

Suggested Inclusion at Being through Incarnate Persona

Paris R.S. Miles-Brenden

6-25-2024

I would be blessing you to introduce, the message of understanding utilized to know of whom we are, is not a simple path through buddhism or christianity.

It requireably demonstrates (and necessitates) a deep, and powerful conviction with respect to an entirety of a people.

I have henceforth understood, that the abandonment of home, for what is understood in east and west, is the far-swept relationship of one (singular) to a people (for whom) understatedly, provide of two foundations of aspect at a dialog between sciences and practices, in the freeing and liberating of one's self to introduction at a then-kept isolable truth.

We are thus provided that 'this karma is not, is attachment' - and it is found that the (then understood mental state) - must be provided of a truth for that of the self in relation to whom as in being is the self with three, a third for which is the inclusion of the primacy of one's self, individual.

Thus, without what is, we do not remain of council, and provided a J and a J and a J, for in M, we are locable with me as James/Julius/Moses. Then the cutting off of a part of the self, for which we introduct of what is the given (provided) at-self, alone, and their(s) of whom between two islands is then a river between, and a karmic river, void.

Who wrote this?