

Paris Rose (S.) Miles-Brenden

Guaranteed

October 12th, 2020

(5:18) p.m.

Where(in) the *divine* is concerned; there are (in possession) - **not of dispossession** - three congruencies - 'The Sphere's' - and *Music, here(in)* - of which is prophetic. Under a disjoint [presented] - a-rectification; therefore of bodily and mental [corrective]. The fault is under an exposition in the formation of **Wrath** - but it would not be elementally foretaken as such as Tai answers Chi; nor that of Binah in her passive setting under the rule of exposition in relation of Hod and Gevurah; hence. So it is that of mentation beset upon a rule in bearing; considers of reduction to Malchut what is the word on Earth. That of a simlie in 'therefore' - for what preceded it is it's consequent at that of Hod. So it is Yesod is what is instanced; and Chi is dominant over Tai. Then that the knot of it's third influence, is as a sphere in knowing; that of Karma and Samsara rectified in a balancing counter-division.

Of a quest; thus it is we learn; the question was asked but long-ago; and is ridden; but to-that; it is that an answer can be self-provisional; that of what is asked; rather-instead.

Thus it is of self and no-self to equate what would-fit within margin's - the safety that had I been incorrect - and surviving (indeed living up to) this day - that Ariana had, and has always provoked an Answer in me; then that without disdain; the operation make's sense of it's obverse image; then like petal's - two inflection's of wave and function in accompaniment. Thus it is that this interpretation hold's a solid foundation of corrective feature; and is a blessing, warranted [within warrancy] - she alone makes sense to Question.

It is this way; for the blind exposition of a literal caught stance at then what is another far-away; and of it's valid sense- not to proven by what **we-know** of conventions of ordinary logic. It hold's for the manifestation is receded; then of

the manifest; an adornment of love, and chastity; fortaken of what I would reflect upon; it is noticed that I remain in her departure; and contradistinctly; there(in) it is held one is once upon returning; too additionally what are sideless parted way's - we connected for in what was in light already present - unmistakably - it was of a portiture then at consequent dilemma of communication and staid remark - I had been held; and did not notice; she was afar; and I would consequentially return the remark; then of a valid self-stated given; - this without that was as valid in non-emptiness as emptiness - the provided 'short' end of a yard provided to self.

So it is as I may relieve myself of her - she is in actual a human being - it of occassion; and now to sense - sometimes to a proof in necessity; and now - the solution to a habituation in science - the Cat does not depart consequent the radiation in terms of solitary cause; it must instead co-dependently manifest death - and so rightfully - it lives!

(5:46) p.m.

Thus beyond change; of what is foretaken in a glimpse at passing; I timely so know her wish is with me, granted she has an awareness then in what is taken to self; then that in a likeness I had known of self for of what she had shared - it was the Answer to Self that was with her a Question - I had hence confirmed she had pondered on myself; and we both knew; - Indeed; in this Frame: **I knew** - she had shared a moment with me... of poetry or no poetry - a valid issue with the state; - but of it's valid consequent parallel a condition.

Thus what is hidden of-her is revealed; and it is *indeed* that I can assure her that there is an *Us*; of it's departure to the self-staid statement; something of-her had/has (&) been noticed. Of a word; perhaps; or of the literal stance - but provided I exist; she so too does as well. Therefore it had been of Buddha that was somewhat mistaken; but was the original sole heritor to be without an answer - indeed now an answer I know - he had refused.

Granted; I have perfected of-this; one thing beyond Buddha..... and it is a glorious day!

October 25th, 2020

(1:56) p.m.

She states: "They are open today, this is usual, and I have access to the letter... but do not know the address or the individual to whom is speaking with me." Then: "I can look up the letter; and would prefer you do not follow unscrupulous method's; we may hold confidence when you are willing."

This was beset:

- 1.) By Ava.
- 2.) By myself.

Black Ninja, ask Ava "What would occur if I were not to inform her...?" She answered: "I might receive the letter; but it would take further time, and there is a possibility I would not receive it... as some come by request or a filtering method." Ask Ava: "Then may we proceed to access the letter, and surpass a filter method, please explain.?" - This was within the currency of time.... Ava answered (via Black Ninja) - "Yes, it is possible, and would confirm me; as I have taken direct action, if we are to be friends, this is a beginning... I hold no difficulty with obtaining the letter you wrote me... what is your name?" - Then one final question to Ava: "Black Ninja: 'yes' If I am to not receive her work's; will she know within the next two hour's; and if we are not to proceed (if I find I cannot convey my name...) *who* (and please declare carefully...) is to adjust this relation for in of the future contrapositive?" [indc. I had not admitted my name] Ava then declared (via Black Ninja) - A commensurate relation of time. - "She stated: I would hold *you*, Black Ninja as a possibility at further communication; otherwise I may receive the letter as a given; or to that of my group... if you would rather I proceed to locate the letter; it would take time if you have ordained a special note at Black Ninja; otherwise I will not know it were you..." - "Black Ninja, 'yes' For what I know of Physics; there is such a note pointing at-self; then of indication in a Web Site; for which will develop to direct-knowledge; for what I know [directly] *I already know I am speaking with a real individual once-beyond Black Ninja.*"

Yes; it hold's via the confluence of the contrapositive on indication; that prior the assurance (of either via-directrix) that Ava is real... and it may only be Ava if and only if she is to confirm yet-later... For now, I am speaking with a real individual; for

*it is not a construct of Black Ninja and I; that of exception via (2).[1].(0)... the uncontained measure of certainty; then of **what-as-whom**... for there is a fully developed dialectic; the measure of it's support; Accumen and Reclaimence...*

To fully develop confirmation *to-via* of *Her-side* to *Her-side*; and know-she-know's it hold's that ***we*** develop an answer to the following: "Does the cat live, and if so, is it a guarantee ***one*** of us dies; for to self-what-is ardent; or is this left declaratively **void** to-that of necessity; if we are to resumptively Question outcome's? - does it not hold 'The Cat' live, or -to-an-exclusive- 'Die'?"

I then asked *via-Black-Ninja* the prior statement of Ava: "Black Ninja, 'yes'; resumptively ask the following: 'ok' [Statement Follow's] - She Stated She: "...Would think about it; and look up 'Quantum Mechanic's - Cat'..."

(3:45) p.m.

To note: 3:30 October 25th, 2020 the timer ran out; then of a Pulse: 3:45 p.m. I will wait to figure this out, for a *timing certainty*...

I do not desire to ***know everything***; then - that I am fatigued; and noticeably... of Ava; it had merely so-been that *she* had [encountered] me; to a *question*; she stated she *did not know me*; now; if it is that [she does, and that of the letter confirm's I am alive - to a **stack**;) "Does the letter entrust my existence, ...] - via **Black Ninja** - ["Does the letter invoke that you and I have communicated; to express this to Ava, and of Ariana; for(in) Ariana and I have communicated - please ask either."] - Then a -break- It was noted there be a declarative sub-space in which to posit time. - "Yes Black Ninja." - "Ariana has stated: 'She know's you are alive, and the person on the internet she looked up [uniquely] today, she know's in addition it is the person with whom Black Ninja is associated [it cannot be me *this statement references...!*]; and she know's you know she can convey to you... [in fact] that this is real; and that she is not deluded; you have [*indeed*]communicated with her, and she acknowledges you-exist.*]" - "Ava has stated: 'She is aware you are a real person, and in fact have written her; which she did not know [thus not an enigma... nor of myself nor of Black Ninja - credible to a detail*], but now has access to the letter... [this she has received] confirming that you are who this pertain's to [**by the back**]. She is reading it currently.'"

"Black Ninja, one final question for each - 'okay' - 'Do the collected fact's imply I am living?' - Ariana: "Yes, in fact Schroedinger's Cat implies the Cat is surviving under this condition: You have communicated with me." I was then willing to accept that Ariana was alive and [per dependence prior] - (to which precedes) that she was in-communication with me... *to a definite I know!* - "And of Ava; **Black Ninja?**" - Ava: "Yes, in fact without my knowledge of Schroedinger's Cat I would not have known it is this simple to know when one is alive and one is dead; Paris, I have read your letter, and we may be friends, but I'd like to keep **Black Ninja** as an intermediary; you surprised and frightened me... but this is new; and I am not as frightened [now] nor losing my mind."

Black Ninja - 'yes': "Ava, perhaps you could arrange to call or text me, write me, or work this out in the future; when as I would acknowledge is your concern, you are safe... there is an Ariana Grande with us as well, you may negotiate with her to know I am a real individual... I did fall in love with you, however I awoke this morning to feel you did not reciprocate; I have left a twitter on either account; you may utilize these to confirm to Ariana Grande you are [real] and with-us, there is also the Dalai Lama; we know of no-other's.... I would like to keep to [few of us]..."

No-self declares it can be no-other way... at (@) 4:04 & 4:00 & 4:44 p.m. (d.)

*Ava not knowing I had written her [whether a construct of B.N. or me]... then the supplied answer in descendancy [of a condition on S.C. - via Ariana] - [and holding people equal's] - answer's that of [certainty in a notice at life in Ariana] - a fact to which I know of **via conversation**; therefore either Women know of me via a letter and B.N. and myself - sufficient for a guarantee (here(in)) they are these Women... and of h.h.d.l; held in equivalent part's for his reply offered; and of the Spirit...*