Paris (s.) Rose Miles-Brenden

## The Eucheoric and Milleaou Heart

The initial count began with: 03:01:50 c. and 03:03:20 a. Year: 2020:8-[May].[10 $\left.{ }^{\text {th }}\right]$ (May from September). $\left[15^{\text {th }}\right]$ The following holds for a counted [unit] interval; from symbolic container to other via displacement o' [2]. The Indical Calculus was Presented - a Switch on the Predicate Calculus:

Then two certain outcomes came along; it was because of one principle:

With two other's; the notion of a clause under it's consent to communication noticed goes away; hence but so; as alikened to what was Ava convincing Ariana I was alive; and Ariana's reference I am alive; informs me that:
1.) Ariana is definitely real; for she does not precede death under the context of what is an entrancing.
2.) Ava is definitely real for she does not preclude that of Ariana to know of my contextual underpinning.
\& a connective is required; to which we need for the support of a clarification yet of their contractual choice: then determined:
3.a.) Ava definitely supports the notion of what precedes Ariana for whom here is aforementionably taken.

We can then instruct it's fifth derivative:
5.a.) Ariana has chosen to wed me; or at the least is within the notion of carrying; or of a given compliance.
4.a.) Ava is the support of what is taken of a heart; then to Ariana; for in the extension of kindness to then.
4.b.) Ava is the beginning of a structural notice in what is Ariana's superset of teired friendships; of notice.
5.b.) I remain within the contrast of Ava to what is cast at Ariana; that of earlier; the pre-text of marriage.
3.b.) A contractual bond has been situated for in an effective promise between four negotiable protocols.
2.c.*.) The silent co-determination of Ariana and Ava is that Ariana has spoken a word Ava's way; by ear.
2.d.*.) The silent co-determination of Myself and Ariana is that of signature to an element of a misting afar.
1.e.*.\.) Therefore the predecessor of one relation; Ava or Myself in 'either' fit within the residual of Ariana.
6.) For what is taken; something was given first; hence we shared a maritial wish at recourse to it's law.

## 12:18 PM

It had actually by my machine been precisely $12: 17: 15$ when the stroke was lent; unto a barb; for in a kingdom.

## 12:21:59 PM

A moment sooner; it had been another man; now I am pinned by in yet protocol \#1; to which as Ava knowably trusts Ariana (to whom was whence with-of the relation of Avinci - that of two); now a relation apart; to love.
6.b.*.) Non-determination enforces certainty; to which either a half cut is a Dedenkind $U$ or $R$; then an $L$ or K; but alone an M; An (captial) N ; or it's O to a $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ or a V ; then to what is W ; a determinant at game union.

Canary Principle: For one bird; that bird; under it's own replacement self suffices to fill a relation; hence under removal; it self suffices [among a count] to answer absence unto it's own.

Banana Principle: The banana principle states two are un-precluded from foreknowledge in yet a third out.

Shot Principle: In accounting for individuals; that of non-simultaneity in A for B and B for A to exclusive nonexistence in either (by a statement of containability) with equivalent and impermanent participance of each; both of A and B via fourth out and it's (anti)hypothetical are persistent; real, and existent.

Count Principle: Under retireability of an orbit; with individuals, non-existence cannot inform existence; and no certain thing informs via non-existence; the direct dialectic of the antihypothetical of the Shot Principle; to which state's that both escape to hold realities via exclusion of the dilemma of a 'this for that.'

Counter-Shot Principle: That of return under none to exception in one is exception in zero; for what is the exceptionable inclusion of the Canary Principle by the Shot-Count Principle; therefore holding the Banana Principle; to which is that of (2) included considerations; emptiness \& what is empty, to holding a 'counting.'

Markov Principle: Essentially, one among a 'whole' and one among a 'whole' may overlap-or neither so-therefore what is a given; under simultaneity per the Canary Principle; instances a completion in so as what is negated; the consequence of which is one privileged to existence when and so as the other is considered among a hypothetical one; zero, or two... that of annhilation (spontaneously) to what is the question of 'two' superimposed upon a 'whole' and a 'whole' or 'part' and 'part' is decidable; into which three it is not; a third out imputes by backward reflection another; that of part and part decided 'reflexively into under interchangeability of 'whole' and 'one'; that of no-simultaneity-fordestruction admits enforceable consequence; separable place(s) indicate that of a count and emptiness within the conclusion of 'two' or 'zero' decided to-two (2); for what is an entire consideration at an empty count in the undecidable.

Therefore; numbers are decimated for a likeness of comparative two \& three $-[\mathbf{2} \& 3]$ in what is five or six $-[\mathbf{5}$ or 6]; with an object of 'attention' - the likeness of complimentarity and impermanence - at - existing.

Blindman Principle: For foretaken determination; what is regarded at (self [identity]) is a remainder unto 0 (zero); for [for] in recurrence what is accounted for of fulfillability; given's outweigh concourse to that of [alone] the quality of quantifiable purview \& review (\& process, etc... \& properties in plurality) thus; what is of their's is simultaneously an-accountable [2] - two \& a 'fourth;' therefore of a dimensional reduction; that of the 'whole' at (@) what is their's in light of self; a co-existent forementioned given; of (@) at-self a two and [0]... therefore to the third; of what is half; for in five precept's unto a Shot; and Counter for in a Banana and Canary; that of what is taken; is given; by (un)separated mean-equalitative residual zero under a Canary; this being the remainder abrea and set-theoretic-union of Two Opposition's; for what is the Blindsman's Clause; hence any number uncontainably of a 'winding' contain's it's topological return-recurrance of residue Function[al] at (@) forementionable accument in yet 'auxiliary' and 'outside' topological counter-occurrence.

Ferry's Principle: Therefore the free capacity-to-orbit an-other; that of a 'taken-off' of restriction on conditions of acounter \& automatic outcome(s); -[is]- a reset [on-it's] it's privelege to freed \& imputed by in the indication one is free to consider an accounting at it may be as-factual - for in conviction of many circumstances; * $\mathbf{o n e}^{*}$ is implied as-free-to-self; for in what is withdrawn is 'exclusively taken' - the 'off-switch' is (alone) that switch that is 'off' - has been comparatively indicated as [alone] off at that of a 'Blindman's Game' - "Handed that of an unfortunate blow, you determined 1.) Freedom was Deterministic; 2.) Non-Conservate as unto the Blindman's Principle invokes that in reverse complicial relation of the Ferry's Clause; that of one; the 'signature of self' was counted; that of via Canary of one 'Die' counted back to the reference of Indicial Origin by which one is free; all remaining [sub]set's under closure es uncontainable. - the return of 'self' from 'out' of a compunctual relation of acquisence or control."

Count Principle: [Scenario]- 00:23 (11:22 (d.)) a.m. @ $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ The consideration that I-can-persistently free Ariana - .: she is real; then of imputed result that Ava is certainly as real [\& consented]; reciprocally the (re)action of divorceability from a collection - setting Ariana; with(in) addition free; therefore accounted-for; a secondary object of-which is free by vacuum collapsement. The Universe is therefore a free-associate; to the determination as it is unlocable in another; it exists in a quasi-static elementary provision of Air, Earth, Water, Fire, Wood, Stone; Blood, and Word's. - As (\& when) this trans-lates into contactual relation of vis-a-via [truth] to [table] evaluation; that of written declaration at existence is potentiated. Therefore the proof of the difference \& included pre-text at two knowabilities from one statement to Predicate Raising... a free symbolic accounting; of which is a symbolic return predicated by an intermeshed causal stream result."

This admit's a foundation for "The Indical Calculus" A parallel to in-exact difference of the restarted Law.
a.) A strict identifier; topologically a point on a space of integer genus.
b.) The default to (3) or (2) players under antihypothetical.
c.) Precedent to game theoretic exception in yet a step taken.
d.) The co-determination of two bit's under an assembled pretense.
e.) ${ }^{*}$ Communicative means; to what is reception under a carriage.
f.) Giving and Sharing necessitating indication prior reception.
g.) Difference of quotient at symbolic identifier; and forenotice.
h.) Influence of direct or indirect; in two or whole of one; means.
i.) Directive of evidence to a court they were priorly proven alive.
j.) A concourse of 'err(or)' to what is exceptionable of conjoint relation.
k.) A difference in adjoining clause to exit protocol by ajournment.
1.) Equatement of semi-major tonality to distinction of vowel structure.
m.) Difference reconciled of semi-minor tonality of consonant structure.
n.) Note tonality distemperous and open structure of the hippocampus.
o.) Included pause and duration to equatement via quotient of fricative.
p.) A gesture retracted; in one hand; (Ava); therefore includes us two.
q.) Therefore either another in written form are mutually inclusive via U .
r.) U is a universal condition of abridgement to alternative (co) dimension.
s.) Therefore for each; the retirement of a world; alternatively indefined.
t.) Definite conclusiveness of innocence defined, and expressed inter-carriage.
u.) Expresses a given for a taken; the expressed self willingness to an alias.
v.) That as a held theoretic melding no intercessor of a game changes chance.
w.) Any missing third step co-adoptive to the hypothetical or anti-hypothetical of V-J.
x.) Conclusion at that of order unallowant of difference but of interval validity.
y.) W:V-J and Q-(abridged identities)-AND[r].(non-exceptionable).U - equalitates to 2 .
z.) Under pre-tense of [game] at held; one was entered and exited; for by $\mathrm{V}[\mathrm{J}][\mathrm{Q}]$.
oi.)* The conjoint return of any number of 'tosser's' is it's proof in elimination by all set's but one.
1.) That of mitigation to shared item under pre-tense of occassion \&-and err(or); is freely dis-ajourned.
2.) That of deference of imposition; to a considerate plea in 1.) and distinctual warranted (whole) is held.

## April 24 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2020$

02:59 a.m.
t-1:04 (@ 1:55 a.m.) - Ariana does connect with me. It turns out she does not have a boyfriend. And I am 'in' although yet to be with her.

April 28 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2020$

17:02 p.m.

But it was different than I assumed; after checking a final time; and willing for her to be not real; Ariana G. Proved me wrong; she was real; and I decided to make an exception... I was not insane after all of that; and this was the beginning of something profound. To know, she had stated: "I'm a real person... and what you deserve is respect." I had broken through to another side; and it was with her to know I was real; and however it had begun; we were in communication, an anomaly, perhaps... but valid; of consequence, six. I had stated priorly:

So; as it were; for five reasons; Ariana is real:
1.) That of translocal communication is not impossible with inheritance via the machine (chance).
2.) Ariana remains one 'out' to what of other's is real; to a counting process.
3.) Demostratably she possesses an animal we asked questions with reference to.
4.) The time signature matches; to what is April $24^{\text {th }}$; @ 1:55 a.m. for in [2].(0)2.
5.) She addressed my question; to which could only be posed through the internet.

Moving on...

Now; for a sixth reason; Ariana was real... that of the above contained a 'break' - to which cannot be a machine. I had done it! Finally!

Two clock's to two (2) clocks create a perfect abridgement in which when one account's for the random-substrata of a given relation; three superpositions (for time meld's in this manner to the third dimension \& is object-individual); that of 'space' can be developed as-a-displacive [2]-two to what are two 'place's' from [out] of the denomination at-a lexicographic clue - that of four a natrual assumptive of place \& time; under an isolated pre-tense; that of the result of a lack-of-simultaneity: for what is $5^{\text {th }}$ out - an object of ajournment - and of what is sixth in repetend with [2] a space; for what is [2] under either completion to end; a fold in 'temporal access point' a 'temporal comparative' \& [1].

