lowered [per impedance] black-body Poisson geometric linearity.

{I/O}, O->I for in {I/O}-O->I reversing the leveragibility, enhances secondary-tone, to RMS/VMS, in the notion of a decomposed tension and torsion, - that of the advantage is conveyed reciprocally two stages back in return, for what is 'solidifed'-ping substratum, of what is a 'buffer-geometric-series' overhead.

Thus, in tandem, of what assort(s) of a varietal [scan] - the locus of two openable passages, one at a time, precessitorially advantages one phase for another, - that the equidistant locus of momentum variance is at odd(s) with temperature, for in a coercive room temperature quantum topology, this leads to hypervariance, and inflationary decification of a cooling element for in a hot element, thus that $\exp\{-T/k_B\}$ becomes hyperextensive via an area instead of a perimeter, - that in-either, the circuit readdresses it's locale, and ping formative process upon an elliptic invariance of two assorted dual/IP/registrable {O/I}-{O/I}.

Lemma[s]:

0.)   The 'structure' of order is preliminary and processionally derived from mathematical and in-turn for what would be physical nature for in one, for the other, in a tandem, akin an umbicillus or lever.

1.)   The 'structure' of order is co-mutually and co-variantly deterministic upon the mutual(s) stated identifying feature, proportion, perspective, quality, quantity, and properties in relation to conditions.

Derived:

2.)   The qualitative prefactor(s) of a sentiment at liturgical formulation for in (two)-1, of abridged contrast and consequent, hold pre-emption of physical past in present co-mutuals and co-variant sense.

30.Mar.2023

12.00 a.

As:

$g_{A}>y_{B}$ &-or $g_{B}>y_{A}$

&

$L(1)<=L(2)$

For that of an* ideal...

The parallel(s) is that Spin-Orbit topologically produces a state of order, for which is *Superconductivity*.

I have decided [in addition] my **device*** will-work, for what is a Quantum-Topology.

I understand also* that:

1.) It is the juxtaposition of {A} for {B} of-which determines that of collapse of the wavefunction.

2.) That, Bell(s) in-equality does not prove sufficient to produce agreement between theories, it is that*:

    a.) It is decided a-priori, of which is determinism kept-apart, of a spontaneous world.

    b.) That it is traced to a past historiological arrow.

12.25 a.

Essentially, Superconductivity is resolved by one-thing.

The rule: $\eta*(\p\psi|\p\t)^{2}+\beta(\p^{2}\psi|pt^{2})=\omicron$

Is such that with:

The rule: $\g_{AB}>g_{A}; g_{BA}>g_{B}$,

Is of with:

The rule [produced]: $L(1)\sim.L(2)....$ in $<p_{x}>,<x>$

Are such that Uncertainty [Pauli Exclusion] enforces *attraction*.

This is essentially, the loss of 'locality' in Quantum Mechanics...

11.34 a.

I have understood that the Equivalence Priciple, for in a second truth* of reality, for that of the Weierstrass-P, relates of the 'quadratic' - for that of $\omicron$. That, for which relates to the 'interaction' - thus, there is a decomposition, and, an inheritance via global stipulation.

I need associate of the 'Kernel' - and the poles, etc... and of order, for which there may be a doublet, and so-on, but I have a good general idea of much of this.

That temperature need be understood next.

31.Mar.2023

12.54 a.

For one, that of the 'structual graph' of-Element(s) in the Ising model, relates to that of the developed L(1)~L(2).

Secondly, a finite [truncation] may relate to the Markov graph and the discontinuous function of the Universe.

03.51 a.

RMS/AC is $1/\sqrt{2}$ by a factor of two, & squared.... thus chronologically, that of the superconductor for in a 'doping' respond(s) to an L(1)[a]<L(2)[b] such that Pauli Exclusion act(s) verifiably in the same-direction as gravitation. Thus, energy in lowering, produces levitation, for which the electromagnetic force exhibits a Meissner Effect.

01.57 p.

It's broken-down to:

a.)   Programming

b.)   Mathematics

c.)   Engineering

05.24 a.

Gravitation, when one lemma of [identity] of a sentence-system is compact, [is finitely expressible assumed next] - of a two-fold system, within and of each identity, stands for a free lemma, whence two are understood.

Thus, for that of quantal assignment, - to which the free identity of a germain equivalence principle under lagrangian mechanic are supported by either of a free lemma, or gravity, consistent, - that of what-is for what is understood of a free-lemma of *action, support(s) it's own limitation in that of logical heirarchy, for that of the counter-lemma and lemma, - thus of four, the law(s) of physics are not obeyed, but any and all physical laws are expressible as mathematical laws.

10.25 p.

What-happen(s) when $\alpha\beta$ is ran with $\alpha[x]$, or $\beta[max]$, as to *even*/*oddity* β with β?

11.April.2023

03:10 am

I have thought(s) on projective varieties.

That I would guess that the 'pane' of 'observation' is determined by an affine-extension and *that extension* is in

turn defined by a:

$$(l^{n}-l)/(l-1)=q$$

$$pq+b=c$$

So this is some $b=(y_{1},y_{2}...,y_{n})$ - a Hamiltonian action-principle.... (c):'column' on *Historical Import*...

So this is the key to:

1.)   Continual Renewal

2.)   Steady-State Extinction

&

Scientific Inheritance...

Elliptic Group....... what topology is it?

4.)   That of 'binary' *conduction*, is a $0|1\sim.0|1$.

05:45 am

I am now-considering a ***Very General Problem**, - that of a Past/Present/Future, of the Lotka-Volterra system, for which there is a Predicate Calculus, that there should be an 'avenue' beyond Chaos & Order, and a unity* to physics - and - mathematics, written in the terms of geometry.*

* That of: The allowance, to which mathematic(s) may be used to discover a hidden relation of reality, for in an un-hidden, and relate that of a theorem to a theorem, develop(s) a higher-science, for what would be of one epistomological root of existence. Thus, - that of which the half-relation of one-part may be reconstructed from another half-relation, or that of whole/whole, and that of based upon historical fact, for in:

    a.)   Stationary [Markovian] Extinction.

    b.)   Stationary [Probabilistic] Renewal.

04/April/2023

6.14.14 p.

Post-repertoire, an element for in fault, state(s) of a zero/one what is it(s) two-plex to any [one] [sorted] adder.

08.10 p.

Pro-sentiment (2) third(s), is apportionate-mean in four, thus, the binary disputation of a rolled die is odd/(2).

- -

09:14 am

To a pop-redressability, [two]-(2) open teir(s) suggest of one locus, whence there is a projective identification and per-visa* divergence, in a flow; - that of a Reynold(s) eclipsed frustrum, the keystone is warped for woof.

That of a flow [open-aperature] per preliminary rote-schedule at an-afar locus, diverges preliminary to an [a] chord of pentatonic-scale [tuba] - to-which, in a blown-trap, can free and close-on, a linkage of it's addressed two-locus, for in either arm, what is a defended position, the yard by it's distance is measured in decibel(s)....

10:15 pm

I am left with but two (2) questions:

1.)   Lotka-Volterra and [et. al. - Other Chaos] in multi-chaos, of what is birth and death upon stationary renewal and stationary extinction.

2.)   When, (5') relate(s) to set-set [elliptic - *presumably*] - stochastic 'coupling' and 'decoupling' as well as recurrence, and *broken-transience/recurrence*; of Goldbach's Conjecture and Riemann-Zeta, to cause/effect [et. al.].

Thus, - that it reduces to an equation like:

$$\Omega|0=\null|\#$$

The compendium of the all, under convolution and imperative of nothing, is the surfactant residual in relation to it's idempotent classifier of unknown(s), in involution.

One: For Earth, to a realistic traversal to Mars, we would need certain allocations and materials sufficient, however, of a small base, it is perhaps some 45 square kilometers with launching pad. Consider the volumetric nature of the Earth, and it's surface area, which is some million million square kilometers larger. To that, to Mars, we would be making an essentially one dimensional flow to Mars, for of a trajectory through 'stellar' space, of some tremendous distance, only to land on a comparable surface area and half-volume.

That of the fourth dimension may make this possible, but as a 'setup' the difference is that we must in a sense parallel in relation between nationalities, and comprise a peaceable endpoint and preliminary beginning to outlast the impoverishment. So, it seems that superconductivity, to function, in a parallel herein, must relate electron(s) through an imprint of the temporal and gravity like geometric nature of sufficiency to stability matrix and demand, for in supply and expenditure to demand.

02:43 pm

Essentially a co-roaming Thermalized-advantage may be spotted, … in digital, - that it does not [prototypically] follow-a-floated difference in two L,C, for what is bio-organic versus a *Computer, unto, information theory, via Shannon's Entropy Theorem....

I can foresee no way, - but a careful-pole-analysis, to separate the dialectic, for in multiple-run, and code-code-code reflux in multi-teir, upon conventional equipment.

Surplus.

<u>May 10th, 2023</u>

The end conclusion of superconductivity is that thermalization activates a gap to which L(1) and L(2), inhere of a past heritological arrow by which the SU(2) is non-local, for of that of soliton virtual-past-redshift, on that of which the x′ and y′ mediate a separation in phenomena.... that of the spin is therefore increased by a factor of two comparative the texture, - to which electron(s) attract, given doping.

<u>June 10th, 2023</u>

03:13 am

The 'DC' *from-phase-to-amplitude* **bias** hint(s) at the Elliptic-group, for in $(1/2)^{\{2\}}$; of $Exp[-(\backslash a*\backslash tau + \backslash t*n*m)]$, a n and m 'index' at $1/Sqrt[v\_\{o\}*c]$ for-in quadratic-reciprocity of 'Area-to-Perimeter' of $(4*pi/(2*pi))$, a maximum.

Thus, the Elliptic 'group-frequency-relationship' abridges, - for an *unknown* reason, that T [temperature] and *freq* for that of the (accounting of Hall-like effect) - on that of 'AC' and ***beat*** phenomena, of pk-pk, in some 15-90%...

Of E and B.

<u>June 29th, 2023</u>

05:30 pm

I have finalized *the Device*, … that it takes no-more, there is:

a.)   A thermal efficiency in that of megahertz for that of abridgement.

b.)   A PMT-like transferrability in that of 'steppe' at ohmic phase to conductance voltage.

That of the 'dual-gate' only* may-require a correct configuration of switch, for that of, *memoric effect and RMS.*

I have finalized, [however] the main configuration, for that some-200 mV to 1.2 V (AC/DC) opt.*

At (@) the laser-diode, - there may or may-not be a shadow current, for that of dark to light, but apparently it is of an abridiged (dual to third) cascade,.... the study is not over, and a report need(s) to be written as-I-go.

That this is afforded, it is noted there is sound/etc, wave/configurational response in the PMT/2D series/parallel.

A main idea was the parallel to series, that now I use a Piezoelectric and Crystals on-input.

The idea is to understand this in terminology of a *Tensor Formulation.

That I have the main Dynamic/Static result.*

July 31st, 2023

12:02 am

This is indeed remarkable... *we have a process of a Sinc[n] on that of the PWM, and a [separable]* **Holographic high-frequency.** That it is amended, to what is processed, it is a novel device, for that of I/O and balancing. I have figured out *'optical bunching'*, that it is amended to that of the touchscreen, it is designed *perfectly or optimally. Thus, I have ***headway**.