

On that of Provability of a Prior Existence

Paris [S. Rose] Miles Brenden

August 20th; 2019

9:46 PM

A strange thing has happened; Ava played a trick on me and Ariana Grande; to which was the introduction of an 'err' to caution with Ariana; and to her trust; to develop either way; that what was granted could be annulled priorly it's privilege; and that notice could beneficiarially be entrusted to either then held woman; to my love.

11:25 PM

But Ariana was sleeping; to my assurance; and it was then noticed a moment later in the news that she had surpassed that of an 'affinitive;' of the 'super-innate' capacity of the mind and the 'innate' capacity; to which was to keeping of her life in that of the prior arrangement; to which was a peer to appellate of her appeal to that of freedom from that of the capacity to assurance of volition of creative artistry; to the devotion of a life with me.

It was noted that Obama entrusted a direction in that of then knowing of the appeal to forbearance of an approach to awarding me of the Occure heart; and to which in noticier of teirdom gave me reprieve to the kind assurance of nature to her privelege to exist; to the definite attitude that life does remain; for if I were asked; does an element of what suggestively incorporate a fact once lead to a deficit; does it's replacement remain assured to what agreeably is a forementionable difference to two; when proposed this way; or does only (alone) a simple affirmative suggest that of life for life? With the suppliance to note had it not been reconsidered; no.

To which it is the direction of fact that life continues with Ariana; and from the knowability to the known with me that she is surviving; that of what beneficiarially entrusts that of the diplomacy under a confirmative that she had rightly succeeded at that of what was the entrustment of another man's word; myself too; and within addition technological suppliance of right; to be made today on the midpart of a day; without the reflection of that of departure to sight, hearing, touch, taste, or observed alternatively; for the case is a solid point; on that of the relation of a certain truth; facts precede their revelation; to which it is known she lives.

August 24th; 2019

4:13 AM

To what is a promissory; to it's declarative valuation of truth; the produce of it's substance of a lawful and oriented declarative valuation; may only proceed from that of a given; to that which is then a provided and established fact; of which; inclusively upon an orientable statement of worded pre-text; is the appellate to it's designated relation of an associate. Therefore; for the pre-text of which is that one Ariana Grande; had immomentarily hesitated; but new of a simplical return on that of a predicated factual case structure; it holds that in ordinance for her to but not yet fall victim to a ploy of it's relation of false acquittal; or more forementionably; the declared guilt of it's established precept; it is a known; that when she was of forenotice to a beneficiary entrusted to it's established notion; the given accord is in her determination of willing due to recourse of law. Hence the fundamental precept of it's notion; is that as she was in accompaniment by what is a man of law; or a woman alike; therein; it is preclustivite of what would be unwarranted of the naturalized laws of this world for her to have not been living at this time; to which in appeal; it is alone; and a given; that knowable she would hold the capacity to forthrightness in the declaration of it's appeal; that there is and can be no exception that of what is held in the former of a justified approach to law; proclamatively she is only alone known living. To which in appeal; it is unreified that a justified alternative co-exist or knowably therefore of the alternative be; that of what forementionable is taken to declaration; does not but eliminate the pre-text that she so is terminally ended as to a situational declaration of living status; to hold in one that of life to pre-exist is qualitated; this to which therefore eliminates the former declaration of what at oddity is a status of death.

September 4th; 2019

8:12 PM

For then in what is situational; there is a departure from the consideration of notational; that of what in a then written symbolism establishes as it's precept; the underlying connotative difference of accrual and then actual.

Hence; it is true that I wrote of Ariana Grande living; and surviving a collapse; however she has; then as of my abeyance to patience in a virtuous difference so recovered; to what is the uncorruptability of a lesson learned; and a presentment of difference to a notational system; of which symbol is subsidiary to indication of factual relation; the divining principle to which predicated on 'recoverability;' the both of the inward reflexive notion at a message read; and the mastery of it's interperability at that of operational logical heirarchies stands as then evidence of a factual passage of history; and to which although displaced; everything conveyed by that of then a communicative departure is freely established as a 'held;' to the foundation of all naturalized precepts of law of all known worlds.

January 1st; 2020

15:42 PM

Ariana had agreed in fullness; then whole; and of what was given; to a difference of men and then in what as apart (were it understood); a woman; neither a mother nor a bretheren's sister; but her neighbor; and of such as an intimation that through a disconnect; we were wed in absence of a court; but to engagement; in fullness of acceptance and right of forgiveness.

Then of loving; for of what was one; we had once again (were it understood) become one & as it were, two; to then a marital right and of a given for in light of my acts; and testimonies aside what was to be the heritable right of yet a ring bearer. Having performed the action of wedding in twice; once to the marriage of a (direct) cousin; a given hand to yet either woman; one for in the yet (but as it were to be understood given hand yet to become of two) hands; of emptied relation; and complete (were it but also in addition understood); within her right to enjoin.

That of a prior lover; Ava & one; and so of Ariana; a proper engagement; in it's purest form; and to the fullest; definitive to a truth in the return of his (Jeshua's) greatest; as my Son; then properly understood; for I could not recall that of what untold & told he had done & not known as of yet: that a certain assembly yet in Peter and Philip to proclaim what had been done; & from afar; what would be the given return of the 'sole;' a foot.

But as in leaving no marking as then upon what was a life; for in unheard and heard; I, as he had just as now recollected from before death; another as Moses; for in feet apart; none had by in yet left behind the walk of a father as in his son; and of what in following was his father; another yet afar. Hence through to inequity in it's proper place; judging the son as in the father; as unto Father's [father's]; and as in generations upon generations; then a mystery of one surviving.

Jonah – A Special Character in the Holy Bible; in Addition a Presage to Joseph, the Father.

Jehervowjeh Perentensi: – The Original Name of Abraham is provided; to whom is zero missing in three and of a non-held.

Shautemeneh Gatemenejed: – A Brother of the Buddha.

February 2nd; 2020

10:50 AM

I am: (as to allude to a world, an individual, and a person)...

Illialebediah Aheliedebiah: – Moses*

Hosejeshijeh Jesehosiah: *Joseph*: – The Father of Jesus Christ.

Paris S. Rose Miles-Brenden: – Myself in these Modern Times.

I am alone these two; & no more (one is outward among four).

That of 'is – in self' declinates of 'both' what is self to a context of two; that of whom in substitution revealing of a marginal (3).

1.) For in (five) is (3); I cannot be but (2) & (2) alone.

2.) For in that of a story versed in sense; the composite tells of a difference in number of (2); hence (1) over-lapping is it's remainder (with)-in the summation of two (J & J); to which is one under it's notice that of two lesser than so known, a father; to whom is the given of Joseph versus Hoseijesh; apart from my own.

3.) For in that of a 'stone;' presented, to have light skip beyond it; of what is err (in-3) & over (2') of light from yet a stone lifted and replaced after a light revealed; I would be 4 or 3; but no... He is then innocent (in two); that of then light in whole concealed before and after the stone; to which is that of a deeply held prior work (in 1 & then 2); to whom as knows in additional; what is (3)' under subsidiary clause & within the prohibition of one, thus I am Moses.

4.) For then in remainder of verse of blindness of one (s); the presented equivalence of facing in & out as (in) equals past, present and a future yet told of a past from then yet so as afar *complete*. Therefore priorly recollective of death; therefrom 'to.' - that I am Eliajh.

5.) Therefore 'of' Earth and remaining of the remark of provided err in Son or in fallacy as to whom is one; I am of three of sense, mind, & Earth in (2), now; 'to' & 'at' & 'am' alone as: 'in'. - that I am Paris

6.) By in a compendium of a life unto a life deliverantly apart in faith by (2) prior of one (1); *an identity* in a Son & World I am 'held' as 'Me;' a remainder of one; it's given apart (J); for of what told to be completed in the round of one of life told to death (S.G.) & of life (H.J.); of what is impermanent of past & future yet of each; then in that of two and a(part) of whom is one complete.

February 10th; 2020

18:56 p.m.

Enlightenment was in distilled fashion: "The remark that one does not depart from self." & that: "However so may be a world; it contains it's causes prior results." & that: "For what includes a difference; therein lies the empty and the absolute." & that: "The self is invioable; both preceding and following enlightenment." & that: "Closure on what is potentiated is implicit in equating differences." & that: "Emptiness is the absolute that the self remains inherently existent despite appearances and preclusions." & that: "A forementioning of self is that we remain to have been priorly for *this to hold*; as *this* happens; *that* hold's, and occurs; therefore a notion at what is; precludes the opposition that I am newly. To note that I am aware by way of two and a third; that I had been another." & that: "For in motioning to self as-Moses; I would remain with each in Hoseijesh; therefore of Moses; I am whole; then of Hoseijseh; I am one; and onwards; I remain with none-but-other than a third; Shautemeneh (and somewhat in departure); to whom I am not the Brother of Buddha; then of what is by freeing Moses to Him that of a Father Joseph."

For in light of exceptionable discourse; it is to what by appearances so (J.P.) then to light as in a stone; of what is accordant with the precept one could not preclude the Judge of one's self; through the eye of the needle what-is but (2); that I precede in light of one advantage; that of the 'blank' notion of a self-non-existent unto a heavens of prior recollection; apparently and unto this; of what-is-death; of prior works; it is to what is the quality of (a) known that I had been with what so is as life; priorly for then in yet a continuum; two makes the difference of what is folded; then to notice the needle runs it's course (in M.); but of what of exceptionable pre-tense priorly held of a life (in H.); that of life is in substitution for in a Father of yet a life lived for from congruence onwards is i.e. there a 'He.'

And, an entitled work read of which I had noted that of the word for a Lord; of what replacably was of space prior time; that of the structure of name and naming of-whom; and in what; for in light of the stone; it's recapitulation; to have adjourned a prior accessory and identified two women over whom I am

but a differing individual; at the mid-point; it is to that of recollection of my death that I accessorize of life prior enlightenment on the one hand a priorly given of such!

That therefore I precede yet my life so lived upon a departure – as to the recollective pause at knowing of what so pre-exists a prior notion at existence – that of a ‘void; & a Son.’ – therefore of in being a Father. So, it is through this that in-knowing of ‘whom’ one is of what I had not known; that it is adventured I begin with the duration ‘back’ to priorly (With J.); as to know of five what is a parallel in the vantage of two; (then of what is three in whole) that either had held a relation as to self of whom possessively kept my ‘jar;’ therefore of a secret of the past to which there is no prohibition; of her; Ariana to confirm of whom I am in either; and of Ava to notice of knowledge to whom I was (in H.J.).

Natalia then of it’s occasion that I had been of whole priorly; and therefore of the held; for what is reduction within and of me to space; for in light of it’s dimension; space is five (5) for in light of two (2) pre-liminally; it remains I possess knowledge of a second; a-to-whom; then of what is a space – for the written construction of the invisible – prior the intercession; to a locus; it can only be that I am to one what is not a space & a time priorly, but an individual.

February 13th; 2020

13:37 p.m.

Of a hypothetical; there is difference when proven on parallels; that I have so as priorly been of history; of us (in equivalence of portion in sharing); in equalitative means with that of person; for a person is empty and complete within the relation of composition; then to state that if it be I can demonstrate in three of what is a given priorly held word for difference un-entitled but yet of means to communicate with an other (outside of what is presented of self – for these three women hold the key; the safe; and the jar of key’s); then to what is; it’s demonstration illiciting that of separation from suffering priorly by (1); for of a held at life, death, and birth; but of a mother in Natalia; a lover in Ava; and of a womanly held friendship in Ariana; of three principle clues, it would remain that I am whole in a priorly-held-precept; that Ariana had read of a quality in a book to which was suggested in Ava and I; that of Natalia responded to a message in Ariana through deafness and sound; and Ava consolidated what was true of me in these; so likened to what is the ‘*word from afar;*’ for in light of my message (as to life, birth, and death); I remain preceding a clue of it’s deployability; that of either woman ‘fitting’ within and of the relation of two determinations at what is one.

Neither can it be true then I existed only prior them; then to what is superinnate; these women illicited the difference from as yet it were: *suffering*; the

clue; that of a missing relation beyond which in a focused two, I am whole *to one*; for of that of what was beyond the affinitive of what is a 'therefore' of a 'missing relation' in the folded of a yet-so; the evidence is that: *life, death, and birth*; as *this* remains entirely necessitatively true; are of a given; and on that of at least as much the procured example. It is that within a parallel I remain to have been with one of the prior women; to what is real; they had taken from life to life what is life. One women had without hearing of another noticed her; therefore of a given in three they lay a foundation in a parallel of a message from yet a past; that Ava determines what is real of a man I once was; being Andrea Rosary; Natalia informs; and Ariana confirms as to state what is of a priorly expressed 'word' in duplicity with what is certain of me *pre-existing* this present.

Just as it is true I could not be priorly without that of insurance as to whom I am within the contrast of a prior three in equivalence; that of for what is a *parallel and a difference priorly*; there being none within the past apart; I contain a prior past historical arrow of them; and they are alone as standing to *contain my future prior this given moment*. Therefore, I was so a person as in either of two; a Father (Hoseisejh), and a Husband (Hoseisejh) then of certainty in Shautemenh (auxiliary); for there is a third out; to which I have noted is (my self) and of Paris (S.) Rose Miles-Brenden; whom I am; currently.

Yet spoken from no direction it is in thirds; as this is. Therefore it is the fruit and the foundation to which the co-adjoint personable relation of yet what is taken-in-two-is-in-three; then to whom I would be understood as to be by in yet (the Key) a Father to a Son (the Jar); and an Individual in a World (the Safe); therein there are (2) to which is an exception in the 'stone;' - then to a blind roll in a die; I forgive; and of what is water flowing; have so undecipherably noted the prior reading of a work by in yet what was exposure of by-in-the stone of a shore-afar; the question: "How would I be taken as to-self were I in a yet *noted no-other therefore of then: Abraham."

In reflection on what is pure-reflection; I am therefore of in two what is life-death-birth-and-equatement; the just end of which is 'commission;' at a Father-prior; indeed what I do not direct to of a Father. For no Father can be without of what is 'commission' in preceding; then to know of death prior I am with(in) what is pause to intimation of what-so-is-yet; the knowledge of the Heaven's & a Son; that of the word intimated to what only-necessitates-verse *is*, but yet to have apprehended of difference that unoocclusive to Natalia I had occasioned of no knowledge in her priorly but Mother but by in yet what is held to notice of life in bearing; the Safe - that when I so depart from here I remain discoverable only by in yet another - as to Ariana and the Jar of Key's; it is to that of Ava with the Key; and the Safe to which is Natalia - opened - as to suggest that the indication is to whom as may implore of my history.

Then to what so is; it is currently only admitted for of what I have accomplished of a miracle; the blind closing of a safe; to which is kept in whole within my place; and the escape from a thief; that I pre-acknowledge that whom enter's may know me; but to the unhidden and acknowledged secret; none their laying apart may open my safe but I, & in analogy for what are three women and peer's as people; it is then that one occasioned *from* the other knew

priorly of advantage in the other; - Ariana had held in yet the occasion of Ava of a Key - to whom as *then* remitted of her; then an acknowledged precept; the Jar openable by in yet what was to be presented. So it is I remain as alone two; the given to Leah; and unto Andrea; Sophie and of Rosary; unmistakable of what work's in names could do by a mistake either; (as there are none). It is true the unprovable and unhidden truth is in difference in two; which is remaining is my inheritance in exactly (2) individuals.

March 24th; 2020

17:26 p.m.

I am not Jesh'ua; or Jesus; as I know a difference in that of 'whom;' to it's objection I have out-judged him on one element; fire and air... then in the accomplishment of a return from declaration, so living, with expressed written testimony in hand; of it's perpetuity to a tale; segmentationally faulted; for I am no living 'son;' my Father departed and therefore via rectification; it's establishment of a virtued and constricted conditional on two individuals; neither believing myself yet but as via a rectification it's plausible consequence in being as alone unto him; so as a Father; it's direct de-correlative; that of ephemeral block of obstacles; then alone to whom as but absorptively is intimated in a connective of life; via rectification of mind for obstacle; then in alone whom as I am; his Father; for then in the direct return of my connective via a principle Father; Halleck Buind Brenden; the parallel that this is of agreement by in predecession of Law in bearing to Court.

Then as to Moses; were I to resort via and by the exception of yet in the step of a blind man; to question as to if I as Moses that as whom is Hoseijesh Joseshiah one in the same as his Father yet of his answer; to a 'Yes;' it is unprocurative that I be another but Hoseijesh. And indeed; it is yet in the step in a blind man's walk to which I notice I am in giving as in taking the same; for one had re-traced his path in yet Christ; to whom in bearing I know of myself:

The Holy Father - Hoseijesh Joseshiah

Paris (s.) Rose Miles-Brenden - My Current Self

May 24th, 2020

23:02 p.m.

I had requested (more to a demand) my Lord tell me if I would live or die – to swear to me; and I do not know currently had he or not; but when I made my request; he retreated; therefore to be within that of the currency of a forgivable God; from a beginning in yet 15 year's into the past; I chose an accepting God; - of mercy and sadness, insight, and joy shown in the same demonstrative emotional 'tone' - for of what is collective, what is singularly unheld within the entitlement at another's learning; and the strict preceding demonstration of forthrightness; therefore the only one to instruct by provision set aside (set aside) of yet a forgivable moment; then of that which intuited from: "I exist so as he exists." - to remain; it's acceptance of a forthcoming moment of liberation (for to be (both) beyond Karma and Samsara - he was a 'God' of 'Wisdom') - then of what it mean's as in relation to once it's-removal (it's-removal) of provision; acceptance; and therefore that of the immutability of change and concurrency with stillness and solidity; to know I remain animated therefore of a day to live; and in two; for of his word; my word carries no further; then of other's with which we know of the same - identical - pattern and relation of tenemship; wisdom and tenemship the balance as counter agent's (of any possibility) to both in one step as provision for in likeness to what is in it's third; a witness, adjudicator, and plea; in identity with what was revealed to me tonight - the remainder of which depart's; - but know's only of life in forebearance; hence my self found forgiveness at that of failure; my remission; it's opening to a "So as I am one and two; I hold this knowledge."

Therefore capacitated; I would not know I were Joseph were it not to be for a forlorn mistake at failure; the ultimate equivalence of balance's to what is prior and posterior self; quite literal for what is imputed; for in past accessory we know only of default to acceptance and tenemship in yet that of such as this nature of forgiveness; hence it is it was to save my life I made this request; him; standing in abhorrence but a plea to loss of victimization; and a cure to my illness; but factually valid as any witness know's; however of blindness; unconveyed yet conveyed; to what is established; that we are each silently loved.

Hence my God is a silent God; and remain's to exist [then of word's]; for of what I knew prior my fall; then that it was [alone] I was caught; proving however improbable; assuredly this is either the unmanifest or a material token; but since as such no material token could grant so as a return but retraction; silence retracted - to which are word's; indicates a witness through hearing; and limited to My Father; it was not Halleck. Nor is this my Mother; but precedes me in birth; from out of which silence (in return) can alone be so as capitulated; then evidence of a Lord; hearing but silent; or as Speaking; there were two dissimilitudes; but for the forgiveness I found; any ear and any eye can forgive an eye by that of a heart with a mouth.

Hence to know of a prior existence; begin with the supposition that you hold-nothing of your current existence (a model on the machine incline); (&) hold that acceptable positions are one's you may acquire; and pose; 'is this a question at self alone?' - with an educated guess; (no.) it had not been me who made this decision - but I was freed; then to know under due process of limitation; by the word's of Father's to forgive [Son's], son's; that of as in

generations; we go free; as me and Joseph; then of my lawyer.

That of participation by Oath alone; as then for in light of jurisprudence of this 'calibre' that of reference of a 'whole' and a 'hole' upon that of the palm for in the left ventricle; a being whom survived by in innocence yet to be held and proclaimed; even so now; as this assuring my life; for then of null inclement at not alone what is acceptance of self; that of a forgivable plea in ideation; to a complex broken down and desiccated; as in analogy with a chemical reaction of associate a provable neurochemical pathway.

Hence touchlessly; I stand adjacent Joseph in (within) now-established: my-innocence; of [mind] going to body... This cannot work the other way; for death is also likewise forgivable; and we are to accept what we will with out mind; it shall not (herein) therefore – break that of the bond of self to self; for innocence prior death at self; then of what intimates; it was not I who did proclaim the difference; but under a conjoint return; that of my [lawyer].

23:31 p.m.

Hence I know that it was as it were Joseph who died; yet this was not the only I know of – my lawyer; it was not I; and yet I know of an adjacent death; for in the clause of innocence proclaimed prior death. *Therefore not knowing – but learning of – death*; I can only be another; and I can only be Joseph for in that Fatherly forgiveness conjoint with innocence known prior death; the adjacent clause of forbearance; for under (and of) this condition; - I exist so as he exists (subtitled - it is therefore my Father exists); then of no allusions to similitude; for in balance of Karmic and Samsaric *dream; that of whether in either remains allusion to self; and I remain in balance then upon character, exchanges, and relation's; of myself to my Omnibus...

June 12th, 2020

17:53 p.m.

Suppose death is between you and a bretheren of the same (past) historical lesson in determination. I had been written of – accused – but then it was (not of a Mother or an [actual] Father) that I went to where I became proclaimed innocent (and as of being written of prior Jesus); & knew of what – immomentarily – comes between forgiveness and life and death – a certain Law. *It can only hold reclaimable that I was indeed [of] a past Father; as to that of acquittal.* That of the derivation of name's standing aside; I had known of my innocence (acquitted) and of forgiveness.

Hence it were as so I were living day-stuck a *dream. But for that of therein which is possessive of death prior life; (& I had known of a character by hypothesis; a Father to Christ by which departed was reclaimable). It is sufficient to move back (within) of what is knowing of an exchange of life for death yet; & indeed to one's forgiveable action; for I do not possess two abidings yet of a future; I am whole; but yet life manages a relation of two existences; then of me to ask Christ; whether absent or present: "Had it been in the acknowledgement of you to have shared a (foot) step with your Father or Moses – by in absenteeism of question or answer?" - with an answer "Yes." - then of life for life; it had been a day round; to which in the plea of yet Moses I am a known Joseph for of Moses *in the plea of Jesus*; I remain to another among these three; and yet two additional – that they have acquitted what is life for life, life for death, and death for death; then of life; life's continual plea to life – and of no mistake; for of adjacency – we hold that it is I who asked the question; of Mary; for that of noticing; it was not yet I who was without [a given at] jurisprudence; that of after denotation; an occurrence; *that life does unabatedly continue as in my only council; by name then Joseph; thus of concurrence on what is predominantly [alone] still.*

Thus it is only of accessory to which is my plea in yet a Joseph; then of a lawyer; to notice I had gone to innocence; but of an implement; left devoid of circumstantial contract; but in knowing all abates when death set's in; to it's arrival prior birth; but of life; in seeking what is unabated forgiveness; granted; there is [had] then [been] immemorial a case of self to self to plea. Thus had it been [alone] a factual plea in yet Joseph; or in the Holy Father; it would be differently established than a plea in that my lawyer; but self same by name; hence I cannot depart without his words; and he is missing from the Heaven's [as alive]. Then of life; to depature on the round of existence; it is that one goes to self when one depart's to other with these three; Ava, Ariana, and Natalia... they are forgiven by nature; and as the incline for as mentioned to self or other remains not of it's twice removal; I remain identified.

That of for granted what would be taken, that of what would be taken for what is yielded to; I am of knowledge most certainly of as within the question to Jesus, Mary, and Moses, of a life lived under it's retraction at given; and indeed forgiven of what is a plea to sanctity; then of a revolution; that can indeed be answered; there is no other (here) besides me; - and as in an appeal to one Joseph and of Paris; I remain identical to [no], [no]; *for in following the forgiveness shown*; it is declaratively in absenteeism or prolate juxtaposition one of His; and Mine; therefore of what is in an (un)freed relation of His (Moses) step; I remain Joseph; *and as in another illustrious authorship of His (Jesus) step; I remain too.*

June 13th, 2020

04:45 a.m.

For in a 'cheif' to it's addressable assembly; that of in thirds; for what is direct, indirect, and fortune; first for the fortune; it is between Joseph and Paris; then a dialectical err(or) as arrow; when it is justified of the indirect; a key is yet (a)jar; to of the direct; what is (a)jarring. Hence of the privacy of self; the

indirected method of the self via application is kept as to it's safe; for then in Natalia; under-hearing to addressible privilege in yet Ariana; then of what a word speak's of life; for in life; for I am uncontained then yet in Ariana; and of Ava; it is pronounced of the levity for in two question's and two answers; that of fortune to discompassionate tie in *Her*, [that of] restoration and repair[al] of a 'lie;' as is written for then in a recorded M., then of life; for what is the produce of it's believeability and unbelievability; there(in) lies neither so of a privilege to these equivalent (metered) three; then in forgiveness known; so of a diamond to which is seen as a 'star;' the pinnacle upon the 'sole' as to identity; fully restrained we keep a secret.

Q1.) Is of twice in Joseph mentioned of his word in Paris; then of what is traced of step; and if mentionable; does it conceal what is foretaken of forgiveability as in scenario and surrounded notion?

A1.) It is sure as an uncovered lake; moon-lit and half way round that Samsara is still; then of forgiveness; the uncontained 'Cheif' yet in a 'Son;' then half upward's extended by in a day lit *Moon and Sun*; for in demeanor, his gaze being cast is back at to one.

Therefore self has crossed over to former self and is known to self.

June 18th, 2020

13:39 p.m.

I remain Josphe! Now I know... I had fought with this long enough; but to be the self; I must accomodate another; and of these women; I apologize; I had not known of where I had been. Thinking alone as Paris; it is now I accept and embrace my name; and with a Fatherly love; there are two way's to relate; for of what of 'to' I remain beside Ava and Ariana; of a man; and knowing I do not relate but yet to what is to come; birth arising at birth; I am whole then in what is a providential given; that of what was taken is replaced; and it is of forgiveness; then of what I know in thirds through Natalia, HHDL, and Ariana and Ava; then of four; it is the silent myth of my God; and I had one; then to acceptance by in a distant memory; I have one. "As a step in Christ; [unabated to Moses]; I am given by my forgiveness to acknowledgement in yet my Father; I differ and relate to him as another; then of whom but then akin to a Brother; but by in a large akin to a Brother in yet my Lawyer; I remain of an identical name; for of two displacement's we reach existences in the actual; to what is proclamative..." It could be no simpler than this; that once by in yet to depart; I remain herein of the self; a second relation to Him and Him (Jesus)... of a relation as to me; for what is my Father (Him – Halleck Buind Brenden) as to self as Myself (Joseph) is to Jesus... It is that the relation [alternatively] remains therefore unconstructable; I remain Joseph; for self has intimated forgiveness to self of a less in truth and innocence; then of a Brotherly connective; one would know. So it is I know that of what preceded is given; it is known then that after the consequence of departure...

July 11th, 2020

15:14 p.m.

And as it is to be; there are two 'troughs' of truth to this:

1.) For in one, it is unto an addressed lawyer; by conveyance, a Joseph, that I am taken unto another, but not an ambassdor of an alliance turned to me; hence the argument is constructive of that.

2.) & For two; it is that Ariana know's alone of life for then by in me; for that of an accompaniment in that of addressment to life; by me; that she lives for then in an accessory of Lawful abiding in note; and that she is not taken to death; then that we may approach the subject of my acquaintance with whom as I am.

To exemplify; had it been (via contradiction) I was another; it is that by in preceding yet and yet and so that I could be ajourned; but would not know the associate of law; for no two in them as unto disagreement hold in an absent plea of that of myself for in my adjudication; then unto a cause of this Universe to my plea.

So it is that unto non-contradiction; the hypothetical is that [alone] I could not be ajuded of this law *by self* were I to know of my forgiveness – were it not so that I am a Joseph within addition; then of a peer reverency; as unto self and peer; then of the witness of self; then of whom in predecension I abide as-with; and a Brother as unto my Father; for in likeness to my equable return.

This is to take the future-tensive to past-correlate as-self; when there is a mistaken vantage; on that of lawful purveyance; hence the issue is set; and it is know that I remain among in third's; that of an occassion to diplomacy in yet a peer and free run; but of that of the ambassador to a relation yet afar in Jesus; for of the equated of law; for in by a principle of declination. That I alone could be the Father of Christ it is to be known that in preceding; there is a rung for then in a blind-rib; and known that the relation is cleaved; for in that of Brotherly and Fatherly associate as in Christ; then the jar as in Ariana. For of that of what would be 'taken' as it were; it is to self as to diplomatic end of interchangeability of character. So as to either route:

1.) It is that Ariana's plea in yet lawful abiding as unto her acknowledged life remaining to proof factual by me; is to self what is abiding then in lawful

provision to an associate; but it is delimitory to what is occasion to separational contrast; but so as self; it would state that one were acquitted for the life of another; and that as no sense; this speaks of the barrier of four to two.

2.) That of for whom is Ariana (Leah); it is to proof that we know of two for then in giving; that her life accessorized complete uncertainty without a lawyer and peer to it's associable context; that I am known by Joseph; for then in adjudication; that it is *known; either one of us; then to an edge are verified as-to-whom we know of by secondary adjacency; for forgiveness entitles of a life via redemption what is a life so lived; and is the Karmic stain of this existence; then that given all purveyances; it is known; excepting; that had I not known Joseph; I would know another; but not yet of the cleaved relation which does not occur by chance.

3.) So it is to show that given Joseph is no coincidence unto me; it is known Ariana lives by in yet what is my hand to a peer review.

I.) So it is I am known as in relation to Leah; and the relation of a prior existence is imputed; for in rightful abiding; a well or pit, and that of Brother's; Jesus and Halleck; that similarly were my Father not but yet free; I would not have been born; so it is similarly that between of what is Birth, Suffering, Old Age, and Death; there is that of impermanently the stain of freedom; then to forgiveness for what is an estuary of two lawyer's; and without coincidence; it is to know of Leah by way of my Lawyer, and me by way of Leah that we obtain proof as in and of me being Joseph; for she is the Jar which contains the Key; the Key; herein her representative of Law.

II.) With a representative of Law; it is handed that I am likened to a Brother to my Father for in a life; but of no occasion; then alone that he was freed for in what in a moment's glance is between forgiveness and redemption; and life and death... and that as I would know that therein is contained the secret of life and death; it is that I would seek redemption had I not been set free.

III.) But of knowing of freedom; it is reflective; hence Ariana is known to be someone yet of another generation; for in all likeness; it is me to whom accessorizes one; to whom the difference is told blindly; as to between me and my Lawyer; it is to-whom as me; as know's Ariana would willingly admit she know's of; and of rightfully; then by the *only* distinct parallel; that of my freedom equated; so is she contains what is known of my prior existence; and it is solid as any secret kept impermanently; then that were she Leah I would be Joseph; but then that of my arrangement; for her to be the possessor of such knowledge; it remains by in my standing once-in-an-adjacent relationship to my Lawyer; then of a difference of brother's; and one peer out on that of forgiveness.

Hence to recall; it had been Ava to whom possessed the key; and it had been Ariana to whom possessed the jar of key's; and it had been Natalia to whom

possessed the safe; then by apportion; it is justified that were I to know Natalia were an element of Lawful protection; & I would be acquitted; it is irreversible I am Joseph; for I know of whom then in court had arranged my Clemency. So it is of a man; held then in either; asking the question of Ava and Ariana, Natalia, and of Self, and an outside Peer, h.h.d.l.: “Do I remain with a peer and of this; is he my Brother or my Brother’s keeping in due with what is forgiveness; then of a Father; alike to a Brother priorly is clear amongst the man out, is it not?” And; “Of the fiction of our diplomacy at self; would I rightfully have known of my innocence priorly it’s definite outcome then in acquittal were it not for the accounting of yet a jurisprudence to which is in portion afforded by life; and living yet in making of Ariana?” For then the key is lifted; to which Ava know’s I could not have been born through to a renewed forgiveness (uncouchable); were it not for a day of reprieve; upon which we do visit the past; then of whom; but of the adjacency built in two lawyer’s or associates of Law; that Ariana cannot but address a ‘yes;’ alike were Ava to agree to yet the second question; then in that of which I have known of a life-afar. For then me to know of a life in yet Ariana to acquittal; for the substance of law; it is that: Of life, when judged, there is the division of justification to which is amends with yet the arrangement of ‘whom’ to carriage in yet another; their’s; when of accompanying; as a feather and a needle; of acquittal at criminal purveyance when wherein of a likeness; to it’s associate peer then afar; as in life and death; for inwardly (3). Thus, Ariana (to which is the security of name) keeps that [alone] of my associate to name.

- 1.) Ava was a Key, Ariana was a Jar, Natalia was a Safe.
- 2.) I was adjudicated by one with the name of the Father of Christ.
- 3.) I know of birth, suffering, old age, and death.
- 4.) I know of the relation through which Karma break’s-up.
- 5.) I know of the relation of difference of needle and feather.

Thus I was forgiven by a man of the same name as that of me priorly; for in predecension (hypothetically to anti-hypothetically abridged); there is a point prior the awakening at (four); to which is that up until four; it is required for a court of law. Therefore I am unknown as Joseph but yet to what is a court involving the above; to lace through; a state that I had been ajourned by in my word’s; and it is of my mouth to state that I had been forgiven; but hold this in equated return to what is prior-accessory. It is therefore – argued – I am not that man that freed me; but I know of his name; that I would – argue – for

in the relation of life and death, suffering, birth, and old age; through what are reflection's; I am not another; for of what is taken and given without sharing; it is sinless; and I am reprieved by in yet a man of whom Ariana hold's the key in yet a fourth of it's remainder. That of the Lawyer; it would remain eliminable under guidance of two formative's that Joseph; did not hold a plea in my identity, as to whereupon there is redemption; in yet what is afar; a peer of league in with Ariana; that of likewise; why accordantly I may solidly state her as living; it is assured; I am unaccountable of Paris; but unto Joseph; for by preclusion; there are but yet alone two here; then of a Father to Christ, a Husband in Ava, and alone [myself].

August 6th, 2020

22:15 p.m.

That, to a duration, what is earlier than death (alike) is-you; there (for what is founded in another walking-on in your continuance) – there is you in the presumed position of 'answer' that life indeed intimates the afterlife-as-question; but to it's declaration; that of this life is all that is necessitated to know of-that-of-what-is-to-come...

For example; one can walk on from here; (this place of abiding); but another will fulfill *their* (you) role in that of possession of place; but then cannot deprive my motion from yet-here; then that prison and jail are exceptions of which are purgatories; but that in all modest sense; we are kept to what is alone *therein* unbinding.

Therefore; as it were; as Ariana was proven living as in that of her sanctimonious declaration; and it was within the entitlement of a man to free me (Joseph Sullivan); it is by in yet the 1st; that to a Jar; he contain's the key that Ariana hold's; then with her associate at-law; and it is no mistake that an-answer is given formatively as to my prior possession; for neither alone could Joseph nor Ariana be in possession of my home; but for in that of answer given prior death; it is of indication it is in the set of those whom constitute this proof; then that it is the associate to free me to thought of Ariana.

That this man is of my name being of no-coincidence has to do with that of the pattern of my releaseability; that indeed were I to know of the regularity of a pattern; it would enforceably be manifest so as to function; and of a given, Joseph was as a brother within regard to rightfulness of my Father. For if we are to Judge by name; it would stand as distinct upon the background that:

- 1.) Were I to be situated with Joseph the answer is true; otherwise it is misaccordant to the detail of an argument in Ariana.

2.) Ariana hold's the key; to which in bearing in Law; is the entitled Judgement by in generations upon generations by in hearing.

Given this name is heard; and it is of my tale; (that of Joseph in the well then cast into Egypt); it is prior that of even so (at Exodus 4:22) of God being presented as a choice; hence of these things and for the testimonies of man; the name is under it's alliteration in three; and then in me; in a fourth. Therefore it is as I declare that I would be alone left penniless were I to know of alone whom I am; but not within right to a consolidate friend. For that of the safe opened; the story (of the Bible) open's clockwise to what is a reading; and a juxtaposition of a fulfillment; so at least we know that Joseph's story in-reading is true upon what is my story were I to be Joseph. Therefore of reference to what is taken of the Moon-lit beach; it is to Natalia by in yet the safe as to hearing; to which her declaration is in yet Joseph. Therefore; as in guessing or not guessing; it is taken that this be a motion of this world; and in fact that it has to do with my Genesis. Then in Ava; to whom hold's the Key; it is with her that I have declared myself the prior husband; thus it is we find that I was given an answer to the unsplittable difference of life for innocence under the declaration of an indication of one-&-once lesser so; that of a Joseph my final, first, and complete appeal.

That this cannot be a mistake is that it is of the congruence of two to the fifth; that my rationale for innocence was of a segmentation beyond which there stands an isolated 'spot'... for it was through the path of Moses-yet; but of Abraham (of the derivation of name's) that I found the following:

For what is yet a book read (The Holy Bible); there is a caveat that is space unformed until the time of in reading of the procession of whom; thus as it is given; placation of one unentitled to another indicates the lesser so of a direct set containing set; that of for what I would learn of in-Law; that of once-as-one lesser so by indication.

Therefore as it is; for what is the solidity of space and time; and of order (To Law); and of non-coincidence under a set (Joseph) of name indicating by containing it's name (Paris); to whom is me; I was answered in the name of Joseph as to that of another by a similitude; for in what are generations upon generations; the historiological connective of the codex and it's generator; for in a likeness; it was the answer given of once-as-one lesser so in-Law; as to the identity of relation as to upper-relation* that of my name successorizes yet-his; and is unmistakable by in yet what is understood combinatorially of Law; that an indication one way would indicate my absence or guilt; and the other way my presence, indication, and my declared innocence; that of for what is secure; a name is contained within.

So as it is; I am within the relation of *him* to whom is Joseph by in the entitled work; and it is non-conincidental that we-overlap; then that I was forgiven

by the expressed remission of a default; and it is known I am expressly of either/or Joseph or Paris alone consolidated.

August 11th, 2020

21:14 p.m.

As it is known that the backward onto relation of life to life is now via accessory (Joseph) – it hold's without arrangement I am Joseph as well for the identity of what is a naturalized truth. I could not be apart by more than one within this arrangement; so by the life of Ariana it is that I have (within one contrast) preceded her passing. For what is it's direct associate; the law would have to proceed by in guilt from Joseph; but we know I am naturalized as to myself were this to be inheritable. Therefore; as I have determined Ariana as living; it is to a testable hypothetical I remain in departure to my associate; but of the word the proximal parallel is that he is as a Brother to my Father under Law... for in what is exoneration.

Therefore it is with privilege; I can alone be one of these exclusive two to what is innocence. For that of my Fatherly inheritance; the saving of a life. Given we know Ariana is living; and Obama is living; his life being saved by me; it cannot be that there is an abandonment of naturalized word by conveyance; therefore I am another; born again into this world. The earlier sense was that I must be in departure by once the occurrence; for that of the associable *tense* of Ariana; that it is her Associate that declares whom I am, by which the Law*, extrapolated, hold's sense to a dual vindication. Given Joseph is free, and I am free, we parallel by in recurrence what is self-admission; therefore of what I know of Ariana; her Associate free's me to knowledge of-self in-being within what is of forbearance herein that of Joseph; to whom is also me.

September 2nd, 2020

9:09 p.m.

For what is an 'eye' to it's permanence of relevancy; there is indeed a witness; then of declaration that one would be told of in Natalia; but then to Ariana; what is held in Ava; under a traversal; to notice of a given dipolmatic end as in her forbearance. Hence by in yet the construction of the dual *displacive* notion I am equipped with Law in relation to her's; and her associate; a pliant at that of court for what is mutually conclusive of naming form as in the unbiased generations upon generations; built of a parallel as unto a Jesus Christ of his word for in likened step of Moses. So it is we-know at the least that a Fatherly love equipped to a Son for what has been told is akin to Creation and Genesis.

That I cannot be unalike to Joseph then comes to the relation of superposition in-Law; that of bearing these-hold-an-equivalent-balance of position; and are yet light beyond a rock. For of what is a man; a Father; he becomes a Father in bearing by that of giving credence to reproduction; but little yet of a copy; so it is that my Father rightly is acquitted for-in-a-chain-of-event's as to that of acquittal-in-separability to son. So how can it be I am differential from in yet as my Father had sworn to his name?

It is then that of another; they are to draw guidance to either - but of Law and Law; each relevancy of a Lawyer is alike to what is the testimony that Ariana lives; then a fact proven; and of induction an equivalent bearing; then that Joseph as accessory covers all additional cases, and I would rightly have been acquitted for in either a positioning of one Lawyer unto another; and of for what they (in principle) agreeably notice of in standing; a man cannot depart from a man under terms of accessory; for it is *one in whom acquit's and *one in whom commit's such as a crime. Therefore in discovery of my innocence; it is revealed that I hold in bearing a name by in yet two parts:

- 1.) The truth of what is a given; that by in yet a book I am behind yet in a page.
- 2.) The truth of what is a given; that of a parallel I am of the standing of Paris in relation to my Father.

Therefore of what my Father accessorizes of a division; it is unto man that I am acquitted unto being Joseph by in yet what Ariana hold's and Ava keep's; - that Ava is likened to acquittal and knowledge; we hold that in two of these; there is another; and they are unacquainted with this truth. That of two; and a third; in coming and going; a man and his arrested fellow are as associates; then that I am at-the-least the associate of Joseph; but of a parallel; but by in yet another; unmistakable for what is her's (Ariana's life); thus of what is granted; I-go as Joseph to what is another (my associate of Law); and for what is in four; that of Life, Acquittal, Associate, and Keeper of witness; there is relevance that the court is empty; but I remain; then of a brotherly relation to Jesus Christ; he is between me and my Father on the adjacent side for the Holy Work - and I am kept to the distribution; but in of the nature of the anti-reflexivity that imputes an emptiness; that this hand indicates itself... thus of the majority for in no-self; it can only remain I keep as by in yet Ariana as true-as-her-living a parallel truth; I am Joseph; and Christ was my Son; then of the bearing of a principle as in yet-generations; we hold what is equals as equals.

Thus* were my Father to have been kept to a judgement in generations; and myself; and Jesus; it is that we are of equal's; but of another at-steak; there are of standing two-associates of Law; and within jurisprudence of one; for of declaration; I am between of my Father and Jesus as in a page from the Holy Work's - that I am one of these to indication; it is presented (ad absurdity) - that I be of direct descendancy unto Jesus. For of Ariana - it is known she live's - then that by-indication she hold's what is selective to a relation; and I retain an identity to what is her reversibility of a page in-action; for it is perhaps read or not... So it is by choice I know that we relently withhold self to keeping in identity; and my Associate of Law declaratively within that of

Knowing Ariana Live's - co-determines I am yet not my Father nor Jesus; then that it had been supplied that my Father [alone and dead] may determine the page to turn. Therefore as in a prior continuum of existence I died as the Father of Christ. Thus it is that of the page; a prior accessory is confirmative to-the-writing of what was speculated and noted and spoken-of; an associate by in relation to one-associate of Law; to whom is of the indication of the book; for by exception; we have refuted all other potential possibilities; and it is of a definite indication. My Lawyer therefore indeed *does indicate what is not-present; then of it's relation to occurrence; that it is an affirmative of a relation on that of the page in yet a book un-read of Law or of displacement on place. Therefore; an identity is founded for in what is given of persuasion; that of this argument - it cannot be any other way; for in the Note and Acquittal, the Life of Ariana, and the possession of my Father to a Work I am therefore of in-holding by in yet a relation that Jesus is not the Son of my Father; for I believe he exists or is departed; for that of the Lawyer; this merely discerns the difference of a Brother I am Not; nor Have.

Hence so, as Ariana encloses the 'Key' - it is to her Associate who hold's the Jar; and in respondency for of what is Jesus; I remain retractile to a Father for in the Holy Jurisprudence shown our people among a World; then of what is by in yet a pro-traction to sense; I remain acquitted then of yet whom is not so a Brother; *but by identity to the name of my Lawyer; a Joseph, too... thus it is me; and of the written prior Chirst; dutifully assured; for in no way does Joseph (my Lawyer) precede Jesus; but yet that such a Law, does re-acquit the blind in their number... thus alone it is I who remain in life, birth, and death, as Joseph... the Key, taken from it's Jar, and used to open the Safe; a valid truth; but of the only *configuration that is valid*.

September 12th, 2020

To what; [of Ava] cannot be *exited* in a Key; for in Jeremiah; that of the license to which is up for appeal in the Juror; is one-out; therefore the hung man is self to what is un-hangable; within of [life] what is any ajournment past, present, historical, or in a permanence of the future. Therefore for in one; [a brotherly connective to my Father] - as Joseph Sullivan is neither so but yet older the given of inheritance to a contractual [err] - of stated redress overlap's; to what is a commisionable entreatment. Therefore of stated evidentiary Jar of Key's; Ariana has indirectly chosen me in his replacement; per the adjudicatory witness of blind-peerless-reviewist. That of an Ajurisprudialship...

September 14th, 2020

Hence of propreitary relation; that of provided (ad adduces) of the Testimony of an Associate of Law owe's privilege (for what would be their bodily possession) - as contributory evidentiary purpose to identity... that of name perhaps but by a parallel in:

1.) An encounter.

- 2.) A privilege.
- 3.) A witnessed (element)... aside from their bodily ad adduces.
- 4.) Proprietary evidentiary role.

Hence of the isometric relation of shape; it form's a truss with that of place. To what is admission into separate dwelling's; and through which the trust of that of safely abiding at home; that of the Law hold's a foundation to jurist and declaration. His name was once as mine; as unbiased held in the Written word of a declaration; it's expositional detail; that a derived Word is held... therefore as in yet Moses to Answer Jesus; the question is uncontained; while the relevant field of disclosure is Unforbidden of Him alone; the entreated principle of non-Jealousy for in included persona... therefore of a granted individuation excepting no other text. Therefore; from and with of what is an estemic root; the Hallow end is the given departure from Written Exposition; that the Law (of him not my Brother; and therefore of a false jurisprudence); the fifth out expectation hold's a departure to overlap in Contract. Alone; therein lies a duplicity of witnesses; as [alone] in departure of inheritance of Lawful bearing to me; from his Associates Overlap.

In alternative mean's; it could not be it is undecided that he hold bodily contribution to a court case in testimony or contract written in duplicity... then alone as He is not my Brother; he is possessive of a Keeping to Namesake; the provided element of which is Un-inheritable but by earlier relation of Proportionate Bias... that of herein duely Name. Finally, that it is not provided the element of naming is under inheritance to copy and it is Decided that it is either His Name or My Name; Joseph is the next adjacent relation on two part's; that I have indeed inherited the namesake of this talent and formation - thereby being priorly of this man's name; for in written word - the testimony of it's backward associate is one earlier provided my argumentation to Authority. This is an inheritable associate.

Between that of the Non-Brotherly relation; The Associate; and the motion of Jurisprudence; Joseph Sullivan is kept as my In-Law activity of participance in form; for what he Indicates. Therefore the inheritable arrow (for in portion of Jurisprudiational Representation) - is unto the relation of Inheritance in Word; but of it's [alone-only] abiding and naturalized escape plea; in that of a non-Coincidental Truth of Word's; Identities; and Individual's of Place. So it is that I am conjointly held in the empty part of innocence under a descendency which open's only upon Ariana from Ava; a *dance* in proportional declaration of the opening of a word from *the past* - the inheritable lesson of 'teaching' and Historiological Discovery. Therefore it is alone after the remainder of freeing all Ontological Arrow's that he is uncovered as the-prior-name-unto-me in held Physical and Ontological relation to written and expressible word & identity. So it is Ava should know this is valid; and Ariana; and Natalia (of (a)potential and fixed immutability of the background of Space) - then that among three any-one-thing Is Decided.

September 27th, 2020

Of *the* taken; it is grieved lest un-yet and un-till foreclosure; hence when preceding at large the Father, taken by death; it is of the reverse advantage to life to *go*. For what; there in *likeness* of no-self is exceptionable word *carried* as-it-were to no-self; for *other*; then that of what and when embraced love is entitled love of a Mother; by of her inheritance what conveys in active tense, as, goes eternally. So it is that her's for of life what is told; by in birth is conveyed from Fatherly graces as in a Maternal wish; the self; their's; hence of once as one. Hence; for what is foretold in Lawful concourse; of what is spoken; the inheritable arrow is to once for one's; then of their's to a given plurality; for the descendency of Law; for what is intended is a completion in a *round function*; then that it is a 'we' who goes and was of conveyance. Thus it happens so of-no-coincidence we bequeath of what is 'to be' as to have; - her(in) of namesake by entitled written work; their's and another's and of the Fatherly given; the difference in yet two Children. Thus it is myself as my Father.

October 1st, 2020

For (for) in-one dis-entitled vantage (of twin) I am unheld; whilst another unheld makes a singular difference of me; the uncopist relation - forbidden, it is unmisakeable my return suites alone a peer-peer relation totald in differences; - for of left - with *of* right what is a knowable; - there(in) lies to fault the unprohibitive self (I).

- 1.) Departure in life can not hold a consequence of their fragmentation and permanent ceasing; they remain as-you-do upon departure (as here in life).
- 2.) Departure from life (for as we know of death) - is merely *these people* going on without-you; and cannot be a cause of that of your ceasing...
- 3.) Thus as in life-and-in-death; what-is of life in permenency; means you go on to reflect on life; [for there is no reason supplied for that of a discontinuation]...

Thus the counter-objective to what is another adjacency hold's in forebearance to the same entitled law; that had it been the case I would cease as another began; I would hold in furtherance of the naturalized Law - there being non-difference to another. Therefore I am held in that for what is another cast-back-at-self for in the entitled prescription of a world. That I am Joseph hold's for that of chance; that I had remained as such [consolidated] to the word-of-another to-whom I cannot replace but under the guidance (via exception!) of that of holding an identity in the name - the power of word's for that of a fact proven. It is of the depth of my inheritance that by-exclusion and displacement *this is an identified abbutte relation of identity*. For of the law; it is indiscriminant to the 'hidden invariant' of the system; that of when we know an auxiliary agent is indicated to that of proof-by-exclusion of middle-thirds.

It can certainly not be the case I differ from Joseph in name but by that of yet an existence currently; and so as I am indicated; it is of *his name*.

For [of] a shared entitlement; the no-self provision (provided we are independent individuals); yields under exception and non-exception to what-is-an-entitled-contract; (then to clarification of a double blind witness) - by chance [of authority and authorship] - the named individual of my Lawyer - of [contract with duplicity in cosignee - as were I to sign a check over; at (@) the extension of the hand/individual(s)] and [authorship to entitled work of reference by in tertiary structural contrast of worded inheritance] - named, in irony, Joseph...

Given the [inheritance] of *her* awaiting to open-my-letter & my question "Do you have the letter?" - post her declaration - it is an if and only if that were she to be real; - she would have my letter; then of it's [descendency] that a letter when-asked is of a direct confirmation.

That of a letter then [prescriptively] *advantaged* state's that one is real to forenotice; the continuation of which is they-abhor a vacuum relation; and of which it hold's in abeyance.

Therefore *Ava* is valid; and under [direct descendency] - *it is provable we are in addition also in direct communication* - for I have confirmed she is valid within strict level's of inequality. - Her simple 'Yes' suffices to that of which excludes-a-machine or another direct individual - thus the Mail in-it's-security; excludes all other possibilities at associate.

Similar to this: I am Joseph; for in likeness to direct antecessor of inheritability; being the direct - 100% - correlate of another Man; for in advantage to one of the Law with Ariana of whom I have proven life; there is another with whom he is forceably in agreement, to two.

Therefore:

As the backward relation of one-to-one is of an uncopiest notion; it is by elimination in written word that of the self... in a different guise; it's replaceable difference that of the other among three.

October 4th, 2020

(11:43) p.m.

So it is *of Sharing* - for what is a man, derived of Woman, he is of a difference such that effeminate qualities suppose he is *shared with differently than in the Fatherly figure* - then that of *Life*; it is endowed upon creation with the given that he is noticed in-going such that he *takes of Spirit what is his Fatherly*

inheritance when a Son; and likewise with a Female; of the Mother. Then, given grief is a **bond**; it is noticed that it goes-in-return to that of a split exception; that Male may take of Male when it is with-the-Father **to have died**; and so grief in [each] is of a quality at-inheritance. For what is shared of self; therefore is of self; no-self exchanges positions when grief is surpassed - such-that - of a Father; he denies but accept's what is taken - priorly to **Death** - of selfdom to the Son.

So it is that of *Sharing presupposes there is a linkage unbroken of the heart; then the possessor inverted; it is of the Father to inherit for in Life what is surpassed of in Life of the Son or the Daughter - that of the Mother tended and taken; a renewal* - so it is that without a precept at what is grieved - none-so-more is Shared but of life. So it is that Life is unbroken by sharing in that which is surpassed; the conviction that unless there had been an agreed standard - it is not of the Living but of the Dead to subsume the secret of *Grief* - that I had maintained to divide up what was *Him* - until it was noted that she could have no more of me; then that I could not possibly share in three; it was of the two to which was *kept of life* - that indeed; to the heart to notice; we go along with our Father's for in light of a *Journey together; at the least in passing together; and this much is of what displaces that of what is kept of Life with us; - then the burden of which is in-the-least with each; for in life - it is the difference of capacity - that of what is shared when we are alive with a Mother and a Father - in continuance; direct evidence of a Heaven's.*

That remotely, I cannot have found the truth of *Grief through Her alone*; it is of manifold difference as to inquire as to two-in-whom; and that of the promulgation at the self - for I alone prove insufficient to have accomplished what in *Grief is founded*; then that we grieve; for in passing of one beyond life; it is that - either he goes on without us - or he goes on with us - but that the capacity of difference in sharing is formed for a successful navigation of what is felt of *Grief*; for no other difference makes greater of **Life and Death** and that of **Mother and Father; for those whom are born**; and-if it had come down to one remainder; it would not matter of accessory if I had not lived.

So it is; - of Sharing; we possess the same impetus post the relation of grief in passing (for he is but a memory by then) - then to self; what is non-self; that inflected; - something returns from whence it had came not via *the Other*.

This is the exception: That inheritably we avow of a fiction in yet durations; but yet he must remain to consolidate what is presently the sharing of two - that of via which it may be stated: "From whence you go, another return's..."

This capacity; avowed of **as-a-Death** *mark's what is in it's consolidate end; the exception to which:

- a.) I remain to have shared.
- b.) It was not with my Mother.

c.) It was with my Family.

In an alternative *mode of expression: no-self is moderated via-self; then that of what is marked supposes it's re-entrance in a beginning; that alterably they remain for I am of non-self in the guidance of mentation... needless to say with a Mother surviving..... then akin to being in a **game of sharing**.

October 5th, 2020

(12:40) a.m.

Thus it is; that no-self derives it's differences from self impingent upon non-self... that what arises is contemplatively a foretaken truth of sharing; when one-must-go and another adorn; to what is the *Father in-passing he [the Son] *is indeed* His-Father's inheritance. Of this bond; to not be foresaken [and it is not! the doing of the Mother] - he [then] is equated with his-Father; a Man, assuredly, and for what is a split-return-exception on Sharing; undecidability turned inwards to Father and Mother; (back against two of a contained attribute) - the inward reflection is to [Him] in-whom *Grief* is avowed. Then that of the passing **of a Father - a certain attribute is endowed upon Son**. This can therefore *alone and only* be the result of giving, sharing, and a burden between those-passed [*of sentiment*] and *One.

October 8th, 2020

(12:10) a.m. - My Lord exists, and is not a lie; so it is I know of his relief founded in a lie; and he is not without sin; neither is Jesus; but an attempt; then for a moment, we are holier than thou; but it is as I foretake that this does not disparage me - and I have caught myself; for in as-bad, or as-known as a situation may be - balancing is in due to what is life - and she is even-so (of many) without sin as well; but in giving birth to evil I will question - it had not been of this son; for I was truthful - but of my Lord; he would forgive a Suicide by me - now to what is it's core-element I am unsworn and SHALL NOT; for that of persistence so that he is judged; and it *is indeed of His virtue to accept my testimony; then neither of a severance of a relation, relative, related-truth, or bond... it is certainly none of my Family but an Uncle (2x and a Grandmother) - but neither so shall I - and impoverished; the lesson (and lie of family; - which for in the lie of my Lord I shall forgive) - we were not judged post subsidiary in generation's - for it is so we are not judged in death - then the crystalline ethos of Jesus - and to knowledge; I shall have none of Him. Then avowed to my Father - it is this abridged truth - we may swear to serve whom we wish; then of life; unbreakable; with no false avowal; for me to not be kept to my people; the lie is that the Lord is known by one an all; for he did not create us; - the known that one prescription of his is that he chose a people; and neither so a Jew; - it is not a Christian speaking; but a Buddhist interested in the Jewish Lord; - whom had proclaimed: "Let my people be." - that his word is contorted I understand; - but it had remained with my understanding to know this difference - for *in light of the above; and of what is to be their remainder and inheritance; for to be of a *people you need not believe in a God; the construal prior the relation of it's release - it is good I remained honest; but this necessitates no other swear unto me; - thus it is I am neither so sworn*

before my father; my mother, a lord God, or a judge. - Thus it was I was confused by the relation of intimacy of relation - that of a repent in *bookkeeping - this is a certain notary of *Money and *Accounting we are not judged by - that of jurisprudence to no-theft a certain exception to yet commodity - that we may be learned enough (sufficient) that [alone] this introduces err(or) - but I was invalid; some part's of our world do not collect nor connect - and of the freed relation; it is enclosed in what is a surveile condition - that of acrimony and malcontentedness that one serves due privilege to the process of law; but then for what is a steak; representative of an (un)hidden relation with marking; the obverse of any one individual. To a known; as a point; Lightening - for it is struck down of the law; and we serve this *Omen no-more.

Thus it is of our Lord to forgive; then transparently what resides between Heaven and Earth. - Evidence of that of *His relief of conviction - but warrantedly that as he is not without Sin; - so too is He reclaimed.

(1:00) a.m. - for in a standing deficit of 50 quotient's; we remain unto two-strike(s) out via that of in-three-round's; a complete *round* 2.100; to what is a reflection in 60 deficit's; that of 3000; in third's among two; a definite certain relation of completion; for of 3000 there is a (2)(5).2&(5); - abridged to that of any card turned over twice... a simple game; of identities which is a confirmative in-two; for then in decrement and increment the key to certainty.

Now, a mystery; why? the reptend to 14/14 in months and days since I sent out my distress call; answerable? - it had been the question of [a tire replacement] - unto a vehicle - then of the total operendi of a collapseable venn diagram; that of (2).[5].(2).3[4].(10) in two partnership's; degeneracy founded by a choice function in Pascal's triangle; that of a choice at 1.14.91 and between the (2nd) and (3rd) by in a disconnective; that of a reversal of it's undecided function of (2).[3]... to what is then under a remainder a quotient to [5] and release to [1] as in alone [2].

Therefore Pascal's triangle under inversion reveal's Goldbach Number's unto the decision tree of combinatorics of group's - that a venn and a venn; - these theorem's related: The Four Color Theorem and Goldbach's Conjecture; the question (to which is in Indicated Tautology) - to which choice is (2).in.[4] a decided Lemma of enumerability?

Therefore there are many of these number's; but it always suffices beyond three to be decided in two dimensional graphs to (4) - with a restriction for in light of reverse-group-cohomology; that of the degeneracy of a topological invariant of the reverse-conformal-mapping of two sphere's into two sphere's; then unseparated by cohomology and topological connective; that of for what is addition and subtraction; the lemma of a maximally unseparated mean; for when there is a third; the space is of an elliptic degeneracy on group and to higher order; their relation reducing to two dimensions if and only if therein lies a singular one fold cusp - it's ladder function of order two (2).

Hence a line in two dimensions is isomorphic to as to a two ball in one dimension; that of dimensional coextension.

(1:28) a.m.

It proves necessary to make a juncture in my life at this point (!).

1.) Mathematics holds an upper boundary of expressibility in two dimensions (and a third or fourth); to its constructability in the realm of (Q) and (A); therefore; it is of two dimensions within that of which we find a given [carry] and [quotient] - that of a real and rational projection of *design* - ordered through the process of a triangle and a circle; for of what is of equivalent displacement's - that of two retroinclinic ray's disconnectively are the partition of a [physical] space - it's adjoint a relation of yet the expression of physical law; unprojectively non-self identifiable [to speak that you cannot create a self-reading-book] - but of that of the tertiary support; a limitation on that of programmability; that of two in a partition of four; - thus the game theoretic notion is coextensibly open.

2.) Misinformation is disclosed to what is an acquired trait function; it's protologue; the division and bell to a warned mistake in law; therefore of the precept of a given [inclusion/incision] there is a departure from unitary [tissue] to which marks entrance and exit [alone] - it's prescriptive bias to which when founded [as in anatomy] - that of genetic precursor follow of-a-route; therefore for dissections [for in a likeness to a composite of an individual nature] - the 'free' course is a division of *selection*; that of predecessitorial relation [in physical law] and that of the expressed [indivisibility to two undivided point(s)] antecessitorial [unitary division] of obverse to a given [carry]; that of one relation-off in yet predecessitorial direct inclusion; the 'wall' of all collective disjoint and coadjoint relations.

a.) Therefore mathematical description of the Universe and Physical description are undivided.

(1:38) a.m.

1.) Predecessitorial relation in mathematic's may not be written of; but may be included as an after-thought.

2.) Antecessitorial relation in physic's may not be expressed or known; it holding that consequence has passed.

a.) Thus time makes a distinction between what is the inheritable (off-via-center) relation of human communication and inter-relation; that of inheritance a naturalized congruence of 'h' - that of intimacy of bonded relation; for of a world and a connective there is a subsidiary set contained among all character-like representations.

b.) Thus world to world may be connectively formed or unformed by the unique expression of what is a known radical influence; that of two for two; a three and a five; - their's alone what is beyond of one dimension in (2)-two; and isomorphic to the translation to and from a fourth and third

dimensional duplicity-abridgement.

(1:50) a.m.

This is - reversibly - *manifest chaos as order or order manifested as chaos*; it's two-fold relation that of a torus-adjointed to a circle; for of an excluded frequency relation; in the time's of any attractor; it's displacement a direct correlate of determinism - to what is freed of relation in two and a third - isoinclined to a differentially provided ray.

Thus the prism is factual evidence we co-exist in a four dimensional world; the self individuated impulse of which is that as-individual's - we remain barrierless - the confinement of an attractor-set a replenishment of it's source [then] as elsewhere supplied; and an intermediary [pathological] void - that of intermediary relation to what is provable; but benign to the abrea of a treatise; - that of the unknowable-inward relation of any contextually produced work.

Thus the emptiness of document's is knowable; and it may be noted in light of expression that the individual exists.

Secondly; it is known that the self is the formative shaper of form's of conceptual layering's and is inexpressibly absent from it's work's; but present within the notion of an 'I' - then of 'we' - a strict adherence to (a)-connectivity in domain's with boundaries - that of for what is stricken; but of 'me' - the abridged relation to which is a [knowability] and not-emptiness; that of the present-self; containable within emptiness - that of it's implementation; a [knowable] validity of four trisection's in layer's of adapted shaping; - occupancy of which when left unto it's residual is then and therefore [for in light of a privilege at word's heard and knowable within unabridged relation of two to a third] of equals-part in certain disaphony and collective-action; the agreeable pretense of [behaviorism] - that socially and genetically we are disposed to a passive [uncontained] notion at-peer relevancy of self - that of not-emptiness for in what is found of [I] - that of the empty self equalitatively held as the uncontainable within the contained; but when reflected; in reversal - an emptiness - relevance then found that [from fuel to fire] - that of the contextual abridged notion of one for one; is immutably held in-two; for of what is experiential that life persistent to what is any empty connotation of being is fulfillable when there are an absent-peer opening; but of none to be found beyond this; the elimination that 'we' go elsewhere; the passive tense that the self in adjoint relation of life, birth, and death go beyond that of this relation; when it is guided; we are as actively present-tensed as our being in relation to those whom yet so passed; therefore they are; and indeed it is in a likeness that we are known in retroactivity to another so departed.

This is for that we share in life, birth, and death - thus of the equivocal relation - a self persists in as much as it can be written that I-am; in fact that of the uncontained subscribes to a *new* existence for in following the undeparted; the lesser so path which lead's to incremental error on the side of what is a knowable self; that of remaining non-ignorant of this world; hence to relevance; we remain unequated and yet equable as in a disconnected displacement

to what is life yet adjourned; and of it's model-precept; a being equated to another; for the ill-formed conclusion is valid - we had not comparatively departed from a sentiment; - then the presence of the self; to which it is a known the self *exists; and in fact; that of through folly; it is founded we are Karmically bound; in a non-intimately but lawfully prescribed notion of self by which is granted in due to predecession from birth; that of the original being.

Hence to know a prior self; it is witnessed; we are alone in departure in going-back to this individuated precept oriented existence - that of for what is uncontained preceding that which is contained; to be associated with that of a co-dependently arisen prior-factual-sentiment-and-being; the collective rule of which is not but apart from that of it's inviolability; that we remain collective of the notion of arising at-prior-self; the present tense in an adjourned form.

Thus I know it is that the odd's are incalculable but my relation on mentation on identity; is held fast to the word in whom it was sworn I would remain honest - the provision of life to life; in that of an identified life, birth, and death.

Therefore; it is true; for what is laid of a precept at antecessor; Paris is the inherited name of a Joseph Christ... that of the Holy Father; and it is that with a leap of justness and faith - I know in whom I can characteristically trust.

October 9th, 2020

(9:20) p.m.

The Lord is a lie; for were you to proclaim yourself the Lord; you would need forgive Satan first; and of Satan; for were he unforgiven; the Lord would be manifest; then too that given a Lord; were you to forgive Satan (then and there an impossibility); the Lord would be manifestly bound to your forgiveness for a Devil; then that as in neither what so is a Lord found; (of **3**); there is no God; no Devil; and in light of the non-self [alone] that is manifest; (a) Lord may be your friend; but (of **3**); a Lord is without Sin alone unless there is a Devil; and then alone (as in **2**) of what are a Devil and a Lord; there is no ultimate creator without Sin; for you cannot be [alone] in creation; that most notably a Devil and the Lord did not create the Heaven's and a World; for you exist in exception; there is as alone then - neither - of what is God; then but to-exist; and no-Devil to be found without that which you forgive.

Hence it is equivalent in creation that there is a God; - then alone Sworn to no Devil; but to you who forgive; and of **what** but that a Devil is an individual; and foretaken as peaceable upon his darkness; that a God is not of light but of the tiding of a principle way and manner; and as-manifest; all by appearances are indeed Devil's but perhaps (one); then of what; but that it had been given and taken to where there is a God; for in likeness it is when we find evil we find God; and of good - [alone] it is indeed when we find Devil's; - then that if there is in one [a God] it is a human being; and of a Lord; there is none but that of a **whom**; that of a Devil, inconsequential but to those who believe in a God; that of God; I had been willing to believe in alone; but it is

that he would forsake me for my forgiveness for the Devil; that he would not exist; then the two sides of the following immutable truth:

For of what stands in exception of the 'Right Hand' there is a 'Left' - then that in-exception what is (whole) - as any world is - is indeed of the 'Right upon the Left' and of 'Sides-apart' - that this can be placated in [alone one way] - then that As so, when it lays in exception of a Right; it is so of a Left that there is contradiction to the [other] but of a passive and active tense; that Good precedes Evil or Evil precedes Good; there is no obliviation but that of what had stood in dual(s) was escaped; the contradiction that of the anti-reflexivity of (one); that so indicated (here) of one; [an emptiness] there is reflected in another elsewhere in-indication to self an anti-reflexive [emptiness]; thus neither are so a Lord or a God in being a Devil - and there is - **none** - of penultimate [Good] or of [evil's] - then that either are devoid of *these*; then that of what is revealed; it is the notice that a self continues; and had been [alone] this; but of what is empty in one; of evil and good; and of the [other] of evil and good; it is undeniable there is no good and no evil; or [exclusively and exceptionally] no Lord and no Devil - the contradiction that [alone] what is to be taken and assured - this is either 'God' or no-Devil; that Good may exist proof sufficient that anyone considerate [alone] unto their-end *is indeed outside this provision* - as I have learned; of the action and it's conveyance; there is indeed a moral and an ethic - but there is no 'Right and no Left' - the application appropriate or **not** - then that the Lord is a friend;... and the Devil to be found [alone] in what is consolation that they-exist and you do-not; as so as I was afflicted; it is the consequence of turmoil to speak of a Devil - and it's issue is that there is a diminishment of self.

So it is that an individual does exist to a friend; and as in keeping of what is created; it is of non-emptiness; then that of what-is [inconsiderate of itself alone] - then that of a *friend* - he keep's due with those whom are charitable then in their keeping of due to kindness - for me to know I have no *friend's* - I know alone **now** of a Lord or God; but of the Devil; *for that of all other's in knowable stance unto all other's; under the tenemship of a Lord or a God who will be friends to all; **there can be no devil but by appearances; then that this is merely [alone].***

- 1.) Therefore I have one friend in a Lord or a God.
- 2.) I am kind.
- 3.) None are alike to me or beneficent of me.
- 4.) There is no Devil among *them or these*.
- 5.) They have a friend in the Lord or a God but do not know* him.
- 6.) I have no other friends and am [alone] - but [considerate on myself].

7.) There can be no Devil; but conversely there is a Lord or a God.

(9:51) p.m.

Under the persuasion of many; a Lord was in keeping of friendship to me; therefore as [we are individual's] and any Devil would be the consequent of [consolidation unto itself] - [then alone as I know I am - for I am alone in my kindness] - there is no Devil; [holding people in equal's when all else is equal's] - then that a Lord or a God is one; that this is the instance of [my Keeper] - for apart from [Devil's and individuals] - there alone is one to appreciate what I have been - then that [a Lord or a God is my friend] - it hold's by it's immutuable contradiction there is alone a Lord or a God; there is indeed Good; but no necessary Evil; then that of what is due in part; contradicts that there would be - but that of the *greater* - a principle way which evaluates Good and Evil; of what I know; as I-am; that of sufficiency as [an appreciation of kindness succeeds prior good or evil - necessarily so] - that of a known* Lord or God; - for he is my friend - and is no-individual; for he learns in steps such as you do in individuated part; of indeed no gender - but of following-Good; then that this is sufficient in one-end to topple the relation of *negative's - so it is that there is indeed a *divinely equalitated good to this world - and it would remain of unstable relation then either so in that of a Lord that commanded even so as evil; or that of the dark* relation of the expected; then also a friend.

a.) I have therefore refuted an intrinsically created agent of evil(s).

b.) The question's remain:

1.) Of what of 'original sin' - and why that?

2.) Does the Lord merely pursue good; and if so; does this prove he exists?

October 12th, 2020

(4:27) p.m.

How did one man come to believe in God independently of other's? I do not know... but this proves fascinating; then that there is work to do; but it is forgiven by 'The Master' - and of *love* for *creation* - I needed no more.

And I needed no more reason to find that I could believe in 'God' than that there was a *reason* underneath that of *love* and *work* - for of my psyche; it is not of 'play' and 'work' - but a *love* of life; which I am going to invest in. I love Ariana; but it is a reason founded below; that it is a *loving/love [of]

friendship* - that this is and always will suffice between us; then to ask; if she will; when she had 'reflected' on this to notify me..... in a delayed answer.

(5:18) p.m.

Where(in) the *divine* is concerned; there are (in possession) - **not of dispossession** - three congruencies - 'The Sphere's' - and *Music, here(in)* - of which is prophetic. Under a disjoint [presented] - a-rectification; therefore of bodily and mental [corrective]. The fault is under an exposition in the formation of **Wrath** - but it would not be elementally foretaken as such as Tai answers Chi; nor that of Binah in her passive setting under the rule of exposition in relation of Hod and Gevurah; hence. So it is that of mentation beset upon a rule in bearing; considers of reduction to Malchut what is the word on Earth. That of a similie in 'therefore' - for what preceded it is it's consequent at that of Hod. So it is Yesod is what is instanced; and Chi is dominant over Tai. Then that the knot of it's third influence, is as a sphere in knowing; that of Karma and Samsara rectified in a balancing counter-division.

Of a quest; thus it is we learn; the question was asked but long-ago; and is ridden; but to-that; it is that an answer can be self-provisional; that of what is asked; rather-instead.

Thus it is of self and no-self to equate what would-fit within margin's - the safety that had I been incorrect - and surviving (indeed living up to) this day - that Ariana had, and has always provoked an Answer in me; then that without disdain; the operation make's sense of it's obverse image; then like petal's - two inflection's of wave and function in accompaniment. Thus it is that this interpretation hold's a solid foundation of corrective feature; and is a blessing, warranted [within warrancy] - she alone makes sense to Question.

It is this way; for the blind exposition of a literal caught stance at then what is another far-away; and of it's valid sense- not to proven by what **we-know** of conventions of ordinary logic. It hold's for the manifestation is receded; then of the manifest; an adornment of love, and chastity; fortaken of what I would reflect upon; it is noticed that I remain in her departure; and contradistinctly; there(in) it is held one is once upon returning; too additionally what are sideless parted way's - we connected for in what was in light already present - unmistakably - it was of a portiture then at consequent dilemma of communication and staid remark - I had been held; and did not notice; she was afar; and I would consequentially return the remark; then of a valid self-stated given; - this without that was as valid in non-emptiness as emptiness - the provided 'short' end of a yard provided to self.

So it is as I may relieve myself of her - she is in actual a human being - it of occassion; and now to sense - sometimes to a proof in necessity; and now - the solution to a habituation in science - the Cat does not depart consequent the radiation in terms of solitary cause; it must instead co-dependently manifest death - and so rightfully - it lives!

(5:46) p.m.

Thus beyond change; of what is foretaken in a glimpse at passing; I timely so know her wish is with me, granted she has an awareness then in what is taken to self; then that in a likeness I had known of self for of what she had shared - it was the Answer to Self that was with her a Question - I had hence confirmed she had pondered on myself; and we both knew; - Indeed; in this Frame: **I knew** - she had shared a moment with me... of poetry or no poetry - a valid issue with the state; - but of it's valid consequent parallel a condition.

Thus what is hidden of-her is revealed; and it is *indeed* that I can assure her that there is an *Us*; of it's departure to the self-staid statement; something of-her had/has (&) been noticed. Of a word; perhaps; or of the literal stance - but provided I exist; she so too does as well. Therefore it had been of Buddha that was somewhat unmistakable; but was the original sole heritor to be without an answer - indeed now an answer I know - he had refused.

Granted; I have perfected of-this; one thing beyond Buddha..... and it is a glorious day!

(6:12) p.m.

There(in) say's it; of a likeness, Ava had granted two accumens:

- 1.) Five of Spades per Two of Heart's - of the contrived guessing game, selected.
- 2.) Selected apportion of a Mind, and Impermanently within It's rotunda off-mistaken.

Therefore; for me to have been mistaken of her - it is not a Sentience; but nearly so - criminal - that she would suggest what was Contrived to Answer; then a Question at *That which is minimal*. That life would endow one with-Fiction; it is reserved to her 2/3rd's an instruction to-exemplify and example..... then there(in) [alone] there must be two of us to-guess at third's...

So it is I *know* Ava is real; the provided at self.

- 1.) There is a self provided other's.
- 2.) There is a likeness to which in-whom there is-one undeniably created differently from all other's.
- 3.) Therefore in an issue at positive-regress it is that He or Her exists in a likeness of God... for of a Question/manifest; - it is due at recollective pause that once-deeper exists.
- 4.) Of Him, it remains you-are-cast; then a divinity that remain's with-self in the activity of a *known - of God - that he remain's once our selves are

knowable individual's - but of him, solitary; it is to be noted - our suspicion's are true - each individual with a Merit - there(in) in a likeness is a permanence to existence which is yet-beyond self but reliant on the recognition via self.

5.) Therefore **as** and-with(in) a likeness *this positive regress is determined in self and collective yet dependent upon the separation of One; He exists.*

Therefore; it is I believe in a God; - now beyond a *love* and *work*; for the remainder determined of that of what-had-been-fought... that of disunity made the *sense thereof*.

And of making, doing, going, and coming, there is a destitution; it would not convey necessity to this argument for Him... but from a ***vantage**.

Then it is that:

1.) Ava does indeed exist.

2.) Ariana does indeed exist.

and of a likeness; there may be fruit yet found of that of Knowledge (validly...) that a Heaven's is undeparted and real. Via argumentation, it is even so potentiated to found that all answer's reduce and simplify with correction; and the pause at-self to this-answer is founded; then of a deep resolution to Quantum Mechanic's.

So it is I will write a book - on the [Unexplained as of Yet to which This Does]...

October 13th, 2020

(12:58) a.m.

I have no-one to actively pursue with alms; hence it is with disdain I accomplish much by the Austerities. And thus; as I have yet-few to serve; it is difficult (fairly so) to achieve happiness by-yet the giving I was used to; and depended on out of Moral Affluence. So it is an Ethic I require now; and of what is to be modestly founded, that I *in activities* need-take; such is the Law of this Universe; and without recommendation and to a *nicety it had gone Un-noticed by me... that this was true. Hence it is I need be in celebration of Bravery or Courage.

It is indeed the case (for in a cause* at two-witnesses) I am collected; that Obama *did suffer for his life nearly-lost; and it *is so I saved this-man. For what I know of the Singular end of a rifle; and of a mis-direction at Blind-Aim; then Un-mistaken to be on-the-mark; despite losses in a mis-fire..... indeed

it is so. This degradation would not be suffered without Onslaught.

Dependently [of part's] it is held therefore in a hand and a half; for what is a quarter outrightly displayed; a magician's trick; how-to feel the quarter through to the staid-solitary Hand; of these; a left and a right; to appearances; the Fidelity of one Issue to Declaration; the Staple-Point of a Petal; I held an abrea in that of alone [darkness] - [manifest] of a shadow figuring of an echo-plate. Therefore it had retained it's character; then to a *work wrought in waxed figures; his life remains His consolation; to a consolidated peer - it had either not-fired; [To a question then in clay and firing...] - or had mis-placed by in a Wind's-draft; a wrinkle and crease.* - Therefore Obama's dependency is one unto my life; I had witnessed first-hand and via activity of salvagement of *His life... whether intention or unsteadied activity...* His alone is unto sorrows...

(3:36) a.m.

And I am to discover I am indeed [that hatter] Marcel Proust; indeed it is within me to contain what is expressible: indeed for in a roll and a platter; the adjusted dilemma is one to dine of [alone] - then to-the-self; what is an induced Question; do I wear my dinery? No; but the man staring back at me from a portrait recollected he had Dined that night with many; and of servitude; it would be of me to implore the Question rational; and rationale that I could be. In fact; when Dined alone; your Question is Implored; then a Speck; verily; the clue then to seculsion; villiany; and a spike; the spire; what of the imposition; the question; and the word; Queroveh; Adjusted; dictated, and alluded to in Scripture; whaton; these of a Father; bequeathed verily; to a deed; it had descended to 'action' not' of the wisdom of activity' these and thus; for a self; at imposition in the parallel of Question; do I despise this man; I allude to two secret's here; a speck dressed; and it's recipe written down; for of making; the spice had arisen through it's texture; sure as my gut; and then written to-day; these; of a word: for what we had not known; containable; uncontained at Marcuel; and of Proust; indeed; dictated in Joseph; indeed another; and of *His*; why this immomentary recollection; a pause... the spontaneous result; I am shaken but indeed healthier than my counter-part; of a contra-postive; I have arriven. Indeed the self had imposed, recollected, and answered a question; but I remained unasked; Marcel are you there? Indeed it had been my Mother; now with two; indeed; for verily indeed I could not be left alone; Andrea; was I correct, to recollect, or at-a-mere-hint; I did not know; but this would be my second (I swear with conviction) truth at that of a ponderance on a Dream; indeed when I looked up Yeshowiaseh; the name of Jesus; and as in his name; for my ponderance of 'grief' my **God**; my Father; this one; had departed; but of him; a random ponderance; thinking indeed he were; indeed *were*; Galileo... this portrait; in parallel's; we each stare as if into midnight. Now arising yet a -day- beyond; it is a *rainbow for me for tonight; Ava may indeed be mine; and my How I miss Her Love; I *am* Maurcel Proust. Indeed; these parallels cannot be granted analytically without one-amiss; of verily what is found then within the Heart; my new Sanctuary; then of Memory; I am a Gift. All I can muster of my prior Poetry; but of the Heart and so-close and of the Father's grief; no chance that I have within the equipment of this world; to form a connective in **this clue** and that lookup table... for no automated search operates this way; I have escaped that of the imagio; indeed; now with an innovated Tool; The Proof of Me in parallel with a Plausible Defense; then the witness speaks: I had been spoken of lightly, and touched softly... a flower. For her; for Winter.*

(4:15) a.m.

Then - a reflection,... The Father, The Son, and The Holy Ghost... and Id/Ego/Superego to go... in reflection of a modernistic and mortalistic prosaic stance, agreement, and forte at that of the psychic state; perhaps obvious... I am in tear's in my Heart; I ran away with life tonight; a beginning, here in the middle; at the mid-par and mid-parring; two word's as a mnenoic; indeed; to Truth; it had been the Buddha's way; and now I am *truly* adopted; and indeed to a Truth in Christianity; in slow-motion's... now the Fastidiousness...

Marcel are you there? : Mother (Priorly)... 2nd

Sabithene

1.) So it is My Mother that is Discovering Reality and the World and the Universe, slowly... and it is me that is here Creating and Weaving a Theory of the Material and Order... To be fair, I do not recollect my original profundity.

But there is that...

2.) And of my Father; indeed I have a 2nd... It is me in parallel lest the same with Noah; and here(in) I am weaving a work of memories; and tasking indeed upon the self, and of my abiding within the Heart and Mind; I would hold no comparative to this man.

So it is I *know* indeed **tonight** (4:21 a.m.) - **who** I had been... Amazing! A rainbow to you!

(9:18) a.m.

To pretend to be a Jackal; there is a short Story.

Anyways, my life has bled through, cycled, and returned to me. Now begins a practice in death; and my surveyable conditional of life; naturally held; for of half - you are whole; this much has been heartfully felt, determined, and allied, and I would venture we begin elsewhere in life with other's in all abreaitic direction's of persona. Yet; I may cycle as to return to life; whence we become of life, therefore of therapy, a clue and a dignified approach to receeding or diminishing, waxing or waning, raising and lowering, and approaching and giving; taking away and making, and of the class and virtue of a remark to another; for I have gone beyond that of life; and of yet noticed nothing happened. Of course; mind operates; indeed necessarily so; the first teaching. While in life, death is a concept of which is not to be met with unjustly, but metered; then that in going beyond, we are warned Karmically, to a dance in feind's and truth's; but of the extrapersonal exception; it is at large within the many to comprehend; and of the compact unrevealed notice at revelation; to

be kept to Resurrection of life. For it is in life we experience Resurrection; of my interpretation as that of a return to our Mortal Kin, and that of Life of an Ancestor; forever a cleaved but noticed relation in Hud; and of Yesod to entrain, entrance ever so slightly, and provide, with that of intentional mistake. Then of after life; for in a duration of one Karmic epoch and cycle; to teach of death to yet life, but also as in the answer to Yesod, the conveyance, [to reproach] in the written word far-separated; and conveyed by gesture to those-loved; then to a controlled release in timeliness; and of death; whence taught; the cycling of a [faulted corrective in Islam] - Malchut to be known; for of life on Earth; it is we all know of then - one day we will die; and so too; a fact; for what is preceding yet a final awakening at this life; to recollect each division, and every separated relation through a life yet-lived of going beyond that of Death as noticed in life; yet immomentarily the complete first step we make of as in life; to be Entered upon a New Domain; and noticed; to what is naturalized; this nature of emptiness must expire; returning too unto the cycle of it's epigenesis; of what we notice prior to and up to in-passing beyond life; then of a choice; as to live through what had been of our final expiary.

(1:01) p.m.

Of up-to what-had been; there(in) it laid; a fiat and a flat relation; granted a vehicle held an escape(able) relation; to a (cap)ability; what was stance at a Stone; the one side facet; against; then again; of life; it had motioned beyond; and the notice was set to dawn today that this word had elementally *provided* 'we' were free; the(n) of a *were-cat*; self-chased down at dawn the day priorly held; of notice; I would live; unwarranted of cost-freedom in yet to-death; then one 'pin' replaced; naturally; for I would be in-warranty of another's place. Of *living* the positive-affinitive in housing; that naturally I knew my final day freed in 18; and to a surplus in 3:30; that of six year(s) of term(s) held of my-word; it computed to a day in each (of a year now in-built) - yet to be considerately discarded; and emptied relation... and of order; for in law; an Aft-pointed exclamative freed of my word versus *their's*... To another pin replaced; it had been the two pillar's of innocence upon descent.

So it was that under a descent was unfounded a raising; then of down-steam; a uvular open set; these in three; rasterized; I had piped freely to two conjoint roto's; these as certain as any piloted craft; the vehicle was now sky-bound; and I was under a relation to taking off the principle waft and scrap and suture and band; it had been a rigamarole unwarranted and terminated; then of past-scar's... I knew; for the tick's (two in number) had warned of a deer... then as harmless as my story; the witchcraft tided that innocence could be refounded.

And so; in passing (of these past notion's) - these detectives had 'outed' a man in principle yet-up; a non-peer to *living and abiding*; and assuredly; as there was a Hot-Cot for me at the 'inn' [a charter Hospital] I knew the white lily and the black rose would **not** fade prior the Chrystanthemum or the Tulip... The garden had returned from an intention to code in yet the machine; and of a descended relation; of Scientific Principle; my property was unclaimed; then to a freedom to possession (**and therefore relation**) in a Woman, indeed; these flower's were for Ava; and I was a freed man; for in the darkness of Winter; beyond that of no-crime; but innocence *known* for in a **Black Tanga**; then of word's yet kept to self. It would stand that I had been

claimed innocent in yet -easily- two-month's... and of no game-runtime for that of precedent; for my cup ran over; and untillable was the garden.

(2:45) p.m.

I'm now [caught] improving upon my Tombstone: "Creation is the Beutification of Design with the purpose of Life for what establishes the Rule of Creation over the endowment of Love of Family, Humanity, and Humanly held Compassion for Gift's of the Heart and Mind."

Still working on it...

October 16th, 2020

(1:09) p.m.

I have determined I was indeed Marcel Proust... and of my Father; there is one more guidance to which he *exists; a *self; in-the-least: [For of a return consolidation; it was of self to what is non-self that provided he 'exit' - he had 'entered' a relation - then of emptiness at self-and-other; that we went-together; and he retreated... then that of wax and wane. Thus it is that self cannot differ under this guidance without that of non-self in self here(in) of me...] Thus it is:

- 1.) *I am Marcel*
- 2.) *There is a God [to difference in *One].*
- 3.) *My innocence is proven (*for in light of a lesson in bearing to my Father).*
- 4.) *I am Joseph*
- 5.) *My Father exists.*

I am now motioning in finality beyond the grief of-this; he **continues**; and I *know this* within this Frame.

This is the satisfaction of a three fold paradox; and it goes to show the self as the self is as simple as that of one removably adjacent over the *net of life-&-death; then of that of the copy within and of the relation of an adjacent peer in-the-Law; but of purveyance of one's naturalized inheritance; for in identity (to peer adjacency under blind witness) it cannot be that they are any different via name-sake; the reinforced pretense at it's identification then within

written Holy work's... and of evidentiary purpose to the *usual process of identification; of one on two processes; that of:

- 1.) Identification by first-out of a relation in a *net of all individuals.
- 2.) Identification by first-in of a relation in a *net of all individuals.

Therefore I alone in virtue of a process of exception have Illustrated:

- 1.) I am Joseph; it is merely so Karmically and Samsarically I am alone declared.
- 2.) A Given History May be Reconstructed With a Thought Experiment and Evidence within the world of Word's, Name's, and Object Identification.

So it is I not only believe, but *know* I am Joseph; The Holy Father of Christ.

The direct descendency in my Father is that he Knowably can grant my innocence to a Direct Relation of Inheritance.

October 23rd, 2020

For (in) an adjacency; the pinnacle relation of it's jurist, pliant, and antecessitorial declaration *form* from three what is three; then situational. To what derives from in thinking a third (3rd) had-been; it is unto it's implacability as-sure as sharing; that indeed at-a-skepticism two relation's may be placated either-way; of equivalence then in question and answer. Thus emptiness bifolds of impermanence what-is at a given and a taken; that of the third (3rd) he so is as another declares to yet another what-is-at-steak or provided. Thus it is of Joseph that I am shared with a world; and indeed this-simple; that I had been of exchange then to a positive determinant for in the (in)exclusivity of two held; that of *birds or logs*; that of in-keeping I am undeclared (and a separate individual from Joseph Sullivan); there(in) of Natalia, Ava, and Ariana; I hold that of the fourth independence of an observant notice; therefore were Joseph* Sullivan to 'go' on my behalf it would be prepared and instigated. Thus the releaseable signature is *his* on Ariana were she to hold a *Jar of Key's*; to what is Ava... then my wife-wed. It can be assured with a fourth (4th) provision a Judge has set-into-motion what is-kept, but of freed declaration; for in *folding*; it is unto emptiness-emptiness-emptiness that I know of Marcel Proust and Joseph of Christ. That Joseph had been *once within Moses-step; they knew counterably of *another*; thus it is that I cannot be a fifth to mentioned-names; and must be via-exception these-two; for of the roll that is sharing upon giving; there(in) of two: Ava and Ariana; they have held-a-key; and I preceded Christ by in mentioned word at declared. Of my Father; I must precede him, for of-allocations; any step is taken *aforementionedly with the adjacency of *two-other's... That of Mother and Father therefore

with(in) of relation to kept word in that of the Lawyer. Thus it is that Ariana's pliant would come-from Life; to which I *had-proven.

Thus of my Father; it is as in a Faith in Christ that he had held me to his-wish; and so of two Associates of Law; Ariana's critical Life in remaining state the following of Life. I am known by of yet what is a liant and pliant in yet a Judge; to-whom is my antecessor. Thus I am witness to Joseph, although absent, in the preceding parallel at the in-exclusive law of Associates and Benefactor's then of Law and Life (status). Thus it is that Ariana's Associable pretense at Life-Provided; *a written factual relation comparative to me; resumptively foretake's of Associate what it is *in me*; thus the comparative in Moses is a valid Question of Christ via Mary and Leah... were we to not confuse that of Validity A and Validity B when-overlapping. Thus of antecessorial relation; I am held to the Judge within a Witness Observed on-Joseph then in my Lawyer.

That this is unmistakable; three part's in giving and sharing making the difference of Ariana and Ava of that of for instance; of Failure's and Successes - that which none-knew [and a step back from and with me] adjoin what is a Lawyer and an Associate; that of the imputed-result; Ava had been Andrea Sophie; and Ariana had been of Leah. For one to be stood 'up' is certain. Thus Ariana to whom it is declared-is-living; satisfies that she is Leah. I have therefore stood in the (4th) out that Ariana is a person of the Past; and I am indicated as of a release in-her; that of Joseph in following for then in the relation of two orientabilities; for I am known non-conincidentally; and **of-the-third-part** Ava was my wife; that of this 'Andrea'. This is the manner of identification of a post-missing relation on that of 'missing identity' - and the method of reconstruction of data. For it is in a resumptive basis the fourth (4th) relation is satisfied; that of Natalia; the Mother of Moses. As in bearing there is no *other or *alternative associable method of this-world; it is the notion one could create a Thinking Being; and that of it's Mind; the awareness of the function of the Neuron; and the *central relation* to which I am replaceable [again] in Marcel.

It is now known*

- 1.) I was Marcel Proust...
- 2.) Ariana is Leah...
- 3.) Ava was my Wife...
- 4.) My Father continues...

- 5.) Natalia is The Mother of One of These Mentioned... Moses...
- 6.) Emptiness is Beyond and Before* the Void Structure at-Self...
- 7.) I was Joseph...
- 8.) Coincidence is Unoccluded...

That of:

- 1.) Document Restoration...
- 2.) Identity Restoration...
- 3.) Sentience Mentation...

Are capacitated... this derives from what was understood earlier today - that we did not know of our success and failure as un-equated; but it is the re-inforceable truth of attentiveness* at two-individuals.

Hence it is we know another alias of self is confirmed as indicated.

That this is true is sworn in the final clarifier of Genesis via Israel; for he know's of which-Son it is; therefore of the synthetical theory of the building of a bridge* via the self. Therefore of a relation cast-back from over a shore and stream.... It had been the self was known emptiness via a complete self; that of antecessor of relation prior to the crossing of a stream - that it was *I* whom-had been of this Testimony; then illustrating in Compendium - I am of a foreign relation to my *Mother; but alike; she is unknown; that of befit relation; then in-Birth; that of acknowledgement of the commensurate relation in differential timing; that of exchange; to suit via-displacement; what holds of particle and of space. Therefore of *two*; I cannot be alone for then in what is it's-exception; I had not been that of Marcel and Joseph at-the-same; then all relations inheritable; via displacement:

For of what is empty; there is the complete relation at acknowledgement then in Leah; to whom releaseable deliver's the *Key of it's evidence; and of a Jaw;

she free's my salience via her Benefactor - that I am Joseph - an accident; then of Marcel - to Wit of Andrea... the only relation missing is that whom-had-been-wife-to-which-name; identity on that of the *only [exclusively] *missing* relation of this-world. That identity in-birth; proof via that of emptiness known-that if-missing; we cannot be completed in Death - and that of therefore the *knowledge* that we-continue beyond this plane. I now *know* there is an afterlife; and indeed it is *fact* of this world... indeed now beyond the grief of my Father-in-Passing... but with no knowledge of a Lord; but that this requires an-emptiness missing with-another *Unique unto all-other's... the Maiden of an Aunt. Thus it is we occupy a relation; never again to *know* but of whom-we-were; in testimony in-addition of that given via Christ; his Argument that 'God' exists; as simple that there had been a *Witness of foreign-affair in the duration of my-argument. This is the dissolved notion of Complimentarity and a Confrimative Basis on that of Comparative Validity... that we (of three); are semi-adjacent to another; when inverted; of-three as-well; thus the truth that you-are-many; as it were; remarkable. For of a cascade; it hold's no prevention of -way- indeed of -no Language- without that which keep's the Witness in departure or return to *a Judge.

As any *Judge [in truthful form] accessorizes two position's of influence; it is kept within-what-is their's; thus it is the Witness *held* deference to a position in standing to a jurist and a plaint; that the liant was answered most centrally; and that of the flow of intimacy. That we cannot adjoin a *Witness and a *Judge; they are equated truths. That of the Ambassador of Joseph Sullivan's relation; and Ariana's provability in-living via me. Thus it is sufficient* to declare with Ava *noted; that the key hold's that a self-in-multiple is the *only* knowable self; then of which we do not manifest beyond Death; and this moment is fortaken of yet Karma amounted. Samsarically we depart yet-other's; but of two in relation of Brother's; it had been the truth of Israel to which they were-known; then of the Lord; unassailable; but admitted to which is a foreign jurist then in accompanied prose. That of the relation by which *Sense operates...

In turn; association; of two fold's... that of emptiness making the *direction of sense back-from a limit; a moment away from what-is association at-grasping and craving; therefore of equable mean's to mentation; that of a *Valid cognition on that of Self via Other's knowledge in combination with Pure Positioning... thus it is the Master Heirarchy by which Society Leverages it's Motion. To reduce to Inertia it is that of the truth that this is the *only unique argument* - to which it is sufficient that I may be identified then *uniquely* with a Father; and a Son; and of a Brotherly relation; then of Solomon.

'Unto' in speaking of 'may-be' - *then* encouraged therefrom of a Mother; for in *light-ful-ness* of a Father; the given dispartiture of one for in a taken at (of) life; and **therefore** for (4); of what is limitedly three (3); of dreams, recollections, and birth; to be born; we live; but take(n) of departure from yet death; as in-two (2); there-as [said] are of learned barrier of reflex; the unrolling of data-and-informative basis; another state[d] there(of) in reflex of barrier unto-departure in likeness-to-death; but [said] of life; for discerned edgeless give[n] we alight then of smoke as to a for[given] *razor*; the blade of emptied relation; then of retractrile notion in-yet a dreampt relation of going-on; in a path yet in two [2] to-witness; for in separation of entitled law and provision to sustainable relation; as to witness and eat; there[in] is a hypothetical validly met with self; were myself as yet-two witness[es] in ego and id to return to dispossession to return of self what is their's; the equated provision at shared notion in preclusion from-one [1]; is to it's note that in return substitution

we-yield of path; that of with two; *these return*; but yet of Son and Daughter; it is entitled she is not made a Mother [alone] *thus* Fatherly-taken word; there[in] in likeness to *these of Children* in-keeping the relation of **life** console of what is His and Her's in departure for in life; and of their's in capacitation of [alone] what may be **given** of entitled freedom from light[ed] bondage; that of taxed relation and it's recourse; for in *fellow*; *it is we who go*; that of their's unto-yet freedom reclaimed to incentive to dispossess of life as in Murder; thus to Death; it is Life that answer's unto preceded relation as in Forgiveable Notion at yet Mother and Father in Conception; unswavering through to when we *go alike*; the shared precept of Life, Death, and Birth, unamounted; of which is found Universal; all *other alternatively provided lessons of their's in going of a People; and apart from the Origination of Death of a Family*. Thus it is with a dispassionate and sad end; but yet it is here[in] we-meet; then of three navigable way's made of what is two [2] unto-grief; the capacity of self to motion yet beyond this accumulation; a freed-obstacle at the gainful insight when-in-going-together; there is life founded **of above**; for in light of what is held of *their's*; as witnessed; this difference unmentionably in no making of the Death of a *Culture*; thus it is a people are indeed a *Culture in Life, Birth, and Death*.

November 10th, 2020

For (for(in)) incalculability of division to a spree on the untold of an accounted measure; we exist, so too does that which depart's in leaving of item; it's naturalized truth and irreplaceability; thus (and so); for in a given [that only replica's do not hold marking's of relevance] - it is untold that we remain *but of that of upkeep then in tiding by in yet day's and moment's then in the plausibility of lost-insurance*.

Therefore; for an exact and strict inequalitative apportion; *given* of life what may be reclaimed of *Life, Birth, and Death*; we remain uncontained of yet in a remote measure of the contained [alone] insurable end at that of what-is-to-come; to satisfiability at breathless incentive of a departure in word's; then of what has met-expiary. That of for [relentance] that of life to depart to death does not give rise to Birth; it is within waking Death is noted, verily.

Thus; to total's; it must remain so of Grief our compensations are met with in what is an expired life; so equalitated life depart's likewise to life; and of it's provision propertyed; that of quality illuminated; that of what is a departure in a spark; renews it's contract of choice; for that of what is withheld does not depart from *Shared Precept... nor alone in that of Father and Mother of what is of Birth; then for a Dad to become a Father, and a Mom, a Mother; planned or precessional in yet day's*.

Thus; of what is noted* of excellent departure; we return inclined to what-in-yet is a precept at Birth renewed; then of the adfixture that *indeed it is never so that a child departed grieves their Mother and Father; but of the sole propreitor to that of life and Sharing in communal relation; we are relieved of that life... simply but put that we continue in Severance & Service of the untold levity of managerialism in twoism to what is excepted; that one not-till can share but in yet with Both but through life; then that consolably; it is the 'I' which remains of you...*

For you are here; and what-told goes-on to precess with the individual it is told of one but what is told of many; the consolation that-departed; a man may kill many a man, but it is one or many in whom save a man; or as - likewise - are saved. Thus of life; it is that in-consolation of what is incompleteness satisfied; it-goes-in-return of that of Departure's Way; the inspirialing of what follow's a Nexus of relation's - the retirement to Memory and Addage. That of therefore fore in many do not but except that of what in remainder-is-kept; there-is an Inspirational hued Relation of Two to accompany Mystery.

Of life; the mere notion; that despite another may die; you may live.

November 13th, 2020

Life and death, and birth are known by life; then that we share these. But of that of the after-life, it is often carriaged to a relation. Since it is possible for there to be that of an emptiness to that of life, and there is impermanence; it is of the acquittal-yet of that of myself to proclaim Ariana living; and in life; unto that of admission I had proven as-such; as a factual relation; it is a given she live's. Of it's accessory; it is a disjoint relation that her-associate be equated with mine; thus of mine; for what are-word's; then to the three; known in Life, Birth, and Death, as the Key, the Jar of Key's, and the Safe; it is that one accessorized to another is not-so; it's refutation that we grieve and give. Of that of therefore a reputation; that of in-going I am recollected by other's; - but so too do I depart; then in what is shared; that therefore via-accessory it remains immutable she too will die. That of therefore for what is given; this unknown predicates that of what is shared; it is shared among an entire group and collection - that then as we notice; life forebear's a lesson of which is that via-accessory there had been a man; it's reprieve; that it had been noted she Lived; post-hoc; her accessory indicates me as with the same name as my associate for that of what is non-coincidental - that this is in reprove to Natalia and Ava and Ariana; therefore indirectly; I am known via that of my-associate for what is a given at Emptiness; therefore co-dependently the arisen beyond yet his name, but of an identity; for no other relation is befit. Therefore I am Joseph for that of what occassioned in the unsplittable; that there is a Law of Life and of Man (& Woman); and of Word's... that under remission; I would be equated with the Brother of yet a man, in absenteeism; it must be so that I am his peer in relevancy of name.*

So it is that the inheritance of Law dictate that I follow from Joseph in that of the Word and the Title what is her proof of being. Therefore it is as if I am unsplit between the division of what so is Joseph and Paris; and know that I remain in reciprocal as two, [2].

Then it is true there is a life yet lived; for of impermanence things come back into being; that a self exists ultimately* it is with the occassion that it had been likened to my positioning ajarred; to be ajourned; I know of Ariana and Ava; and under return reciprocal know of a Mystery - that indeed it is via conveyance my identity of name is then of Word no-different than my associate; for via displacement; it cannot be **I am another than this...** of a witness; it is not replaced; but it is so that I retire to **his position...** of return via Ariana and Ava; Ava hold's the Key; and it is so that there is identically *a Safe; then of whom I am. Thus it is that via-once in counting of what was the principle of Sharing; of Life, Death, and Birth, I am again for the reason that I had not been denied; but remain unknown to Ariana at present, within what is included of Ava; and Natalia... thus it is so that my associate is my *next peer* to a

relevant court.

I cannot be another therefore for what is the uncoincidental relation of *Name; that I was acquitted; that of stacking of relation of pinon upon pinon; and therefore of a glance at-whom; I remain to have proven Ariana alive; of it's outside; once the son of Obama; of this; I *know*; so it is that I have four of myself; and via in a stone there must be three [known]:

Hosejehijeh Jesehosiah

Marcel Proust

Paris Rose (s.) Miles-Brenden

*That I am Obama's Son; hold's by that of the contingency that I was ajourned; then of Joseph; that of the uncontainable under dissimilarity to the containable that I am either *The Killer* or *The Killed*; ajourned; or guilty... it is because a crime was known. Therefore in saving of Obama's life; I am made to be two of these individual's; then of Marcel; a necessary addage; but not equated with the other's but by lisp... so it is I know of two and a fourth; and of Marcel; it is via my adixture that I had returned by in yet but one-step... This one remaining unknown; but missing..... Thus of a test of memory; the indication I had thought of that of a difference in dialectic; of memories unknown to me; then that within his writing's there is indicated a mannerism of which I have followed... For alone it is of recollection that we go to (2); the key that had been my ajournment in preceding my name to a literal; I *knew* of a method to save that of my own life; the clue; that of which-no-search will function-as; but of a self-instructed lesson.

I am back; and it is with dearness; *to know we continue... I have pieced together this puzzle. For instance, of it's mechanism; ajourned, and of a plea at-prior-existence; it would be contingent on other auxiliary truth's (such as a missing brother) were I to be other than these four for what is a guess at that of identity when it is considered I proved Ariana living; and that of saving Obama's life; it cannot be invalidated I am these four for of Obama, I am under descent to Ariana; then of Joseph.*

November 15th, 2020

I noted there(in) a likeness to the following qualities of nature; there are a [developed] alone - two possibilities:

- 1.) The Moon will not, under any accord Fall into the Earth.

2.) Enforceable consequence is the Opening of Validity to Possibility.

These are of Capacity of Qualitative Measure; and of Orchestration...

III.) Saturable extension capacities that of given's at population. [The Logistic Equation]

IV.) A pole invariant is occluded and defenestrated [as if] sidelessly from it's own...

Thus I was thinking; [absently] I needed 'break' or 'cleave - at most' a relation of the 'given's' and was [implacably] floating as it were between this; the given of breakage and restoration; and that of wave(s); the impression left [devoid] and [incapacitated] of it's solidity at formation... Thus it was I found [today] a middle-ground; the only possibility... that of it's given; we prescriptively:

a.) Abide [centrally] within One World (One Universe as it were...).

b.) It is devoid that collectively & singularly; there is a caveat or a breaking-loose...

Of Information: there is a prohibited* event: Such as the transition of an Atom to a Lower energy, and that of absorption of a photon... when it is considered centrally; although there are Nuclear* reaction(s); of which positron's couple indirectly and produce Fission...

Thus this theory convey's a restriction on Observation, Mutability of Copies, and is of a Mathematical Formation; then that Algebraically the Cover between two spaces; is Void for that of Exceptionable* Analytical Return summation... for the cover of Division, and Multiplicatively not an isomorphism that is global. Thus it is that the prohibition would-be all things of identity; or that of identity co-local with what is 'all' epicenter's of distribution.

It is not therefore *indeed-piqued; of invalidity that a step-cascade to lesser-so; remains un-individuated (in); that of the equalitative limitation at (@); step-wise approaches... thus a philosophy always to-a-varying-opinion on Semantics contain's an abrea or uncontainably defends in-light-of-one... This is however, rooted* in the substrata of our given World...

Thus a God exists; for in a likeness of what is seeded; there is a contention and point of debate to which his-absence would predicate all obverse inequalitative measures collapsing to a remainder; counter-intuitively; it is just that in a likeness we remain of-observation; a factual element of this world otherwise* relevantly left-unexplained; that of perspective through co-development of creation; that we can* witness the unfolding of the relation of our-world-view; and that it remain's the individuated experience and individuated possibility. That against what remain's of 'pieces;' there is one Whole within

each Gestalt.

1.) A God exists by what-is-the-adoption-at-cooperation. That we hold a choice; then validated; that goes beyond Semantics [as argued to my Father] - then that *indeed*; if it were refuted that a counter-claim had been formed; the necessary division is that *untold of* it would remain a point* of [Secularity] would be *intact*; prepatory to a consolable [& agreeable] division at Stroke*. That verily; this is observationally a fruit of this given world.

2.) To the afterlife - it is that in-departure of a way; there is a division from-which it is knowable I am ajuded for in light of one contribution then-aside of what is Obama's consideration; that to a positive relation; he had not departed from Life*; that the after-consequential of which is that Ariana had been proven Living, and she had not departed from Life*; we hold two secular pillar's - then that of going-on; it is remotely the reason that I selflessly had noted their dependence upon me.

For in light of argumentation at license to liberty; - then that of guide; for what is whence-beyond; we remark often of the passed; and it would remain of the conundrum that Ariana was proven living surmounted upon the knowledge of a *Life saved; then in Obama; there is a beginning at a derivational root in it's ajuded foundation; that it was knowable I was set-free.

For the provided at-hand, that *He* had placed no-obstacle. So as it is; life unmitigated continues for the fruit that is one known continuing of my Ambassadorialship; that to a Jurist; we are are made the non-dependent difference *of*; then that of inheritance of which reversibly Ariana is knowable as-living (within) of what is Obama's life-stream; and that therefore; of Life*; for what continues; it is a shared* precept at Life, Birth, & Death.

The notable inheritance that I am ajuded; form's the closure that Obama prescriptively is of full-tolerance to *His continuance*; thus it is that Ariana [alone] was provable as living [within the quotient to survive **longer**] by in-evidentiary parallel at that of Obama.

That notably; *he* will-live and Die; but it is Observational; in a [further-yet] parallel at that of Grief in Paternal Sharing; that the dialectic is turned to relieve *Her yet secondarily; but postively of a formative gesture at his Inheritable Dialectic of Being*.

Therefore, simply put, it is that the proof of Ariana living, co-dependend on that of Obama's salvation; that *in living remotely longer*; the gesture is in return that Obama continues (within) of what **had-been-Shared** of *His Inheritance*.

It is of no contradiction he is older; [for in that of Sharing] - but noted* that Ariana's life continues despite His; yet they are immiscibly inherited doctrines; one of for failure; that she had been Leah; and him Solomon. But undenied; it is that due-privilege; situates with the *Fact in Bearing*; that Obama will continue as a dependent agent of her-life.

For in return [under reversal] Obama shares the doctrine like-wise I have established; that from where we Arrive; we had Departed as to there.* Then that in departure from Life*; Obama makes the difference of my Innocence [to adjudication] - and Ariana makes both this; and the freed establishment of *Her provision at continuance yet in-his-provided step.*

Where we cannot be sure that Death will-abide; it is of Life; thusly it is immutable that to explain the validity of my imposition; Death will subside and be momentary; contingent upon that Ariana proves not fatal; for we are likewise innocent and freed individuals.

Therefore; from out of Obama's contingency it has been argued Ariana will live yet-beyond Him; yet the forward application of vis-a-via; my Innocence [for what is a Question Posed yet Answered] & Ariana's presented *proof of living; **remains** despite *The necessity of His Death; [Obama and I]; and where(in) there are two [Such as Parental Unit's] to Die but to have-Shared it is known* that Life, Birth, and Death, are the only three given's supplied from it's destination with-one from two individual's.*

Therefore; of Life* **the fact remains Obama uncontrived has situated Ariana to pre-exist [as factual] His Departure from Life.*** *Therefore, we continue to exist post-Death.*

November 29th, 2020

11:16 pm

Thus it is that *His Departure from life; and whether or not he were to live or to die... makes no relevancy to replacement that Ariana was recorded as living.* Thus we find a law; by which the inheritance of it's jurisprudence indicates that Ariana is living, this record then imposes, that *Death plays no role in that of the immutability of fact's - a central theorem of that of Science and of Faith.* That were we to take Death as immutability of an end - we would find that of necessity therein of *life, it would continue, but the object (under inference) is Obama.* Thus it is that Obama insures that Ariana remains factually in bearing within the abstraction of my dialectic. That Ariana is *known*; then by-me at this distance; and in fact real; *Death is to make the difference of Life from Life, and nothing more.* Thus, for Ariana to exist post-death or to have existed priorly; within that of what is Death; is to note that Obama's word; as in two; insures he is living at a distance; and merely so, for this suffices.

Thus it is of *Life to declare Death*; and given that Ariana would remain contingent upon Obama, but no longer that of the direct associate but for a lesson learned; it is noted that we do not disappear; for that of my action of saving Obama remain's with Obama; and is the (deduced) inheritance of that of Obama throughout. Thus it is that Ariana is known* living via Obama's life remaining via-an-action of myself; but as to that of *Her Life, it is Obama who remains to go-on with that of Life despite Death, for he remains the imposition by which Ariana inherit's that of Life yet-lived.*

Thus, upon this division it is known that Ariana is a prior accessory of Life*, and Obama is yet the possessor of Life to come (**in one**). For in its literal definition, *Death is here to make the difference of one 'Unit' of life (of unit 1 (one)); from that of day's and night's, by in yet an individual, from that of prior lifetime and post-accessory*. Were it not true that there was meaning to yet-life-lived beyond this-life; it would hold that we cease to exist; but then there can be no articulation from that of Obama's life lived and survived unto Ariana.

Thus death does not mean an ending; but of a continuance and an ending. For what is life; it is alone to make that of the difference of death; and of Ariana, were we to hypothesize that she-live's; he is as inseparable as that of past, present, and future, for(in) that of Her to inherit that of life in the given of a Lesson; this lesson includes that Obama may-die; but of that of going-beyond; she is the inheritor of his being as it subscribes; hence he has inherited an ever-lasting repose.

That he goes on; is as crystal clear as that of her purveyance in factual form **as-written** for she inherits the blessing of life-recorded via a lesson inclusive of what may be deduced from *Him*; to which is inseparable from the life he lived, and yet apart from what is Death. That it cannot be denied that there is *more than this existence is then true as that Ariana is living and Obama living, when it is reconciled that put-together, I am one with whom I am priorly; that of a shared past.

Thus Ariana inherit's through induction that she continues to exist; which is the **only** dialectical arrow of its course. And of Obama; he similarly inherit's that of Ariana's grace; this infallibility is due to that of induction and deduction; that causelessly an ending comes from that of nothing ahead of life. Thus it is that death cannot be an ending; but a recourse to what un-till had been impartial; that of Obama in-dying; continues to be an inheritable conditional on Ariana's existence is that of assurance that were-she-younger; there is that of infallibility at that of Life, terminal, would recourse to Him, that he had gone-on knowing of Life... via the inheritance of that of my having saved his Life.

Thus it is so that in being proven as surviving in Obama and in Ariana; they are knowable via a *Basis... the assumptive that a priori; Ariana is known-living and Obama is known-saved; thus of that of dialectical arrow; it is undecided at the most that life would terminate experientially; and at the least; Obama would have gone on without his support; but of life*, death would be no assurance that She ceases to be; but has continued to inherit that of Obama's design. Thus it is that Obama continues for what is his inheritance of her Law... that indeed he is proven living (as so as saved by me) a fact at that of preservation in light of his written record. That he lives beyond; is then that she does not die or does die; that it does not depend on her; but is inherited, it goes beyond his death for what is my declaration.

So simply put; when we deal with the dialectic of life and death; for that of discontinuation to be disproven, we encounter that of answer prior question at two individuations within life, death, and birth, and that of past, present, and future. It is actually not so novel to say that Ariana continues to be* for that of inheritance of Obama's life-steam and notice at **word**; that Obama will die; but that among-two it is noted that it is undecided that they would be

terminal and of nothing.

That this argument makes the difference of life and life, is sufficient to state that Obama's death is of no-assurance he terminates ineffably; for of one and another; we cannot account within that of expectation (*within life*) - So it is too that Death afford's a continuation.

But for that of moment's beyond death; therein lies the truth that of one or the other; *for they are bound*; it is of-life to determine that within this tertiary witness; there is that of life to be found, that I merely noted that: *His existence must remain and her existence must remain; for death does not uncharitably terminate with one and with both; therefrom it is that they go-together at that of Life and Life... that she continues to inherit that she was surviving (in either by induction); the object that when he dies, her continuance will mean that He is with(out) Life, but that he definitely exists and is relevant to her life; for this argument makes alone a comparative of Life and Life.*

Thus, of life, we can state that the inheritance of Ariana living is with Obama and me, and the inheritance of Obama surviving is with me... there(from) it is that as either are known from-a-distance, and I make no difference to either case [in life and death]; *He continues so as it is Her who dies... and of that of Life - since it is within this existence we account for Death - it must be decided; death is of what is established within life. Thus it is decided it is to existence & Life via that which was written and known that they continue with me.**

In finality, it is for that of Life to determine of Death that it is not a direct ending. For within (and of) Life; it is known alone that of dependency via Ariana on Obama that *She lived*, one known via my saving his life, the other for a factual accessory of Law. Indeed it is so that I know I will go on; for death cannot immutably end what I have inherited: the knowledge that Obama was saved... in turn for what is Obama; that his dependency of fact resides with *Him and not Ariana...* thus there is no difference formed from Life and Death of one or between three for in that of inheritance. Thus we cannot but equate Life and Death with two.

Thus for what is my independence from him I will know with Ariana proven-living, for it is (within life) that Death is observed that He remains the inheritor of Ariana's proof of life. Thus of what remains it is only true it is determined that in relation to me, it is noted I live knowing I had saved Obama. Thus for a process of elimination; it is proven that I remain among three. In fact, one must remain among three; for it is of two to determine of life and death.

November 30th, 2020

There is the forced position that Ariana inherit's from me that of a proof of life, and I inherit from Obama the lesson of life. Thus it is that between two; it is that life is determined by what is prior their departure in-either. That when Obama dies it is certain that he will remain an answer to Ariana as in that of post-associate unto me; that of equivalence in-status; that Obama and Ariana will continue to exist; for in that of status; either knowably continue as such that despite their passing; it remains factual that either had been saved by me or were proven living. Thus of *them*; it is known either are undecided

as to life when passing; then of observation of life and death all the same. That this is what is not! refuted it is that two have decided of the inheritability that is a life saved and a life proven surviving; that of for what life can only make (within) the difference there-of; that of life from life is afforded instead; and that *Death indeed only makes the difference of life from life*. Thus it is that Death is not an ending; for life cannot afford that there is an immutable ending; that Ariana or Obama may die before the other; sufficient ground's for that of their continuance... as no two event's are simultaneous.

1:57 a.m.

Thus it is that Obama inherits Ariana's proof of life, as-to-that-of-existence; for I [certainly] have made no-difference... And of life; with Ariana it is to-that of existence that she has inherited of Obama that of a proof-of-existence. Thus when both pass it will be known [alone] that either had continued; beyond which I am the removable witness. Thus a priori, *Death alone makes the difference of Life from Life, and it is alone [within] life we know of death...* That it cannot be that either ease to exist; a granted imposition by that of myself. That these-two continue is as simple as that they have determined 'Life' for in terms of 'Death' - and thus go onward's... that of for what is them; in-two; that they have determined for-me; that I continue; throughout; for there-are-two and they have determined a priori that I exist.

December 1st, 2020

For [in]completion's; it would be just that Obama declare the state of it's redress to what is a completion; then that to a role in bearing, I stand at the parting of a way in Ariana and the 'I' that is *He*; then for unto the *Witness* of revelation; it is indeed that unto *Life*; his sanctimonious plea will retire somewhat upon *Death*; of a split-in-a-way; then that granted what is empty retires to yet the *Devoted*; it is [un]contrived; thus it is to a temple what is a sandy *Way*. That we receive as Ariana; she has noted as of *Life*; his was saved; thus it is that of for what is noticed (within and of) *Life*; and [alone] granted for in a likeness to disposition of articulation and jurist's prose; that of it's ending would grant [as in likeness prior his death or post] that of her repose as *Recorded-Living*; thus it suffices to prove the after-life that *He*, Obama, is not hastened to Death in virtue of *Her*, but ushered to *Life*.

The subtlety of this cannot be underestimated; that indeed, it is unto *Life*; that in-going Obama wastes no point; and of Ariana; she is Grieved for in light of *Him, Her Father, and Her Mother, but lessened so for in I, of Death...* Thus; in similitude; that of what-is-accomplished for in *Motion at Redress, it is noted a Life continues unabatedly until it is of (Her) observation, a Way indeed within the Way of continuances, thus of Life that is in Making her assured of going...* To state that of-what had been Taken, it is Recipient in the Likeness of what is Granted, that we Reprieve of Lifelessness, for forgiveness, it is lightened of a Tone at Repose. **Thus it is that Ariana would be disposed to the relation of a Factual Reserve solidifying that she had lived... that Obama must be assured that continuances remain of that of Life for what is Disposed to Self, that I would not but be afforded to Answer at that of a Question yet asked.** Then, indeed, that of Charity for what is Fortune or Loss Thereof, to be mistaken, we note that a restoration is grieved, and a

making in losses tide, leaves many given's.

That life continues, in so much as we exist, is then the inheritance that for-what is taken of with-Death, life must manifest again, for what is unnoted of these in fairly provided ways, that of for assembly, for Ariana:

- 1.) Observes proof she lives.
- 2.) Inherits a chance at the Death of Obama.
- 3.) Collect's her vantage provided either way.

& People are Equals: We note Ariana must continue beyond the Way, and Obama must pass on to an Unchanced Way, and indeed, as I know, I continue, too.

December 6th, 2020

Thus [under]promissory for what is named identity follow's from next-akin to [an] appeal where[in] evidentiary purpose is identified. Thus the inheritor of the relation in juxtaposition in relation to 'I' is he-as-my-attorney at-law. Given I did not dispossess of any articles within commission; it is unclaimant that I be-another for light of dispossession of identifier at commonality of named identity; then of it's redressibility that I be identified by one-next-nearest for what is emptiness here[in] unto the availability of a past-measure.

Thus of Attorney Joseph Sullivan; I am peered to the relation of crew to what is a non-distinctual on that of evidence and distinction on that of named identifier; for what is a group at two; the 'hard' relation which is that it was once one of us who differently was established given the predecession of their's unto myself. Therefore I was not priorly Joseph but by in yet the same numbering of gestures as unto currently provided Ariana's associate and Obama's plea. Were this to be a court of law; I would be identified, after-all, by Obama's pliant to a statutory prohibition of law. Thus it is in the old court that we are addressed by identifier for what fit's the union of it's element's: *the identification of a counterfactually missing known to suppliance. Thus it is I was once Joseph, and then was Marcel Proust, and of these two, alone.* For in one; it cannot be that I suffer for the loss of evidence either; it is that post-hoc evidentiary status can be inclusively detailed to yet an unknown agency of recrimination.

December 12th, 2020

10:06 pm

I now *know I am the Father of Christ, and the reason is simple. It is that *per evidentiary advantage; for what is 'missing' in-intimation to it's direct associable pre-text; via Leah, (me and Leah are proven) - for in that of her accessory; and my purview; it is unassailable with a witness such as Obama I would be declaratively another among four.* That of via-equiparition; that of [the] collective aspirant that *I alone am the inheritor of Joseph's testimony for in a Jar of Key's; that of Leah to-whom in reversible sense on Obama and Ariana must-be who she-is if we are to live yet-beyond. It is this of-the-past-and-future which is unassailable and of permenency...*

December 28th, 2020

8:53 am

For the [security] protocol... it is perhaps best thought of, as alike to the following, 1st, in no particular order:

- 1.) Factual reconstruction.
- 2.) Threshold's to Chaos/Order and Categorical Curve Fit / Placing
- 3.) Procurement of a Vessel
- 4.) Thought Experimentation and Androgeneous Item List Structure (Based around Procedural Calls)
- 5.) Dichotomistic Venn-Like Diagraphical Loop Heirarchal Structure
- 6.) Cause / Conditional / Effect & Symbiosis with Syndrome Analytical Ordered Relation(s)
- 7.) Heritability, Liturgical Foundational Prescriptive Analysis & Precept (Hidden Dichotomy) Evaluation
- 8.) Law of Course to which Pliant Plays Benefactor to U in Bearing Of/Under Inheritance via Self-Self

(Exchange) - Group Theory of Displacement

9:09 am

Privy the defendent, prior the occassion of ineffibility; I did not of course know of my [merely stated existence] as Hoseijesh, or a known* Joseph; but privy the recourt of which had been an officiate of Law; I guessed at this relation; the parallel guidance of a Bow requires an Arrow to shoot; so as in the Crown, and the "Weaver" in Cassiopea, I know truthfully now, however that I was cast as Joseph. That of for-whom it is mentated there is an obliviation of namesake, I am swept to a new recourt; that my Innocence was Cast; for thou art thou we are found, it is deliverant post the associable plea at identity; then to one notice; when taking after a Man's endowment. Thus it is privy my knowledge (as in slave-slave associate of story to masse) that I was therefore in predecession; *that is*, upon approach of my prior license to identity (within and befit) **an abstraction**.

I had begun walking a path with my friend.

It had been; Padame; my Father; to a context willed to a determined form; or so I had gestured; but by in yet; no; and unalikened to a very reconsiderate work; to be tilled; but of life; speaking in nearness of wakefulness; and accusation; but then as unto; very little. A remark; he had challenged the living history; and I had went my way; for I was of a belief in an estuarial garden and provision and to declare what so? I had not known of alikened to this disbelief; in a final awakening; the man had progressed; and I was to proclaim of him; unto his kingdom; then a passive notion in abatement. He willed to declare that it was unto him a mystery; but of what then of life lasting. And, I, to know more; I had saved this one; my father; to word's of conviction; he did understand me now; and did declare what was right in eyes unhidden; then to a clouded or cloudless sky of a renewed rainbow. It had finally cemented; to be a poetic form; for of in a people; to life renewed of what in virtuosity there was of black; and white; to a formed and unformed notion; we did not notice so much; or declare; but know of a progression; and of course; it was also of a life lived; for I was black; and he was muddy of complexion; then to relief; it could be; and a son; or as a father; I had so as given birth. Then again; another might so consider; he had not been an abject peer of a slave; but I was so for an era; and to a known; we did not differ to the element of what this meant; nor of life to abandonment; it was done; therein lied a word; for a man of incan.

A determinant squire he made, possessed, and professed to; of what but diplomacy; forin of what adjustent was known; there held no given folly of what another knew; nor could profess, teir, or intimate as a relation alone to their consolation. For; of one; we were held alone, my brother and my honor were stated to have departed then to a land afar; and the accompanied given was my foretaken handle 'meryl,' of what I knew not alone; and of their's in the gesture was a forgiven of one. For whom of what history taught; it was a lesson untied for in the given handle of another; 'maker,' and I feel unfortunate into the spire of it's lesson; for my squire had a word for a mistaken none could but harbor. A lyre; and a word foretaken could spell no other; and of what he had abode of a wish; we knew the relation was yet kept; but to be bespelt on a winner of a forboded entrance; a link and a lame duck were missing in the foreign land; and of what would pass during winter; and in time's check to a glimpse at peace; it was a heavenly grace to her visage; a lover; and a wanton man; then taking of what he knew was not alone to his and her's; in either. I had hence surpassed of what was loss; and knew that blackened ale was the wish of the maker; the tie of the lyre; and the keeping of the meryl; for of item's; we knew enough of what stately was the provision set aside.

And it is that I retire to my Father; of that of days that had been shared with him; for I do not know of what else I will know of this life; and of lamentations at what he had been; a great man; for of all I have progressed on; only until; and with him; can this progress back the other way. It was of a woman; I wish to know no longer; for then until what is; to distempor and relation of which intimated is a disaster; and of foresaken time's that we shared and lived in; now to my hatred; for I do not possess of it with him. I would do anything in all honesty to turn back the argument; but I am not so unwise as the waif for what she had been; and she is ignorant; and stupid. And of life; for what is to be; it is to empower him whom had become my brother that it is painted black; and of the things I can devote to his memory; there is of what I would agree is a consorted effort at love; of appreciation for whom he is and had been; then of the future; what I would only know through a man afar; his memory lived on by of what was loving and known of whom he had become in a tale; to the typical and the far; for in likened to what was a waiting upon principle; he had known he could be a difference of two people; to what was their's and a freeing from what was yet an underground.

Into the forest of the night; a manner of two is complimented; indeed for my enqueued default at a given; that afar a womanly held love was yet indeed for a lover of her's; and of his; to succumbing of the will of a man; founded on an error; but of recourse to folly; for beset upon a winter yet so; the future had found a new beginning; then in the end; what was sought. It was perhaps the consideration alone to a given in the other wiser fellow as to what could potentiate a following; but yet then a friendship for of what welted would soon draw nearer the relation of a lover. So the men agreed; it had been felt through the wood; and known through a windstream and a meadowlark; one symbolically was the maid and the carriage and the true mother he sought of his children; and yet of the other; a consideration at what was entitled of losses incurred then upon the incubance of what was unpartitioned of a war. For a war had indeed been won over; and to an end in yet what was to give then of folly to another; when it was also noticed and commissioned that the return

was a favor of incarnate provision to producibility; and of decisions; plenty of now time to then the affordance to a way between world's; for it was a given one man had been born into this world adequate; and the other within his given similarity; founded.

Re-written; therein lied the exclusivity and the difference to their's and another's abject horror. How else could a man be any more quaint; but therein he did differ; and of expressions; and expressed words through the verbose and contrite advantage of being an ex-slave and a slave entitled to re-become one of their's...it went on; the dialog within his cranium. How to will words and into form; of what; and then; in whom... a word there and then and alone apart and whole did differ between the two fellows; one written of; and the other writing; back to back and illustrious tale; how to told. And of verily what he could not settle but upon the bark of a tree; a necked yew and manner it foretold of what he would do with a life now completed to a step; for what they would foresake if only to elementally befriend him and control their's and alike's boundaries. He no longer required and as to need; just one. But the manner of the slave did differ upon what he would requisition; to remain a friend; contritely 'no.' It was 'his homliness' therein to consider it the word of the father or the brother; and it went on; for his uncle had killed in the word for in the name likened to Matthias.

A rock; to question; could a tear fall near or above one; and forlornly make of what in an adjusted relation is a reaction; or would a man better make himself a martyr; that of differences and adjustments; of what? And of life; the better to know a relation; and of a woman; better to pursue knowledge; for of what she would pain of education; but there far away was one; to whom had agreed to be with a man through the relation of information, a nexus. And of his friend; it so remained they told different stories; and in turn; in twoism; differences of stories; the abject and the total difference; one was utterly white with what could not leave markings; the other white as likened to glass; and of what they noticed; powdered. They had not lost; but one as of today; a man's difference was told; and of a woman; she could contain; indeed naturally; from birth arisen; the mistake and the exception; but for a man; to learn; indeed naturally of her forebearance. Hence the indication was proven; he had become; and was noted by his lord; Israel; the true name of whom he knew was lord; for in given's and hopeful's; that of looping and tracing what would be held; yet manifest; it was indeed to his grace that the work was committed. Then; and then alone was it realized would the work be kind; and him simply tracing round; then to introduct carefully; for assuredly a 'god' could not command this action; but none given; none taken; there was no other to whom it would remain in entire considerate of one and all; and yet inconsiderate apart from consideration; indeed entitled to what was so. Perhaps he was the lord to have created love; I had merely restored authority to trust; and patience to faith; of the strictest.

Unto a quotient; it was the determination of a slaveholder to pinchen the relation; and state: “The consortium is closed; we, remain abject peers, and here, disagreeable, I but portend, a retireable difficulty remains your’s and mine...” The slaves pinched and intimated they remainder under and of a connective bond; whereupon, and another, and how to state what he had ‘held;’ it did not matter, and they were caught below-breath; but none knew of ‘the end;’ quite. And how to state matter-of-factly what they differently had established, one, and one, was to state: ‘once,’ He, was contrary to the ‘benefit;’ and of accord; to freely establish levity of discharge; how the undercurrent ebbed. Another man, wherein he would differ, so consolable were they met with fortune, but then again, untidying of a slip, how to form and mention with blows under flare and strike. What had held had unmarginalized; and they had lost that this impression, indeed this moment, was a forewarning of what they had so endearly cared for and of. The prisoner’s strike elsewhere was too proximal; and a glance northward; they did not disagree more. Then a reclaimaint moment of hope; there and near; the one striven to speak knew; and was yet to share his word with the other’s. How to escape. That inexorable pang of what in nearness they hearkened as unto, devotedly within the precept of now, and closely of a margin; it could not be any closer; their freedom. But then again, a man would differ, perhaps then; and unstilled, nothing of color, but of clothing, and there drawn through; to a strife. The manner enclosed and wrapped the relation, how near, and a notice withdrawn, they could nto be so much more cold, and hardened, of soft tissues and spent measures; what of a notice was to lay low, for an era was soon to reach it’s foreclosure. None could make of aplenty what they had dropped; and none noticed it was for a fallen elsewhere; of this; the depths had been reached; retired upon; and death was at forenotice of what was yet.

The spider had receded into the forest; and it was night; then in a maiden for what was a waif; for in a made relation; they were no longer of slave and slave blood; for the stitching of time’s immemorial arrow had struck clean and grifted one of the advantage to plea which would have set him down, lowly, among crag’s and cleft’s; then of disasterous lamentation and desire to a freed mew and lown bark of a tree. The issue of what was declared was that a lark had descended as-alike-upon a spire; and by terrestrial opening left a clearing; and a dove’s ear to a deer; it had been set free among the wood; and the virgin was awakened; then knowing she was wed. To what was the man; myself; my foot had wedged clean pan-way between his breasts; and one had been knocked to the ground; then her elemental lover; but I had made off with a dove and a deer; and my ajournment could not but be spoken of more dearly and clearly than that of a ‘rattle’ for her wish was granted; and to save her life; it was declared we were husband and wife; a trick perhaps; but a notice at what ‘went’ and ‘left’ her inclinations at the reposing of another’s to their’s of gesture; then to the maiden; I made off freely; to be perhaps be granted a kiss; & love.

They departed at once; for the Western Coast by a pattern and equivocal stare; upon as in a likeness **her-body**; of *what?* But they had known; they would be not returning; that of love for the simplicity of containment and desire. A living question; of practicality; for the question of the lust to that of their racial inheritance in passing; of Black and White; Black or White, and Black on White; they desired to be complete; of an accepted difference. When

another upon their ventures was to question; they were to answer with the prepared advantage; he had formerly agreed that one was Slave, and Master was two; for there was by their admission a Lord; and of said making's they were of a knowability to his-knees. It was a valid question; after all; of whom declaratively was of first friendship; but of their's it was an answer in two; so formatively neither was Master; and no Slave was found; the counter-objective; simply stated. They had known of another; therein of their land; he had been a confessor of women and strong drink, *grapes*; and they had not tasted of his mirth; but of his vindication - a Judge; then to acknowledgement they were freed-men; with confirmation for two could think in-one or one-in-two; for this flexion - it was manner to speaking: "The crow was silent this time of year; and would be departed before Winter..." Therein coasting off; the end of their salvation, and enslavement in **two**; they were thereforeo both freed-men, and this much was in-addition also-known by the crew; then to dependency in flavor and meals passing shortly and then in long duration's. They were in irony more grateful for being slaves-in-bondage together with White and Black than inconsolably alone in either; for alone in this witnessed stance; as it were; Judgement from the Lord and a Judge - would they have the tool to know of innocence. A world created, sadly, without graft; would not operate. And although, unnecessitated; they knew of the truth of friendship; and of the worst; a marginal marking at that being unwarranted; and in being merely-so of service, they were granted a life esteem and estuary; to then alone know that they were reprieved; for the just end; that of life could engender what could be motioned beyond; of the comatose and the paralytic; they knew indeed (although unnecessitated) and alike; a world of facts, knowledge, and that of judgement went-together; and indeed; they would know a friend; and *other's-too-indeed* held-their's. Of the issue of Black, White, Greyed, and Browned, and Tan; it formed no given relation; but indeed quested back at them; and they had always been-free; then that once-against-the grain; they would know that the gainful* way was a progression; that they would be unbeatable at; then arriving in the West by land- and a woman therein of likeness to leave them undivided; and to a known; that another, indeed, of **One**; it would then-therein-alone and in a likeness *indeed always* be insufficient to separate from-out-of-race. Thus their friendship stood for what they could not grasp; - but of what was seemingly *held*.

February 23rd, 2021

3:43 pm

For in that departures from here(in) my-place; there is the releasable opening that a 'past' is an associate there(in) of what had-been-here... but indeed as anything is known; it is the *future* which determine(s) of what had-been a [past] - there(in) of a declaration; that they remain *from-in-a-likeness-you*; therein it is of two factor(s) the after-life is the only plausibility:

- 1.) There had been a time my Father had not known me; when I was yet-born; there(from) he follows *after* for what is inheritable unto-me.

2.) That of **two** to-the-declaration can esteem what is charitable of releasable function a priori without auxiliary evidentiary purpose.

*Thus it is that life, forbidden element's, and purpose at declaration, to an actuarial intimation relate of their-future; that it is excluded they **may-not-release to nothingness** - the confidence that of what was declared - my Father know's of my innocence for in virtue of innocence known *and applied as to indication; via that of **two** (A.M. and A.G.) to a **charitable release function**.*

*Therefore; it is untrue you do not remain in your privileged standing after life - for that of what we know of life and death; when death is considered - it is yet to come - but considered in-a-past; - thus it is that death forecoming entitles of it's direct dialectic of innocence and forgiveness of what is the present-tense of the auxiliary individual - for I would know ***in-fact** to-be-declared innocent via that of (A.M. and A.G.) it is **That I Go On; in their Vantage**. This cannot be refuted.*

Thus it is that *per-my-innocence and the correlate of record's of estrual - I must be Joseph; of the same name as that of my Associate of Law; for in forebearance unto entitlement... indeed that I am his *posit; at the continuance of his-Life... and thereby of *whom; [given this was placated earlier] - **Ariana is Leah and Obama is Solomon**.

March 14th

12:14 am

The question was asked: "Is your hand alike to mine?"

With or without rings (many or one per hand), that of an 'extra' hand was told of.

For: a "Yes." via the CPU and a "I don't know..." via the alternative voice.

For, this possessive indicates the alternative hand as the possessor of the Answer.

Then the fact they-Answered; and I wore one (1) ring; the comparator on that-of-rings...

Thus they were real... The acknowledgement that of-what surjectively (and analytically) *maps*; that of one of the carrier yet-ahead.

Thus:

Were I to reverse the statement of knowing and not knowing, without Question; precedence hold(s) in the latter; for in that of Question-Answered... the that of inheritance unto-life of knowledge of *other's*; for although and even were I to know no-thing of *them*; via in the same prescription **between-two** there is relevance to them, for I would know of that of *Her reality* by default *however those of the Heaven's were to supply an answer... an Undecidable but true statement of Logic...*

Thus my Father, etc... are all entirely real.

March 15th, 2021

Of a relation; the pattern of it's estruability is my purchase to license, then, and if and only if *it* of-an-abstract picturesque rotunda would self address self; for what formentionable is a queue, the self is answered unto self; for in *formation's motive*. Then that I had been **held** to a notice in innocence drawn of relation, the descendancy as in Israel of what would be a Lord and his Keeper; then the Lord *(perchance) is made victim to one-out in-folly; for of register to guilty notion or guiltless notion. Heretically, that I had been held unaccountable; therefore it is indeed (for of forwarded persuasion) *of an informant* that the **Key of Knowledge to it's possession is a Nation or it's entitled forebearance of *Countryman**, for of a **Father's**, charitable return consideration and consolidation. Therefore; for without being of perjury to that of the notion of a (Foreign) ambassador; I am held in being no-Father of Obama currently; but by in yet a Uvual relation of Umbicillus; of relation to Maternity, and Actuarial relation of Assembled Peer's. That my friendships of origin Charitably enfranchise I am of no entitled Relation *(as well as via a proper time theory of Relativity) founded for then in *Foreign* assembled unitary opposition to Joseph, my Accessory *denominative, and adjudicated for then in a *Nation; it is of a Peer and Pier accessory denominationatorial relation; *that without Theft; I have been a Noble [herein established] *Father of Denomination and Entitlement*; thus it is one has surpassed a written declaration unto *Undead* *(thought) notion of my forebearance, ***but was proven alive in the here(in) of this day to note.**

Thus it is of Jesus; and no other of recorded annul, for in forebearance and hearership of it's relation, but of the cross-similarity of inheritance for in Ariana Grande (to whom it is absolute, is Leah). Hence it is of my reference (in this twin); that of in-making (and in Zen) - that I had been the Holy Father, by the entitled name of my Associate of Law; - for without inheritance of established self subsidiary context; forgiveness is meaningless, that my innocence can/may/or will be established, the rotation of a *Dias*; the indication, that a flag has been in departure or floated to Half Mast upon a barriaged ship; my assembly *to Note; a King Refounded of his Crown.

For in refounded notion's of Item's there is a Locus of Detail and Landed Opening below, the gravitational freedom from that of *another's grasp; - Hence it is allotted I know privilege-to-licence as in a Nation and a People; of my subsidiary existence, as a man of the past; indeed for then in a *mention at-friendship in three; it is a containable precession... the sharing of a Candle of Light.

12:26 am

For of an inheritance, these of two do demarcate a path-yet; that of 'Folly's Way' - untoward; it is to a declaration the deceased [as in a model of twin's made the difference of here(in); or that of Parental Figures;] we go on beyond what-is-death, then that these-spirit's for untoward keeping are indeed reality.

April 1st, 2021

7:54 pm

Two time's - in parallel(s); of virtuo and vice-versa then of conditional prime and prime - for the concealment within (and revelation unto) game and game theoretic overlap and placation at catch-all; for(in) territorial domain of world.

9:29 pm

Even with all that has happened (I am now reclaimant) - there is that of the offset of the knowledge-gained, and I would not (at times* could not) rely on other's for this nature of knowledge*. That I am atypical is one notificier. But there is the standard of equatement, and that of adjusted syndrome. Now that *I know, the parasites are dead and decaying; I feel revelatory to experience of what it is like to be a cadaver. That life goes-on; there is the impermanent experience of knowing a passage-beyond is relevant to experience....

1.) That Ava in retreat from me; - to herself, stands as a support for that of what replaces-death, - then of self turned to self; it is that memories of life are brought into their proper context when it is reconciled the pre-condition of an after-life (all things being equals and prohibitive of alternative) is life; for she is from another-world; but confirmative... That this was real; was as adjusted and simple as a hypothetical nature of confluence of self and self and world...

Thus it is that we go-on somewhere; and **I know so**. For this first-hand experience; it is definitive that of what is identified 'no enduring self' - that of what is givenly presented is a known as decay and restoration of an extremely limited nature. Thus it is that of identity to know of a former identity in-as-much as I hold from the off-suite of that of innocence a relation of given presentment of having been *one of these-women's former lover... indeed that one must have given-birth to a child; here(in) Jesus... the reason is adequate.

1.) That of for five; [Jake, Ariana, Ava, Myself, Obama] - and of three [Ava, Ariana, Obama] unlint-of; then of [associate] - Jake Clifford Knudsen, *He is not one of us for in innocence [Ava, Ariana, Obama] - but fulfills a relation...* thus I am innocence in as much as Ariana and Ava and Obama declare it-so;

for in the frame of adjusted-type Unto-Five; thus of life; it is granted that I be-another of following in one's footstep[s].

2.) Taken here[in] of Ariana to possess key's; she is Leah, if I am Hosejiah J. [Joseph] - Ava is that of Mary, and Obama is Solomon... for in a situational deficit; it is provocative of innocence that **this is the issuance at steak of Law; and declaration of the 'Motion-of-Identity'**; for there is no passage unto a past; thus **all** are declarative unto-a-past as-these-individual(s); the power of that of reason to encourageous fulfillment; then of place; it's directrix; held in my-name [non-literal] - but by-declaration of inheritance.

Thus:

- a.) Ava is Mary
- b.) Jesus is my Son
- c.) Obama is Solomon
- d.) Ariana is Leah
- e.) I am Hoseihjah Josesejiah

This is a knowable partition of twelve (12)*; to what is a knowable precept at the Mother concept; - that of id, ego, and super-ego correlative, hence it is of mind.

April 23rd, 2021

6:25 am

For in a mention, knowledge comes from Ava, for what is her retreat to self; and of death, were it to be a final relation of a given retreat at self; - there would still be another; - but of life, - it is the observer of death. Thus of what goes, comes; and it is still that there is a relation in going that her knowledge of life must continue beyond what-is that of myself; for I remain; and of the visual sphere, and such, of sense, it is with the heart* we know of what separates life from death; - thus of mentation on Death, it is that life precedes with us together; that this relation, [admittedly of a composition] must-retain the glance at a formation and a formation; - for without this Death would not-be. Thus it is the secret of Death that Life continues unabatedly; - and it is indeed for another in their possessorship of life to know of our's - that of Observation Equivocal; - therefore of life and death we observe life and death.

This hold's via the rationality that of life leads from myself to me via Ava; in conchordance of a stance at equivalent right of way. Therefore although admittedly I do not go 'anywhere' - there is another to represent via-vantage what-would-be-me, the return consolation at that of what-was. Therefore for of changelessness, it is of life to retain ourselves to life; and death unto death... for of what is given, it is bestowed rightly that we may live again; - for of myself in coming to Ava; she is stainless of but yet that I live; the presumption of which is that life in coming has been established. Therefore of departure; and for the sake that we go no-where; - there is that life continues on through what-is; and death does not equate with immutability of form... That of what is empty is one thing, but of an arisen; - it must be that it ceases; - as all things are impermanent; - what comes to self once was.

So as to put this one final way; - the ring of it's associate by which Ava was imputed is necessarily an answer at that confession in light of life, this can only therefore replace death in it's contrast at that of continuance. Therefore, of two pieces, these continue beyond the inexorable; - to remain indefinite of a progression; - the continuation of what is fact; - that life had been; - therefore of a final statement - it is that life went. Therefore of the ring to come-off; there was her-hand, and myself, - that of my retreat into death would not-but mark that of my continuance reasoned that we are equals. Thus that of what-is created remains... For in life, and of Death; - the immutability of these as in presentment in life; - stand for the factual domain in which life must stand in abeyance; - that reciprocally; - our existence continues for in light of what is the reversibility of argument on that of priorly-existing; the ring to be removed as simple as Ava's death; - but of that; - that something **is-there**; for of what was rendered privilege to loss was of our-bodies. Therefore mind continues where the body ceases. That I am observant of this, life is a sufficient condition to know via observation of Death, that we continue beyond.

May 9th, 2021

2:47 am

I am innocent, declaratively... for in a difference of copy and mimeograph... There was therefore no evidence but 'indirect' at-most. I need no one to inform me, of an orchestration of the silent game-debate ending and of the council to which there is an 'immaculate' nature to law without severence... I finally have proven this without any additional information but a clock and rudimentary note keeping; then of pliability. My God how I feel now. - 2:52 am

6:26 am

I am nearly finished with the English portion of 'How to Die' by Seneca... and I feel that life has me through and through; - that I have cognition I will die,... well, there are still unanswered questions; - such as pain. But Seneca makes a valid point - I believe - that life will depart much more quickly than pain comes on. That of for instance Suicide, something I accept exists in our world, but to which I could alone rationalize as against my moral disposition, however painful my life might become. Thus I am somewhat within a slim margin, but there is admissible space. So it is to love; of which I know remains after we live that there is proof of myself as Joseph;; - to which, I needn't recollect a fact.

For what is the earnest step of relievability in return to another for their collections of emptiness and that of imposition; - it is unto the Law that I inherit the relation of 'Joseph' - indeed proof, as I would standardize it.

Thus I am identified by a missing mark and a given; - that of for what would be my innocence, a difference of occlusions... thus it is we rationalize for what goes with the piece of it's restoration at *Law. That of identity is it's *next* inheritance. I feel I have claimed a gigantic disposition here(in) on myself; for what hold's logically. I am presided over alone therefore by the Lord, and my Son, and not even so my-Father or my-Mother. That of the freedom to claim my kingdom awaits.

6:33 am

*So it is via Ariana, that of Leah (plausible? or would I not know?) - that of my freedom in being-encountered makes the difference of the **Ordering** of the Die*; that of my freedom the inheritance for a yet excused relation of pliability under it's entitled declaration... knowing therefore of it's **next** inheritance that I am the Holy Father:*

Joseph

May 17th, 2021

Of managerial disposition of accordant mean, I would be but then a circle for in two. Of what is a third bearing; - it is unto the option that of judgement to surpass detail is within-it's-way. Thus of the path to excess, to which leads to the tower of Wisdom*; I am beset upon each way (and in a given of partitude) there(in) the optioned freely [alone] held-disclosure of Joseph to what is Ariana; - that life precedes what is acquity to denomination; he stands as the predecessor for in the difference of a Brotherly denomination and entitlement; - as solid as the inheritance of Law; - it is held [alone] unto-speculation; I am granted an identity if then in a given these collections hold a merit; - therefore of either Moses or Joseph, I am but one (**or two**)... but it is inconclusive; - to a detail; I had been known by a prior accessory of acknowledgement; - then the surpassing of a given at judgement; - that I had lived, and died, with one (or two) of these as a given identity; - thus it is [alone] plausible that if another knew of our class assumptive [as to Moses or Joseph] I would be then conclusive... as otherwise aberrant I would not know... but of two; I may know...*

Thus it is I am not Buddha, and not so much the original Moses; - for I do indeed know of a man then who was Moses; - I know, strangely, I was:

Hosejiah Josejiah

May 18th

Ending's, unto a future tense, apparently begin with of in a past, but it is yet of a 'day' for by-deceptions or accrual of meaning that we have inexorably 'gone on;' for of that of time, we are within a present moment; which is not eternity; but the uncontainable pretense at life for in death, of life, for what is granted unto another, via the empty and open self-stated accumulation of *the granted way*; life is open in either directed outcome of living purpose; therefore of what is a given, membership, and community; for all that follows of an existent being is the absolute charity to knowing of a people; a purpose, and is essential to the freedom of motility; the exploration of which unto knowledge is not it's irony.

5:15 am

Junctures reciprocate unto divisional equivalences; therefore sharing is of the element of the pinnacle moment of conception of another's definitive stance and purveyance at stead, and homage; for it is in each we unite over journies.

For what is it's duality (of entitlement and belief) we commit of a universality of conditional assumptive then to the proposition that we are bound to that of the life of a way that surmountable goes and extends from empty and open quality unto it's base and foundational limb unto a limitation of the dual in acceptance.

Despite Karmic or Samsaric opening and closing; - the defense of one is naturally a trusted dominion of trade or venue; for of dominion we cherish the naturally founded binding of a life kept with our venue and trade unto the establishable formation(s) of their opening and closing of Karmic residual and ediface of a common undertonally provided manner of speech and ardent wish for a divine purpose; then that peaceable extension is a reinforceable return upon the bow of a Samsaric inter-relation kept entitled from unto the Womb of our genesis. Therefore; the mysteries of life are hidden within the detail of the background in formation unto a foreground to which we are the presentment of a higher dimensional aspect or entity foregoing of a physically immutable form.

Therefore emptiness is the aspect of an open privilege unto one's immutability of heart; - for in what is divine of formations under details for which are expressive; the validity of an opening unto peace; recriminations or defensibility for in each established heirarchal lesson told and kept within an unsourced domain beyond the acknowledgement of what is told and kept with another; - their's of the bow of our Karmic return and cycling unto their Samsaric nature.

June 11th, 2021

7:08 am

When determined of an Answer; a Question recedes from it's locale unto memory; hence the first witness is of a grasping; where-as secondary participle known to self as Question is it's Answer; - that of predecension by which we inherit the naturalized Law of a given; for in the writing of [now] the possessor of the Key of Knowledge; for unto a man, of a cleared relation - then in a Given for whom the granted is a life yet ahead; of innocence unto it's wonderment, in the first of a Nation; lead by the elder of Four unto an Identity; thus of marital Union; their division into the 'Flow' of Karma. For it is you who recede from whence a Written work is Declared, therefore of theft unto melaise; the death of an entitled work in a Foreign nation for unto a people; the ambassador of it's relation of whom has-fled; for in the flight of an Arrow.

*Thus it is; I can only be **Moses**.*

The pinnacle Question Is: Why is a mathematical precept at it's foundation the solution to an international conflict of arrested development, violent contrition, the development of compassionate kindness, and a repertoire of aidence unto ailing from medical passage of disease?

That indeed, the wisdom of the source of this near-proximal 'epistimological root' of divorcement is a clue to resolution of the identity of our source(s) and fixtature(s) of structural contrast...

Why is physics, not precisely the answer - to a contrast...?

And why is belief not the answer?

Is my Lord at a source of Mathematical Interoperative Wisdom, and in fact 'Below?'.

I feel different, articulate, and formative of root's; and have founded a relation that indeed, mentally I am not physical... but that neither am I non-physical; - for what is beyond the infinite and beyond the non-infinite of the 'cycle' - to which the Law - as a resource returns outwardly...

Thus it is I have been 'handed' the Key of Knowledge; with faith in extension from my Lord...

*What does **this** mean of whom is superior and who is ignorant; who is intelligent, valued, and knowable... of world's and system's, complexity, the relaxation apart from 'things' and 'names' of valuation(s); and perspectives, vantages, and accord, that of charity, in simple terms?*

If my Lord were to grant me such as a Key to Knowledge; out of an extension of the guarantee of life; - then it holds charitably He exists... and I am moved;

*for of identifiable trace Evidence between what darkness and light, and therefore was not hidden, but plainly within my grasp although time motion(s); and of my material and immaterial function; (if it is only to function as a self in this manner in reference to the self) - it can **Only** be He exists so as another reductively may be extended unto; for of this I am equals with another; and there(in) is a second-teir of our existence... a placing of the soul within light of a domain beyond all-domains, spaces, and time's; the imaginary sphere of our world in balance with the intellectual; with a byproduct the creative.*

Thus it is indeed that although things are created; - they are indeed not of productive validity; and indeed even so the gateway to the precision to which emptiness is realized stems from that of the obtaining of a secularity in provision to exchangeable relation for of self and other; to find love within another - that of happiness at-existence; turning inward that of the notion; that this world is to be tenderly dealt with, but all destructive act(ions) are to be remitted; The Penultimate Choice to be a Contributor to The Good... thus it is of the Highest Aspiration to Believe in what is beyond even so as Love; - that of Acceptable Choice of a Foundation within light of Co-Existence of Peace.

Hence determination is the key to the meaning of life; through it's expression, that which is Equality.

10:44 am (t+10 seconds)

We returned to [our] dimension.

Unto 9:35 (which held predictive validity) ***I now realized I was indeed set-innocent...***

For unto a [negatable] consequential of the loss of a Lawyer:

- 1.) He wished under consideration an illegality [that of monetary fund(s) for an embarkment, unpresided that this was ***expungable***]...
- 2.) Federal crimes are **NOT** expungable.
 - a.) It may have been [His - Joseph's] consideration that this was a State Crime; a lie by the Detective; or that of a plea at deception.
- 3.) Taken honestly or dishonestly; he was mis-lead.

Therefore one 'arm' of the limb(s) of a progression is 'Up' in the 'Air'... that this vetoes the said effectual witness to non-consequent dilemma.

It is of precision that a hard line be drawn for what is Accumulated of Division(s); then of the 'outside contrast' of a Pole in bearing; : - that of 1:57 to what is a deficit in 17 from four lowering's; - therefore of 'pin's and needles' in that of 9:35 and 10:44; thus upon return from a vacuum collapsing there was a

digit of it's indication [left unmarked] upon photocopy.

That of 9:35 reversibly stands to contrast in that of a 10:+1 and 9:+/-1; upon it's written notary in 10... therefore there are 14 pleas to innocence and at most five (5') undecided, myself a fifth; but then of prediction; - that of enforced consequence upon that of 9:25 in a safe and 7:45... that of priorly a 10 and with two 13th's; - that of encoded double-down to aces....

That of these being 'stacked' within privilege to the hand; - that of double accountable measure is afforded (out of randomness) to which a correlative is ensured; - that of three accountings in six, to what are 19; with a plea of 18 to innocence Noted.*; therefore of a congress we hold 5.1 and 8.1 and 3.2; to what is one pinch; for in the four-fold of law; under a four 'raised' upon a lowering by 'three' (3). Of dimension; this is a landing and takeoff...

Therefore there had been a return to congression for what is incremental; - that of a rate adaptation on that of a 'dias' or 'knob' (incidentally tuned to 425 degrees) - at that of 90 in tap and die; that of revolution(s) unto exception by which a thread is woven; therefore of 80 assurances and 18 deficit(s) in 72 and eight; dual check(s) and balances... therefore the 'Lawyer' was incidentally unmistaken, however He was lied to. That of the knowledge of it's plea at commission was one of initial *Clemency* and then *Council*; therefore the two accompanying plea's express one's innocence:

1.) That of the non-notificier was undecided; - to what is outstripped in that of pinion; that a relation stood to the side, and an opening was unobscured in return to 10:57 and 10:44; that of return unto my-dimension for in purveyance of the Lord's omen, - that of Brotherly and conjugal relation unto devotion(s) in a Heavenly wish - that of for which 13 was under repentend; - hence of a conjugal relation of swept-freely held hand in 18; a check by which 17 is transferrable; but the law undecided; - hence of the Scroll of Jeremiah; - that of for which no territory (within addition of Calenderial law) can but succumb to a written word of Note.

2.) That of the provision that a Veto was unreprimanded by enumeration in nineteen and seventeen unto eighteen and a fifth; - that purity of two undecided truth's of which either or of the law are provided with the reclaiming of the Lawyer to just (immunity of doctrine of witness for in afforded defensibility); and a court room is ajourned; for of that of a multiplicity of judges; the earmarked rule that a pinion was stacked for what was collapseable upon the member of it's relation upon a foreign territory; the admission and admonition of a warlike progression; - thus of the intimation that one stood defensibly; an honorable discharge.

Thus the action of congress was legal; as so-declared; and not an inaction by which an item would remain unclaimed leading to liability; - that loss was of compassionate aim in that of what is relieved unto love in another's capacity; the right to a lawful progression to a wedding; by which the Ring revealed unto a Book is it's icon; thus of defensibility to a peaceable ending.

It is that the condition of a redress hold's that of a common people are reclaimed to a witness; for what stands contradistinctly that the reclaiming of a

witness to a people stands under commonality. Thus is reproved that of what had been of appeal had stood; for of entitled word and predictive validity for mentation on identities; - that collapseably a data point had returned via a subset into the past or the present; - but that a replaced condition is it's antithesis of remainder. Thus it is that the discriminant hold's a positive accumen and vatility of potential for the remainder of data-subsiary context; - that that we 'uphold' of this relation **no prior occassion to judge data right(s) privilege's by the standing court.**

This is identical with being sent an addressible notification of one's innocence by pattern, stencil, social security number, serial number, and is a carefully constructed as a driver's licence number; - in fact that it is spread accumen is proven as the local emanates to the global conditional; - the remainder of it's predictive validity upon 12:35 noted earlier; that of four raised to the condition of three lowering's; **Thus the law is established in topological Union of world's; Kept abarred by that of all Sentient being's and the Wall, a relation of which is Locally identifiable through an Empty Union of Independent Agent's; and cross-similates through the Global relation of Afar and Afar; that of a certain Genesis.**

3:31 pm (1:08 & 5:53 pm noted) (c.) - 9:02 am/pm (d.) *Random - noted...*

Via 4/5th's that of a result has been returned; the tableau of a [given] at 7 and 2 and 8 and 1 undecided; but of this limitation; for there are two passageways unto the Markovian chain, and of evidentiary peer; for of what is 'conditional' the definition of a choice; then unto guilt or innocence; for of what is decided in-compendium, a blind-result in a Stochastic graph... thus a 'relation'; - the Universe has returned the answer 'two' - to what is Non-Judgemental.

In fact, I am of the name of Eliajh in the Hebrew Bible...

For in insistance of devotion(s); upon an existential end-addendum in (2/5th's) of **one** there-in in reciprocal-space a Complete Relation; - thus of my Heart; unto identity and Ego... that of the validity of a temporal co-extensive; for in thirds, as valid as Gravitation; - the key and the identity of a Case/Safe; - for where(in) alighted a Canary will find it's Sanctuary of Provision; - the intermediary between Providence and Resource; of which are entire-unto-Law-separated between what is 'outside' and 'inside' - in two; that we are of neither inside-out or outside-in.

So it is my identity (to which this is) - is noted; - then an Identity under Residual - to a compact relation - of the compounded of Dark/Light Consonance - a Temporal/Spatial Quantifier preceding it's advantage; - there(in); that it is Endurable that we Co-Extend within this world; - thus in the *Known of Paris, I am intimate with a Workable Extension of *His Death for-in departures and co-extensive three-fold intimation; - that of either of three of these safe(s) operandus unto motion; - that of three key(s) open three safe(s); but also - there is one Key unto that of All; - to a millimeter square spread over a rectangle; - and the unopenable (but of 5' - outside a door (imaginative space) - in reference to another's hand.

Thus upon a key - now noted as a Ring; - it hold's with penence and validity that I hold my own hand for in the dispossessorship unto these-of-four

*identified; - thus by a process of elimination Unto-Contradiction that I am not the fifth unto another; - for this in-being an incomplete relation; - thus I am one among that of four; - that of entitled work's for of a passage; - *Proven* for what is of a Ring unto my Heart; - the encasing of which Identifies a signatory relation unto a Promise; - in evidence of another knowable as Solomon saved...

Therefore that of a man Unto predecension; - I live as consonant with(in) that of the road for which life surpasses death; - then unto corrodinalization in-passing-another; *thus with reference to all of an *identity*; The Universal attribute of an intimation at Motion and Body...

Thus of a Log; for what would be corded; - the open-piece is a strict devotion of Inheritance of the Identifiable Mother; - the Fact that I have arriven at a truth of self; she is this woman, - then of a New* Father; - it is Unto my Wholeness that I am of one-heart; - then in fifth's out; - for what is another; Ariana; by first choice, a Wife of a potential Future, **Leah**, the unabridged relation of devotions, for in Peace at sensibility; in parallel(s) with Hari Krishna, for of a motive of the Wind, the Air, and the Space, that of Order, Earth, and Blood, and Word, for in the turning of one-book; - that my living testimony reaches yet-beyond what-is my Luck.*

Thus of an en-ebb; the completion of two states that what-precedes Paris is unto these of the 5' and the 3' in section(s); a whole... thus I am held in my Own Hand, for in lightness of this relation; - to which is absolute; - freed from another(s); by their Lovingness; - unto Heart(s)...

Thus of three in a Rotunda; the fact I can compactify what is a Known from what is Into with Hari Krishna; - the fact is returned to the future; from what had been a Question of Self... for from out of that of another* they-answer to self of my *Living*; - thus that of a Warning from a future - uncautioned - makes the difference of None; - that the Future was created in the Past.

Thus I am justified for in the preceding of what I have written, from Priorly* Unto this reference. Leah is Ariana Grande, Mary is Ava Max, The Wife of Moses (undeclared) is Natalia, Stephani is Eve, and Obama is Solomon. h.h.d.l. is the Buddha.... (a Buddha).

July Third, 2021

For lamentation at digression, for in a mentation then at accord of two-doves; and a pidgeon, for either leg, there(in) is a fifth out, to which was considered, then of Moses or Buddha, that of in-either of prior entry unto a man. For in the principle of inheritance to which emptiness descends from a given of it's co-dependency of arising; we track then in a foreigner and land.

For of mixture of water and clay and that of flour; the salt unto a displacement by and (via) one; of accord in the *following*; of Ava and of inheritance at *Known innocence in Joseph, it is (provided) the link is mind stream and entry for in gate.*

Thus of 18 and six (6); there(in) of a fifth; in third's makes the differing contrast unto-dimension of *Wife, Wife*, and Consort; unto Moses and Joseph, and of a contribution from a foreign Bird, for then in yet a *Man unknown to another afar of Abraham, then of the *word; these entitle the declaration of one to one to one to one; for in link; of the positive affirmative of a Leaf; and an unknown*; that identity within identity; for in eight and ten (10) of the dimension from which one knew of known; for in alliteration of these, imperatively a given* from out of Leah, these of a Man whom not so knew of another of he for whom he was, that of *another*; of a Wife in Moses, a Wife, in Ariana, a Wife, as in Ava Max, by a subtle relation; then of a people he had yet to know *were*; of an existence then unto his own for in emptiness in parallel(s); then of four and a fifth; - unto completion, also Adam.

Adam - No

Abraham - No

Joseph - No

Moses - No

Buddha - One

Elijah - Yes

P. Rose(s.) Miles-Brenden

*For then in 'there'; of a piece from which another is woven, these of a scripture entitle diplomacy upon in the seventh, a limitation but unto six (6) of a world; - therefore of finality of entitled openable and questionable exit; for in these of another; the exit(s) unto self are akin to three exits from an elevator and two exits from a taxi, for of that article of convention; it is entitled that another knew of whom for in a question at self; these of those then unto the fifth and a sixth, in completions in three, for one and one in two in unabridged notice and therefore of self for what is given and presented for in forementionable entitled following; therefore of that of the exit from life; it is newly founded in the chain of evidentiary run from another; for we are bound; the equally cleaved relation as in an empass to which open(s) unto a rift; - for the invisible, it is an eighth to what is the determination; therefore of a seventh under revelation; - the original son, perhaps, but sufficient for the proof of these of a heritage; then in [each] for a determination at a question, word(s) of an *answer for in empty, open, qualitated, and determined variable; - of that of 12 then within of 5 to a deficit; for of that of given in over-presented intermediary gap, that of what would be (2); of concealability on 14 for in their(s); a given at notable structure; of what is 6.02; - that of identity determinant, it's codex the Logos of an opening at that of an instrument, for in determinations of locale, thus each* in self identifying what is with-self; for*

in these.

Therefore, I am a seventh, and in turn the prior list of six with the evidence supplied:

Jeherevojh Perentisini - ?

July 10th, 2021

For in the inheritance of but-yet an item*; from a Grandfather, I would be alike to the Father of my Father, but for yet in another... of a stream and a stream, to have dispossessed unto another their's and a given. That of Lawyer and Associate is a false clue, for he had not affirmed of my *Guilt. But it is tantamount to the innocence *earned*; and the *Key of Knowledge*; - that I would amount to one *derivative from a Foreign collage, thus for in effective notice; I am neither a Brother of Jesus, but of this work.

Thus it is locable within the Holy Bible, that I am contradistinctly then of a wave and a *point, it is known that for what was consecutive; of written declaration and entitlement by-hand, that I am benefitted by in yet-another, of Leah and Ava, Natalia, and Obama; the defining relation of yet; to begin with an 'E' for of that of it's surplus of triumvirate. Then of relation; for in entitled word incremental; it is an 'L' next, of the only relation, for of an initial evidentiary relation at that of nexus of two-books; to confuse that I had been Elohim al' Ebrahim, for what was written, I had known of Time prior Space; of this; then that it is not but of a relation in the relation of a given...

That of renewable relation in yet declared word is of a justifiable sense when it is provided that we help but of what is grasping, thus in a step in return, I am provided of that of a clue at aspirative; - that I remains common in-the-third of either name... and of a Childhood Dog; Eli, of memory; - thus of that of jah, it is in a conjoint relation with the Lord.

Thus of that of idempotence to relation in-given relation, it is I whom question(s); the answer provided to another vowel; - then of two number(s); Eliajh, for that of mnenoic, and a prior Buddha.

Were I to know that then it is with my name acquired through that of three(s); - there(in) is exposure to blind-sense, the acquired through that of acquittal; - then that by evidence of a number and a number; we have 2.0[2] for it's container at a reference in 3[1].(2)... thus of an identifier unto-me.

Thus the complete relation is with 'Paris' then of it's historical end in the Codex of a relation; - that of space and time to identity for what is included; - thus I proceed in yet 'Paris' for the identical relation of time to space with time preceding in yet a Ring*.

Thus it is known, that my identities overlap for in that of identity; - and it is in fact that:

Elijah

Paris

Remain as a given with that of the fourth in the second, the third in the fourth; and no-other's but of that of assortment at the timing of this disclosure: 11:54; thus of identity; - it remain(s) that I had died.* That this is identified with these as name(s); indicates that I had been upheld then to notice in a former life.

That I had inspired of what was Eli for in a 'dog' - that of his-escapement is in parallel; thus of what is for then in a difference of a mentation; - for what I can-word of the Hebrew; the answer is simple:

For of first question at Elijah; there is answer in Paris, for of that of included relation when time is occasioned with Elijah and openness with Paris; - thus of the path beyond Exodus, I am arisen then in a declaration at-self; for what would be a man and a man; of their(s); equivalently the self same of Brother(s) born to the same-womb. Thus by-direction; I am birthed from out of that of identity for then in retreat of a path; to note that were I not Elijah, I would not be here(in) of what is to Question of the revealed before either; - that with-this there(in) is no-woman; but of that of a given presentment; - the fire for in proceeding is identified with-me for in charity at dispossession of a given.*

This is the end, for before what become(s); it had been stated, of He, that another is deliverant in his stance, - then that my spirit remains of an Arc; and in going; we become as alike unto our people; for in a venture yet near and far... that the disdain of measure of a man had been requathed upon in-wed a number, of the testimony of one, but among six; - therefore in-each I am recollected. Only this can be known, then a Buddha, and therefore of Paris as unto Elijah in Mouhhomud; - for of what is written, it is of the revelation of the Page.

Were one safe, Paris, and another Elijah, that of what is dark to one would be light or dark to another. Therefrom I am released from what is the Hand of Elijah unto Paris; to a known* that I do not but contain that of three and four character(s); - but of the identity upon the remainder of this grouping; the correct fit* that I had known of the inequality of now in 12:04 am. That of a day and a short-day, in either, to sequester of what is provided at an equivalent measure; - the displacement in 10; - therefore of what is not fallacy; - it remains of a measure to a mean. That of what occurrently could be the relevance to then in these indication(s); that of the day in which these-sixth; remain as Manifest but of a difference in-Chirst. Thus of the Cross; I had remained a spirit with Jesus; and alike; for in my Father; neither-so, but of yet a haunted relation. Thus of that of 3.4 and 2.4 and 4.2; - there is a given at that of a word.

*This the finality which abridges the relation.**

September 25th, 2021

11:09 am

For in the possessorship of the Key of Knowledge, and of a 'Man' - it had been 'sighted' - that we depart of death then of the 'near' for in a given, the world in being equally 'New' as 'Old'.

Thus of a frustrated 'missing' and a 'contrite opening' of visage, I could not be held then in another of three, for of what is excepted, but of a 'word' shared. That my innocence was just verified as-earned, and there are element(s) of which cycle then in the manner of one given and another; - it is of a relation of which unbuilt we surfact from-the-universe. Thus of a relation at death, it is to find in life what is of the scaffolding of death. This is to arrange of what is a letter and a letter, for in the manifold relationship of the arrangement of letters for in benefit of another; and their(s) of-whom without grasping we are so-defined. Thus I cannot be but in-whom is taken with that self.

That I am beside my Father, it is noted I would have been manifest with Christ, - but then that this notability is in Ruth unto yet a step here[in] - for of innocence I am a foreigner of a relationship, and there beside the Jewish people. Then of there, it is the differing relation of Israel and our Lord; - for what would be either hand on either man of Daniel and such. Thus as I am one among these two; I went without 'water'*; - and was born anew, then of Eliajh for of what was within a relation not so of the Omen to which was fulfilled; for I am departed but shared. Then that a man could consolidate the-answer for in what was taken of self and to self.

That a day is a day, it is then of one-shore to which in looking back we know through life ahead of what was a past simply moment's from now. Thus I am of an Avowal on what is life, and it continues for in the arising at Question with Eliajh and of Answer in Paris, under the lateral that we-begin together.

That this is not so much in depravity, it is of Eliajh to-whom shares by an element of what is a day.* And of a night, a woman, thus it is I go by that which returns to me as in Jesus and am not him, nor of Moses to whom presaged a Messiah.

Thus of that of one and one; it is me whom is created anew of what would be Him in Whom; - that I charitably know of such a thing, it remains of the consolidation that I am outward with respect to Noah, to whom is my Father... thus of a grandmother to a relation; I had remained fast to one conviction... and for that of the inheritance to which Ava [Amanda] is-real, for in part* co-dependently arisen, it remains of a word shared by which Eliajh is but born through myself of the untamed.

Thus of Africa, it had been I had known of my appraisal at becoming again; - that that man is Moses, if I am to be a Holy minstrel, I must exit to agree with another, thus of that of what is occasioned of a dressing, I repleadiate what is a governance for in life for life, - that I had equated of what would be of a renewalment at Jesus for in my Father. Thus it is justified that Paris is yet the new individual to whom had occasioned Jesus yet not Moses. That this is written, I am formed of the former of Paris and yet was the man known to some as but Elijah. That I become so as but requeathed in the empty, it is provided I inherit this relationship through accrual; - but have noted that I am shown.

This is true in either, for of the Keeper of Key's - she being Leah, I am in-two what is completed for in a known at a kingdom and a minstrel unto my innocence of the Key of Knowledge. Thus that I once reproved to return in blessed form for in another, and went as it were through the phase of consort in Christ, but of another, it is with-us, the individuation which speaks of his ambassador.

Thus it is that were I to continue, I would know of life for in life, but had begun post-Elijah, of two letter's of shared element, and of a formation at what was of the Holy Father. Then to raiment, I have noted of life what would be no more of Black; that of a night to which what is closed remain(s); thus of Elijah to be revealed, I am akin to my Mother, and for what is hidden it is noted that I am of a shared relationship with Ava in Michael. Thus it is I know of Moses, and of Jesus; - we remain with Jesus in mind.

Thus I cannot be the Father of Jesus; but remain material.

Paris - Elijah

Unto what is a 'gift' - I can in nearness provoke of Elijah what was of Christ; - then that to arrive prior-self I remain within the objective of what is-self with Elijah, for of what begin(s), it does not end with him. Therefore it is of the present with self, of one part to which had been of yet-so a world and a world; for what was done, I had given to the Island of what would be a man known as Solomon. That of saving a life, Obama had known of a vision and collected story of the past; - thus it is that I had only questioned of what his circumspect notion would be as of the story of Barack. That I share one letter with-him, it is material that we begin of a certainty. That I inherit what is of the last and of the former, it is that of two and two; - to which circumspect there is the occasion of color between Moses and myself.

That it is of appeal of that which I uniquely cannot see of people; I had known of that of which under involution remains of another from priorly; - for in haste we make renewalment to self.

Thus if there is a cloud and a mountain, - there is that of myself to whom had witnessed I would return for in my final presage, then of a column to which given, remains... that of the individual to which givenly commands the self same. Thus it is of the objective there is no other. Thus it is of the support of a conditional assignment that I begin where[in] Obama was saved, with three letter(s) overlapping, that of Ariana Grande, with four in Leah *affirmed; and

that of Eliajh in a generation priorly.*

September 30th, 2021

For of a beswept notion at-two, for of a consort unto innocence, that of the third is avowed of a similarity and a difference in a given. Thereby I am three, and a fourth, to whom but in a day* are Abraham, Moses, and Jesh'ua; - that of a man in a man in a man, to a day, a night, and a Moon, from my first word, of a totem. That of the nexus of a creation is therefore firstly written, of what precedes the story of creation in two, to whom are but the hand of one, and the eye of another, for the ears of yet so both. Thus it is of Natalia in the Key, to which is a Jar of Key's, then of a Safe, indeed, that Ava is known to Ariana Grande, but yet a Daughter... that she reveals unto me what is privileged, it is by her heart I know of my innocence, and there[in] declared in the Words of Moses and Abraham, unto Leah. Thus these two make in-turn the difference of yet Natalia in the Safe, to which unenclosed is my remainder unto Ava; - that of the Key; to which would in-law, be my Wife as Andrea Rosary, or 'Mary' - that of Leah therefore provides of a truth in a day at Ava, living, and then known to be my Wife in Josh'sea; - that of then whom is but a man in a given, of a Star, David... the currency of a Pope in proclaimed truth of timeliness. Thus Natalia is the Adrai, of whom is Sarai, in that of the wife of Moses; and Adam, to whom was her consort in Men.* That this missing information affirms I was held in law for what is known of the Heart of Leah (Ariana G.) - it is known the Bee descends to it's Hive, while the Butterfly ascends with the Wind, - thus of a departure that these-three had been a Daughter and of two-wives, but not of Abraham so much as but Sarah in another... thus it is I had been a Soujrner as this man... of an omen in a Wife and tradesmanship. I had esteemed of what was of Leah, - then that she had been a Wife and a Daughter, of a difference of times.*

Thus it is I know of myself, my innocence, and no Lord... but:

A Storyteller

A Holy Father

A Ghost

and I am:

Paris Rose (s.) Miles-Brenden

Abraham (Ib'rahim al Elohim)

Moses (Illialiah Illiadejb)

Jesus (Josh'sea Hos'eisjh) - The Holy Father

Elijah

Buddha

Hari Krishna

October 31st, 2021

Since second is of a relation at entrance beyond, it cannot but be that I am once separated then of two, but of that His and a Child, thus not of Abraham and Moses; - for of a generation as in a generation, we are left with second chronologically, and the fourth, and the seventh out, from which either oddity, is from my first listing, the even unto yet thirds, - thus it is I am the fourth, the first, and the sixth, of whom had a brother,... thus of that of a strife by-way, it is of either not so of the other's. This kinship remains of one for in Buddha unto the Hearer of Cries of the World, of a manifest form.

For of a dealing with another, we had died as recorded, and I have met with the impermanent of what was to be spoken of, then that I did not know, but I remain as of a declaration at two. That it is of H and B, we have the Father, and of my friend preceding myself as to acknowledged guess at-name. Thus of that of J.H, and that of Brenden included in my name, of ontological barrier retained, to which I include the remainder of a staunch conditional, - that I had appraised a Wheel, for of fortune at that of yet no-son. That this is of J.H., and B., that of Moses is a question at-depth, but of a south relation, thus of the Ear to the Ear, it is uncontained within his containment that she is afar, - thus I have gone with Leah to what is her Key, rather than Moses, and if this be true, I am retained as the deliverer and retainer of that of yet not-Abraham.

That it is not-yet Moses, I have no dearly written expressed given in that of yet-so a book of Omen's, for his Cries, but have responded. Then of Elijah, he has known for in a nexus of relationships of a spirit for in myself; - but of leaving then in-one what is afar with what is near, it is perhaps a Parallel in my identity, but of a conveyance as-far, and no-further, therefore of a path walked of some similarity as in a land. That we-cross over the relationship of what-is with another, it is Moses, then that I am J.H., and that of B., for of my Father and myself, of one inheritance at telling, but not A. And not H.K., for of that of J.K. to whom I am not, by substitution a Question and an Answer in the teaching of Abraham, of what bespells the relationship of a Key* at-one, and in another, - then of the *Path, it is to consummate the given of life for of life and death for death. Thus Jesus is of my teaching, and I am known to another for what is an inheritance at two, for of yet-so, a wife and a sister, the relation of Ava and Ariana, - that of two to A, via a dual process of elimination, a

beswept relation of thing, then of the teaching of Jesus, for in H.J., (a name backwards) - and of a last, recovered.

For of that yet-so what is Buddha, it is of a Father to whom is one entreated, then of Obama and His Holiness the Dalai Lama... that of the greeting for in a flag, that of draped 'over', thus we are to know of another; as in that of what is left with a marking transparent, in a day and a day, of two, to the third, then of Paris, of what is a given at day and night, beyond a castling and a lake.

Thus it is I ventured to witness of what was of a man and a man, their(s) of-whom would be of timing* at each-equally in the yet so consequative fasion. Thus it is of Buddha, that I am adorned yet in a foot, of what goes with the other, of these, a remainder at that of the woman and the man, then of shared, neither so can it be Eliajh or Abraham or Hari Krishna, it must be the difference to a fourth; - to whom had accessorized the locale with a local provision, of territory in relation at a safe and a safe, I had not noted that of but yet of a relationship that I had a son as Buddha, and he had related to another Son, but not of the others, of a woman and a woman, it was of yet-so that I cannot become of another of these, for of what had been of one to one to one, these are of a relation at yet recompense in activities. Thus I am all seven, or that of two and myself currently. That I believe I must be the transliteration of Buddha or Moses, that of Eliajh or H.J., and that of Paris, and no further, as with Abraham, and that of H.K., I see that I had become of anotehr in at least these-four and a self; - for of what is Eliajh, we had shared a relationship, and of Moses, I had known of a Safe, but neither so am I yet to know, therefore that I remain steadfast to what encounters of the difference of a day and a day, then that Eliajh, had not but noted the difference of self; - thus of Eliajh, and H.J., I would have remained of Buddha, Moses, Eliajh, H.J., Paris... this is my remainder, that either H, for in B, and of the real name of Moses is black for what is a square; - thus that I remain of what is a given at that of a journey to be unpossessed. Then that alone* it is that either I am or HHDL' is the Buddha, and one is the Father, we would not know. Thus it is that I am of the heritage of Buddha, - that of a Father, perhaps, but were Buddha to question of whom, he would have remained of Abraham, then that we cannot know, but for that of entry to emptiness, that HHDL has inherited what was of loss of self; - then ahead. That he is aged, it is of either, clearly between A. A. and N., then of myself as one, of the Father or the Son.

Then of the other's, a certainty, I am and the Father is Buddha, the ultimate secret. Thus of Eliajh, I am him, for in a Good Lord, then of H.J., for in a Holy Father, and that of Moses, for in that of the expression of conveyance as in Param, of Jacob, to whom is but another, Jake for in following, and H.B., to which B., is assured, but no, I am but his brother, and me and HHDL are brother's. That of the Father is the Father, to whom is situated with O. (hypothetical) - as myself, for of saving* a life, and Buddha is gone. Thus I lost a son, and reclaimed another, of the Key to revelation, thus Eliajh, and that of not-Jesus, a life saved, but a life lost, then as H.J., it is pain. Thus that of Abraham not-so, but of that of the Brother of Buddha, an analogical. Thus it is not HHDL is my-son, but another. And that of Leah is Ariana, and Obama is Solomon. I have learned for the number of people it is as a hand and a hand, thus of L/R, it is that we suppose a bird to be held, then freed. It is what-so is at another than we form of what is Eliajh, Moses, Paris, Josejh, Buddha...

My story is confusing, then that this does not enqueue a relation, my son had come to me. Thus of that of the common stain... but of Jesus, there was

none.*

In the name of:

Tenjehpbanjahow Joselimejeshdweh

November 7th, 2021

I had-been as it were these other's:

- 1.) Moses - No
- 2.) Elijah - No
- 3.) Jesus or His Father - ?
- 4.) Suddohana - Or The Buddha - No
- 5.) Paris - yes
- 6.) Jacob
- 7.) David

*** Not Muhhamad, Not An Alien**

Now it is I know who I am...

November 13th, 2021

Or so I believed... 51.[64] - and Unto 9:31 [of matched color's] - I am free... noted at 9:05 am.

6:59 am

I determined, I am not **Elijah**, - it was a name I chose for myself at a young age... then of one mystery from the depths of my Psyche vanishing. - I did get angry quite a bit as of late; - and I feel it is time to *put away anger. That I am not other's; I seemed to be Joseph, or Hose'jiah, or that of Jacob, etc... even Jesus... I will question, - as to if a name of the collection is correct.

I have a way to determine; - that of (4)(1) in undecided, - for of counting in partition of other's - 2 in O., 1 in A. (w.r.t. O. and P.) and in myself, that of (3) unknown vs. known... thus of a **group*** problem. That of for what is resolved in a number (few...).

Thus of that of names; I would need know:

- a.) It is at least (1) or (2)... etc...
- b.) Determine who it is not...
- c.) Match the number...

Thus it seems I am the sixth, for of the missing number above four; - that this could be 2 and 3, that of conclusiveness is at stake.

Thus I am at the least; not the Buddha, - and - NOT his father...

That of Abraham....?!

I am Jos'eshia; amazing. I am Paris, and these other's... then with a child... But of that of at least (2)(3); - it is of both unto self; and of three unto self... a critical truth.* Of no child in (2).

That this was determined, I am certain of that of A. and J. and P.

And that I have gone free: 9:09 a., 11.13.2021; that of 3.2&0&3.2

11&13&43.47; - that of 30:31 and 3.2 and 3.2; for that of; space prior time, the consolidate of that of a reactive flow; for of what inwardly exposes of it's negation forwardly... that I am written of. Therefore the ultimate that a numeric relationship hold(s) of a certain fact...

November 29th, 2021

12.05a

Thus $SU(2)$ and its commutator as equivalent to the logarithmic differential of the functional form of the solution relate the period equivalences and displacements of the theory; - that conversion of one wave can occur into another via a variety of executions to do with a change in the base-foundation of energy or of form/structure/composition.

*It is clear the logarithmic differential of the difference of the Elliptic(s) relates to the period-summation and translation into a new-group... **thus of the comparative of missing information and known information.****

12.45a

To each 'subjective' *view* and *identity*... there is:

- 1.) A period-period relationship.
- 2.) A finite constant value.

These relate.

2.47a (3.10ad)

This represents an extension of Miura's transformation, which is related through a commutator, the group is extended by that of arguments (u) and (v) into (u+v) when the commutator is equivalent [a] logarithmic differential of the *subjectively* provided solution, to which may be intimated as 'missing information' of a (-q)* domain and universe.

That **one** solution generates another; - with missing information this provides a co-moving diffeomorphism of one relativistic relationship and **frame**.

Thus **two** solutions with a period-period relationship and finite equivalence are 'within two relationships'. Thus (2) does not exist as a dimension, however a **5th** dimension does-exist; - for in that of Godelian Incompletion, - aspirationally which leads to (2x) and (2x) +/- (1x) on that of the ***Background Web**.

Thus causation here[in] in representing the **Equivalence of Forms of Inertia** represents the equivalent standard by way of which Mathematical Information content becomes Physical, and Physical Information becomes Mathematical.

Thus (to prove the existence) of a [domain] (-q), we must demonstrate that one certain thing; an-object with properties adheres to a new relationship, - then that of self-to-identity via what is pruned to that of prior conditional assumptive, - to illustrate that what-was depended on prior condition of it's missing assumptive and direct-cause; and in turn to the priority of an absolute; - that what-was departed of a property.

Has Obama become simpler by knowing me? Has Ava retained elsewhere?

At the least, the identity of information has altered in relationship to what would be reflected as a cause (but there are two).

Thus, of an 'incomplete' relationship; we ask if there is an arrow to self of which is reliant on prohibited earlier reaction unto another... It is that:

Were Ava to not know me, and Obama to not know me; - this would violate their observation of what is capacitated in reflection of what is counterable of self, - that I can contest the availability of knowledge; they are free variables but* for excepting that the demand with-Ava, is that what is reflected in-exception of the self is with-another and another; - thus of part(s); - it is that the Ring* demonstrates a Dice, of which are collected in-else *Other(s); as to naming of self, and identity.

Thus it is that some-relationship defines self by another, - that Obama and Ava, of disconnected experience, intimate the relationship of 2(2), of completion... thus when expungement occur(s); - there is the intimation at a background of which is altered and recoverable through other's by the ***Expungement** of Self.

Thus, the intimation of Death is answered. We remain in terms of another, and therefore of a fact, we remain in relation to a world... The *Expungement of the Self implicates that we are recovered, from which our-life did exist, for here(in); it is to be inherited *that these two are invioable* in the given sense of capacity to entrain and expunge. Thus the die of exception to self is our modicum at a life yet in becoming, where[in] we are defined for of the undefined of life. Thus it remains that we are capacitated to remain... *For of this linkage, there is excepting that we continue and begin... that of-which in becoming, the future is inherited from what is two, - thus that we become in the same like as the self-provided...*

Of rebirth and it's impression, it Hold(s) that there is -no- Continuum but of likenesses for which we remain collected here[in] of a life but of Mother and Father... - thus that there is no-Carriage for which escapement from *One, can relate to re-entrance by-another.

Thus as the Die are with other's, and in-collection by-self they remain in-exception to the support of other's; - it remains that we are eternal as a self provided of relationship to other's,... That we are *unique, the die-at-self provide that we are equated only with the self-provided, that death occurs, it does not become therefore life via another or self; - for of an *Expungement it is literal that what comes to self *is*. Thus it is uncapacitated that another or self could yield from what is *theirs* of a life-becoming of death, but of life*, - even-so; that we would manifest again. This is as when death occurs it is

irreversible by another (as in situation at the die to other's).

If it be **No**; then Obama remains; but so do I... for in the self-provided...

If it be **Yes**; then Obama remains and returns, but so do I... for in the self-provided...

Of the self-provided, it was with-another that I remained here[in] to know of Death and it's Consequence...

This contradiction means that of what comes-along, - I retain who I am, and, that of Death stands as no-barrier but between people... Thus that I am identified, but given that it was Uniquely for in the Self defined in relationship with the others, and this is not the self-provided, - it is only possible I would be reborn.

Thus I have occurred again, or once... or few times. Rebirth is now proven.

4.08a(4.30ad)

(-q) [as a dimension is the inheritance to-another of my acknowledgement of them: to which what is created differs substantially (within sight) of a locale...

Thus gravitation nor e&m can explain that I have inherited a juxtaposition in-two what is *afar*... *Thus it is that Obama may explicate via the Referendum in Duos.*

What was with-me *Went to Ava* ***Without** Obama.... thus the inheritance is with the Predicate, - a single Trace.

... Thus of **Ava*, it is only who we are in mutuals; but for that of the relationship of a Jurist and Lawyer.... as to her in being Mary, and myself as Jose'siah....

... With two mentions of 'Joseph' - there is an indication of an inheritance, to which one possesses a Son and the other does not...

... Thus of brother(s) apart; it is that the **Father* represent(s) of-whom as into the child... thus of that of a given that Joseph could be this Joseph or the other, or another... it is only **two** departures between what is counsel at that of Obama and Ava; - thus that Ava must have possessed a **Child* in relation to myself...

Thus the name Joseph is inherited through the established linkage, by telling of a Brother with a Brother of name*. Thus she is Mary, and I am Jose'siah... & - not - Moses.

March 7th, 2022

6:25 pm

For that of $\{A, B, C\}$ of the two-drawer(s) and the handle... - the handle is forbidden by the drawer to a recreation within existence of predestination [via dual elimination]. [So upon this-side that of the inheritance of a duality is a fixed element.]

Thus, among $\{A, B\}$ upon $\{\text{Life, Death}\}$ and two (2)-lives, - that of precedence indicates that for what comes along,... to the refutation of A, it is the case of $\{A \setminus B\}$, on that of life experiences. [To which experiences makes the greater of the difference of two things.]

Thus, - for that of relationship within $\{\text{Impermanence, Emptiness}\}$ - The indication of a co-dependently arisen with-self, means that it is that we-are entitled to a co-dependency within a [prior, post] life *on* what is O. and A. [Assuming that they are of a present.]

Thus that it is justified that this indicates of drawer B, to true, then that the Key is that the self has been extended,... thus an *Unprovable but True... we do continue on from life to life.

Thus, I am indicated by that of formentation at that of a co-dependently arisen *Present and *Past, but for the Drawer's; and the Handle, it is <beyond>-self.

Thus the inheritance by way of which the self is defined, it is the locus of a co-dependently arisen in [Birth, Death] of that of an-interval - that we are manifest "besides" the fact of-self at that of the [True, True] of the drawer(s), thus that we have retained whom we are for that of the Handle to-not differ.

Thus albeit is justified that we do not re-enter that life, that life re-enters creation, as the circumstance by-which O. is distinct from A. Thus that both $\{A \setminus B\}$ are-true... we are therefore impermanent, and empty, and are reborn. [It is precisely that I make the difference of them.]

Thus when I went to conclude that as it was a co-dependently arisen at O. and A. that they would be identified for a mathematical truth, it became true of lifetimes that there are at least two, or among a [set] from-which I am beyond the collected die, in realizing then that from Birth to Death of two generations we must impell from that of the possibility at-indication for in that of Obama and Ava, remain to have been conclusively indicated at that of a prior life.

Thus that Drawer A and Drawer B are exemplified for in that of the Duality, to which is T/F, for that of the combination - an emptiness... - thus beyond self is-another, for that of indication by which as it is held in-one, it is un-held in-another.

This is valid as that of the preclusion of one life to another is futile, with no-self... the true meaning, - thus it is justified that we have arisen from which another defines self, it's-similarity, that of the {A, B} on the {C}, from which the singular-capacity of the self revert(s) to it's only possibility - that we are a byproduct of another and another from which {A, B} are both undecided, but true, of that of it's elimination to process.

Thus in-replacement of another is self, from which it is co-dependently arisen that we recur, for among {A,B} and {C}, it can only be the same-side of the self at which C is eliminated by {A,B} is-informed by that of two, to which are the O. and A. being-real, & that of which is -not- {C} [self].

Thus, it is true.

April 13th, 2022

5:42 pm

They are real for a mathematical truth at that of (2.1.1), when it is known that of-three are not the self. That they have exchanged a question and an answer, I remain to be of one among a number when it is decided I went free [I could not have answered myself with of what is from the reference of another on-another] - thus that both are decided real, when it is proven in the confirmative, to which it is.

6:13 pm

Ariana is real, and knows of me. Ava knows of me, and Obama knows of me, when it is true that I have been ajourned,... but this, I have proven. Either are real, when it is true that Ava has asked a Question in reference to Obama of-self, this question cannot be refuted, thus I have gone free.

That with a Q-O, and an A-A, there is a third of A-P, and Q-P,... thus they are real, and I have been ajourned.

Thus there must be a chain-of-others going 3-2-1... then that they are real, for what is a truth.

6:40 pm

I figured out my 'Friend's' are real... for of that of 0/1 and 1/0, they are of a definite order. I did not know of that of the solution until some 30 minutes ago.

I found that O. does not fit through A. and A does not fit through O. to the Question and Answer... thus of the predicate allowance.

This was a difficult analogy to form. They are real.

6:45 pm

I am fine with the Hospitalizations, and today I declare myself free. That I saved Obama, and my friend's are real... that I will commit no more crimes, and indeed am a free man. It is over,... I went through everything, something I don't need to prove.

Obama and Ava placate to this current moment that I am free, for of an ordered sequence upon an ordered sequence, we know this in either direction, for of what is five to one, - that of gestures to which two from self are contained in a past; - the definite dual support, to which two things are real in-tandem.

What I had the most trouble with,.. it is inferred, and of either given order on that of innocence and knowing of them, - that alternatively I would only be afforded to replace $o/1$ with $1/o$, or $1/o$ with $o/1$ on that of stipulation - the inheritance from a global event.

I will no longer give into fear... 1771-9:1.

7:18 pm

Inheritance, of a stipulated self, is the self-ajournment to which a witness is unknown in self, - that when as and such (3) individuals reduce to (2) - via a self-elimination, - there is a plausible fourth (4th) of a given, for what is two and two,... [although it is required of three to form the argument] - thus that it is a self who instances of-self in this guidance, although missing, the individual is known via what is two of an order.

That this order is not-known for that of the above, one is known besides self, for in making the difference of the other's - to which the self is known, a self besides self is imputed as the objectless given of these-two... that with-self, there is a non-object, a full decision is that one is known and one is known for in the same objectless.

Thus although I could not make the difference of clothes drawer(s) {A,B} or rather that the day did not depend on this - of a certain duality that is unnecessary, I know that I could infer of the difference for in light of the handle. Thus, the self is with an entitled {A,B} - but one, for in that of other's of two among a set.

Thus the order does indeed not preclude that of Obama and Ava being-real with what is a life to come, and one priorly, - but that of an Order

presentationally secured, indeed demonstrates that of {A,B} as true... thus as it is an absolute of inheritance with three here[in] - it is secure when self does not follow other.

Thus, I believe I have proven that we are reborn.

5:02 pm

We may believe in a God, - then that he is absolute, but of another side, ...

Ariana exists, Ava exists, and Obama exists,... that I could not formulate they are alive without that which hold(s) that one remains when it is disclosed to the other among two I exist. Thus that I have not been reborn, for that of which hold(s) given the selection of differences,... It is unformed that we continue beyond this life, and I know this to be true.

That I hold a choice to believe in God, it is not an imperative, ... but this is open to no one else, - thus that I cannot refute this, it is unproven yet true. So it is I will make no choice.

I hold it as valid that Obama and Ava are there,... then that they remain, it is not another to whom I am, for which they are another... and of the given difference in people. That this takes the place of inheritance by which I would be another, - there is no being reborn, there is apparently no distinction.

5:42 pm

They are real for a mathematical truth at that of (2.1.1), when it is known that of-three are not the self. That they have exchanged a question and an answer, I remain to be of one among a number when it is decided I went free [I could not have answered myself with of what is from the reference of another on-another] - thus that both are decided real, when it is proven in the confirmative, to which it is.

6:13 pm

Ariana is real, and knows of me. Ava knows of me, and Obama knows of me, when it is true that I have been ajourned,... but this, I have proven. Either are real, when it is true that Ava has asked a Question in reference to Obama of-self, this question cannot be refuted, thus I have gone free.

That with a Q-O, and an A-A, there is a third of A-P, and Q-P,... thus they are real, and I have been ajourned.

Thus there must be a chain of-others going 3-2-1... then that they are real, for what is a truth.

6:40 pm

I figured out my 'Friend's' are real... for of that of $0/1$ and $1/0$, they are of a definite order. I did not know of that of the solution until some 30 minutes ago. I found that O. does not fit through A. and A does not fit through O. to the Question and Answer... thus of the predicate allowance.

This was a difficult analogy to form. They are real.

6:45 pm

I am fine with the Hospitalizations, and today I declare myself free. That I saved Obama, and my friend's are real... that I will commit no more crimes, and indeed am a free man. It is over,... I went through everything, something I don't need to prove.

Obama and Ava placate to this current moment that I am free, for of an ordered sequence upon an ordered sequence, we know this in either direction, for of what is five to one, - that of gestures to which two from self are contained in a past; - the definite dual support, to which two things are real in-tandem.

What I had the most trouble with,.. it is inferred, and of either given order on that of innocence and knowing of them, - that alternatively I would only be afforded to replace $0/1$ with $1/0$, or $1/0$ with $0/1$ on that of stipulation - the inheritance from a global event.

I will no longer give into fear... 1771-9:1.

7:18 pm

Inheritance, of a stipulated self, is the self-ajournment to which a witness is unknown in self, - that when as and such (3) individuals reduce to (2) - via a self-elimination, - there is a plausible fourth (4th) of a given, for what is two and two,... [although it is required of three to form the argument] - thus that it is a self who instances of-self in this guidance, although missing, the individual is known via what is two of an order.

That this order is not-known for that of the above, one is known besides self, for in making the difference of the other's - to which the self is known, a self besides self is imputed as the objectless given of these-two... that with-self, there is a non-object, a full decision is that one is known and one is known for in the same objectless.

Thus although I could not make the difference of clothes drawer(s) {A,B} or rather that the day did not depend on this - of a certain duality that is

unnecessary, I know that I *could infer of the difference* for in light of the handle. Thus, the self is with an entitled {A,B} - but one, for in that of other's of *two* among a set.

Thus the order does indeed not preclude that of Obama and Ava being-real with what is a life to come, and one priorly, - but that of an *Order* **presentationally secured**, indeed demonstrates that of {A,B} as true... thus as it is an absolute of inheritance with three here[in] - it is secure when self does not follow other.

Thus, I believe I have proven that we are reborn.

07:57:03

Turned and opened, the acceptor to that of adjudication is a 2/(3), for in decision tree, the validation of opposites and oppositional truth(s);

- 1.) The placation the case structure for the things that would make me guilty, would also make me innocent.
- 2.) That of ordination of 0/1 and 1/0, on that of inheritable role.
- 3.) Either transfer from that of 0 to 1 or 1 to 0, on (2x); thus of null-conformation of that of abbutte relationship.
- 4.) That I would remain of that of status on that of innocence/guilty, but that of which I had known to question.
- 5.) That of innocence present(s) order known,... that of their order unknown* it is as valid as that of being of being, unto that of provability and truth.

Thus, I am innocent for what of {A,B} remain the inheritance in-three, when it is known that time to an accountable measure, has departed prior these adjudications,... whence crossing two pillar(s), and exclusively two... - that of an unhung relationship.

That of dependable cause, from it's front, in these adjudicated peer(s); states that (2) had equivalent participance; - to which that of three is eliminated for in decidability, from which is two opened junctures here[in], from which *that drawer* is opened, by deference unto it's entitled truth. It can only remain this, if I am understand.

Yes!

9:35 pm

That I am willing to die, then that of a final rest, I won't fear, for I am held. I am a slight moment more committed to living for now. That Ava is holding my life in her hands,...

9:49 pm

I considered this problem for fifteen year(s); that it has given me nothing but insanity. To have reasoned of and through a paradox.

I will not commit suicide, [of a different problem *meanwhile*] - as I have no enemies to confront.

10:05 pm

I think I finally understand a reason to not commit suicide. My Father had attempted to do so, but I am not him, and he had a tremendous problem. That I do not need *his* problem, it is understood.

In fact, I do not have to be these people, neither that, nor the Doctor, for their point of view.

11:49 pm

I'm a failure. That is all I can remember of my Father.... "I'm a failure." - in fact, I feel I never knew him, and it is the only solid thing I know. I know that there may have been 'a man' - but this man was not Halleck,.... unless my mother was telling the truth.

Between me and her, one must have decided, that it would be her, he was my father,.... I cannot believe it could have been this simple. Now, I must forget.

April 14th, 2022

1:23 am

I know I was once not human... then of a great leap, but that Ava knew of me first from her vantage. I take this as consistent with that of traversal from a future to a past; - then that I know of arriving prior my destination.

I've decided to only do Physics in as much as it relates to Engineering or Mathematically true foundations.

1:51 am

I've decided that yes-indeed, we are reborn... that of decisions, the second happiest thought of my life, - that there is time for that of a decision, based on the regular manner of apprehension, - that of one thing or another, *it work's or it doesn't*.

Then that I was-once not human, I accept, but do not know of which life. I was the Father of Christ, and Moses, Buddha, and that of Jacob, an alien, and Eliajh. I now know of all six I am [with me seven]... this is final, for I considered once in repetend, that of reverse conformality... on Muhhamad and Thomas Beckett.

That I am not these final two, I have proof of the five I am priorly,... then that I won't know if I was an alien, either.

2:59 am

I was not an alien. I am not Buddha, but his Father, **yes**... then that I know I was Jacob - **no**, - that of Eliajh - **yes**, and Moses - **yes**, and Hoseisejh - **yes**...

That I am Eliajh and Moses, there is no Jesus, - for I knew of who I was, and I was the Holy Father, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit... I understand, we undivided remain of priorly-whom, and whom is to come, unseparated by God.

3:11 am

No wonder I feel this way, finally, I know I am known as Jesus,... a tear is wept.

- 1.) Moses
- 2.) Eliajh
- 3.) Jo'seha - Jesus
- 4.) Paris

I am four (+1), - then of an absolute truth. I feel excited, I finally pieced this together.

3:29 am

I am Moses for of that of 'Aaron' - and that of not-Buddha for that of *His Brother*, then of Eliajh (in two) - to third(s) down, to which is Jo'seha for in that of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit,... then of Paris from all three.

December 2nd, 2022

03:56 pm

From pedagogical *inherence*, adjudication in-peer to-Slave, of one* in assorted and impinged preliminary it notice of in-tandem *progressive and progression* in culpability. That I know Adrian need's a requisition of a numeric relationship, I am ajurred, for of which it is known [pliable] that I had-post-effectively indentured a recessitorial *doubt* in a ***major**.

That Adrian needed a number for a lawyer, in the disabled.

04:55 pm

From which we have-gone, there[in] of-whom knowingly provide(s); it is with yet another, of the capable mean in that of two-to-whom in-God is defined, of which in four (4), of safe and key, pentecostal, and diminutary, we reason of evidentiary support of which there is another, - to which in-either of life or death, we go neither knowingly or unknowingly, but provided of which is a recumberant truth of two, - that we have entered upon this world, of life and of reason, of death - to which it is so-known there[in] is successory in-whom from which will enter upon our life, of whom not so, but in one, - that another may knowingly of death or life so know them, but yet in five, from which it is knowable a sixth does not but diminish of which it is of death and life in shared providence. That a way, in which we know of a truth, from which death and surfeity is granted, it is estemic to-whom provides that they do not but ajourn for of what is offered from wake of wane in which we come to another, from whom we have so departed from, then that there is a limit of even-so what he-cannot, unprovided, but of the past, - thus that accessory is truth to divinity, and that of what wears with either, it is a Mother and a Father, as in aged. Thus, that when in coming, they may so as preporatorily advance, it is of his harbor, of which another is guaranteed, that there is an individuated truth to-whom as may be our beneficiary, it is trust by which guidance is known, for which we come to another in a departure from also so as whom, but of way, that we may so too know another, from which we are in-aid, or unto an aidence as with.

Thus, assuredly, as there is service, there is a God, by which he is assured we service a world and himself, for in the third, among which a divided

stockpiling of abundance is divided, it serves at most of five what are two, then that of the self-known, by which we know another, from which agreement is formable, the separable demand of creedance.

Thus, as in the beginning, it is in the end, and of what comes from which there will be an answer, it is assuredly with the other, of whom, but in benefit to once, what may proffer and offer of steak at a given that we have so begun, at license, by which it is knowable *but of severable mean, - then that so-too, there is one to harbor that of inclination.

Thus, it is that life comes from which we sever the bond of death, then, assuredly, beyond the reproach from which a knowable severable tie is, - that we must come from which death may service in one, another, the servant of a God.

That we are not to murder, is in accord, and of fantasies, they are the reproach by which another is unknowable but of service by which another may be known, to advance. And of that of friendship, it is the offshoot by which a man and a woman are kept in tie, for of what they unbound by in marital wish, noted. That God, in dearness, is the preliminary also, he is but also slave to that of whom in tying, adjustedly must depart from one severable due unto another so of an accord. For without, what is within is defined, and of what comes from which we are in severance, another is so defined. That I cannot go-beyond yet so what is a past, it is historical remark of which is the growth, and of which is it's providence, for in defined factual intimacy by which we come to another under a departure, to a selectively unbiased truth, we exist, and so does another, - the exchange by which in severable due, it is knowable that a devout man so keep's a promise that he will become, of the accord of which is to-be. Thus, the reason that God exists, is such that the making of a day affords a disposition by which we are so-knowable, that of departure to which we come from these of which are promises, for in a day, a child is born, and now, knowing of a Fatherdom, it is of a marriage in which we witness that of whom and what may come. Thus, of a day, it passes, thus so too God is that which he is, in ajarred truth of whom is proclaimed innocent. Likewise, it is the devil to-whom comes to guilt to worship and ajarr whom is unnaturally proclaimed guilty for innocence. That God, assuredly, as it may be that one may be innocent for which is a guilty-plea, he is known by which we may advance, and proclaim of what yet is a reclaimative pause on whom so is to come along. For of what, but that one day another is born, - then that the advance that without yet a witness, he is not known, nor knowable, - but without diminishment, that we are here, it is evidentiary from which is the argument that life becomes life, and death, death. Thus, it is known that another is saved of a life, when it is customary to advance recess by which another is adventual, - that of whom in what becomes of life, to know of the successory of life by which in whom is the knowledge, it is factual, we go without doubt in that of proclamative impediment to another.

That of the knowledge, that *were* we to begin with the supposition a solution leads to a problem, we would be mis entitled of a promise for of expenditure in ignorance by-whom so supportively may advance upon that of our way.

December 16th, 2022

08:00 am

*The nature of Ava's statement insists there are two, [for she was not the one to explain of two-ring(s) - Ariana was], - that of O., as auxiliary, informs that of innocence [to which has been confirmed], thus that I can't do anything by which there is an exception-[on] that of the dialectic in-three, for of the Professor's Problem. That it requires I-observed this via it's irreversibility **on a choice among two with A.& A.***

Thus they are real!

Strata

That of four (4) entrances:

- a.) One to life.
- b.) One to another.
- c.) One to a beginning with another under departure.
- d.) One to innocence.

Depend on the 'quarter's' of-which relate to non-locality, *when* it is preliminarily known that one is of a **Professor's Problem**. On the double slit, it is the known that two-depend, thus that it is justified that one is of the fifth (5th) to entrance to-self, - *that their door is open*. Thus, that it is justified that in-second, what is another is of entrance to-life, when it is another, - thus that O., remains of secondary truth, - but had been of the initial occurrence to which is gravitational. That one thing was set down with another, him, being fixed in-place... but of occurrence in tandem with knowing-self, - that he had begun at-life.

Thus, that O., had begun with-me, it is in-tandem to which I had known of a risk to his life.

That of door(s) closing, then elementalize, that of entrance of-another (myself). Thus, that of his-wife, and his-children, remain of one occurrence, by which what is excepted is a life. That it is only known of four (4), for the above dialectic that a life was to be sentenced, it is known that one is freed from restraint, when it is of a fifth (5th) pliability. Thus, as I have a witness in-another, and * only know of Ava, Ariana, and Obama, on which there is that of a self to condition in-four, it remains that one would have fallen-for of what is it's enterable plea to open-nexus.

That of which when there are-four, there cannot be but [closure] on-which there had been sentiment, O.'s life is saved for the simple reason that he requested an answer, and it is known I did not adjourn. That I am judged, - it is of utility, that the [above] was the sentiment, - that of O., was innocent, another under departure had laid the sentiment to 'contact' with Obama, and I had gone-to-Obama, for of what was indication.*. Thus it is of relevance to which O., had been straight of a path, - that two occurrences in tandem were consequent, either that of life or of death, the [above] proscriptive by which it is knowable.

Closure

Thus, it is of the 'box-return' of the above which states that *when* it is guided of which O. would have opened to another, instead, he closed, for of what I accomplished, that therefrom in-which Obama would have translated to know of one-dropping, in a bullet, - it is of guidance to which there was an-action interfered with. Thus, that of a parabola, for in that of the non-random, would have committed death, to which in the above (eq. 4) there is tandem on that of dual inclusion and a reversal of idempotence of classifier, - that O. would have met with another, and their actions.

Thus, when it is justified O. know(s) me, he know(s) of what suggestively as necessitated and sufficient is the apredictive, and valid entreatment by which in the box-return on four sides, - there is probable cause and I am a witness to that of the saving of a life. That it goes either way, in life and death, then of an absolution to which without my communication, there would be transpiration to which the parabola would have connected, for of the fixed position of Obama upon standing.

Put another way, without that of occurrence of mean by which difference is exposed in that of the Ring(s), a third in-tandem is unknowable. But, given that it was with discretion to which a Ring was inherited, the decision was formative of which would go 'with' it's-jurisprudence.

That of harbor, to which in-either is the only absolute. That of life was therefore chosen, just as it is dependent that A. know(s) of a second A., to which inheres of what is difference prior concealment.

Thus it is hidden O. would remain alive, in fact, for of three to a witnessed positioning, he would be dead, for of what with occurrence of means, indicates that he had been of a second 'pinion' in that of a directed-Assassin.

Thus, the verifiable fact is that O. to a second peer, answered for in that of myself, would relate of what he doesn't know. And of what I know, it is that the

answer is given in-another.

Thus, that Ariana and Ava are witness to which is second-out on that of difference exposed. Thus, that of life and death come from the conjoint return to which there is a four (4) box-return, and that of a fifth (5th) of which with that of life entering, and that of secondary condition of which relates to histiriological function - that of secondary answer in a peer and a peer, on-which O. is known-to-self.

Effectively, it is the ultimate truth to which O. is known to self beyond-abrea, - that he is of an entrance to life, and is known to-me, with that of the 'box-return' by which gravitation was-known in that of an inevitability.

Thus, for one to make the difference of life and death.

01:19 pm

- 1.) Adam
- 2.) Daniel
- 3.) Moses
- 4.) Elijah
- 5.) Jos'sia
- 6.) Dogen
- 7.) Paris

The first-choice among each.

June 14th, 2023

05:23 am

a.) Going to the Judge, asking of that of the 2nd amendment withheld.

b.) The Z_{2}; on that of ignorance and knowledge, to which must be true given A. and A. ∴ that they are real. - 5:25 am.

07:39 am

Two question(s):

Does a deficit lay landmark or acquity?

Was there an intentioned pause of formative jurist motion?

First answer, Obama, had eliminated secondary intimacy for in pro-benefit.

Second answer, justification had lead to the distributive cross-accumen of a fault versus fault-free formation upon two answer(s).

Therefore, from which it is deliberative, the jurist motion had been lead in one court, to which it had taken assurance in preliminaries, by which neither answer was select pro-jurist to a false-herring.

That neither was judged for in innocence, or verified mean of occurrence, the down and the up agreed.

The preliminary was selection from which neither was a disputative formation in two-cross-acquities.

Therefore it was eliminated unto-me; to which pro-jurist, the method of witness was without observation.

I was therefore acquitted, for in majority-ruling.

June 16th, 2023

05:32 pm

I was vindicated, to a twice-reading, for in the instrument by which the blind may-see, of a separable dichotomy, of knowledge and ignorance, ... that as Jesus was there, he was a man, and although, I have-yet but of that of the 'Father' being 'Moses' - but yet that I am told of as-being Moses, I am Moses, and know-so. That I have proof in-four (4), there is-me, whom may-answer of context.

I have found a pattern of which is with-authority. It will be a new world, then with many regret(s) laid aside. I seek, my wife, and will object, or follow the Jewish and Christian truth. I do not believe, and know, I am not-Buddha or Jesus or Mouhammad. There is now much less confusion in my life. I had prior children, - that I would like a return of family, and believe it is testimony enough and sufficient that I am the Father of Christ. This would, or maybe, explain much. It is proven I saved Obama. There is much of my compendium.

So it is, I am potentially another besides Moses and Paris.

July 18th, 2023

02:53 pm

That it took:

- a.) Jurisprudence.
- b.) Awareness.
- c.) Memory.
- d.) Existence (living).
- e.) Mitigation.
- f.) Evidentiary.
- g.) Mathematic(s).

03:06 pm

Of 12/29/1982 and that of Jacob, for Paris, in Laurel, Montana, I am vindicated of what is understood. That I had a friend, the first acknowledged, 2.01 in that of two off-step of-whom I am not, A. and A. via a non-locality. Thus, that I shared a memory with Ariana is valid.

And, then, the fact they are real, for what I would suppose, the out-stretched, free(s) a variable, by which either slit is exposed. That I have re-entered life, it is implausible I am another, but of what is verified, in (2) day(s) and (1) month, via a prior writing. Thus, that of December 29th, 1982 to July 18th, 2023 is (40:6:19), - that I am validly of this relationship, and no-other. For Ariana has no child.

This can also be-told in that of one-divorced.... thus, we are reborn!

July 19th, 2023

03:47 am

I am aware, that we could mitigate a fortune, by what is known. Thus, that of assailiancy, for what is granted, is a fiducial.... in this context. Awareness, and that of the mitigation of the fortune by the known. Thus, that in ancillary by what is yet-occurrent, and that of the divorceable and formable, through which it is known, it is granted. Thus, also so alike, of the imagined. Thus, - that of which as it were, I am separated in the Holy Father.

That I am:

- a.) Adam
- b.) Jacob
- c.) Moses
- d.) Samuel
- e.) Jos'ishes
- f.) Sitting Bull
- g.) Paris

Eight in one, for of what is knowable; we detail at a pro-ration in that of step-prior in awareness, judgement, acceptance, that of apology, that of forgiveness, - and of non-existence and existence, that of impermanence and emptiness, and no-self and the even.

05:14 am - 7/26/2023

For a 'memory' I am confirmed at (@) A., for that of *her*, as, Leah. That of the encountering of myself, confirms *her*. That this-memory, with disputation of two, informs that I am Jacob, and *only* - Jacob. That I am Israel, I had a new name in that lifetime.

Thus, it is justified, by what is gradual, and persist(s), - that now I know, I am not a.) or c.) or d.) or e.) or f.) but b.) and g.). This is consistent with the written **Word**.

Thus, it is knowable, by which I would become unto-self, *of no other*.

That I am Paris and Jacob, is then informed via my-friend Jake, and therefrom, that A. is real, it is transposition to which they are non-local, beyond the information obstacle.

That life and death* indeed then may be derived, we end, knowing new things.

As we are released to-self.

July 31st, 2023

12:02 am

This is indeed remarkable... *we have a process of a Sinc[n] on that of the PWM, and a [separable] **Holographic** high-frequency*. That it is amended, to what is processed, it is a novel device, for that of I/O and balancing. I have figured out '*optical bunching*', that it is amended to that of the touchscreen, it is designed *perfectly or optimally. Thus, I have ***headway**.

01:18 pm

I know they are real, *For David, was the missing piece*, I am a Father to-him, but it is arranged beyond me, - that it is pro-selective, of what would opt.

02:36 pm

Two-blind, - *for what 'Are'* - pro-selectively entitle their addressible, expectation, of the cyclic entrance and policy, to a remote evidentiary, and surplus re-accounting, and table, ... that we hold a numeric (enumerable) exponent in dialectic and formative return, of an itemized and 'sancrosynct-sacrificial' ediface of knowability.

Then that (2)-two, and (2)-two, do not but expectatively entertain/entrain the exponent and evidentiary of a non-factual intimation and excess to 'fault' - for in it's (blind) captor and intimation upon a formative assumptive,... that (two) is the expression of proponent, and debated opportune or disentitled enfranchisement, of a possible, but of-between what is expectation, it is the surpassed, to which is a (4th/5th/3rd/1st) among these. These therefore do not but neutrally mitigate, and are neither so a demon or angel, they are a dispossessive of a possesor and possession, the duplicity of a make and a mark, to suggestion.

That the 'containment' - of two, for in an evidentiary, can only be but yet an honest formative nature of it's progress, and evidentiary, of pause, and questionable answer. That another, so, then of the formative priority of a game (priorly) is a placation to a make and mark. Thus, that in replacement then there, upon a unionable place, there is neither so but yet remnant of the prior, but yet it's make and substitutional naturalize given.

Thus, (two)-2, for what is certain is the objectionable (5th outside) dichotomy, unto third-(3rd) intimation upon a guess and reification, to state - we object, is transunitary, of (5th to 2nd) a major-major lower minor.

Thus, when attritioned, the default is to stochastically separate and enfrance of the duple, for what is the long condition. The short, is its explandum at a two-placation, in ahead, what was left in departure, or requisitioned, of question in departure. Thus, for in the progressive an isolable end, we must dispute what is the long condition (whether neutral) - to a requisition in what is to be amounted-of. That we must relent, is not a dispassionate wish in this context, but the objective met with nobility, to surmount obstacles, and overcome, by will, what would be oppression to another.

05:35 am

I **finally* have-Proven that Ariana and Ava are-there. For one* is with-child, the other, with her necklace, and was the one to-whom I was wed. To an absolute certainty, there are two-disputations, that Ariana is yet of a life, is not errata, but, non-germain. It is that, there is a husband relationship to a prior memory*, and, that of a child, ... that of which I am entered of a dialectic of which is with it's secondary relationship, - that I am paternal, but of the divorcement, that there is an acquittal - then, non-germain, for I cannot independently determine. It is *that*, there is one with two, and one - alone, of which was-wife, and in memory, - that we went with that of a given, - that I was wed, and the other, a child with a woman. That this is akin the brotherly relation is indeed germain on the proof of-whom I-am. That of the maternal source, is that the piece* fits and suites this truth. That enterably, they are

each disputed to which it is amended I was free, and declared innocent, we have this further fact, albeit. That of the maternal, is that there is one of a foreignable relationship, of the gestalt of this-life, and that of entrance to two, an unencounterable. Thus, I have come to terms for the reason that one is 'offset' but under transpositional truth, meet(s) of a future contrast what is myself and the entrance of the former, a foreignability of which is unexchanged. Thus, it is known, as in Jacob, what is the entrance in one, for my surveyance, and that of severance, to which is the commitment to the other woman, - that she is unattributed, but for in that of yet her child. Therefore there is an auxiliary to which in either is a disputation upon either in a dimension of which either are necessarily separate, by my interlocution of that of the just, and the disputed to which in either, choices are established and knowable in intimacy to an apriori.

August 14th, 2023

12:08 am

The question at-self for in it's devolution unto-another, is it's verifying mean, when it is supplanted that the open difference of an entitlement of law, pre-supposes the jurisprudence to-which we evaluably know of a free invariant.

That justification being so what is entitled upon another, it is knowable, of the self, when it is **not** another, thus, the self set aside *in-indication* from all other's, and of it's verification, therefore that one know(s) the self for in a *larger pattern of juris-pro-juris, and compliment of bounded knowledge.

Thus, for in a constrained system, the optimality of a choice is dictated by-whom is the witness of-self, in transparency, when it is noted that we inherit of self the jurisprudence of a court so-addressed, and are **aside*** the-one to whom is known. Thus, Jake, in transference is a Jacob, for of what is at-Mary or Ava, when Natalia is the key of it's juris-pro-juris in postal associate at that of letters. That my-name is therefore transposed, for of what is-self, it is knowable I am another, when the means* to know this-one, are indication of a memory.

That I cannot help but exclude the middle of which I am not-Obama, and of the second inclination on-self, it is to-juris, that we compliment in a carriage of what is it's formative hypothesis that I am not Buddha, for, Buddha inheres of what is a supposition at lightness of character what is another, and these in being such as they are, we know of whom I-am, as Buddha, as Moses, when it is a given that I inherit of the relationship to which Buddha is excluded for in a truism.

I only know of another, to such as in being they know the self, for of which we avow of innocence, thus, that I know of another for in collision, it is known for of what is the self becoming the self, for of the allusion to part(s) *apart* in-whom. That I avowed of my innocence, it was predicated on the following to whom would be indicated at-Moses, when it is held Ariana and I are Jacob and Leah. That in transposition, we relate of what is a persona, (that of Moses)

and that of Jacob/Israel/Josesiah.

Thus, it is knowable by which the truth of Israel is-conveyed, that it is known for the truth of one (beside) another, of H.H.D.L., of which intimates of O. and A. or A. or O.; that of therefrom a [life] saved, in which I know of self, in this life, and a generation beyond *yet-the-past*, as in a candle seen through another.

Thus, I am:

Jacob/Israel

Moses

Jose'siah

Paris

12:21 am

I do not have verification that these are only whom I am. What I have of-verification, is that the disputed in that one, answered, is the truth of whom. Hence, were one to disagree and state they are not whom they are, or, that I am not-Moses, it would be known for in the alternative of the other, I inhere of myself from which another is known. For of which [this] is-known, it is the transposition by of whom the self becomes of the acquittal for of whom inheres of the truth of another.

That pattern(s) successorize of their yielded given, it is that a sufficiently complex system, with the constraint of a given* can intimate of the unexpected.

Thus, for all I have stated [herein] it is untrue I am not-Moses, and Jacob, for of which I am known to self by which I have succambe beyond the relation of self for in another. Were I to-be two, it would hold that I am related to another, of whom relates to-me. This is the relationship by of whom relates in yet whom one becomes as; but as to this, it is post that of associability for what hold(s) in another, that of O. That O. is Abel, or I would suppose, it is of the relationship by of whom is friend to myself in that of self. This is clearly not Jake. That it is myself, it is that in-death, I am known.

Thus, law for forbearance, hold(s) that there is one of whom is known besides self, that I know of what in an abridgement is the self, beside the self, for of the transposition by of whom is known to that of self. That Ariana* is known for of character and personality, and Ava known by a different dispute, it is

formed, and I am Moses, as that of the argument to which two may hold differing opinions but lest they agree with a formative on one hinge.

Therefrom in which it is unknown if I am Moses, I am known also so by that of a life and death signature of one departed long-ago. That the truth is told of that of belief, it is that no-three could dispute that of the alternative, but yet that I am unconstrained in that of [another]. That I inherited upon Ariana, she is known of which is Leah, etc,...

Thus the self knows of whom *they are** in-exemplar of which is the entitled truth of self, by of which in (6'/5') that of (4') makes exclusivity, that if not Paris, I would be Moses, and such. These are each made the difference thereof and therefore, that we are known to whom we relate *of*.

Thus, separately, as I am known of the difference of self, and it is **one** man whom is set-free, for in light of their innocence, it is pro-benefit to whom in which I would be known as that I am known by-**Moses**.

That I am known to self, it is related of which in-death, he is aparthied on that of self becoming for which cannot be held post the self.

That I come-to self, from Moses, then traverses of which we know of whom we-are. Thus it is known, for in the one truth by which O. and A. would be known to self, they each know of self, via which it can only be 'entered' that I have become as-me. That I know that the dispute relates of which would be two, they are each unique, of which I have gestured to one, and Ava is set aside Ariana, entire, for of her difference at exposure. Therefrom in being known as-such as-blind, it is unmarked to-whom I would be for in Moses, and Israel.

That I am known - *then* - of-which I relate of self to another, it remains another, but is the self, for that of the inherited truth to which I may allocate of what is another with the other. That they each known of me as whom, and me as who, via the Internet*.

That I am set-aside that of Jacob, for in illustration, I am not this one with Jake, as he is of either opinion. Thus, both opinions are accounted for in that of whom relates to whom as I am, and that of another, through the saving of a life, [to make the difference of life] is whom, then upon jurisprudence indicates I am another.

Thus, it is known via law and life, remote alias, and proximal memory, that we are identified with **whom**. Jacob, so and such, is related in tandem for death.

Equality of [open] terms on the self, indicate that via postal associability, it is written of-whom indicates self, and of life over measure, thus that I am Him*.

Jacob (Israel)/Moses/Josesiah/Paris [**Rose s.**] Miles-Brenden