

Paris Rose [s.] Miles-Brenden

## Deliverance

February 24th, 2024

12:15:48 am

Coming from a 'note of regression' the impromptive by-whom as-such would cursatorially provide, - in two - at a decision tree - is not the self, for what they could provide,..... thus, A. and A. and O. are real, and actual, and it is pro-juris,..... for information must be laint, to which it is with another - of dispute or agreement,..... thus, unmixed.

Pro juris, the appeallate *to-total(s)*, is the supplicative delivery of the self unto-them, for in a '**chain**' of induction in parallel with effectual purpose at (@) cause and *cursatory* relationship to the self - a one-tap is an 'else' at *conditional* and *conditioned* order, - of it's recrimiated potentiation at a forward to self return-bias in multi-parallel excursive.

Thus, their relation to-self is the prohibitive of entitled gestures unto whom of emotional and intellectual potential formatively and of-manner, persuades they had been carried of self, in relation to-whom *accordant*, may be of such witness as-another unto what *these*, in six, and seven, to four, are neither of conditional *appealate* of an adjudication, but of witness\* to such persuasion of it's formatively and progressively held secular *secundus pro beneficiar accolade*.